

Asymptotics and sign patterns for coefficients in expansions of Habiro elements

Ankush Goswami¹ · Abhash Kumar Jha² · Byungchan Kim³ · Robert Osburn⁴

Received: 14 January 2022 / Accepted: 5 June 2023 / Published online: 10 July 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

We prove asymptotics and study sign patterns for coefficients in expansions of elements in the Habiro ring which satisfy a strange identity. As an application, we prove asymptotics and discuss positivity for the generalized Fishburn numbers which arise from the Kontsevich–Zagier series associated to the colored Jones polynomial for a family of torus knots. This extends Zagier's result on asymptotics for the Fishburn numbers.

Keywords Asymptotics · Habiro ring · Strange identities · Generalized Fishburn numbers

Mathematics Subject Classification 05A16 · 13B35 · 57K16 · 11B83

1 Introduction

The expression

$$F(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (q)_n \tag{1.1}$$

Robert Osburn robert.osburn@ucd.ie

> Ankush Goswami ankush.goswami@utrgv.edu; ankushgoswami3@gmail.com

Abhash Kumar Jha abhash.mat@iitbhu.ac.in; abhashkumarjha@gmail.com

Byungchan Kim bkim4@seoultech.ac.kr

- ¹ School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, 1201 W. University Dr., Edinburg, TX 78539, USA
- ² Department of Mathematical Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University), Varanasi 221005, India
- ³ School of Natural Sciences, Seoul National University of Science and Technology, 232 Gongneung-ro, Nowon-gu, Seoul 01811, Republic of Korea
- ⁴ School of Mathematics and Statistics, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

first occurred in a talk entitled "Analytic continuation of Feynman integrals" by Kontsevich as part of the Seminar on Algebra, Geometry and Physics at MPIM Bonn on October 14, 1997. Here and throughout,

$$(a)_n = (a;q)_n := \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - aq^{k-1})$$

is the standard q-hypergeometric notation. Note that (1.1) does not converge on any open subset of \mathbb{C} , but is well-defined when q is a root of unity (where it is finite) and when q is replaced by 1 - q. Moreover, F(q) is an element of the Habiro ring [13]

$$\mathcal{H} := \lim_{\stackrel{\leftarrow}{n}} \mathbb{Z}[q]/\langle (q)_n \rangle.$$

Motivated by Kontsevich's lecture and Stoimenow's work on regular linearized chord diagrams [16], Zagier determined the asymptotic behavior for the Fishburn numbers $\xi(n)$ which are the coefficients in the formal power series expansion

$$F(1-q) =: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi(n)q^n = 1 + q + 2q^2 + 5q^3 + 15q^4 + 53q^5 + \cdots$$

Namely, as $n \to \infty$ [19, Theorem 4]

$$\xi(n) \sim \left(\frac{6}{\pi^2}\right)^n n! \sqrt{n} \left(C_0 + \frac{C_1}{n} + \cdots\right) \tag{1.2}$$

where $C_0 = \frac{12\sqrt{3}}{\pi^{\frac{5}{2}}}e^{\frac{\pi^2}{12}}$, $C_1 = C_0\left(\frac{3}{8} - \frac{17\pi^2}{144} + \frac{\pi^4}{432}\right)$ and all C_i are effectively computable constants. A key step in proving (1.2) is the "strange identity"

$$F(q)^{"} = " - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \left(\frac{12}{n}\right) q^{\frac{n^2 - 1}{24}}$$
(1.3)

where "=" means that the two sides agree to all orders at every root of unity (for further details, see [19, Sections 2 and 5]) and $\left(\frac{12}{*}\right)$ is the quadratic character of conductor 12. The idea is to first express $\xi(n)$ in terms of the Taylor series coefficients of $F(e^{-t})$, then employ (1.3) to, ultimately, obtain estimates for these coefficients. These estimates, in turn, lead to (1.2). "Identities" such as (1.3) are not only important in proving asymptotics, but also play a crucial role in obtaining congruences for $\xi(n)$ modulo prime powers [2] and quantum modularity for F(q) [20]. For developments in these latter two directions, see [1, 2, 5, 8–12, 17]. The positivity of the Fishburn numbers $\xi(n)$ is a consequence of any of its numerous combinatorial interpretations [18, A022493]. The purpose of this paper is to prove asymptotics and study sign patterns for coefficients in expansions of elements in \mathcal{H} which satisfy a general type of strange identity. Before stating our main result, we introduce some notation.

Let $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function of period $M \ge 2$. For integers $a \ge 0$ and b > 0, consider the partial theta series

$$\theta_{a,b,f}^{(\nu)}(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{\nu} f(n) q^{\frac{n^2 - a}{b}}$$

where $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$. Suppose there exists

$$F_f(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n,f}(q)(q)_n \in \mathcal{H}$$

where $A_{n,f}(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ such that

$$F_f(q)^{"} = {}^{"}\theta_{a,b,f}^{(\nu)}(q).$$
(1.4)

We write

$$F_f(1-q) =: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_f(n) q^n$$

and define

$$G_{f}^{(\nu)}(k) := \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{m \pmod{M}} f(m) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi mk}{M}\right) & \text{if } \nu = 0, \\ \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{m \pmod{M}} f(m) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi mk}{M}\right) & \text{if } \nu = 1. \end{cases}$$
(1.5)

Assume there exists a smallest positive integer k_{ν} such that $G_{f}^{(\nu)}(k_{\nu}) \neq 0$. Next, we define

$$M_{f,\nu} := 2 \cdot \frac{\#\{0 \le m \le M - 1 : f(m) \ne 0\}}{|G_f^{(\nu)}(k_\nu)|\sqrt{M}} \max_{0 \le m \le M - 1} |f(m)|$$
(1.6)

and let $N_{f,\nu}^{(\max)} \ge 0$ be the smallest integer such that

$$M_{f,\nu}\left(\zeta(2n+\nu+1)-1\right) < 1 \tag{1.7}$$

for $n \ge N_{f,\nu}^{(\max)}$ where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function. Observe that $N_{f,\nu}^{(\max)}$ exists since $\zeta(2n+\nu+1)-1 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and $M_{f,\nu}$ is independent of *n*. Finally, let $B_k(x)$ denote the *k*th Bernoulli polynomial for an integer $k \ge 0$. Our main result is now the following.

Theorem 1.1 Assume (1.4) is true. Then as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\xi_f(n) \sim (-1)^{\nu} \left(\frac{M}{2\pi k_{\nu}}\right)^{2n+\nu+1} \frac{G_f^{(\nu)}(k_{\nu})2^{2n+\nu}n!n^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}}{b^n\sqrt{\pi M}} e^{\frac{bk_{\nu}^2\pi^2}{2M^2}}.$$
 (1.8)

Moreover, there exists an integer $0 \le N_{f,v} \le N_{f,v}^{(max)}$ such that if

$$(-1)^{n+1} \sum_{m=1}^{M} f(m) B_{2n+\nu+1}\left(\frac{m}{M}\right)$$
(1.9)

has the same sign as $(-1)^{\nu} G_f^{(\nu)}(k_{\nu})$ for all $0 \le n < N_{f,\nu}$, then for all non-negative integers $\ell, \xi_f(\ell)$ has the same sign as $(-1)^{\nu} G_f^{(\nu)}(k_{\nu})$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Sect. 3, we give some applications, including asymptotics and positivity statements for the generalized Fishburn numbers $\xi_t(n)$ which arise from the Kontsevich–Zagier series $\mathscr{F}_t(q)$ associated to

the colored Jones polynomial for the family of torus knots $T(3, 2^t)$, $t \ge 2$ [8]. This extends (1.2) and gives an alternative proof of the positivity of the Fishburn numbers $\xi(n)$ (see Corollary 3.1 and Remark 3.2). In Sect. 4, we comment on asymptotics for other expansions of $F_f(q)$ and then conclude with conjectures concerning the positivity of coefficients for these expansions in three situations: $\mathscr{F}_t(q)$, the Kontsevich–Zagier series associated to the colored Jones polynomial for the family of torus knots T(2, 2m + 1), $m \ge 1$ and a "Habiro-type" q-series with origins in Ramanujan's lost notebook [3].

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1 We follow the strategy of [19]. To find the asymptotics of $\xi_f(n)$, we first consider the expansions

$$F_f(e^{-t}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n,f}}{n!} t^n$$
(2.1)

and

$$e^{\frac{-ta}{b}}F_{f}(e^{-t}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{n,f}}{n!} \left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^{n}.$$
 (2.2)

Let

$$\mathcal{P}_{a,b,f}^{(\nu)}(q) := q^{\frac{a}{b}} \theta_{a,b,f}^{(\nu)}(q)$$

and define the L-function

$$L(s, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s}.$$

The Mellin transform of $\mathcal{P}_{a,b,f}^{(\nu)}(e^{-t})$ is

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{s-1} \mathcal{P}_{a,b,f}^{(\nu)}(e^{-t}) dt = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{\nu} f(n) \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{s-1} e^{\frac{-tn^{2}}{b}} dt$$
$$= b^{s} \Gamma(s) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^{2s-\nu}}$$
$$= b^{s} \Gamma(s) L(2s-\nu, f)$$
(2.3)

where $\Gamma(s)$ is the usual Gamma function. Applying Mellin inversion to (2.3), we obtain

$$\mathcal{P}_{a,b,f}^{(\nu)}(e^{-t}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\text{Re}(s)=c} b^s \Gamma(s) L(2s-\nu, f) \frac{ds}{t^s}$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(s) = c$ is the abscissa of absolute convergence of $\frac{b^s \Gamma(s) L(2s-\nu, f)}{t^s}$. It is well-known that L(s, f) can be analytically continued to the whole complex plane except for a possible simple pole at s = 1 with residue

$$R_{f,M} := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m \pmod{M}} f(m).$$

By a standard complex analytic computation, we have

$$\mathcal{P}_{a,b,f}^{(\nu)}(e^{-t}) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n L(-2n-\nu, f)}{b^n n!} t^n + \frac{b^{\frac{\nu+1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right) R_{f,M}}{t^{\frac{\nu+1}{2}}}$$

as $t \to 0^+$. By (1.4) and (2.2), we compare coefficients to obtain

$$C_{n,f} = (-1)^n L(-2n - \nu, f).$$
(2.4)

Also, it is clear from (1.4) and (2.2) that $R_{f,M} = 0$. Via [15, Eqn. (24)] or [6, Chapter 12], we have

$$L(-2n-\nu, f) = (-1)^{n+\nu} \left(\frac{M}{2\pi}\right)^{2n+\nu+1} \frac{(2n+\nu)!}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{G_f^{(\nu)}(k)}{k^{2n+\nu+1}}.$$
 (2.5)

Let $k_{\nu} \geq 1$ be the smallest integer such that $G_{f}^{(\nu)}(k_{\nu}) \neq 0$. Then

$$L(-2n-\nu, f) = (-1)^{n+\nu} \left(\frac{M}{2\pi}\right)^{2n+\nu+1} \frac{G_f^{(\nu)}(k_\nu)(2n+\nu)!}{k_\nu^{2n+\nu+1}\sqrt{M}} \left(1 + O\left(\left(\frac{k_\nu}{k_\nu+1}\right)^{2n-\varepsilon}\right)\right)$$
(2.6)

for any $\varepsilon > 0.^1$ Using (2.4) and (2.6), it follows

$$C_{n,f} = (-1)^{\nu} \left(\frac{M}{2\pi}\right)^{2n+\nu+1} \frac{G_f^{(\nu)}(k_{\nu})(2n+\nu)!}{k_{\nu}^{2n+\nu+1}\sqrt{M}} \left(1 + O\left(\left(\frac{k_{\nu}}{k_{\nu}+1}\right)^{2n-\varepsilon}\right)\right). \quad (2.7)$$

From (2.1), we have

$$B_{n,f} = \frac{1}{b^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} C_{k,f} = \frac{1}{b^n} \left(C_{n,f} + naC_{n-1,f} + \frac{n(n-1)a^2}{2} C_{n-2,f} + \cdots \right).$$
(2.8)

From (2.7) and (2.8), we deduce

$$B_{n,f} = \frac{C_{n,f}}{b^n} \left(1 + \frac{na \left(\frac{2\pi k_{\nu}}{M}\right)^2}{(2n+\nu-1)(2n+\nu)} + \frac{n(n-1)a^2 \left(\frac{2\pi k_{\nu}}{M}\right)^4}{2(2n+\nu-3)(2n+\nu-2)(2n+\nu-1)(2n+\nu)} + \cdots \right).$$
(2.9)

An application of Stirling's formula

$$n! = \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{12n} + \frac{1}{288n^2} + \cdots\right)$$
(2.10)

¹ We can take $\varepsilon = 0$ when $\nu = 1$.

implies

$$\frac{(2n+\nu)!}{n!^2} = \frac{2^{2n+\nu}n^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(1 + \frac{(-1)^{\nu+1}(2\nu+1)}{8n} + \frac{(-1)^{\nu}(6\nu+1)}{128n^2} + \cdots \right).$$
(2.11)

Combining (2.7), (2.9) and (2.11) yields

$$B_{n,f} = (-1)^{\nu} \left(\frac{M}{2\pi k_{\nu}}\right)^{2n+\nu+1} \frac{G_{f}^{(\nu)}(k_{\nu})2^{2n+\nu}n!^{2}n^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}}{b^{n}\sqrt{\pi M}} \left(1 + O\left(\left(\frac{k_{\nu}}{k_{\nu}+1}\right)^{2n-\varepsilon}\right)\right)$$
$$\times \left(1 + \frac{(-1)^{\nu+1}(2\nu+1)}{8n} + \frac{(-1)^{\nu}(6\nu+1)}{128n^{2}} + \cdots\right) \left(1 + \frac{na\left(\frac{2\pi k_{\nu}}{M}\right)^{2}}{(2n+\nu-1)(2n+\nu)} + \frac{n(n-1)a^{2}\left(\frac{2\pi k_{\nu}}{M}\right)^{4}}{2(2n+\nu-3)(2n+\nu-2)(2n+\nu-1)(2n+\nu)} + \cdots\right)$$

and so

$$B_{n,f} \sim (-1)^{\nu} \left(\frac{M}{2\pi k_{\nu}}\right)^{2n+\nu+1} \frac{G_{f}^{(\nu)}(k_{\nu})2^{2n+\nu}n!^{2}n^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}}{b^{n}\sqrt{\pi M}} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_{1,f,\nu}}{n} + \frac{\alpha_{2,f,\nu}}{n^{2}} + \cdots\right)$$
(2.12)

where $\alpha_{1,f,\nu} := \frac{a\left(\frac{2\pi k_{\nu}}{M}\right)^2}{4} + \frac{(-1)^{\nu+1}(2\nu+1)}{8}$ and all the remaining constants $\alpha_{i,f,\nu}$ are effectively computable. Now, we recall

$$\frac{t^m}{m!} = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} S_{n,m} \frac{(1-e^{-t})^n}{n!}$$
(2.13)

where $S_{n,m}$ denotes the Stirling numbers of the first kind. From (2.1) and (2.13), we interchange sums

$$F_f(e^{-t}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_{m,f} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} S_{n,m} \frac{(1-e^{-t})^n}{n!} = B_{0,f} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-e^{-t})^n}{n!} \sum_{m=1}^n S_{n,m} B_{m,f}$$

and thus

$$\xi_f(n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} S_{n,n-m} B_{n-m,f}.$$
(2.14)

Next, from $S_{n,n} = 1$ and the recursion $S_{n+1,m} = S_{n,m-1} + nS_{n,m}$ we have

$$S_{n,n-m} = \frac{n^{2m}}{2^m m!} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_1(m)}{n} + \frac{\beta_2(m)}{n^2} + \dots \right)$$
(2.15)

with computable coefficients $\beta_1(m) = \frac{2m^2 + m}{3}$, $\beta_2(m), \dots$ From (2.12), it follows

$$\frac{B_{n-m,f}}{B_{n,f}} = \left(\frac{bk_{\nu}^2 \pi^2}{M^2}\right)^m \left(\frac{(n-m)!}{n!}\right)^2 \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)$$
(2.16)

and by (2.10)

$$\left(\frac{(n-m)!}{n!}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{n^{2m}} \left(1 + \frac{m(m-1)}{n} + \frac{3m^4 - 4m^3 + m}{6n^2} + \cdots\right).$$
 (2.17)

Finally, we combine (2.14)–(2.17) to obtain

$$\begin{split} \xi_f(n) &= \frac{B_{n,f}}{n!} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{bk_{\nu}^2 \pi^2}{2M^2} \right)^m \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right) \\ &\times \left(1 - \frac{\beta_1(m)}{n} + \frac{\beta_2(m)}{n^2} + \cdots \right) \left(1 + \frac{m(m-1)}{n} + \frac{3m^4 - 4m^3 + m}{6n^2} + \cdots \right) \\ &= \frac{B_{n,f}}{n!} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{bk_{\nu}^2 \pi^2}{2M^2} \right)^m + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{B_{n,f}}{n!} e^{\frac{bk_{\nu}^2 \pi^2}{2M^2}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right). \end{split}$$

The result (1.8) now follows from (2.12). Now for fixed f, we have from (2.4) and (2.5)

$$C_{n,f} = (-1)^{\nu} G_{f}^{(\nu)}(k_{\nu}) \left(\frac{M}{2\pi}\right)^{2n+\nu+1} \frac{(2n+\nu)!}{k_{\nu}^{2n+\nu+1}\sqrt{M}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{G_{f}^{(\nu)}(k_{\nu})} \sum_{k=k_{\nu}+1}^{\infty} \frac{G_{f}^{(\nu)}(k)}{k^{2n+\nu+1}}\right).$$
(2.18)

Next, (1.5) implies

$$\left|\frac{G_f^{(\nu)}(k)}{G_f^{(\nu)}(k_\nu)}\right| \le M_{f,\nu}$$

and this yields

$$\left|\frac{1}{G_{f}^{(\nu)}(k_{\nu})}\sum_{k=k_{\nu}+1}^{\infty}\frac{G_{f}^{(\nu)}(k)}{k^{2n+\nu+1}}\right| \le M_{f,\nu}\left(\zeta(2n+\nu+1)-1\right) < 1$$
(2.19)

for $n \ge N_{f,\nu}^{(\max)}$ where $N_{f,\nu}^{(\max)}$ is as in (1.7). Clearly, we can choose $0 \le N_{f,\nu} \le N_{f,\nu}^{(\max)}$ satisfying (2.19) for $n \ge N_{f,\nu}$. For such an $N_{f,\nu}$, it now follows from (2.18) that $C_{n,f}$ and $(-1)^{\nu} G_f^{(\nu)}(k_{\nu})$ have the same sign for all $n \ge N_{f,\nu}$. Next, we note using (2.4) and [2, Lemma 3.2] that

$$C_{n,f} = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{M^{2n+\nu}}{2n+\nu+1} \sum_{m=1}^{M} f(m) B_{2n+\nu+1} \left(\frac{m}{M}\right)$$
(2.20)

where for $k \ge 0$, $B_k(x)$ denotes the *k*th Bernoulli polynomial. Hence (2.20) implies that if $C_{n,f}$, or equivalently (1.9), has the same sign as $(-1)^{\nu}G_f^{(\nu)}(k_{\nu})$ for $0 \le n < N_{f,\nu}$, then (2.8) and (2.14) imply that $\xi_f(\ell)$ has the same sign as $(-1)^{\nu}G_f^{(\nu)}(k_{\nu})$ for all $\ell \ge 0$.

3 Examples

In this section, we illustrate Theorem 1.1 with three examples.

(1) 1

3.1 Kontsevich–Zagier series for torus knots T(3, 2^t)

For $t \ge 2$, consider the Kontsevich–Zagier series associated to the family of torus knots $T(3, 2^t)$

$$\mathscr{F}_{t}(q) = (-1)^{h''(t)} q^{-h'(t)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (q)_{n} \sum_{\substack{3 \sum_{\ell=1}^{m(t)-1} j_{\ell} \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{m(t)}} (-1)^{\sum_{\ell=1}^{m(t)-1} j_{\ell}} q^{\frac{-a(t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{m(t)-1} j_{\ell} \ell}{m(t)} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{m(t)-1} {\binom{j_{\ell}}{2}} \times \sum_{k=0}^{m(t)-1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{m(t)-1} \prod_{\ell=1}^{n(t)-1} \left[n + I(\ell \leq k) \right]$$

$$(3.1)$$

where

$$h''(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^t - 1}{3} & \text{if } t \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{2^t - 2}{3} & \text{if } t \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad h'(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^t - 4}{3} & \text{if } t \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{2^t - 5}{3} & \text{if } t \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad a(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{t-1} + 1}{3} & \text{if } t \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{2^t + 1}{3} & \text{if } t \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

 $m(t) = 2^{t-1}$, I(*) is the characteristic function and

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_{n-k}(q)_k}$$

is the *q*-binomial coefficient.² The expression $\mathscr{F}_t(q)$ matches the *N*th colored Jones polynomial for $T(3, 2^t)$ at a root of unity $q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}$, converges in a similar manner as F(q) and is an element of \mathcal{H} (see [8] for further details). The *generalized Fishburn numbers* $\xi_t(n)$ are defined by

$$\mathscr{F}_t(1-q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_t(n) q^n.$$

An application of Theorem 1.1 is the following. Note that (1.2) follows after taking t = 1 and simplifying (for brevity, we only state the leading term).

Corollary 3.1 Let $t \ge 1$. As $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\xi_t(n) \sim \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2^t})}{2^t \sqrt{3\pi}} \left(\frac{3 \cdot 2^t}{\pi}\right)^{2n+2} \frac{2^{2n+1} n! \sqrt{n}}{(3 \cdot 2^{t+2})^n} e^{\frac{\pi^2}{3 \cdot 2^{t+1}}}.$$
(3.2)

Moreover, let $N_t \ge 0$ be the smallest integer such that

$$\zeta(2n+2) < \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2^t}\right) + 1 \tag{3.3}$$

for $n \geq N_t$. If

$$(-1)^{n} \left[B_{2n+2} \left(\frac{2^{t+1} - 3}{3 \cdot 2^{t+1}} \right) - B_{2n+2} \left(\frac{2^{t+1} + 3}{3 \cdot 2^{t+1}} \right) \right] \ge 0$$
(3.4)

for all $0 \le n < N_t$, then $\xi_t(\ell) > 0$ for all $\ell \ge 0$ and $t \ge 1$.

Proof The Kontsevich–Zagier series $\mathscr{F}_t(q)$ satisfies the strange identity [8, Proposition 2.4]³

$$\mathscr{F}_{t}(q)^{"} = "\theta^{(1)}_{(2^{t+1}-3)^{2}, 3 \cdot 2^{t+2}, \chi_{t}}(q)$$
(3.5)

² For t = 1, one may define the sum over the j_{ℓ} to be 1 in (3.1) to recover (1.1).

³ Taking t = 1 in (3.5) and (3.6) recovers (1.3).

where

$$\chi_t(n) := \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 2^{t+1} - 3, 3 + 2^{t+2} \pmod{3 \cdot 2^{t+1}}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 2^{t+1} + 3, 2^{t+2} - 3 \pmod{3 \cdot 2^{t+1}}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(3.6)

Note that χ_t is an even function with period $M = 3 \cdot 2^{t+1}$. Next, we claim that $k_1 = 1$. To see this, observe that (1.5) and (3.6) yield

$$G_{\chi_{t}}^{(1)}(1) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3 \cdot 2^{t+1}}} \left\{ \cos\left(\frac{2\pi (2^{t+1} - 3)}{3 \cdot 2^{t+1}}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{2\pi (3 + 2^{t+2})}{3 \cdot 2^{t+1}}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{2\pi (2^{t+2} - 3)}{3 \cdot 2^{t+1}}\right) \right\}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{t-1}}} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2^{t}}\right)$$
(3.7)

which is non-zero for any $t \ge 1$. By Theorem 1.1, (3.5) and (3.7), (3.2) follows. To deduce the positivity statement for $\xi_t(n)$, we first note using (1.6) and (3.7) that

$$M_{\chi_{t},1} = \frac{8}{\sqrt{3 \cdot 2^{t+1}}} \left| G_{\chi_{t}}^{(1)}(k_{\nu}) \right| = \frac{4}{\sqrt{3} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2^{t}}\right)}.$$

Thus, (1.7) implies that $N_t^{(\max)} := N_{\chi_t,1}^{(\max)} \ge 0$ is the smallest integer satisfying

$$\zeta(2n+2) < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2^t}\right) + 1$$

for $n \ge N_t^{(\max)}$. In fact,

$$\left| G_{\chi_t}^{(1)}(k) \right| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{t-1}}}$$

and thus we obtain using (3.7)

$$\left|\frac{1}{G_{\chi_{t}}^{(1)}(1)}\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{G_{\chi_{t}}^{(1)}(k)}{k^{2n+2}}\right| \leq \frac{\zeta(2n+2)-1}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2^{t}}\right)} < 1$$

for $n \ge N_t$ with $0 \le N_t \le N_t^{(max)}$. Using Theorem 1.1 and the fact that

$$B_k(x) = (-1)^k B_k(1-x)$$
(3.8)

for $k \ge 0$, it now follows that for all non-negative integers ℓ , $\xi_t(\ell) > 0$ if (3.4) is non-negative for $0 \le n < N_t$.

Remark 3.2 Using Corollary 3.1, we verify (3.4) for $0 \le n < N_t$ to confirm that $\xi_t(\ell) > 0$ for all $\ell \ge 0$ and $1 \le t \le 500$. In particular, this shows that $\xi(\ell) > 0$ for all $\ell \ge 0$. In Table 1, we list values of N_t for $1 \le t \le 10$.

Table 1 List of values of N_t for $1 \le t \le 10$	t	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	N _t	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	3	3	4

3.2 Kontsevich–Zagier series for torus knots T(2, 2m + 1)

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For $0 \le \ell \le m - 1$, define the Kontsevich–Zagier series for the torus knot T(2, 2m + 1) as follows:

$$X_m^{(\ell)}(q) := \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m=0}^{\infty} (q)_{k_m} q^{k_1^2 + \dots + k_{m-1}^2 + k_{\ell+1} + \dots + k_{m-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \begin{bmatrix} k_{i+1} + \delta_{i,\ell} \\ k_i \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\delta_{i,\ell}$ is the characteristic function. The expression $X_m^{(\ell)}(q)$ matches the *N*th colored Jones polynomial for T(2, 2m + 1) when $\ell = 0$ and $q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}$ and is an element of \mathcal{H} . Write

$$X_m^{(\ell)}(1-q) =: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_{\ell,m}(n) q^n$$

Another application of Theorem 1.1 is the following. Observe that (1.2) also follows by choosing m = 1 and $\ell = 0$ and simplifying as $X_1^{(0)}(q) = F(q)$.

Corollary 3.3 Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \le \ell \le m - 1$. As $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\xi_{\ell,m}(n) \sim \sin\left(\frac{\pi(\ell+1)}{2m+1}\right) \left(\frac{2m+1}{\pi^2}\right)^{n+1} \frac{2^{n+3}n!\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{\frac{\pi^2}{8m+4}}.$$
 (3.9)

Moreover, let $N_{m,\ell} \ge 0$ be the smallest integer such that

$$\zeta(2n+2) < \sin\left(\frac{\pi(\ell+1)}{2m+1}\right) + 1$$
 (3.10)

for $n \geq N_{m,\ell}$. If

$$(-1)^{n} \left[B_{2n+2} \left(\frac{2m - 2\ell - 1}{8m + 4} \right) - B_{2n+2} \left(\frac{2m + 2\ell + 3}{8m + 4} \right) \right] \ge 0$$
(3.11)

for all $0 \le n < N_{m,\ell}$, then $\xi_{\ell,m}(k) > 0$ for all $k \ge 0$ and $0 \le \ell \le m - 1$.

Proof Hikami [14, Eqn. (15)] established the strange identity

$$X_m^{(\ell)}(q)^{"} = {}^{"}\theta_{(2m-2\ell-1)^2,8(2m+1),\chi_m^{(\ell)}}^{(1)}(q)$$
(3.12)

where

$$\chi_m^{(\ell)}(n) := \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 2m - 2\ell - 1, 6m + 2\ell + 5 \pmod{8m + 4}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 2m + 2\ell + 3, 6m - 2\ell + 1 \pmod{8m + 4}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(3.13)

Note that $\chi_m^{(\ell)}(n)$ is an even function with period M = 8 m + 4. Next, we claim that $k_1 = 1$. To see this, observe that (1.5) and (3.13) yield

$$G_{\chi_m^{(\ell)}}^{(1)}(1) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8m+4}} \left\{ \cos\left(\frac{2\pi(2m-2\ell-1)}{8m+4}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{2\pi(6m+2\ell+5)}{8m+4}\right) \right\}$$

D Springer

Table 2 List of values of $N_{m,\ell}$ for $1 \le m \le 5$ and	т	1	2		3			4				5				_
$0 \le \ell \le m-1$	l	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3	4
	$N_{m,\ell}$	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0

$$-\cos\left(\frac{2\pi(2m+2\ell+3)}{8m+4}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{2\pi(6m-2\ell+1)}{8m+4}\right) \bigg\}$$
$$= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{2m+1}}\sin\left(\frac{\pi(\ell+1)}{2m+1}\right)$$
(3.14)

which is non-zero for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \le \ell \le m - 1$. By Theorem 1.1, (3.12) and (3.14), (3.9) follows. To deduce the positivity statement for $\xi_{\ell,m}(n)$, we first note using (1.6) and (3.14) that

$$M_{\chi_m^{(\ell)},1} = \frac{8}{\sqrt{8m+4}} \left| G_{\chi_m^{(\ell)}}^{(1)}(k_{\nu}) \right| = \frac{2}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi(\ell+1)}{2m+1}\right)}.$$

Thus, (1.7) implies that $N_{m,\ell}^{(\max)} := N_{\chi_{8m+4}^{(\ell)},1}^{(\max)} \ge 0$ is the smallest integer satisfying

$$\zeta(2n+2) < \frac{1}{2}\sin\left(\frac{\pi(\ell+1)}{2m+1}\right) + 1 \tag{3.15}$$

for $n \ge N_{m,\ell}^{(\max)}$. In fact,

$$\left|G_{\chi_m^{(\ell)}}^{(1)}(k)\right| \le \frac{2}{\sqrt{2m+1}}$$

and thus we obtain using (3.14)

$$\left|\frac{1}{G_{\chi_m^{(\ell)}}^{(1)}(1)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{G_{\chi_m^{(\ell)}}^{(1)}(k)}{k^{2n+2}}\right| \le \frac{\zeta(2n+2)-1}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi(\ell+1)}{2m+1}\right)} < 1$$

for $n \ge N_{m,\ell}$ with $0 \le N_{m,\ell} \le N_{m,\ell}^{(\max)}$. Using Theorem 1.1 and (3.8), it now follows that for all non-negative integers $k, \xi_{\ell,m}(k) > 0$ if (3.11) is non-negative for $0 \le n < N_{m,\ell}$.

Remark 3.4 Using Corollary 3.3, we verify (3.11) for $0 \le n < N_{m,\ell}$ to confirm that $\xi_{\ell,m}(k) > 0$ for all $k \ge 0, 1 \le m \le 500$ and $0 \le \ell \le m - 1$. In Table 2, we list values of $N_{m,\ell}$ for $1 \le m \le 5$ and $0 \le \ell \le m - 1$.

Remark 3.5 In fact, we can determine an infinite number of *m* and $0 \le \ell \le m - 1$ such that $\xi_{\ell,m}(k)$ is positive for every $k \ge 0$. Let us put $\ell = cm + d$. Then

(1) It turns out that in order for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, c and d must be rational numbers in their reduced forms such that $c = \frac{p_1}{q_1}$ and $d = \frac{p_2}{q_1}$ so that

$$p_1 m \equiv -p_2 \pmod{q_1}.\tag{3.16}$$

(2) Let m_0 be the smallest non-negative integer satisfying the congruence in (3.16). Then with the choices of *c* and *d* as in (1) and using (3.10) with $N_{m,\ell} = 0$, we have

$$\max\left(0, \frac{2m_0 - 3}{4}\right) \le cm_0 + d \le m_0 - 1, \text{ and } \frac{1}{2} \le c \le 1.$$
 (3.17)

Equations (3.16) and (3.17) can now be used to determine an infinite family of *m* and $0 \le \ell \le m - 1$ such that $\xi_{\ell,m}(k) > 0$ for all $k \ge 0$ and $m \ge 1$. For example, let us choose c = 1 ($p_1 = 1$, $q_1 = 1$). Then (3.16) and (3.17) force $m_0 = 1$ and d = -1. Thus, $\xi_{m-1,m}(k) > 0$ for all *k*. Similarly, if we choose $c = \frac{1}{2}$ ($p_1 = 1$, $q_1 = 2$), then (3.16) implies that $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. This combined with (3.17) force $m_0 = 1$ and $d = -\frac{1}{2}$ so that we have $\xi_{\frac{m-1}{2},m}(k) > 0$ for all $k \ge 0$ and integers $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

3.3 An example with v = 0

For $k \ge 1$, let $\mathscr{G}_k(q)$ denote the *q*-series

$$\mathscr{G}_{k}(q) := \sum_{n_{k} \ge n_{k-1} \ge \dots \ge n_{1} \ge 0} q^{n_{k} + 2n_{k-1}^{2} + n_{k-1} + \dots + 2n_{1}^{2} + 2n_{1}} (q; q^{2})_{n_{k}} \begin{bmatrix} n_{k} \\ n_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}_{q^{2}} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} n_{2} \\ n_{1} \end{bmatrix}_{q^{2}}$$
(3.18)

and write

$$\mathscr{G}_k(1-q) =: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_{\mathscr{G}_k}(n) q^n.$$

The k = 1 case of (3.18) is of substantial historical and modern importance as it appears in Ramanujan's lost notebook (e.g., see [3, Sect. 5], [4, Entry 9.5.2] or [7, page 419]).

Corollary 3.6 As $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\xi_{\mathscr{G}_{k}}(n) \sim \frac{\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2(2k+1)}\right) 2^{2n+2} n!}{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{2k+1}{\pi^{2}}\right)^{n} e^{\frac{\pi^{2}}{8(2k+1)}}.$$
(3.19)

Moreover, $\xi_{\mathscr{G}_k}(n) > 0$ for all $n \ge 0$ and $k \ge 1$.

Proof It was shown in [2, Example 5.2] that

$$\mathscr{G}_{k}(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{k}(n) q^{\frac{n^{2}-k^{2}}{2k+1}}$$
(3.20)

where

$$\chi_k(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & n \equiv k, \ k+1 \pmod{4k+2}, \\ -1 & n \equiv -k, \ -k-1 \pmod{4k+2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(3.21)

Observe that (3.20) is not a strange identity but an actual identity valid for |q| < 1 and every odd order root of unity q (see [2, Example 3.2]). Although $\mathscr{G}_k(q) \notin \mathcal{H}$, we note that when $q = e^{-t}$ with $t \to 0^+$, the expression $(q; q^2)_{n_k}$ in the right-hand side of (3.18) will have an asymptotic expansion starting with t^{n_k} . Hence, the expansions (2.1) and (2.2) for this q-series as $t \to 0^+$ are still valid and we can apply Theorem 1.1. First, we have that $\chi_k(n)$ is an odd

function with period M = 4k + 2. Next, we claim that $k_0 = 1$. To see this, observe that for any $\ell \ge 1$, (1.5) and (3.21) yield

$$G_{\chi_k}^{(0)}(\ell) = \frac{4}{\sqrt{4k+2}} \left[\sin\left(\frac{\pi k\ell}{2k+1}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{\pi (k+1)\ell}{2k+1}\right) \right]$$
$$= \frac{8}{\sqrt{4k+2}} \sin\left(\frac{\pi \ell}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi \ell}{2(2k+1)}\right)$$
(3.22)

and thus

$$G_{\chi k}^{(0)}(1) = \frac{8}{\sqrt{4k+2}} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2(2k+1)}\right)$$

which is non-zero for all $k \ge 1$. By Theorem 1.1, (3.20) and (3.22), (3.19) follows. Next, using (1.6) we get

$$M_{\chi_k,0} = \frac{1}{\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2(2k+1)}\right)}.$$

As $\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2(2k+1)}\right)$ is an increasing function for $k \ge 1$ and

$$M_{\chi_1,0} \cdot (\zeta(3) - 1) = 0.233 \dots < 1,$$

we can choose $N_{\chi_k,0} = 1$ for all $k \ge 1$. To deduce the positivity statement for $\xi_{\mathscr{G}_k}(n)$, we need only show that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{4k+2} \chi_k(m) B_1\left(\frac{m}{4k+2}\right) \le 0 \tag{3.23}$$

for all $k \ge 1$. To prove (3.23), we first note that $B_1(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, (3.21) implies

$$\sum_{m=1}^{4k+2} \chi_k(m) B_1\left(\frac{m}{4k+2}\right) = -1.$$

4 Other expansions and conjectures

Other expansions for F(q) frequently appear throughout the combinatorics literature. For example, we have [18, A138265]

$$F\left(\frac{1}{1+q}\right) = 1 + q + q^2 + 2q^3 + 5q^4 + 16q^5 + 61q^6 + 271q^7 + 1372q^8 + \cdots$$

and [18, A289312]

$$F\left(\frac{1-q}{1+q}\right) = 1 + 2q + 6q^2 + 26q^3 + 142q^4 + 946q^5 + 7446q^6 + 67658q^7 + 697118q^8 + \cdots$$

Table 3 Coefficients for $\mathscr{F}_t(\frac{1}{1+a})$ for $1 \le t \le 5$

t = 1	$1 + q + q^{2} + 2q^{3} + 5q^{4} + 16q^{5} + 61q^{6} + 271q^{7} + 1372q^{8} + 7795q^{9} + 49093q^{10} + \dots$
t = 2	$1 + 3q + 8q^2 + 31q^3 + 160q^4 + 1029q^5 + 7910q^6 + 70658q^7 + 718687q^8 + \dots$
t = 3	$1 + 7q + 42q^2 + 329q^3 + 3395q^4 + 43638q^5 + 670663q^6 + 11980513q^7 + \dots$
t = 4	$1 + 15q + 190q^2 + 3005q^3 + 61885q^4 + 1587420q^5 + 48722721q^6 + 1739070735q^7 + \dots$
t = 5	$1 + 31q + 806q^2 + 25637q^3 + 1054465q^4 + 54008696q^5 + 3311724885q^6 + \dots$

Using Theorem 1.1, we may deduce asymptotics for the coefficients of $F_f\left(\frac{1}{1+q}\right)$ and $F_f\left(\frac{1-q}{1+q}\right)$. Namely, if we write

$$F_f\left(\frac{1}{1+q}\right) =: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_f(n)q^n,$$

then

$$g_f(n) \sim (-1)^{\nu} \left(\frac{M}{2\pi k_{\nu}}\right)^{2n+\nu+1} \frac{G_f^{(\nu)}(k_{\nu})2^{2n+\nu}n!n^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}}{b^n\sqrt{\pi M}} e^{-\frac{bk_{\nu}^2\pi^2}{2M^2}}.$$
 (4.1)

This follows upon first noting

$$F_f\left(\frac{1}{1+q}\right) = F_f\left(1 - \frac{q}{1+q}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \xi_f(j) q^j \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \binom{j+m-1}{m} q^m$$

and so

$$g_f(n) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{n-1}{\ell} \xi_f(n-\ell),$$

then applying Theorem 1.1. Similarly, if

$$F_f\left(\frac{1-q}{1+q}\right) =: \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_f(n)q^n$$

then one can check

$$h_f(n) \sim (-1)^{\nu} \left(\frac{M}{2\pi k_{\nu}}\right)^{2n+\nu+1} \frac{G_f^{(\nu)}(k_{\nu})2^{3n+\nu}n!n^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}}{b^n\sqrt{\pi M}}.$$
(4.2)

Asymptotics for the coefficients of $\mathscr{F}_t(q)$, $X_m^{(\ell)}(q)$ and $\mathscr{G}_k(q)$ with q replaced by $\frac{1}{1+q}$ or $\frac{1-q}{1+q}$ now follow readily from (4.1), (4.2) and Corollaries 3.1, 3.3 and 3.6. Thus, all but finitely many coefficients are positive for $\mathscr{F}_t(q)$, $X_m^{(\ell)}(q)$ and $\mathscr{G}_k(q)$ where q is replaced by $\frac{1}{1+q}$ or $\frac{1-q}{1+q}$. Interestingly, it appears numerically that more is true. Some supporting data is given in Tables 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8.

Based on the evidence in Remarks 3.2 and 3.4, the computations in Remark 3.5 and Tables 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, we make the following

Table 4 Coefficients for $\mathscr{F}_t(\frac{1-q}{1+q})$ for $1 \le t \le 5$

t = 1	$1 + 2q + 6q^2 + 26q^3 + 142q^4 + 946q^5 + 7446q^6 + 67658q^7 + 697118q^8 + 8031586q^9 + \dots$
t = 2	$1 + 6q + 38q^2 + 318q^3 + 3406q^4 + 44790q^5 + 699126q^6 + 12630702q^7 + \dots$
t = 3	$1 + 14q + 182q^2 + 2982q^3 + 62734q^4 + 1630174q^5 + 50474886q^6 + 1813113398q^7 + \dots$
t = 4	$1 + 30q + 790q^2 + 25590q^3 + 1064590q^4 + 54905390q^5 + 3382387174q^6 + \dots$
t = 5	$1 + 62q + 3286q^2 + 211606q^3 + 17496462q^4 + 1797007566q^5 + 220762565542q^6 + \dots$

Table 5 Coefficients for $X_5^{(\ell)}(\frac{1}{1+a})$ for $0 \le \ell \le 4$

$\ell = 0$	$1 + 5q + 25q^2 + 180q^3 + 1725q^4 + 20538q^5 + 291571q^6 + 4801844q^7 + \dots$
$\ell = 1$	$2 + 9q + 45q^2 + 330q^3 + 3195q^4 + 38286q^5 + 545949q^6 + 9020385q^7 + \dots$
$\ell = 2$	$3 + 12q + 60q^2 + 446q^3 + 4350q^4 + 52374q^5 + 749294q^6 + 12410001q^7 + \dots$
$\ell = 3$	$4 + 14q + 70q^2 + 525q^3 + 5145q^4 + 62139q^5 + 890925q^6 + 14779290q^7 + \dots$
$\ell = 4$	$5 + 15q + 75q^2 + 565q^3 + 5550q^4 + 67134q^5 + 963578q^6 + 15997212q^7 + \dots$

Table 6 Coefficients for $X_5^{(\ell)}(\frac{1-q}{1+q})$ for $0 \le \ell \le 4$

$\ell = 0$	$1 + 10q + 110q^2 + 1650q^3 + 32230q^4 + 776666q^5 + 22237534q^6 + 737031746q^7 + \dots$
$\ell = 1$	$2 + 18q + 198q^2 + 3018q^3 + 59598q^4 + 1446210q^5 + 41605014q^6 + 1383694074q^7 + \dots$
$\ell = 2$	$3 + 24q + 264q^2 + 4072q^3 + 81048q^4 + 1976760q^5 + 57067560q^6 + 1902795528q^7 + \dots$
$\ell = 3$	$4 + 28q + 308q^2 + 4788q^3 + 95788q^4 + 2344076q^5 + 67828068q^6 + 2265402148q^7 + \dots$
$\ell = 4$	$5 + 30q + 330q^2 + 5150q^3 + 103290q^4 + 2531838q^5 + 73345162q^6 + 2451727038q^7 + \dots$

Table 7 Coefficients for $\mathscr{G}_k(\frac{1}{1+a})$ for $1 \le k \le 5$

k = 1	$1 + q + 3q^2 + 11q^3 + 50q^4 + 280q^5 + 1892q^6 + 15052q^7 + 137957q^8 + \dots$
k = 2	$1 + 2q + 8q^2 + 42q^3 + 293q^4 + 2630q^5 + 29054q^6 + 380894q^7 + 5773064q^8 + \dots$
k = 3	$1 + 3q + 15q^2 + 103q^3 + 977q^4 + 12137q^5 + 186601q^6 + 3411009q^7 + 72158001q^8 + \dots$
k = 4	$1 + 4q + 24q^2 + 204q^3 + 2454q^4 + 39000q^5 + 768720q^6 + 18028512q^7 + \dots$
k = 5	$1 + 5q + 35q^2 + 355q^3 + 5180q^4 + 100346q^5 + 2413318q^6 + 69085190q^7 + \dots$

Table 8 Coefficients for $\mathscr{G}_k\left(\frac{1-q}{1+q}\right)$ for $1 \le k \le 5$

 $\begin{aligned} k &= 1 & 1 + 2q + 6q^2 + 34q^3 + 278q^4 + 2978q^5 + 39302q^6 + 615554q^7 + 11151446q^8 + \dots \\ k &= 2 & 1 + 4q + 20q^2 + 180q^3 + 2420q^4 + 42916q^5 + 940244q^6 + 24478804q^7 + \dots \\ k &= 3 & 1 + 6q + 42q^2 + 518q^3 + 9674q^4 + 239302q^5 + 7323946q^6 + 266553414q^7 + \dots \\ k &= 4 & 1 + 8q + 72q^2 + 1128q^3 + 26952q^4 + 855240q^5 + 33608136q^6 + 1571210280q^7 + \dots \\ k &= 5 & 1 + 10q + 110q^2 + 2090q^3 + 60830q^4 + 2355562q^5 + 113032942q^6 + 6454755274q^7 + \dots \end{aligned}$

Conjecture 4.1 We have

- (1) the coefficients of $\mathscr{F}_t(1-q)$, $\mathscr{F}_t(\frac{1}{1+q})$ and $\mathscr{F}_t(\frac{1-q}{1+q})$ are positive for all $t \ge 1$. (2) the coefficients of $X_m^{(\ell)}(1-q)$, $X_m^{(\ell)}(\frac{1}{1+q})$ and $X_m^{(\ell)}(\frac{1-q}{1+q})$ are positive for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \ell < m - 1.$
- (3) the coefficients of $\mathscr{G}_k(\frac{1}{1+a})$ and $\mathscr{G}_k(\frac{1-q}{1+a})$ are positive for all $k \ge 1$.

Acknowledgements The first author was an institute postdoctoral fellow at IIT Gandhinagar under the project IP/IITGN/MATH/AD/2122/15. He sincerely thanks the institute for the support. The second author was partially supported by SERB MATRICS Grant MTR/2022/000659. The third author was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (NRF-2019R1F1A1043415). The fourth author was partially supported by Enterprise Ireland CS20212030. The fourth author would also like to thank the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik for their support and hospitality during the completion of this paper. Finally, the authors thank Jeremy Lovejoy and the referee for helpful comments and suggestions.

Funding Open Access funding provided by the IReL Consortium

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- 1. Ahlgren, S., Kim, B.: Dissections of a "strange" function. Int. J. Number Theory 11(5), 1557–1562 (2015)
- 2. Ahlgren, S., Kim, B., Lovejoy, J.: Dissections of strange q-series. Ann. Comb. 23(3-4), 427-442 (2019)
- 3. Andrews, G.E.: An introduction to Ramanujan's "lost" notebook. Amer. Math. Monthly 86(2), 89-108 (1979)
- 4. Andrews, G.E., Berndt, B.C.: Ramanujan's Lost Notebook. Part I. Springer, New York (2005)
- 5. Andrews, G.E., Sellers, J.: Congruences for the Fishburn numbers. J. Number Theory 161, 298-310 (2016)
- 6. Apostol, T.M.: Introduction to analytic number theory. Springer, New York (1976)
- 7. Berndt, B.C., Yee, A.J.: Combinatorial proofs of identities in Ramanujan's lost notebook associated with the Rogers-Fine identity and false theta functions. Ann. Comb. 7(4), 409-423 (2003)
- 8. Bijaoui, C., Boden, H.U., Myers, B., Osburn, R., Rushworth, W., Tronsgard, A., Zhou, S.: Generalized Fishburn numbers and torus knots. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 178, 105355 (2021)
- 9. Garvan, F.G.: Congruences and relations for r-Fishburn numbers. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 134, 147–165 (2015)
- 10. Goswami, A.: Congruences for generalized Fishburn numbers at roots of unity. Acta Arith. 199(1), 77–102 (2021)
- 11. Goswami, A., Osburn, R.: Quantum modularity of partial theta series with periodic coefficients. Forum Math. 33(2), 451-463 (2021)
- 12. Guerzhoy, P., Kent, Z., Rolen, L.: Congruences for Taylor expansions of quantum modular forms. Res. Math. Sci. 1 Art. 17, 17 pp. (2014)
- 13. Habiro, K.: Cyclotomic completions of polynomials rings. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 40(4), 1127-1146 (2004)
- 14. Hikami, K.: q-series and L-functions related to half-derivates of the Andrews-Gordon identity. Ramanujan J. 11(2), 175-197 (2006)
- 15. Schnee, W.: Die funktionalgleichung der Zetafunktion und der Dirichletschen Reihen mit periodischen Koeffizienten. Math. Z. 31(1), 378-390 (1930)
- Stoimenow, A.: Enumeration of chord diagrams and an upper bound for Vassiliev invariants. J. Knot 16 Theory Ramifications 7(1), 93-114 (1998)

- Straub, A.: Congruences for Fishburn numbers modulo prime powers. Int. J. Number Theory 11(5), 1679–1690 (2015)
- 18. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences. https://oeis.org
- Zagier, D.: Vassiliev invariants and a strange identity related to the Dedekind eta-function. Topology 40(5), 945–960 (2001)
- Zagier, D.: Quantum modular forms, Quanta of maths, 659–675. Clay Math. Proc. 11. Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI (2010)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.