| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | | | |
|---|----|--|--|
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | | | |
| LIST OF FIGURES | | | |
| LIST OF TABLES | | | |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | | | |
| LIST OF INDICES AND PARAMETERS | | | |
| PREFACE | | | |
| I. INTRODUCTION | | | |
| 1.1. Introduction | 1 | | |
| 1.1.1. Supply Chain (SC) | 3 | | |
| 1.1.2. Supply Chain Management (SCM) | 5 | | |
| 1.1.3. Supply chain risk, vulnerability, and disruptions (SVDs) | 7 | | |
| 1.1.4. Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) | 10 | | |
| 1.2. The Concept of the Resilience in Supply Chain | | | |
| 1.2.1. Resilience | 15 | | |
| 1.2.2. Resilient Supply Chain (RSC) | 18 | | |
| 1.2.3. RSC Capabilities | 22 | | |
| 1.2.4. RSC Practices | 32 | | |
| 1.2.5. Barriers to the RSC | 41 | | |
| 1.3. Modeling Practices and the Barriers of the RSC | | | |
| 1.4. Assessment of the resilient Score of the SC | | | |
| 1.5. Background and Motivation of the Topic | | | |
| 1.6. Objectives of the Research Work | | | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | 1.7. Scope of the Work | | |
|----|--|-----|--|
| | 1.8. Organization of the Thesis | | |
| 2. | CAUSAL DEPENDENCE RELATIONSHIPS AMONG THE | 73 | |
| | PRACTICES OF THE RSC | | |
| | 2.1. Capabilities of the RSC | 75 | |
| | 2.2. Resilient Practices of the SC | | |
| | 2.3. Methodology | | |
| | 2.3.1. Identification of the Resilient Practices | 99 | |
| | 2.3.2. Defining the Contextual Relationship and Developing a | 99 | |
| | Structural Self-Interaction Matrix (SSIM) | | |
| | 2.3.3. Interpretation of Dependence Relationships | 102 | |
| | 2.3.4. Development of the reachability matrix | 103 | |
| | 2.3.5. MICMAC Analysis | 103 | |
| | 2.3.6. Level Partition of the RSC Practices | 105 | |
| | 2.3.7. Developing Digraph | 107 | |
| | 2.3.8. Development of an Interaction Matrix and Total | 112 | |
| | Interpretive Structural Model | | |
| | 2.4. Result | 122 | |
| | 2.5. Conclusion | 126 | |
| 3. | ESTIMATING THE UTILITY OF THE RESILIENT PRACTICES | 129 | |
| | FOR RSC | | |
| | 3.1. Conceptual framework | 129 | |
| | 3.2. Methodology | | |
| | 3.2.1. Estimating the Importance of the Resilient Practices | 134 | |
| | 3.2.1.1. Identification of the customer requirements | 135 | |

| 3.2.1.2. | Determination of the importance weight of | 136 | | |
|--|---|-----|--|--|
| WHATs | | | | |
| 3.2.1.3. | Evaluating the resilience performance of the case | 136 | | |
| orga | organization with respect to the resilient capabilities | | | |
| (P_{Res}) | | | | |
| 3.2.1.4. | Identification of the correlation between resilient | 137 | | |
| practices (g_{jk}) | | | | |
| 3.2.1.5. | Determination of relationships between RSC | 137 | | |
| practices and capabilities (R_{ji}) | | | | |
| 3.2.1.6. | Determination of the initial ratings resilient | 141 | | |
| practices of the RSC (D_j) | | | | |
| 3.2.1.7. | Determination of the final ratings of the resilient | 143 | | |
| practices (D'_j) | | | | |
| 3.2.2. Consistency Check of the Data | | | | |
| 3.3. Result and Discussion | | | | |
| 3.4. Conclusion | | | | |
| EVALUATING THE RESILIENCE LEVEL OF AN INDIAN | | | | |
| MANUFACTURI | NG SUPPLY CHAIN | | | |
| 4.1. Conceptual Framework | | | | |
| 4.2. Methodology | | | | |
| 4.2.1. Lingui | stic Scale for the Assessment of the Importance | 171 | | |
| Weight and the Performance Rating | | | | |
| 4.2.2. Collect | ting and Aggregating the Expert Response | 172 | | |
| 4.2.3. Evalua | ting the Resilience Fuzzy Index (RFI) and | 183 | | |

4.

Performance Fuzzy Index (PFI)

| | 4.2.3.1. | Evaluating Resilience Score at Resilient Practice | 184 | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|---|-----|--|
| | Level (RP_i) | | | |
| | 4.2.3.2. | Evaluating the Resilience Fuzzy Index (RFI) | 185 | |
| | 4.2.3.3. | Approximating the Resilience Level | 187 | |
| | 4.2.3.4. | Evaluating the Performance Fuzzy Index | 189 | |
| 4.3. Result and Discussion | | | | |
| | 4.4. Conclusion | | 196 | |
| 5. | ANALYZING T | HE FACTORS OPPOSING THE RESILIENT | 199 | |
| | BEHAVIOR OF | THE RSC | | |
| | 5.1. Barriers to the | ne RSC | 201 | |
| | 5.2. Methodolog | y | 208 | |
| 5.2.1. Fuzzy Set Theory | | | | |
| | 5.2.1.1. | Normalizing Expert's Response | 211 | |
| | 5.2.1.2. | Determining the Left (ls) and Right (rs) | 213 | |
| Normalized Value | | | | |
| | 5.2.1.3. | Determining the Total Normalized Crisp Values | 217 | |
| | 5.2.1.4. | Determining the Final Crisp Values | 218 | |
| | 5.2.1.5. | Determining the direct-relation matrix | 221 | |
| | 5.2.2. DEM. | ATEL | 221 | |
| | 5.2.2.1. | Identification of the Factors of the System Under | 222 | |
| Consideration | | | | |
| | 5.2.2.2. | Development of the Relation Matrix | 222 | |
| | 5.2.2.3. | Development of the Initial Direct-Relation Matrix | 223 | |
| | 5.2.2.4. | Calculating the Normalized Direct-Relation | 223 | |

Matrix

| | 5.2 | 2.2.5. | Development of the Total Relational Matrix | 223 |
|---|------------------|------------|--|-----|
| | 5.2 | 2.2.6. | Identifying the Cause Group And Influence | 224 |
| Group Factors and Developing their Causal And | | | | |
| | Effect Graph | | | |
| | 5.2 | 2.2.7. | Determining the Relative Priority Weights of the | 226 |
| | | rriers | | |
| | 5.3. Resul | Discussion | 229 | |
| 5.4. Conclusion | | | | 234 |
| 6. CONCLUSIONS | | | 247 | |
| | 6.1. Conclusions | | | 247 |
| | 6.1.1. | Theor | retical Contribution | 251 |
| | 6.1.2. | Mana | gerial Contribution | 252 |
| | 6.1.3. | Limit | ations and the Future Research | 256 |
| Re | References | | 259 | |
| Appendix A | | | | |
| Appendix B | | | | |

List of papers published/accepted for publication