

List of Figures

	Page No
Figure 1.1 Tissue attachment of Biomaterials	10
Figure 1.2 Medical field biomaterial	11
Figure 1.3 Biomaterial tissue attachment application	13
Figure 1.4 Bone remodelling cycle under normal conditions	15
Figure 1.5 Biodegradable materials and tissue regeneration interface for in-vivo, in-vitro application	18
Figure 1.6 Bioactive material response	19
Figure 1.7 Factors influencing the biocompatibility	20
Figure 1.8 Two-dimensional general structure of bioactive glass	27
Figure 1.9 Surface reaction stages	30
Figure 1.10 Structure of hydroxyapatite	31
Figure 1.11 Bioactive composites classification	35
Figure 1.12 Bioactive coating	37
Figure 2.1 Melting of bioglass	45
Figure 2.2 Flow chart preparation of bioglass.	46
Figure 2.3 Flow chart preparation of hydroxyapatite	46
Figure 2.4 Preparation of biocomposite by powder metallurgy method	48
Figure 2.5 DSC, TGA instrument	49
Figure 2.6 X-ray diffraction instrument	50
Figure 2.7 Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy	51
Figure 2.8 pH meter	52
Figure 2.9 SEM and EDS instrument	53
Figure 2.10 Density measurement instrument	53
Figure 2.11 UTM machine	54
Figure 3.1 Flow chart of hydroxyapatite preparation by the sol-gel route	56
Figure 3.2 DTA/TGA analysis of bioglass based biocomposites	58
Figure 3.3 X-ray diffraction of the prepared (BC1, BC2, BC3, BC4)	59

	composites	
Figure 3.4	SEM micrographs (a-d) of bioactive composites samples (BC1,BC2,BC3,BC4) which were soaked in SBF solution for 30 days	61
Figure 3.5	pH of different biocomposite (BC1,BC2,BC3,BC4)	62
Figure 3.6	Compressive strength (a) and Elastic modulus (b) as a function of time for bioglass biocomposites after immersion in simulated body fluid (SBF) in vitro test	64
Figure 3.7	Compressive strength and hardness of biocomposites (BC1, BC2, BC3, BC4)	65
Figure 3.8	Young's modulus and shear modulus of biocomposites (BC1, BC2, BC3, BC4)	66
Figure 3.9	Bulk modulus and poisson's ratio of biocomposites (BC1, BC2, BC3, BC4)	66
Figure 4.1	DTA/TGA traces of biocomposite	72
Figure 4.2	X-ray diffraction of the prepared BG/HA/TiO ₂ composites	73
Figure 4.3	The FTIR of BGHATi1, BGHATi2, BGHATi3 and BGHATi4 composite post immersion in SBF after 1,3,7,14,28 days	75
Figure 4.4	SEM (a-d) and EDAX (e-h) of the BG/HA/TiO ₂ composites for BGHATi1, BGHATi2, BGHATi3 and BGHATi4 composites post-immersion in SBF	78
Figure 4.5	pH behavior of biocomposite (BGHATi1, BGHATi2, BGHATi3, BGHATi4)	79
Figure 4.6	Variations of density in different HA and titania reinforced with bioglass composites	80
Figure 4.7	Variation of compressive strength and hardness depending on reinforcement content of pellet samples	81
Figure 4.8	(a) Compressive strength and (b) elastic modulus as a function of time for HA and TiO ₂ reinforcement bioglass biocomposites after immersion in simulated body fluid (SBF) in vitro test	82
Figure 4.9	Young's modulus and shear modulus of biocomposites (BGHATi1, BGHATi2, BGHATi3, BGHATi4)	83
Figure 4.10	Bulk modulus and poisson's ratio of biocomposites (BGHATi1, BGHATi2, BGHATi3, BGHATi4)	84
Figure 5.1	XRD pattern of biocomposite sample BHZ1, BHZ2, BHZ3 and BHZ4 after SBF treatment (1,3,7,14,21) days	90

Figure 5.2	FTIR of the biocomposite sample BHZ1, BHZ2, BHZ3 and BHZ4 after immersion in SBF treatment for (1,3,7,14,21) days	92
Figure 5.3	pH behaviour of the SBF after immersion of the biocomposite samples (BHZ1, BHZ2, BHZ3, BHZ4)	95
Figure 5.4	SEM of biocomposite samples after SBF and EDX of biocomposite samples	97
Figure 5.5	(a) Density and Vickers microhardness (b) Compressive strength (c) Fracture toughness of biocomposites (BHZ1, BHZ2, BHZ3 and BHZ4) sample	99
Figure 6.1	DTA/TGA of BGHAFeCo sample	106
Figure 6.2	XRD pattern of biocomposite sample BGHAFeCo1, BGHAFeCo2, BGHAFeCo3 and BGHAFeCo4 before and after SBF treatment (1,3,7,14,21) days	108
Figure 6.3	FTIR of the biocomposite sample BGHAFeCo1, BGHAFeCo2, BGHAFeCo3 and BGHAFeCo4 before and after immersion in SBF treatment for (1,3,7,14,21) days	111
Figure 6.4	(a) Room temperature M-H curve of BGHAFeCo sample. Inset shows an expanded view of data close to the origin. (b) M-T curves of BGHAFeCo recorded during heating and cooling cycles under an applied field of 1kOe. Inset shows the linear fits to χ^{-1} -T data of BGHAFeCo sample recorded above their T_C	113
Figure 6.5	pH behaviour of the SBF after immersion of the biocomposite samples	115
Figure 6.6	SEM of biocomposite samples after SBF (a-d) and EDX of biocomposite samples (e-h)	116
Figure 6.7	Compressive strength and density of biocomposites (BGHAFeCo1, BGHAFeCo2, BGHAFeCo3 and BGHAFeCo4) sample.	118
Figure 7.1	The thermal analysis (Thermogravimetric TG curve and Differential Scanning Calorimetry DSC curve) of BGHATiNb4 biocomposite	125
Figure 7.2	XRD analysis of BGHATiNb1, BGHATiNb2, BGHATiNb3, BGHATiNb4 biocomposite before and after immersion in SBF	127
Figure 7.3	FTIR Analysis of BGHATiNb1, BGHATiNb2, BGHATiNb3, BGHATiNb4 biocomposite after immersion in SBF	129
Figure 7.4	pH measurement of BGHATiNb1, BGHATiNb2, BGHATiNb3, BGHATiNb4 biocomposite sample before and after immersion in SBF	131

Figure 7.5	SEM analysis before (a) and after (b) immersion in SBF and EDS (c) of BGHATiNb1, BGHATiNb2, BGHATiNb3, BGHATiNb4 biocomposite sample	133
Figure 7.6	Atomic Force Microscope Analysis of BGHATiNb4 biocomposite sample	134
Figure 7.7	Compressive strength and Elastic modulus of BGHATiNb1, BGHATiNb2, BGHATiNb3 and BGHATiNb4 biocomposite	135
Figure 7.8	Compressive strength of BC(1,4), BGHATi(1,4), BHZ(1,4), BGHAFcCo (1,4) and BGHATiNb(1,4) biocomposites.	137