# LIST OF FIGURES


| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Fig. 1-1:** | General configuration showing distribution of antenna elements at the aperture of TLA array. | **3** |
| **Fig. 1-2:** | General configuration showing distribution of antenna elements at the aperture of TPA array. | **4** |
| **Fig. 1-3:** | General configuration showing distribution of antenna elements at the aperture of NUSLA array. | **5** |
| **Fig. 1-4:** | General configuration showing distribution of antenna elements at the aperture of NUSPA array. | **5** |
| **Fig. 1-5:** | General configuration showing distribution of antenna elements at the aperture of MFA array sharing the same physical aperture. | **7** |
| **Fig. 2-1:** | General configuration of a linear array with 2N antenna elements. | **35** |
| **Fig. 2-2:** | Optimal distribution of half of the 'ON' and 'OFF' elements at the aperture of 100-element TLA array. | **40** |
| **Fig. 2-3:** | Radiation pattern of the proposed 100-element TLA array. | **40** |
| **Fig. 2-4:** | Radiation patterns of the proposed 100-element TLA array in the angular sector ($\theta = 0^0$-$10^0$). | **40** |
| **Fig. 2-5:** | Variations of fitness value i.e. PSLL overall best and averaged over 30 trials with number of iterations in the optimization of proposed 100-element TLA array | **43** |
| **Fig. 2-6:** | Optimal distribution of half of the 'ON' and 'OFF' elements at the aperture of 100-elements TLA array. | **43** |
| **Fig. 2-7:** | Comparison of radiation patterns of the proposed 100-element TLA array with those of the arrays published in [[Teruel and Iglesias (2006)], Mahenti *et al.* (2007)], and [Wang *et al.* (2012)]. | **44** |
| **Fig. 2-8:** | Comparison of radiation patterns of the proposed 100-element TLA array with those of the arrays published in [Teruel and Iglesias (2006)], [Mahenti *et al.* (2007)], and [Wang *et al.* (2012)] in the angular sector ($\theta = 0^0$-$10^0$) | **44** |
| **Fig. 2-9:** | Optimal distribution of half of the 'ON' and 'OFF' elements at the aperture of 200-elements TLA array. | **47** |

**Fig. 2-10:** Radiation pattern of the proposed 200-element TLA array. **47**

**Fig. 2-11:** Radiation pattern of the proposed 200-element TLA array in the angular sector ($\theta = 0^0$-$10^0$) **47**

**Fig. 2-12:** Variation of best fitness value with number of iterations in the optimization of proposed 100-element TLA array. **49**

**Fig. 2-13:** Optimal distribution of half of the 'ON' and 'OFF' elements at the aperture of 100-element TLA array. **49**

**Fig. 2-14:** Comparison of radiation pattern of the array with all the elements turned 'ON' and that of the proposed 100-element TLA array. **50**

**Fig. 2-15:** Comparison of radiation pattern of the proposed 100-element TLA array with those of the arrays reported in [Teruel and Iglesias (2006)], [Mahanti *et al.* (2007)], and [Wang *et al.* (2012b)]. **51**

**Fig. 2-16:** Comparison of radiation patterns of the proposed 100- element TLA array with those of the arrays in [Teruel and Iglesias (2006)], [Mahanti *et al.* (2007)], and [Wang *et al.* (2012b)] in the angular sector ($\theta=0^0$-$10^0$). **51**

**Fig. 2-17:** Variation of best fitness value with number of iterations in the optimization of proposed 100-element TLA phased array. **53**

**Fig. 2-18:** Optimal distribution of half of the 'ON' and 'OFF' elements at the aperture of 100-element TLA phased array. **53**

**Fig. 2-19:** Radiation pattern of the proposed 100-element TLA phased array at boresight ($\theta = 0^0$) **54**

**Fig. 2-20:** Radiation patterns of the proposed 100-element TLA phased array at scan angles of $\theta = 30^0$, $45^0$, and $60^0$ with respect to antenna boresight. **54**

**Fig. 2-21:** Optimal distribution of half of the 'ON' and 'OFF' elements at the aperture of 100-element TLA array. **56**

**Fig. 2-22:** Variation of best fitness value with number of iterations in the optimization of proposed 100-element TLA array. **56**

**Fig. 2-23:** Comparison of radiation patterns of the proposed 100-element TLA array with those of the arrays in [Haupt (1995)], and [Teruel and Iglesias (2006)]. **56**

**Fig. 2-24:** Comparison of radiation patterns of the proposed 100-element TLA array with those of the arrays in [Haupt (1995)], and [Teruel and Iglesias (2006)] in the angular sector ($\theta = 0^0$-$10^0$). **57**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Fig. 2-25:** | General structure of the NUSLA array with 2N elements. | **67** |
| **Fig. 2-26:** | Variation of PSLL with number of iterations in the optimization of the proposed 24-element NUSLA array. | **73** |
| **Fig. 2-27:** | Comparison of numerically evaluated radiation patterns of the proposed 24 -element NUSLA array with that of the 32-element uniformly spaced array in $\phi = 0^0$ plane. | **73** |
| **Fig. 2-28:** | General distribution of antenna elements at the aperture of NUSLA arrays. | **76** |
| **Fig. 2-29:** | Variation of optimum percentage of unequally spaced elements with total number of elements in NUSLA arrays. | **76** |
| **Fig. 2-30:** | Variation of peak SLL with percentage of unequally spaced elements for the proposed 16- and 32-elements NUSLA arrays. | **76** |
| **Fig. 2-31:** | Variations of best fitness value with number of iterations for the proposed 16-element NUSLA array and that published in [Chen *et al.* (2006)]. | **78** |
| **Fig. 2-32:** | Comparison of synthesized elements' density taper with the corresponding Taylor taper for the proposed 16-element NUSLA array. | **78** |
| **Fig. 2-33:** | Normalized radiation pattern of the proposed 16-element NUSLA array. | **78** |
| **Fig. 2-34:** | Variations of best fitness values with number of iterations for the proposed 32-element NUSLA array and that published in [Goudos *et al.* (2010)]. | **79** |
| **Fig. 2-35:** | Comparison of synthesized elements' density taper with the corresponding Taylor taper for the proposed 32-elements NUSLA array. | **80** |
| **Fig. 2-36:** | Normalized radiation pattern of the proposed 32-element NUSLA array. | **80** |
| **Fig. 2-37:** | Variation of best fitness value i.e. PSLL with number of iterations for the proposed 16-element NUSLA array. | **82** |
| **Fig. 2-38:** | Comparison of radiation pattern of the proposed 16-element NUSLA array with that of the uniformly spaced array at boresight. | **83** |
| **Fig. 2-39:** | Comparison of radiation pattern of the proposed 16-element NUSLA array with that of the uniformly spaced array when both are steered at $30^0$ scan angle with respect to antenna boresight. | **83** |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Fig. 2-40:** | Proposed geometries of 36-element NUSLA array with dimensions corresponding to a frequency of 9.5 GHz. | **85** |
| **Fig. 2-41:** | Peak SLL vs. number of iterations for the SA synthesis of the proposed 36-element NUSLA array. | **85** |
| **Fig. 2-42:** | Numerically evaluated radiation patterns of the proposed 36-element NUSLA array. | **85** |
| **Fig. 2-43:** | The geometry of M-shaped patch radiating element [Aggarwal and Gangwar (2014)]. | **88** |
| **Fig. 2-44:** | EM Simulation model of the proposed 24-element NUSLA array. | **89** |
| **Fig. 2-45:** | Comparison of numerically evaluated radiation pattern with that for EM simulated of the proposed NUSLA array in $\phi = 0^0$ plane | **89** |
| **Fig. 2-46:** | Comparison of numerically evaluated radiation pattern with that for EM simulated of the proposed NUSLA array in $\phi = 0^0$ plane in the angular sector ($\theta = 0^0$ to $30^0$) | **89** |
| **Fig. 2-47:** | 3D radiation pattern of the proposed 24-element NUSLA array. | **90** |
| **Fig. 2-48:** | Realized 24-element NUSLA array integrated with feed network. | **91** |
| **Fig. 2-49:** | Realized NUSLA array for pattern measurement in NFTR. | **91** |
| **Fig. 2-50:** | Sampled near field amplitude and phase of the proposed NUSLA array during pattern measurement. | **91** |
| **Fig. 2-51:** | Comparison of simulated and measured radiation patterns of the proposed 24-element NUSLA array. | **92** |
| **Fig. 3-1:** | General configuration of one quadrant of planar array with 2M×2N-elements. | **107** |
| **Fig. 3-2:** | Variations of overall best and averaged over 30 trials fitness value i.e. PSLL with number of iterations in the optimization of proposed 10×20-element TPA array. | **114** |
| **Fig. 3-3:** | Optimal sequence of 'active' and 'inactive' elements of one quadrant (X-positive and Y-positive planes) of the proposed 10×20-element TPA array. | **114** |
| **Fig. 3-4:** | Optimal distribution of 'active' (brown colour) and 'inactive' (black colour) antenna elements on the aperture of the proposed 10×20-element TPA array. | **114** |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Fig. 3-5:** | Comparison of radiation patterns of the proposed 10×20-element TPA array with those of arrays reported in [Teruel and Iglesias (2006)], [Zhang *et al.* (2010)], [Wang *et al.* (2012)], and [Liu and Wu (2014)] in $\phi = 0^0$ plane. | **117** |
| **Fig. 3-6:** | Comparison of radiation patterns of the proposed 10×20-element TPA array with those of arrays reported in [Teruel and Iglesias (2006)], [Zhang *et al.* (2010)], [Wang *et al.* (2012)], and [Liu and Wu (2014)] in $\phi = 90^0$ plane. | **118** |
| **Fig. 3-7:** | 3D radiation pattern of the proposed 10×20-element TPA array | **118** |
| **Fig. 3-8:** | Intensity plot of the proposed 10×20-element TPA array | **119** |
| **Fig. 3-9:** | Variation of mean fitness value with number of iterations in the optimization of the proposed 10×10-element UE-TPA array. | **124** |
| **Fig. 3-10:** | Optimal combination of 'ON' and 'OFF' elements of one quadrant (X-positive and Y-positive plane) of the proposed 10×10-element UE-TPA array. The dark gray blocks represent the 'ON' state of antenna element while the white ones represents 'OFF' state. | **124** |
| **Fig. 3-11:** | Optimal arrangement of 'ON' (yellow) and 'OFF' (green) antenna elements on the aperture of the proposed 10×10-element UE-TPA array. | **125** |
| **Fig. 3-12:** | Comparison of radiation pattern of the proposed 10×10-element UE-TPA array with those of arrays reported in [Zhang *et al.* (2010)], [Deb *et al.* (2012)], and [Nihad (2014)]. | **125** |
| **Fig. 3-13:** | 3D radiation pattern of the proposed 10×10-element UE-TPA array at antenna boresight. | **125** |
| **Fig. 3-14:** | Intensity plot of the proposed 10×10-element UE-TPA array at antenna boresight. | **126** |
| **Fig. 3-15:** | Radiation pattern of the proposed 10×10-element UE-TPA array scanned at an angle $\theta = 20^0$ with respect to antenna array boresight in azimuth ($\phi = 0^0$) plane. | **126** |
| **Fig. 3-16:** | Radiation pattern of the proposed 10×10-element UE-TPA array scanned at an angle $\theta = 20^0$ with respect to antenna array boresight in elevation ($\phi = 90^0$) plane. | **126** |
| **Fig. 3-17:** | 3D radiation pattern of the proposed 10×10-element UE-TPA array scanned at an angle $\theta = 20^0$ with respect to antenna array boresight in both azimuth ($\phi = 0^0$) and elevation ($\phi = 90^0$) planes. | **127** |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Fig. 3-18:** | Intensity plot of the proposed 10×10-element UE-TPA array scanned at an angle $\theta = 20^0$ with respect to antenna array boresight in both azimuth ($\phi = 0^0$) and elevation ($\phi = 90^0$) planes | **127** |
| **Fig. 3-19:** | Radiation pattern of the proposed 10×10-element UE-TPA array scanned at an angle $\theta = 40^0$ with respect to antenna array boresight in azimuth ($\phi = 0^0$) plane. | **127** |
| **Fig. 3-20:** | Radiation pattern of the proposed 10×10-element UE-TPA array scanned at an angle $\theta = 40^0$ with respect to antenna array boresight in elevation ($\phi = 90^0$) plane. | **128** |
| **Fig. 3-21:** | 3D radiation pattern of the proposed 10×10-element UE-TPA array scanned at an angle $\theta = 40^0$ with respect to antenna array boresight in both azimuth ($\phi = 0^0$) and elevation ($\phi = 90^0$) planes | **128** |
| **Fig. 3-22:** | Intensity plot of the proposed 10×10-element UE-TPA array scanned at an angle $\theta = 40^0$ with respect to antenna array boresight in both azimuth ($\phi = 0^0$) and elevation ($\phi = 90^0$) planes. | **128** |
| **Fig. 3-23:** | Variation of best fitness value with number of iterations in the optimization of proposed 14×14-element UE-TPA array. | **130** |
| **Fig. 3-24:** | Optimal positions of 'ON' and 'OFF' elements of one quadrant (X-positive and Y-positive plane) of the proposed 14×14-element UE-TPA array. The black blocks represent positions of 'ON' elements, while the white ones of 'OFF' elements. | **131** |
| **Fig. 3-25:** | Optimal arrangement of 'ON' (yellow) and 'OFF' (blue) elements on the aperture of the proposed 14×14-element UE-TPA array. | **131** |
| **Fig. 3-26:** | Radiation pattern of the proposed 14×14-element UE-TPA array at antenna array boresight in azimuth ($\phi = 0^0$) plane. | **132** |
| **Fig. 3-27:** | Radiation pattern of the proposed 14×14-element UE-TPA array at antenna array boresight in elevation ($\phi = 90^0$) plane. | **132** |
| **Fig. 3-28:** | 3D radiation pattern of the proposed 14×14-element UE-TPA array at antenna boresight. | **132** |
| **Fig. 3-29:** | Intensity plot of the proposed 14×14-element UE-TPA array at antenna boresight. | **133** |
| **Fig. 3-30:** | Radiation pattern of the proposed 14×14-element UE-TPA array scanned at an angle $\theta = 20^0$ with respect to antenna array boresight in azimuth ($\phi = 0^0$) plane. | **133** |

**Fig. 3-31:** Radiation pattern of the proposed 14×14-element UE-TPA array scanned at an angle $\theta = 20^0$ with respect to antenna array boresight in elevation ($\phi = 90^0$) plane. **133**

**Fig. 3-32:** 3D radiation pattern of the proposed 14×14-element UE-TPA array scanned at an angle $\theta = 20^0$ with respect to antenna array boresight in both azimuth ($\phi = 0^0$) and elevation ($\phi = 90^0$) planes. **134**

**Fig. 3-33:** Intensity plot of the proposed 14×14-element UE-TPA array scanned at an angle $\theta = 20^0$ with respect to antenna array boresight in both azimuth ($\phi = 0^0$) and elevation ($\phi = 90^0$) planes. **134**

**Fig. 3-34:** Radiation pattern of the proposed 14×14-element UE-TPA array scanned at an angle $\theta = 40^0$ with respect to antenna array boresight in azimuth ($\phi = 0^0$) plane. **134**

**Fig. 3-35:** Radiation pattern of the proposed 14×14-element UE-TPA array scanned at an angle $\theta = 40^0$ with respect to antenna array boresight in elevation ($\phi = 90^0$) plane. **135**

**Fig. 3-36:** 3D radiation pattern of the proposed 14×14-element UE-TPA array scanned at an angle $\theta = 40^0$ with respect to antenna array boresight in both azimuth ($\phi = 0^0$) and elevation ($\phi = 90^0$) planes. **135**

**Fig. 3-37:** Intensity plot of the proposed 14×14-element UE-TPA array scanned at an angle $\theta = 40^0$ with respect to antenna array boresight in both azimuth ($\phi = 0^0$) and elevation ($\phi = 90^0$) planes. **135**

**Fig. 3-38:** Variation of peak SLL values as a function of number of iterations in optimization of the proposed TPA array. **138**

**Fig. 3-39:** Optimum geometrical configuration of the 10×20-elements TPA array obtained by proposed technique. **138**

**Fig. 3-40:** Optimal arrangement of 'ON' (yellow) and 'OFF' (green) elements on the aperture of the proposed 10×20-element TPA array. **138**

**Fig. 3-41:** Comparison of radiation pattern of the proposed 10×20-element TPA array in $\phi = 0^0$ plane with those of the arrays reported in [Haupt (1994)], [Teruel and Iglesias (2006)], and [Zhang *et al.* (2010)]. **140**

**Fig. 3-42:** Comparison of radiation pattern of the proposed 10×20-element TPA array in $\phi = 0^0$ plane with those of the arrays reported in [Haupt (1994)], [Teruel and Iglesias (2006)], and [Zhang *et al.* (2010)] in the angular region ($\theta = 0^0 - 30^0$). **140**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Fig. 3-43:** | Comparison of radiation pattern of the proposed 10×20-element TPA array in $\phi = 90^0$ plane with those of the arrays reported in [Haupt (1994)], [Teruel and Iglesias (2006)], and [Zhang *et al.* (2010)]. | **141** |
| **Fig. 3-44:** | Comparison of radiation pattern of the proposed 10×20-element TPA array in $\phi = 90^0$ plane with those of the arrays reported in [Haupt (1994)], [Teruel and Iglesias (2006)], and [Zhang *et al.* (2010)] in the angular region ($\theta = 0^0 – 30^0$). | **141** |
| **Fig. 3-45:** | 3D radiation pattern of the proposed 10×20-element TPA array. | **142** |
| **Fig. 3-46:** | Intensity plot of the proposed 10×20-element TPA array. | **142** |
| **Fig. 3-47:** | Variations of PSLL value as a function of number of iterations during the optimization of proposed 10×20-element TPA array. | **146** |
| **Fig. 3-48:** | Optimum combinations of 'ON' and 'OFF' elements for one quadrant (X-positive Y-positive plane) of the proposed 10×20-element UE-TPA array. | **146** |
| **Fig. 3-49:** | Optimal arrangement of 'ON' (yellow) and 'OFF' (green) elements on the aperture of the proposed 10×20-element UE-TPA array. | **147** |
| **Fig. 3-50:** | Optimum combinations of 'ON' (Amp = '1'), OFF' (Amp = '0') and amplitude weighted elements one quadrant (X-positive Y-positive plane) of proposed 10×20-element AW-TPA Array. | **147** |
| **Fig. 3-51:** | Optimum arrangement of 'ON' (Amp = '1'), OFF' (Amp = '0') and amplitude weighted ( '0' < Amp <'1') antenna elements on the aperture of the proposed 10×20-element AW-TPA Array. | **147** |
| **Fig. 3-52:** | Normalized amplitude distributions across the array elements of the fully filled 30 dB Taylor tapered and the proposed 10×20-element arrays in $\phi = 0^0$ plane. | **148** |
| **Fig. 3-53:** | Normalized amplitude distributions across the array elements of the fully filled 30 dB Taylor tapered and the proposed 10×20-element arrays in $\phi = 90^0$ plane. | **148** |
| **Fig. 3-54:** | Comparison of radiation patterns of the proposed 10×20-element UE-TPA and AW-TPA arrays in $\phi = 90^0$ plane. | **148** |
| **Fig. 3-55:** | Comparison of radiation patterns of the proposed 10×20-element UE-TPA and AW-TPA arrays in $\phi = 90^0$ plane. | **149** |
| **Fig. 3-56:** | 3D radiation pattern of the proposed 10×20-element UE-TPA array. | **149** |
| **Fig. 3-57:** | Intensity plot of the proposed 10×20-element TPA array. | **149** |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Fig. 3-58:** | 3D radiation pattern of the proposed 10×20-element AW-TPA array. | **150** |
| **Fig. 3-59:** | Intensity plot of the proposed 10×20-element AW-TPA array. | **150** |
| **Fig. 3-60:** | Variations of best fitness i.e. PSLL value as a function of number of iterations in the optimization of the proposed 8x8-element TPA arrays. | **152** |
| **Fig. 3-61:** | Optimum combinations of 'ON' and 'OFF' elements for the proposed 8×8-element UE-TPA Array. | **153** |
| **Fig. 3-62:** | Optimal arrangement of 'ON' (green) and 'OFF' (blue) elements on the aperture of the proposed 8×8-element UE-TPA array. | **153** |
| **Fig. 3-63:** | Optimum combinations of 'ON' and 'OFF' elements for the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA array. | **153** |
| **Fig. 3-64:** | Optimum arrangement of 'ON' (Amp = '1'), OFF' (Amp = '0') and amplitude weighted ('0' < Amp < '1') elements on the aperture of the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA array. | **154** |
| **Fig. 3-65:** | Normalized amplitude distributions across the array elements of the fully filled 30 dB Taylor tapered and the proposed 8×8-element UE-TPA and AW-TPA arrays. | **154** |
| **Fig. 3-66:** | Comparison of radiation patterns of the proposed 8x8-element UE-TPA and AW-TPA arrays. | **154** |
| **Fig. 3-67:** | 3D radiation pattern of the proposed 8×8-element UE-TPA array. | **155** |
| **Fig. 3-68:** | Intensity plot of the proposed 8×8-element UE-TPA array. | **155** |
| **Fig. 3-69:** | 3D radiation pattern of the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA array. | **155** |
| **Fig. 3-70:** | Intensity plot of the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA array. | **156** |
| **Fig. 3-71:** | Architecture of the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA array assembly with its geometrical parameters. | **157** |
| **Fig. 3-72:** | 3D Model of radiating layer of the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA antenna array. | **158** |
| **Fig. 3-73:** | Variation of return loss with frequency for one quadrant (X-negative Y-positive plane) elements of the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA array. | **158** |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Fig. 3-74:** | Variation of mutual couplings with frequency for one quadrant (X-negative Y-positive plane) elements of the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA array. | **158** |
| **Fig. 3-75:** | 3D Model of feed network layer of the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA antenna array. | **159** |
| **Fig. 3-76:** | Port designation for one quadrant (X-negative Y-positive plane) of the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA array. | **159** |
| **Fig. 3-77:** | Variations of return loss and amplitude distributions with frequency for one quadrant (X-negative Y-positive plane) for the feed network of the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA array. | **160** |
| **Fig. 3-78:** | Variations of phase with frequency for one quadrant (X-negative Y-positive plane) for the feed network of the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA array. | **160** |
| **Fig. 3-79:** | Assembly of the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA array. | **161** |
| **Fig. 3-80:** | Variation of simulated return loss with frequency for the sum port of the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA array. | **162** |
| **Fig. 3-81:** | Simulated radiation pattern of the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA array in $\phi = 0^0$ plane (H-plane). | **162** |
| **Fig. 3-82:** | Simulated radiation pattern for the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA array in $\phi = 90^0$ plane (E-plane). | **162** |
| **Fig. 3-83:** | Realized 8×8-element AW-TPA array. | **164** |
| **Fig. 3-84:** | VSWR measurement setup of the realized 8×8-element AW-TPA array. | **164** |
| **Fig. 3-85:** | Pattern measurement setup in test chamber of the realized 8×8-element AW-TPA array. | **164** |
| **Fig. 3-86:** | Comparison of simulated and measured return loss of the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA array. | **165** |
| **Fig. 3-87:** | Comparison of simulated and experimental radiation patterns of the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA array in $\phi = 0^0$ plane. | **165** |
| **Fig. 3-88:** | Comparison of simulated and experimental radiation patterns for the proposed 8×8-element AW-TPA array in $\phi = 90^0$ plane. | **165** |
| **Fig. 3-89:** | General structure of one quadrant of the NUSPA array with $N_P \times M_P$ elements. | **170** |
| **Fig. 3-90:** | Proposed geometry of 8×16-element NUSPA array with dimensions corresponding to a frequency of 9.5 GHz. | **173** |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Fig. 3-91:** | Peak SLL vs. number of iterations for equally excited NUSPA array. | **174** |
| **Fig. 3-92:** | Numerically evaluated radiation pattern of the proposed 8×16-element NUSPA array in $\phi = 0^0$ plane. | **174** |
| **Fig. 3-93:** | Numerically evaluated radiation pattern of the proposed 8×16-element NUSPA array in $\phi = 90^0$ plane. | **174** |
| **Fig. 3-94:** | Intensity plot of the proposed 8×16-element NUSPA array. | **175** |
| **Fig. 3-95:** | 3D radiation pattern of the proposed 8×16-element NUSPA array. | **175** |
| **Fig. 3-96:** | Peak SLL vs. number of iterations for amplitude weighted NUSPA array. | **177** |
| **Fig. 3-97:** | Numerically evaluated radiation pattern of the proposed 8×16-element amplitude weighted NUSPA array in $\phi = 0^0$ plane. | **177** |
| **Fig. 3-98:** | Numerically evaluated radiation pattern of the proposed 8×16-element amplitude weighted NUSPA array in $\phi = 90^0$ plane. | **178** |
| **Fig. 3-99:** | 3D radiation pattern of the proposed 8×16-element amplitude weighted NUSPA array. | **178** |
| **Fig. 3-100:** | Intensity plot of the proposed 8×16-element amplitude weighted NUSPA array. | **178** |
| **Fig. 4-1:** | Geometrical configuration of the RSPA array (X-positive Y-positive plane) with N×M-element. | **185** |
| **Fig. 4-2:** | Variation in fitness value i.e. PSLL with number of iterations for the synthesis of the proposed 8×16-element RSPA array. | **187** |
| **Fig. 4-3:** | Antenna element distribution at the aperture of the proposed 8×16- element RSPA array. | **188** |
| **Fig. 4-4:** | Radiation pattern of the proposed 8×16-element RSPA array in azimuth ($\phi = 0^0$) plane. | **188** |
| **Fig. 4-5:** | Radiation pattern of the proposed 8×16-element RSPA array in elevation ($\phi = 90^0$) plane. | **188** |
| **Fig. 4-6:** | 3D radiation pattern of the proposed 8×16-element RSPA array. | **189** |
| **Fig. 4-7:** | Intensity plot of the proposed 8×16-element RSPA array. | **189** |
| **Fig. 4-8:** | Variations in best fitness value i.e. PSLL with number of iterations for synthesis of the proposed 4×4-element RSPA array. | **193** |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Fig. 4-9:** | Antenna element distribution at the aperture of 4×4-element array operating at 1.5 GHz. | **193** |
| **Fig. 4-10:** | Radiation pattern of the proposed 4×4-element RSPA array at 1.5 GHz in azimuth ($\phi = 0^0$) plane. | **194** |
| **Fig. 4-11:** | Radiation pattern of the proposed 4×4-element RSPA array at 1.5 GHz in elevation ($\phi = 90^0$) plane. | **194** |
| **Fig. 4-12:** | 3D radiation pattern of the proposed 4×4-element RSPA array operating at 1.5 GHz. | **195** |
| **Fig. 4-13:** | Intensity plot of the proposed 4×4-element RSPA array operating at 1.5 GHz. | **195** |
| **Fig. 4-14:** | Variation in best fitness value i.e. PSLL with number of iterations for synthesis of the proposed 8×8-element RSPA array. | **196** |
| **Fig. 4-15:** | Antenna elements distribution at the aperture of 8×8-element RSPA array operating at 3.3 GHz. | **197** |
| **Fig. 4-16:** | Radiation pattern of the proposed 8×8-element RSPA array at 3.3 GHz in azimuth ($\phi = 0^0$) plane. | **198** |
| **Fig. 4-17:** | Radiation pattern of the proposed 8×8-element RSPA array at 3.3 GHz in elevation ($\phi = 90^0$) plane. | **198** |
| **Fig. 4-18:** | 3D radiation pattern of the proposed 8×8-element RSPA array operating at 3.3 GHz. | **198** |
| **Fig. 4-19:** | Intensity plot of the proposed 8×8-element RSPA array operating at 3.3 GHz. | **199** |
| **Fig. 4-20:** | Antenna elements distribution at the aperture of 4×4- and 8×8-elements multi-functional arrays operating at 1.5 GHz and 3.3 GHz. | **199** |
| **Fig. 4-21:** | Radiation patterns of the proposed 4×4- and 8×8-elements multi-functional arrays operating at 1.5 GHz and 3.3 GHz in azimuth ($\phi = 0^0$) plane. | **200** |
| **Fig. 4-22:** | Radiation patterns of the proposed 4×4- and 8×8-elements multi-functional arrays operating at 1.5 GHz and 3.3 GHz in elevation ($\phi = 90^0$) plane. | **200** |