

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

	Page No.
<b>Figure 1.1</b> Constituents of composite.....	5
<b>Figure 1.2</b> Laminated composite material.....	5
<b>Figure 1.3</b> Types of composites: (a) Particulate composite (b) Flake composite (c) Fiber Composite.....	8
<b>Figure 1.4</b> Schematic of Laminate construction and analysis methods.....	9
<b>Figure 1.5</b> List of Composite parts in the main structure of the boeing 757-200 aircraft....	11
<b>Fig. 1.6</b> Bimodular constitutive model for (a) bilinear model when $E_T > E_C$ (b) bilinear model when $E_T < E_C$ and (c) non-linear model for actual condition.....	14
<b>Figure 1.7</b> Cross-section of a bond.....	17
<b>Figure 1.8</b> Structure of adhesive joint.....	17
<b>Figure 1.9</b> Failure mechanism of adhesive bonding (a) Structural failure (b) adhesive failure (c) Cohesive failure.....	18
<b>Figure 1.10</b> Delamination in composite structure.....	21
<b>Figure 1.11</b> Skin-stiffener composite panel.....	24
<b>Figure 1.12</b> Types of defects in adhesive bond.....	25
<b>Figure 3.1</b> Stress-stain curve for bimodular material.....	53
<b>Figure 3.2</b> Simply supported uniformly loaded beam.....	54
<b>Figure 3.3</b> A cross-section of a bimodular beam.....	56
<b>Figure 3.4</b> Coordinate system and notation of plate.....	62
<b>Figure 3.5</b> Fracture modes.....	69
<b>Figure 3.6</b> MCCI applied to the stiffened panel for computation of SERR.....	70
<b>Figure 3.7</b> Schematic of three-point bending flexure specimen.....	75
<b>Figure 3.8</b> Typical schematic representation of percentage of beam in compression or tension with respect to bimodulus ratio of a slender flexure bimodulus specimen.....	76
<b>Figure 3.9</b> Normal stress distribution in x- direction for (a) three point bend specimen and (b) four point bend specimen.....	77
<b>Figure 3.10</b> Von-Mises Stress distribution (a) three point bend specimen and (b) four point bend specimen.....	78

<b>Figure 3.11</b> Young's Modulus plot for (a) three point bend specimen and (b) four point bend specimen.....	78
<b>Figure 3.12</b> (a) Young's Modulus plot on middle surface of the beam for three point bend specimen and (b) the plane at which the figure (a) is plotted.....	79
<b>Figure 4.1</b> Configuration of double supported tee joint.....	83
<b>Figure 4.2</b> Finite element model for double supported tee joint.....	85
<b>Figure 4.3</b> Variation of von-Mises stress at different bond length of laminate A $[0]_8$ .....	87
<b>Figure 4.4</b> Variation of thermal gradient at different bond length of laminate A $[0]_8$ .....	87
<b>Figure 4.5</b> Variation of thermal flux at different bond length of laminate A $[0]_8$ .....	88
<b>Figure 4.6</b> Variation of DOF at different bond length of laminate A $[0]_8$ .....	88
<b>Figure 4.7</b> Variation of von-Mises stress at different bond length of laminate B $[0/45]_4$ .....	89
<b>Figure 4.8</b> Variation of thermal gradient at different bond length of laminate B $[0/45]_4$ .....	90
<b>Figure 4.9</b> Variation of thermal flux at different bond length of laminate B $[0/45]_4$ .....	90
<b>Figure 4.10</b> Variation of DOF at different bond length of laminate B $[0/45]_4$ .....	91
<b>Figure 4.11</b> Variation of von-Mises stress at different bond length of laminate C $[0/90]_4$ .....	92
<b>Figure 4.12</b> Variation of thermal gradient at different bond length of laminate C $[0/90]_4$ .....	92
<b>Figure 4.13</b> Variation of thermal flux at different bond length of laminate C $[0/90]_4$ .....	93
<b>Figure 4.14</b> Variation of DOF at different bond length of laminate C $[0/90]_4$ .....	93
<b>Figure 5.1</b> Configuration of tee-joint.....	97
<b>Figure 5.2</b> Zoomed view of FE model of the damaged specimen of tee-joint at the vicinity of crack tip.....	101
<b>Figure 5.3</b> SERR along the interface of main plate and adhesive for varied bimodular ratio 'R' in functionally graded bimodular adhesively bonded tee-joint under mechanical loading.....	105
<b>Figure 5.4</b> Effect of the position of delamination on total SERR at various bimodular ratio under mechanical loading (a) $R=1$ , (b) $R=2$ , (c) $R=3$ , (d) $R=4$ , (e) $R=5$ .....	108
<b>Figure 5.5</b> Comparison of Total SERR for various R at $y=2.3\text{mm}$ under mechanical loading.....	108
<b>Figure 5.6</b> SERR along the interface of main plate and adhesive for varied bimodular ratio 'R' in functionally graded bimodular adhesively bonded tee-joint under thermo-mechanical loading.....	111

<b>Figure 5.7</b> Effect of the position of delamination on total SERR at various bimodular ratio under thermo-mechanical loading (a) $R=1$ , (b) $R=2$ , (c) $R=3$ , (d) $R=4$ , (e) $R=5$ .....	113
<b>Figure 5.8</b> Comparison of Total SERR for various $R$ at $y=2.3\text{mm}$ under thermo-mechanical loading.....	114
<b>Figure 5.9</b> Effect of mechanical and thermo-mechanical loading on total SERR at $y=2.1\text{mm}$ with various bimodular ratio (a) $R=1$ , (b) $R=2$ , (c) $R=3$ , (d) $R=4$ , (e) $R=5$ .....	117
<b>Figure 6.1</b> Specimen configuration.....	123
<b>Figure 6.2</b> 3D model of (a) Tensile and (b) bending specimen with load.....	124
<b>Figure 6.3</b> Location of delamination in specimen.....	127
<b>Figure 6.4</b> Typical damage pattern observed in skin-stiffener specimen.....	127
<b>Figure 6.5</b> Finite element modeling of the graphite/epoxy specimen with embedded delamination at the interface.....	128
<b>Figure 6.6</b> Zoomed view of FE model for stiffened panel.....	129
<b>Figure 6.7</b> Comparison of $G_I$ distribution at different width along delamination front for three point bending.....	131
<b>Figure 6.8</b> Comparison of $G_{II}$ distribution at different width along delamination front for three point bending.....	131
<b>Figure 6.9</b> Comparison of $G_{III}$ distribution at different width along delamination front for three point bending.....	132
<b>Figure 6.10</b> Comparison of $G_T$ distribution at different width along delamination front for three point bending.....	132
<b>Figure 6.11</b> Comparison of $G_T$ distribution at different width along delamination front for three point bending under various loadings.....	133
<b>Figure 6.12</b> Comparison of $G_I$ distribution at different width along delamination front for tension.....	136
<b>Figure 6.13</b> Comparison of $G_{II}$ distribution at different width along delamination front for tension.....	136

<b>Figure 6.14</b> Comparison of $G_{III}$ distribution at different width along delamination front for tension.....	137
<b>Figure 6.15</b> Comparison of $G_T$ distribution at different width along delamination front for tension.....	137
<b>Figure 6.16</b> Comparison of $G_T$ distribution at different width along delamination front for tension under various loading.....	138
<b>Figure 7.1</b> Geometrical description of the stiffened panel.....	145
<b>Figure 7.2</b> FE model of analysed configuration.....	147
<b>Figure 7.3</b> Solid model representing initial bonded region.....	147
<b>Figure 7.4</b> Load versus applied displacement curve.....	149
<b>Figure 7.5</b> Variation of total SERR with varied applied displacement.....	150
<b>Figure 7.6</b> Effect of the applied displacement on total SERR with various bimodular ratio under mechanical loading (a) $u_x=0.05\text{mm}$ , (b) $u_x=0.6\text{mm}$ , (c) $u_x=1.5\text{mm}$ , (d) $u_x=2.1\text{mm}$ .....	152
<b>Figure 7.7</b> Effect of the applied displacement on total SERR with various bimodular ratio under residual thermal stresses (a) $u_x=0.05\text{mm}$ , (b) $u_x=0.6\text{mm}$ , (c) $u_x=1.5\text{mm}$ , (d) $u_x=2.1\text{mm}$ .....	155
<b>Figure 7.8</b> Effect of mechanical and thermo-mechanical loading on total SERR with various bimodular ratio (a) $R=1$ , (b) $R=2$ , (c) $R=3$ , (d) $R=4$ , (e) $R=5$ .....	158
<b>Figure A.1</b> Weibull Probability Density Function.....	193
<b>Figure A.2</b> Weibull Failure Rate for $0 < m < 1$ , $m=1$ , $m > 1$ .....	194
<b>Figure A.3</b> Plot of failure stress data for axial orientaion.....	197
<b>Figure A.4</b> Plot of failure stress data for radial orientation.....	197