MATHEMATICAL STUDY OF SOME CRACK PROPAGATION PROBLEMS IN COMPOSITE ORTHOTROPIC MEDIA



Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment for the award of the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

by

Neha Trivedi

Department of Mathematical Sciences Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi-221005

Roll no. 18121002

May 2023

CERTIFICATE

It is certified that the work contained in the thesis titled "Mathematical study of Some Crack Propagation problems in Composite Orthotropic Media" by Neha Trivedi has been carried out under my supervision and that this work has not been submitted elsewhere for a degree.

It is further certified that the student has fulfilled all the requirements of Comprehensive Examination, Candidacy and SOTA for the award of Ph.D. degree.

(Prof. Subir Das)

(Prof. Subir Das) Supervisor Professor Department of Mathematical Sciences Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi-221005 ,

DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE

I, Neha Trivedi, certify that the work embodied in this thesis is my own bona fide work and carried out by me under the supervision of Prof. Subir Das from July 2018 to May 2023 at the Department of Mathematical Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University), Varanasi. The matter embodied in this thesis has not been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma. I declare that I have faithfully acknowledged and given credits to the research workers wherever their works have been cited in my work in this thesis. I further declare that I have not willfully copied any other's work, paragraphs, text, data, results, *etc.*, reported in journals, books, magazines, reports, dissertations, theses, *etc.*, or available at websites and have not included them in this thesis and have not cited as my own work.

Date: 17 05 23 Place: Varanasi

Nets Trivedi

(Neha Trivedi) (Roll no. 18121002)

CERTIFICATE BY THE SUPERVISOR

It is certified that the above statement made by the student is correct to the best of my knowledge.

17.05.2023

(Prof. S. K. Pandey) Head of the Department Department of Mathematical Sciences Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Ganaras Hindu University) Varanal 2 Head Figure Varanal 2 Head Figure Department of Mathematical Sciences

भारतीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान Indian Institute of Technology (काशी हिन्दू विश्वविद्यालन) (Banevas Hindu University) वाराणसी /Versitys

(Prof. Subir Das) Supervisor Department of Mathematical Sciences Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi-221005 *

COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE

Title of the Thesis: Mathematical study of Some Crack Propagation Problems in Composite Orthotropic Media Name of the Student: Neha Trivedi

Copyright Transfer

The undersigned hereby assigns to the Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University), Varanasi all rights under copyright that may exist in and for the above thesis submitted for the award of the Ph.D. degree.

Date: 17/05/23 Place: Varanasi

Nutra Trivedi

(Neha Trivedi)

Note: However, the author may reproduce or authorize others to reproduce material extracted verbatim from the thesis or derivative of the thesis for author's personal use provided that the source and the Institute copyright notice are indicated.

x

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who have supported me throughout my academic journey and helped me complete this thesis.

Firstly, I would like to thank my thesis supervisor, Prof. Subir Das, for his invaluable guidance, advice, and support throughout this thesis work. His encouragement, expertise, and critical feedback have been instrumental in shaping my ideas and helping me to develop my research skills.

I would also like to extend my regards to my RPEC members, Prof. Shantwana Mukhopadhyay and Prof. R.K. Mishra(Department of electrical engineering), for their valuable suggestions throughout my research journey, Conneyner DPGC, HOD, and all faculty members and non-teaching staff of the Department of Mathematical Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU) Varanasi for providing me with an excellent academic environment, resources, and opportunities for learning and growth.

My heartfelt thanks go to my family, My grandmother, the pillar of strength to my family Mrs. Kunti Trivedi and my mother, Mrs. Radha rani Trivedi for always believing in me and supporting me unconditionally. My brother, Mr. Gagandeep Trivedi, who is like my backbone, and my friends, Mr. Anoop k Pavdi, Ms. Bhavana anchan, Mr. Amod Kashyap, Ms. Vishnupriya, Ms. Uma Sharma, and Mr. Jitendra K Jha, who've supported me mentally and emotionally in my entire thesis writing process, my fellow research scholars of the department. My lab mates Ms. Sapna Baluni, Ms. Chetna Biswas, Ms. Anshika Tanwar, Ms. Anjumann, Mr. Sunny Singh, Mr. Shiv Sankar Chauhan, Mr. Shiv Shankar Das and Mr. Shubham Tiwari for their support and all my loved ones whose names are not there but been a constant source of encouragement, motivation, and emotional support. Their belief in me and unwavering support have given me the strength to overcome challenges and pursue my academic goals.

I would like to take a moment to acknowledge myself for the perseverance and resilience that I have demonstrated in completing this thesis. Despite the challenges and obstacles that I have faced along the way. I am proud of myself for the efforts that I have invested in this thesis and for the personal and academic growth that I have experienced in this journey." This appreciation would be lacking if the great visionary Pt. Madan Mohan Malaviya, who founded this heavenly center of knowledge, was not mentioned. Greatest regards to him.

I'd like to conclude by offering the Almighty my sincere praise and prayers in appreciation for this boundless love and grace.

Thank you all for your support, encouragement, and inspiration.

Neha Trivedi

Varanasi-221005

Dedicated to my family

Contents

List of Figures	xix
List of Tables	xxi
Preface	xiii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Literature review and introduction of the thesis	1
$1.2 \text{Modes of fracture} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $	10
1.3 Crack Propagation Parameters	11
1.3.1 Stress intensity factor	11
1.3.2 Stress magnification factor	12
1.3.3 Crack opening displacement	13
1.4 Mathematical techniques and methods	15
1.4.1 Fourier transformation	15
1.4.2 Kronecker delta function	15
$1.4.3 \text{Bessel function} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $	15
$1.4.4 \text{Schmidt method} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $	16
1.4.5 Wiener-Hopf technique	18
2 Study of collinear cracks in a composite medium subjected to	
time-harmonic wave disturbance	23
$2.1 \text{Introduction} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $	23
2.2 Mathematical formulation	25
2.3 Solution of the problem \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots	28
$2.4 \text{Stress intensity factor} \dots \dots$	35
2.5 Numerical results and discussion	35
$2.6 \text{Conclusion} \dots \dots$	42
3 The mathematical study of an Edge crack in two different speci-	
fied models under time-harmonic wave disturbance	43
3.1 Introduction	43
3.2 Mathematical formulation	45

	3.3	Solution of the problems	48
	3.4	Results and discussion	54
	3.5	Conclusion	60
4	Son	i infinite Moving Crack under Antiplane Shear Leading	61
4	4 1	Introduction	61
	4.1	Mathematical formulation of the problem	62
	4.2	Solution of the problem	66
	4.0	4.2.1 Wiener Hepf technique	67
	1 1	Stross intensity factor(SIE) and Crack opening displacement(COD)	70
	4.4	Numerical results and discussion	70
	4.0		83 83
	4.0		00
5	Two	o semi-infinite moving cracks situated at two different inter-	
	face	s of four semi-infinite orthotropic strips in a composite medium	<mark>1</mark> 85
	5.1	Introduction	85
	5.2	Mathematical problem formulation	86
	5.3	Solution of the mathematical problem	91
		5.3.1 Wiener-Hopf technique	93
	5.4	Expressions of Stress intensity factor(SIF) and Crack opening dis-	
		placement(COD)	100
	5.5	Numerical results and discussions	102
	5.6	Conclusion	110
C	Car	alusions and future work	119
U	COI	Conclusions and future work	119
	0.1 6 9	Conclusions	115
	0.2	Scope for future study	110
A	ppen	dix	121
Bi	blog	raphy	123
\mathbf{Li}	st of	publications	133

List of Figures

1.1	Stress field distribution near crack tip	6
1.2	loading tolerance lifespan of the structure	7
1.3	Modes of fracture	10
2.1	Geometry of the problem	26
2.2	Variations of the normalised K_{Ia} vs. $a\omega/C_T^{(1)}$ for $a = 0.5, b = 0.6, 0.7, 0.8$	
	and $c = 1$ when $h = 2$.	36
2.3	Variations of the normalised K_{Ia} vs. $a\omega/C_T^{(1)}$ for $a = 0.5, b = 0.6, 0.7, 0.8$	
	and $c = 1$ when $h = 4$.	36
2.4	Variations of the normalised K_{Ia} vs. $a\omega/C_T^{(1)}$ for $a = 0.5, b = 0.6, 0.7, 0.8$	
	and $c = 1$ when $h = 6$.	37
2.5	Variations of the normalised K_{Ib} vs. $(c-b)\omega/2C_T^{(1)}$ for $a = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, b =$	=
	0.6, c = 1 when $h = 2$.	37
2.6	Variations of the normalised K_{Ib} vs. $(c-b)\omega/2C_T^{(1)}$ for $a = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, b =$	=
	0.6, c = 1 when $h = 4$.	38
2.7	Variations of the normalised K_{Ib} vs. $(c-b)\omega/2C_T^{(1)}$ for $a = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, b =$	=
	0.6, c = 1 when $h = 6$.	38
2.8	Variations of the normalised K_{Ic} vs. $(c-b)\omega/2C_T^{(1)}$ for $a = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, b =$	=
	0.6, c = 1 when $h = 2$.	39
2.9	Variations of the normalised K_{Ic} vs. $(c-b)\omega/2C_T^{(1)}$ for $a = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, b =$	=
	0.6, c = 1 when $h = 4$.	39
2.10	0.6, $c = 1$ when $h = 4$. Variations of the normalised K_{Ic} vs. $(c-b)\omega/2C_T^{(1)}$ for $a = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, b =$	39 =
2.10	0.6, $c = 1$ when $h = 4$. Variations of the normalised K_{Ic} vs. $(c-b)\omega/2C_T^{(1)}$ for $a = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, b = 0.6, c = 1$ when $h = 6$.	39 = 40
2.10 2.11	$\begin{array}{l} \hline 0.6, \ c = 1 \text{ when } h = 4. \\ \hline \text{Variations of the normalised } K_{Ic} \text{ vs. } (c-b)\omega/2C_T^{(1)} \text{ for } a = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, \ b = \\ \hline 0.6, \ c = 1 \text{ when } h = 6. \\ \hline \text{Variations of the } M_{Ia} \text{ vs. } b/a \text{ for different values of wave number (w)} \end{array}$	39 = 40
2.10 2.11	0.6, $c = 1$ when $h = 4$. Variations of the normalised K_{Ic} vs. $(c-b)\omega/2C_T^{(1)}$ for $a = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, b = 0.6, c = 1$ when $h = 6$. Variations of the M_{Ia} vs. b/a for different values of wave number (w) at $h = 2$.	39 = 40 40
2.10 2.11 2.12	0.6, $c = 1$ when $h = 4$. Variations of the normalised K_{Ic} vs. $(c-b)\omega/2C_T^{(1)}$ for $a = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, b = 0.6, c = 1$ when $h = 6$. Variations of the M_{Ia} vs. b/a for different values of wave number (w) at $h = 2$. Variations of the M_{Ia} vs. b/a for different values of wave number (w)	39 = 40 40
2.10 2.11 2.12	$\begin{array}{l} 0.6, \ c = 1 \ \text{when} \ h = 4. \\ \text{Variations of the normalised} \ K_{Ic} \ \text{vs.} \ (c-b)\omega/2C_T^{(1)} \ \text{for } a = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, \ b = \\ 0.6, \ c = 1 \ \text{when} \ h = 6. \\ \text{Variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \text{at} \ h = 2. \\ \text{Variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \text{variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \text{at} \ h = 4. \\ \text{Variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \end{array}$	39 = 40 40 41
2.10 2.11 2.12 2.13	$\begin{array}{l} \hline 0.6, \ c = 1 \ \text{when} \ h = 4. \\ \hline \text{Variations of the normalised} \ K_{Ic} \ \text{vs.} \ (c-b)\omega/2C_T^{(1)} \ \text{for } a = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, \ b = \\ \hline 0.6, \ c = 1 \ \text{when} \ h = 6. \\ \hline \text{Variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{at} \ h = 2. \\ \hline \text{Variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{at} \ h = 4. \\ \hline \text{Variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{at} \ h = 4. \\ \hline \text{Variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{Variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \ \text{variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \ \text{variations of the } \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \ \ \text{values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \ \ \text{values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \ \ \ \text{values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	39 40 40 41
2.10 2.11 2.12 2.13	$\begin{array}{l} 0.6, \ c = 1 \ \text{when} \ h = 4. \\ \hline \text{Variations of the normalised} \ K_{Ic} \ \text{vs.} \ (c-b)\omega/2C_T^{(1)} \ \text{for } a = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, \ b = \\ \hline 0.6, \ c = 1 \ \text{when} \ h = 6. \\ \hline \text{Variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{at} \ h = 2. \\ \hline \text{Variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{at} \ h = 4. \\ \hline \text{Variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{at} \ h = 4. \\ \hline \text{Variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{at} \ h = 6. \\ \hline \text{Variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{at} \ h = 6. \\ \hline \text{Variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{at} \ h = 6. \\ \hline \text{Variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{at} \ h = 6. \\ \hline \text{Variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{at} \ h = 6. \\ \hline \text{Variations of the} \ M_{Ia} \ \text{vs.} \ b/a \ \text{for different values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline \text{at} \ h = 6. \\ \hline \text{values of wave number (w)} \\ \hline values of wave$	39 = 40 40 41 41 41
2.10 2.11 2.12 2.13 3.1	$0.6, c = 1$ when $h = 4$ Variations of the normalised K_{Ic} vs. $(c-b)\omega/2C_T^{(1)}$ for $a = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, b =$ $0.6, c = 1$ when $h = 6$ Variations of the M_{Ia} vs. b/a for different values of wave number (w)at $h = 2$ Variations of the M_{Ia} vs. b/a for different values of wave number (w)at $h = 4$ Variations of the M_{Ia} vs. b/a for different values of wave number (w)at $h = 4$ Variations of the M_{Ia} vs. b/a for different values of wave number (w)at $h = 4$ Variations of the M_{Ia} vs. b/a for different values of wave number (w)at $h = 6$ The crack geometry of Problem-1	39 40 40 41 41 41 45

3.3	Variation of normalized K_{Ia} vs. $a\omega/C_T^{(1)}$ for problem-1 at $a/h =$	
	0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, for $h = 2$.	54
3.4	Variation of normalized K_{Ia} vs. $a\omega/C_T^{(1)}$ for problem-1 at $a/h =$	
	0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, for h = 4.	55
3.5	Variation of normalized K_{Ia} vs. $a\omega/C_T^{(1)}$ for problem-1 at $a/h =$	
	0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, for $h = 6.$	55
3.6	Variation of normalized K_{Ia} vs. $a\omega/C_T^{(1)}$ for problem-2 at $a/h =$	
	0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, for h = 2.	56
3.7	Variation of normalized K_{Ia} vs. $a\omega/C_T^{(1)}$ for problem-2 at $a/h =$	
	0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, for $h = 4$.	56
3.8	Variation of normalized K_{Ia} vs. $a\omega/C_T^{(1)}$ for problem-2 at $a/h =$	
	0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, for h = 6.	57
3.9	Variation of normalized K_{Ia} vs. a/h for problem-1 at $a\omega/C_T^{(1)} =$	
	0.5, 1.5, 2.5, for $h = 2.$	57
3.10	Variation of normalized K_{Ia} vs. a/h for problem-1 at $a\omega/C_T^{(1)} =$	
	0.5, 1.5, 2.5, for $h = 4$.	58
3.11	Variation of normalized K_{Ia} vs. a/h for problem-1 at $a\omega/C_T^{(1)} =$	
	0.5, 1.5, 2.5, for $h = 6.$	58
3.12	Variation of the normalized K_{Ia} vs. a/h for problem-2 at $a\omega/C_T^{(1)} =$	
	0.5, 1.5, 2.5, for $h = 2.$	59
3.13	Variation of normalized K_{Ia} vs. a/h for problem-2 at $a\omega/C_T^{(1)} =$	
	0.5, 1.5, 2.5, for $h = 4$.	59
3.14	Variation of normalized K_{Ia} vs. a/h for problem-2 at $a\omega/C_T^{(1)} =$	
	0.5, 1.5, 2.5, for h = 6.	60
4.1	Geometry of the main problem	63
4.2	Geometry of the transformed problem	64
4.3	Variations of the normalized SIF vs Crack Velocity (V) for $h = 2.4$	
1.0	and 6 for Graphite epoxy as Medium-I and Carbon fiber as Medium-II.	72
4.4	Variations of the normalized SIF vs Crack Velocity (V) for $h = 2.4$	
	and 6 for Graphite epoxy as Medium-I and Prepreg as Medium-II.	72
4.5	Variations of the normalized SIF vs Crack Velocity (V) for $h = 2.4$	
	and 6 for Prepreg as Medium-I and Carbon fiber as Medium-II.	73
4.6	Variations of the normalized COD with distance x for Crack Velocity	
	(V) = 0.1, 0.75 & 1.5 and h = 2 for Graphite epoxy as Medium-I and	
	Carbon fiber as Medium-II.	73

4.7	Variations of the normalized COD with distance x for Crack Velocity	
	(V) = 0.1, 0.75 & 1.5 and h = 4 for Graphite epoxy as Medium-I and	
	Carbon fiber as Medium-II.	74
4.8	Variations of the normalized COD with distance x for Crack Velocity	
	(V) = 0.1, 0.75 & 1.5 and h = 6 for Graphite epoxy as Medium-I and	
	Carbon fiber as Medium-II.	74
4.9	Variations of the normalized COD with distance x for Crack Velocity	
	(V) = 0.1 and $h = 2, 4 & 6$ for Graphite epoxy as Medium-I and	
	Carbon fiber as Medium-II.	75
4.10	Variations of the normalized COD with distance x for Crack Velocity	
	(V) = 0.75 and $h = 2,4 & 6$ for Graphite epoxy as Medium-I and	
	Carbon fiber as Medium-II.	75
4.11	Variations of the normalized COD with distance x for Crack Velocity	
	(V) = 1 and $h = 2, 4 & 6$ for Graphite epoxy as Medium-I and Carbon	
	fiber as Medium-II.	76
4.12	Variations of the normalized COD with distance x for Crack Velocity	
	(V) = 0.1, 0.75 & 1.5 and h = 2 for Graphite epoxy as Medium-I and	
	Prepreg as Medium-II.	76
4.13	Variations of the normalized COD with distance x for Crack Velocity	
	(V) = 0.1, 0.75 & 1.5 and h = 4 for Graphite epoxy as Medium-I and	
	Prepreg as Medium-II.	77
4.14	Variations of the normalized COD with distance x for Crack Velocity	
	(V) = 0.1, 0.75 & 1.5 and h = 6 for Graphite epoxy as Medium-I and	
	Prepreg as Medium-II.	77
4.15	Variations of the normalized COD with distance x for Crack Velocity	
	(V) = 0.1 and $h = 2,4$ & 6 for Graphite epoxy as Medium-I and	
	Prepreg as Medium-II.	78
4.16	Variations of the normalized COD with distance x for Crack Velocity	
	(V) = 0.75 and $h = 2,4$ & 6 for Graphite epoxy as Medium-I and	
	Prepreg as Medium-II.	78
4.17	Variations of the normalized COD with distance x for Crack Velocity	
	(V) = 1 and $h = 2, 4 & 6$ for Graphite epoxy as Medium-I and Prepreg	
	as Medium-II.	79
4.18	Variations of the normalized COD with distance x for Crack Velocity	
	(V) = 0.1, 0.75 & 1.5 and h = 2 for Prepreg as Medium-I and Carbon	
	fiber as Medium-II.	79

4.19	Variations of the normalized COD with distance x for Crack Velocity
	(V) = 0.1, 0.75 & 1.5 and h = 4 for Prepreg as Medium-I and Carbon
	fiber as Medium-II
4.20	Variations of the normalized COD with distance x for Crack Velocity
	(V) = 0.1, 0.75 & 1.5 and h = 6 for Prepreg as Medium-I and Carbon
	fiber as Medium-II
4.21	Variations of the normalized COD with distance x for Crack Velocity
	(V) = 0.1 and $h = 2, 4 & 6$ for Prepreg as Medium-I and Carbon fiber
	as Medium-II
4.22	Variations of the normalized COD with distance x for Crack Velocity
	(V) = 0.75 and $h = 2,4$ & 6 for Prepreg as Medium-I and Carbon
	fiber as Medium-II
4.23	Variations of the normalized COD with distance x for Crack Velocity
	(V) = 1 and $h = 2, 4 & 6$ for Prepreg as Medium-I and Carbon fiber
	as Medium-II
5.1	Geometry of the original problem
5.2	Geometry of the transformed problem
5.3	Variations of the normalised SIF for first crack vs crack velocity (c)
	for $h = 2, 4$ and 6.
5.4	Variations of the normalised SIF for second crack vs crack velocity (c)
	for $h = 2, 4$ and 6
5.5	Variations of the normalised COD for first crack vs displacement at
	cracked surface $y = h$ for crack velocity $(c) = 0.5, 1.0 \& 1.5$ and $h = 2$. 104
5.6	Variations of the normalised COD for first crack vs displacement at
	cracked surface $y = h$ for crack velocity $(c) = 0.5, 1.0 \& 1.5$ and $h = 4$. 104
5.7	Variations of the normalised COD for first crack vs displacement at
	cracked surface $y = h$ for crack velocity $(c) = 0.5, 1.0 \& 1.5$ and $h = 6$. 105
5.8	Variations of the normalised COD for first crack vs displacement at
	cracked surface $y = h$ for crack velocity $(c) = 0.5$ and $h = 2, 4 \& 6$ 105
5.9	Variations of the normalised COD for first crack vs displacement at
	cracked surface $y = h$ for crack velocity $(c) = 1.0$ and $h = 2, 4 \& 6$ 106
5.10	Variations of the normalised COD for first crack vs displacement at
	cracked surface $y = h$ for crack velocity $(c) = 1.5$ and $h = 2, 4 \& 6$ 106
5.11	Variations of the normalised COD for second crack vs displacement
	at cracked surface $y = -h$ for crack velocity $(c) = 0.5, 0.75 \& 1.0$ and

5.12 Variations of the normalised COD for second crack vs displacement
at cracked surface $y = -h$ for crack velocity $(c) = 0.5, 0.75 \& 1.0$ and
$h = 4. \dots $
5.13 Variations of the normalised COD for second crack vs displacement
at cracked surface $y = -h$ for crack velocity $(c) = 0.5, 0.75 \& 1.0$ and
$h = 6. \dots $
5.14 Variations of the normalised COD for second crack vs displacement
at cracked surface $y = -h$ for crack velocity $(c) = 0.5$ and $h = 2, 4 \& 6.108$
5.15 Variations of the normalised COD for second crack vs displacement
at cracked surface $y = -h$ for crack velocity $(c) = 0.75$ and $h = 2, 4$
<u>& 6.</u>
5.16 Variations of the normalised COD for second crack vs displacement
at cracked surface $y = -h$ for crack velocity $(c) = 1$ and $h = 2, 4 \& 6$. 109

List of Tables

2.1	Engineering material constants	35
4.1	Engineering material elasticity constants	71
5.1	Engineering material elasticity constants	102

Preface

Almost all materials are susceptible to crack and wave propagation. Materials begin to weaken when they develop finite or semi-infinite cracks. This thesis aims to create numerous mathematical models of semi-infinite/finite cracks in infinite or bounded structures to investigate how crack tips propagate. The problems studied here can be applied in real life to improve safety standards in various industries and manufacturing processes. The handling of fracture parameters such as stress intensity factor and crack opening displacement at the crack tip has been achieved.

This thesis deals with the problem of various types of finite and semi-infinite cracks in different composite orthotropic media. There are five crack problems studied here, in which the first problem deals with three collinear cracks of finite length embedded at the semi-infinite orthotropic strip bonded by two orthotropic halfplanes. The second problem consists of an edge crack in a vertical strip bonded by half plane, whereas the third type of crack is simply an edge crack situated in a vertical orthotropic strip. The problems from these models have been solved using the Schmith method along with Fourier transformation.

The fourth crack problem is an interfacial semi-infinite crack situated between two dissimilar orthotropic semi-infinite strips, and the fifth crack problem consists of two interfacial semi-infinite cracks situated at two different interfaces in the system of four orthotropic composite semi-infinite strips; these two problems have been solved using the Wiener-Hopf technique.

The crack problems studied in this thesis are a mathematical approach to save the material from failure by studying the physical factors which are responsible for crack propagation and material failure, viz. SIF, SMF, and COD. The thesis has been constructed in such a manner that the applications of the Fourier transform, as well as the methods for solving the models under consideration, including the Schmidt method, Asymptotic approach, and Weiner-Hopf technique, have been covered. It also discusses potential future works.