

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page No.
Figure 1.1: Pictorial representation of corrosion cycle	1
Figure 1.2: Formation of rust	7
Figure 1.3: Common forms of corrosion	10
Figure 1.4: Pourbaix diagram for iron/water system at 298 K.	16
Figure 1.5 Schematic representation of Evans diagram for iron in acid solution	21
Figure 1.6: (a) Anodic control (b) cathodic control, (c) mixed control of a corrosion process	22
Figure 1.7: Polarization of anodic and cathodic half-cell reactions for zinc in acid solution to give a mixed potential, E_{corr} and a corrosion rate (current density)	24
Figure 1.8: “ E vs. i ” for Fe in acid solution	25
Figure 1.9: Extrapolated Tafel curves	27
Figure 1.10: Hypothetical linear polarization plot	28
Figure 1.11: Complex plane impedance spectrum.	29
Figure 1.12: Nyquist plot along with constant phase element (CPE).	30
Figure: 1.13: Classifications of Inhibitors	33
Figure 1.14: (a) Mechanism of action of anodic corrosion inhibitors based on polarization effects	34
Figure 1.14: (b) Mechanism of action of cathodic corrosion inhibitors based on polarization effects	36
Figure 1.14: (c) Mechanism of action of mixed corrosion inhibitors based on polarization effects	37

Figure: 1.15: Theories of inhibition	40
Figure 2.1.1: Synthesis of Pyrano-pyrazoles derivatives.	63
Figure 2.1.2: Synthesis of pyridine based Schiff bases derivatives.	65
Figure 2.1.3: Synthesis of Imidazole derivatives.	68
Figure 2.1.4: Synthesis of Pyrazolo-pyridine (PPs) derivatives.	70
Figure 3.1.1 (a): Variation of inhibition efficiency ($\eta\%$) with inhibitor concentration at 308 K for MS in 1 M HCl.	85
Figure. 3.1.2(b): Variation of inhibition efficiency ($\eta\%$) with solution temperature (308-338 K) at optimum concentration of EPPs	87
Figure 3.1.3: (c) Arrhenius plots for the corrosion of mild steel in 1 M HCl	89
Figure 3.1.4: (d) Langmuir adsorption isotherm plots for the adsorption of EPPs on mild steel surface in 1M HCl	90
Figure 3.1.5: Polarization curves for mild in absence and presence of optimum concentrations EPPs	92
Figure 3.1.6: Nyquist plots for the mild steel at optimum concentration of inhibitor EPPs	94
Figure 3.1.6: Equivalent circuit model used to analyze the EIS data.	95
Figure 3.1.6: (c) Bode ($\log f$ vs $\log Z $) and phase angle ($\log f$ vs α) plots for MS in 1 M HCl without and with EPPs	95
Figure 3.1.7: (a-d) SEM micrographs for MS in (a) 1 M HCl and in presence of inhibitors (b) EPP-1, (c) EPP-2, and (d) EPP-3 at 100 mgL^{-1} .	97
Figure 3.1.8: (a-d) AFM micrographs for MS (a) in 1 M HCl and in presence of inhibitors (b) EPP -1, (c) EPP -2, and (d) EPP -3 at 100 mgL^{-1} .	98

Figure 3.1.9: The gas phase optimized molecular structures, the HOMO, and the LUMO electron density distribution surfaces of EPP -1, EPP -2, and EPP -3.	101
Figure 3.1.10: The Aqueous phase optimized molecular structures, the HOMO, and the LUMO electron density distribution surfaces of EPP -1, EPP -2, and EPP -3.	104
Figure 3.2.11: Side views of the most stable low energy configuration for the adsorption of (a) EPP-1, (b) EPP-2 and (c) EPP-3 on Fe (110) surface obtained by Monte Carlo Simulations in vacuum phase	108
Figure 3.2.12: Side views of the most stable low energy configuration for the adsorption of (a) EPP-1, (b) EPP-2 and (c) EPP-3 on Fe (110) surface obtained by Monte Carlo Simulations in aqueous phase.	109
Figure.3.2.1: (a) Variation of the inhibition efficiency ($\eta\%$) with inhibitor concentration at 308 K	114
Figure.3.2.1:(b) Variation of inhibition efficiency ($\eta\%$) with solution temperature (308–338 K) at optimum concentration of inhibitor DAPs	116
Figure.3.2.1: (c) Arrhenius plots of the corrosion rate (C_R) of MS in 1 M HCl in the absence and presence of optimum concentration of DAPs;	118
Figure.3.2.1: (d) Langmuir isotherm plot for adsorption of DAPs	119
Figure 3.2.2:(a) Nyquist plots for the mild steel at optimum concentration of inhibitors DAP at 308 K; (b) Equivalent circuit model used to fit the EIS data	121
Figure 3.2.2: (c) (d) (e) fitted Nyquist plot for DAP-1, DAP-2, DAP-3 respectively	122
Figure 3.2.2: (f) Bode ($\log f$ vs $\log Z $) and phase angle ($\log f$ vs α) plots of	123

impedance spectra for MS in 1 M HCl in the absence and presence of different concentrations of DAP at 308 K. (g) (h) (i) fitted bode plots for DAP-1, DAP-2, DAP-3 respectively.	
Figure 3.2.3: Polarization curves for corrosion of mild steel in absence and presence of optimum concentrations of inhibitors	125
Figure 3.2.4: SEM image for (a) Blank (b) DAP-1 (c) DAP-2 (d) DAP-3	127
Figure 3.2.5: AFM images for (a) Blank (b) DAP-1 (c) DAP -2 (d) DAP -3	128
Figure 3.2.6: Optimized structure and Frontier molecular orbital's and ESP map for neutral inhibitors molecules (a-f) DAP-1 (b) DAP-2 (c) DAP-3	132
Figure 3.2.7: Optimized structure and Frontier molecular orbital's and ESP map for protonated inhibitors molecules (a-f) DAP-1 (b) DAP-2(c)DAP-3	133
Figure 3.2.8: Side views and top views of the most stable configurations for adsorption of (a) DAP-1 (b) DAP-2 and DAP-3 on Fe (110) surface calculated using Monte Carlo simulations in gas phase and aqueous phase	139
Figure 3.3.1: Variation of inhibition efficiency ($\eta\%$) with inhibitor concentration at 308 K for MS in 1 M HCl.	142
Figure 3.3.2: Variation of inhibition efficiency ($\eta\%$) with solution temperature (308-338 K) at optimum concentration of IMs.	144
Figure. 3.3.3: Arrhenius plots of the corrosion rate (C_R) of mild steel in absence and presence of optimum concentration of IMs	145
Figure 3.3.4: Langmuir adsorption isotherm plots	146
Figure. 3.3.5 a: Nyquist plots for the mild steel at optimum concentration of IMs.	148

Figure. 3.3.5.b: Equivalent circuit model used to fit the EIS data	148
Figure. 3.3.5:(c, d, e) fitted Nyquist plots for the IM-1, IM-2, and IM-3 at optimum concentration respectively	149
Figure. 3.3.5.f: Bode (log f vs. log Z) and phase angle (log f vs. α°) plots of impedance spectrain absence and presence of optimum concentration of IMs at 308 K	150
Figure. 3.3.5.(g, h, i): fitted Bode (log f vs. log Z) and phase angle (log f vs. α°) plots of impedance spectra in absence and presence of optimum concentration of IM-1, IM-2, and IM-3 at 308 K	151
Figure 3.3.6: Polarization curves for corrosion of mild steel in absence and presence of optimum concentrations of IMs	152
Figure. 3.3.7.1: SEM image of mild steel (a) Blank (b) IM-1 (c) IM-2 (d) IM-3	154
Figure. 3.3.7.2:. AFM (a) Blank (b) IM-1 (c) IM-2 (d) IM-3	155
Figure 3.3.8.1: The gas phase optimized molecular structures, the HOMO, and the LUMO electron density distribution surfaces of IM-1, IM-2, and IM-3 for neutral inhibitors.	157
Figure 3.3.8.2 (a-i): Optimized structure and the frontier molecular orbital density distributions for protonated IMs (d-e) IM-1 (f-g) IM-2 (h-i) IM-3 respectively	159
Figure. 3.3.9: (a, b) Temperature (a) and Energy (b) equilibrium curves of studied inhibitors adsorbed on the Fe (1 1 0) surface in solution.	161
Figure. 3.3.9(c) Side and top views of the final adsorption of the neutral inhibitors on the Fe (110) surface in solution.	162
Figure. 3.3.9:(d) RDF of neutral forms of IM-1 on the Fe (110) surface in	164

solution.

Figure 3.3.10: Physio-chemical mechanism of IM-1 on the MS surface.	165
Figure 3.4.1. (a): Variation of inhibition efficiency ($\eta\%$) with inhibitor concentration at 308 K	168
Figure 3.4.1. (b): Variation of inhibition efficiency ($\eta\%$) with solution temperature (308-338 K) at optimum concentration of PPs	170
Figure 3.4.1. (c): Arrhenius plots of the corrosion rate (C_R) of mild steel in absence and presence of optimum concentration of PPs	172
Figure 3.4.1. (d): Langmuir adsorption isotherm plots	173
Figure 3.4.3. (a): Nyquist plots for the mild steel at optimum concentration of PPs (b): Equivalent circuit model used to fit the EIS data.	175
Figure 3.4.3. (c-e) Fitted Nyquist (c) PP-1 (d) PP-2 (e) PP-3	175
Figure 3.4.3. (f-i): Fitted Bode plot (f) PP-1 (g) PP-2 (h) PP-3(i): Bode ($\log f$ vs. $\log Z $) and phase angle ($\log f$ vs. α°) plots in absence and presence of optimum concentration of PPs at 308 K	176
Figure 3.4.3: Polarization curves for corrosion of mild steel in absence and presence of optimum concentrations of PPs	179
Figure 3.4.4.1: SEM image for (a) Blank (b) PP-1 (c) PP-2 (d) PP-3	180
Figure 3.4.4.2: AFM images for (a) Blank (b) PP-1 (c) PP-2 (d) PP-3	181
Figure 3.4.5.1: The gas phase optimized molecular structures, the HOMO, and the LUMO electron density distribution surfaces of PP-1, PP-2, and PP-3.	183
Figure 3.4.5.2 The protonated phase optimized molecular structures, the HOMO, and the LUMO electron density distribution surfaces of PP-1, PP-2, and PP-3.	185

Figure 3.4.5.3: electrostatic potential map for neutral (a-c) and protonated (d-f) PP-1, PP-2, PP-3 respectively	186
Figure.3.4.6.1: (a) and (b) : Temperature (a) and Energy (b) equilibrium curves of studied inhibitors adsorbed on the Fe (1 1 0) surface in solution.	190
Figure.3.4.6.2: Side views of the most stable configurations for adsorption of (a) PP-1 (b) PP-2 and PP-3 on Fe (110) surface calculated using Molecular Dynamics simulations in the neutral phase in the aqueous medium	191
Figure 3.4.6.3: Side views of the most stable configurations for adsorption of (a) PP-1 (b) PP-2 and PP-3 on Fe (110) surface calculated using Molecular Dynamics simulation in the protonated phase in aqueous medium	192
Figure 3.4.7: The diffusion models and MSD curves of Cl^- and H_3O^+ in the PPs corrosion inhibitor films at 303 K.	195
Figure 3.4.8: Schematic adsorption and inhibition mechanism of PP-1 molecule	196