

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Layout showing LTCC material for DRA.	2
Figure 1.2	Different types of parabolic antenna feed.	7
Figure 1.3	Results of rectangular microstrip patch antenna using composite substrate configuration [Chattopadhyay et al. (2009)].	10
Figure 1.4	Four element CDRA for monopole like radiation [Guha et al. (2006)].	13
Figure 1.5	Multi-layer multi-permittivity CDRA for monopole like radiation [Chaudhary et al. (2012)].	13
Figure 1.6	Four–element TDRA for monopole like radiation [Gangwar et al. (2017)].	14
Figure 1.7	Meshes on the ground plane of aperture couple CDRA in HFSS.	17
Figure 2.1	The material synthesis, antenna design and simulation work flow chart.	32
Figure 2.2	The objective of the work (Flow Chart).	32
Figure 3.1	Flow chart of solid state synthesis of LMAP ceramic compositions.	36
Figure 3.2	MBS glass ceramic preparation using melt quench method.	38
Figure 3.3	Glass added BST ceramic preparation using liquid phase sintering.	38
Figure 3.4	Microwave dielectric measurement of the sample using Network Analyser.	42
Figure 3.5	Showing reflection and transmission coefficients in 2 port measurements.	42
Figure 3.6	Standard geometries of DRAs.	44
Figure 3.7	Coaxial probe feed.	44
Figure 3.8	Aperture coupling.	44
Figure 3.9	Design procedure in HFSS.	46

Figure 3.10	View of the substrate of DS–CDRA with slot on the top side and the microstrip line on the bottom side. (a) Dimension of slot and microstrip lines and (b) Image of fabricated prototype.	47
Figure 3.11	Experimental set-up for input characteristics measurement of dual segment cylindrical DRA.	50
Figure 3.12	Experimental set-up for radiation characteristic measurement of the 4–element composite triangular DRA.	50
Figure 4.1	(a) TG plot and (b) DTA plot of LMAP ceramics.	58
Figure 4.2	Variation of percent relative density of LMAP ceramics at different sintering temperatures.	60
Figure 4.3	Bulk density of LMAP ceramic compositions sintered at 825 °C.	60
Figure 4.4	XRD patterns of LMAP ceramic compositions.	62
Figure 4.5	The SEM microstructure of LMAP ceramics (a) $x = 0$, (b) $x = 0.02$, (c) $x = 0.04$, (d) $x = 0.06$, (e) $x = 0.08$ and (f) back scattered image of LMAP ($x=0.02$) with 20 wt% Ag.	63
Figure 4.6	SEM/EDS spectra and elemental mapping of $\text{Li}_2\text{O}-(1.76)\text{MgO} - (0.08)\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 - \text{P}_2\text{O}_5$ ceramics sintered at 825 °C, showing the presence of AlPO_4 crystals.	64
Figure 4.7	SEM/EDS and elemental mapping of the LMAP ($x = 0.02$) ceramic with 20 wt% Ag.	65
Figure 4.8	Thermal expansion characteristics of LMAP ceramic sintered at 825 °C (a) $x = 0$, (b) $x = 0.02$, (c) $x = 0.04$, (d) $x = 0.06$ and (e) $x = 0.07$.	67-68
Figure 4.9	The variation of relative permittivity ϵ_r of LMAP ceramics with frequency.	71
Figure 5.1	Geometry of proposed antenna (a) 3D view and (b) side view.	76
Figure 5.2	(a) Substrate image, (b) slot on fabricated antenna, (c) top view and (d) bottom view of the fabricated antenna.	76
Figure 5.3	Simulated reflection coefficient – frequency characteristics of the proposed DS–CDRA for different slot length and width combinations.	78
Figure 5.4	Simulated and measured reflection coefficient – frequency characteristics of the proposed DS–CDRA for optimized slot dimensions.	79

Figure 5.5	Experimental set-up for -10 dB reflection coefficient – frequency measurement.	79
Figure 5.6	Experimental set-up for radiation characteristics measurement of DS-CDRA.	80
Figure 5.7	E- Field distributions on the upper surface of the DS-CDRA at (a) 9.49 GHz, (b) 9.65 GHz and (c) 9.98 GHz.	80
Figure 5.8	Radiation patterns of proposed DS-CDRA at (a) 9.07 GHz [E- plane], (b) 9.07 GHz [H- plane], (c) 9.36 GHz [E- plane], (d) 9.36 GHz [H- plane], (e) 9.76 GHz [E- plane] and (f) 9.76 GHz [H- plane].	82
Figure 5.9	Simulated and measured gain – frequency plot of proposed DS-CDRA.	83
Figure 5.10	3D gain plot of the DS-CDRA at different frequencies (a) 9.08 GHz, (b) 9.36GHz, (c)9.49 GHz, (d)9.57 GHz, (e) 9.77GHz and (f) 9.98 GHz.	84
Figure 6.1	Geometry of proposed composite TDRA (a) 3D view and (b) Top view.	91
Figure 6.2	Image of the fabricated composite TDRA (a) Triangular ceramic components, (b) 3D view, (c) Bottom side and (d) front side.	91
Figure 6.3	Antenna measurement setup in the anechoic chamber (a) Reference horn antenna and (b) Test antenna.	92
Figure 6.4	Variations of simulated reflection coefficient of 4-CTDRA versus frequency (a) for different values of antenna dimensions ‘ a ’ and ‘ b ’ keeping its dimension ‘ c ’ fixed at 11.258 mm and (b) for different probe heights (assuming $a = 3.464$ mm, $b = 7.794$ mm and $c = 11.258$ mm).	95
Figure 6.5	Variations of simulated reflection coefficient of 4-CTDRA versus frequency for different dielectric combinations $C1 - C10$ (as illustrated in Table III).	96
Figure 6.6	Variations of simulated and measured values of reflection coefficient of the proposed antenna vs. frequency.	96
Figure 6.7	Near field distribution of the proposed 4-CTDRA at (a) 7.82 GHz, (b) 9.30 GHz, (c) 12.00 GHz, (d) 13.50 GHz, (e) 14.00 GHz and (f) 14.79 GHz in different planes [Left and right side represents E – Field and H – field, respectively].	98-100

Figure 6.8	Radiation pattern of proposed 4–CTDRA at (a) 7.82 GHz [x–z plane], (b) 7.82 GHz [y–z plane], (c) 9.30 GHz [x–z plane], (d) 9.30 GHz [y–z plane], (e) 12.00 GHz [x–z plane], (f) 12.00 GHz [y–z plane], (g) 14.79 GHz [x–z plane] and (h) 14.79 GHz [y–z plane].	102
Figure 6.9	3D gain plot of proposed 4–CTDRA at (a) 7.82 GHz, (b) 9.30 GHz, (c) 12.00 GHz and (d) 14.79 GHz.	103
Figure 6.10	Variations of measured and simulated gain of the proposed 4–CTDRA versus frequency.	103
Figure 7.1	DTA curve of MBS glass added with different TiO ₂ concentrations (0 – 13 wt%).	111
Figure 7.2	Bulk density of MBS glass-ceramic.	112
Figure 7.3	Powder X– ray diffraction pattern of MBS quenched glass powder sample.	113
Figure 7.4	Powder X–ray diffraction patterns of the different MBS glass-ceramic powder samples with and without TiO ₂ addition after sintering at 900 °C.	113
Figure 7.5	SEM micrographs of MBS0Ti sample at magnification of (a) 10K and (b) 20 K.	114
Figure 7.6	SEM Micrographs of MBS7Ti sample at different magnifications (a)10K and (b) 20K.	114
Figure 7.7	SEM Micrographs of MBS glass-ceramic samples at 20K magnification (a) MBS0Ti, (b) MBS3Ti, (c) MBS7Ti, (d) MBS11Ti and (e) MBS13Ti.	115
Figure 7.8	The variation of percent thermal expansion of sintered MBS glass-ceramic samples with temperature.	117
Figure 7.9	Dielectric constant of sintered MBS glass-ceramic samples (a) as a function of temperature at 1 MHz and (b) as a function of frequency within the range 100 KHz – 1 MHz.	119
Figure 7.10	Variation of temperature coefficient of dielectric constant with temperature for MBS glass ceramic samples.	119
Figure 7.11	Variation of dielectric constant of MBS glass ceramic samples as a function of frequency.	120
Figure 8.1	Geometry of the proposed DS–RDRA (a) 3D view, (b) Top view and (c) cross-sectional view.	127

Figure 8.2	Simulated reflection coefficients – frequency characteristics of RDRA with different substrate materials.	128
Figure 8.3	E– field distribution of DS–RDRA on FR4 substrate at (a) 8.72 GHz, (b) 9.06 GHz and (c) 9.38 GHz.	130
Figure 8.4	E– field distribution of DS–RDRA on FR4C substrate at (a) 8.72 GHz, (b) 9.06 GHz and (c) 9.38 GHz.	130
Figure 8.5	E– field distribution of DS–RDRA on MBST substrate at (a) 8.65 GHz, (b) 8.99 GHz and (c) 9.33 GHz.	131
Figure 8.6	E– field distribution of DS–RDRA on MBSTC substrate at (a) 8.65 GHz, (b) 8.99 GHz and (c) 9.33 GHz.	131
Figure 8.7	Simulated radiation patterns of proposed dual segment RDRA on different uniform substrates (a) at 9.06 GHz (E– plane) on FR4 substrate, (b) at 9.06 GHz (H– plane) on FR4 substrate, (c) at 8.99 GHz (E– plane) on MBST substrate and (d) at 8.99 GHz (H– plane) on MBST substrate.	133
Figure 8.8	Simulated Radiation patterns of proposed dual segment RDRA on composite substrates (a) at 9.06 GHz (E– plane) on FR4C substrate, (b) at 9.06 GHz (H– plane) on FR4C substrate, (c) at 8.99 GHz (E– plane) on MBSTC substrate and (d) at 8.99 GHz (H– plane) on MBSTC substrate.	133
Figure 8.9	Simulated gain versus frequency characteristics of the proposed DS–RDRA for different substrate configurations.	134
Figure 8.10	3D gain plot of proposed DS–RDRA at its resonant frequency for different substrate configurations (a) FR4, (b) FR4C, (c) MBST and (d) MBSTC substrate.	134