### Chapter 4

### **Finite** $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart Modules

In [35], Lee and Barcenas introduced the notion of finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart modules. Motivated by the notion of finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart modules, we introduce the notion of finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart modules. The class of finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart modules generalizes the class of  $\Sigma$ -Rickart modules. In this chapter, we study some properties of finite  $\Sigma$ dual-Rickart modules and give a comparison between finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart modules and finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart modules. In the last section, we study endomorphism rings of finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart modules.

#### 4.1 Finite $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart Modules

**Definition 4.1.1.** An *R*-module *M* is said to be a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart if the direct sum of finitely many copies of *M* is a dual-Rickart module. Equivalently, a module *M* is said to be a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module if  $M^{(n)} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M_i$  ( $M_i = M$  for each *i*) is a dual-Rickart for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Further, a ring *R* is called a right (left) finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart if  $R_R$  ( $_RR$ ) is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module.

- **Example 4.1.2.** (i) Every dual-Rickart module with D2-condition is a finite  $\Sigma$ dual-Rickart module as from [37, Corollary 5.12] the direct sum of finitely many copies of a dual-Rickart module with D2-condition is dual-Rickart.
  - (ii) Every indecomposable Hopfian dual-Rickart module is a finite Σ-dual-Rickart module [37, Remark 5.13].
- (iii) Every injective module over a right hereditary ring is a finite Σ-dual-Rickart module, as every injective module over a right hereditary ring is a dual-Rickart module [37, Theorem 2.29] and finite direct sum of injective modules is injective.
- (iv) A ring R is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart if R is a von Neumann regular ring.

We have the following diagram for a right R-module M:



The reverse of any arrows in the above diagram need not be true. The following example illustrate it.

**Example 4.1.3.** (i) Let  $R = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ , where  $F_n = \mathbb{Z}_2$  for each n. It is easy to see that the ring R is a von Neumann regular. From [37, Proposition 2.25], it is clear that for a von Neumann regular, the direct sum of finite copies

of R is a dual-Rickart R-module. Therefore  $R_R$  is a dual-Rickart as well as finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart R-module. Since,  $M = R^{(R)}$  satisfies D2-condition and  $End_R(M)$  is not a von Neumann regular ring. Therefore, from [37, Theorem 3.8] M is not a dual-Rickart R-module. Hence, M can not be a  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart R-module.

- (ii) Let M = Z<sub>p</sub>∞ (Prüfer p-group for a prime p) and R = Z. It is clear from [37, Theorem 2.29] that every injective R-module is a dual-Rickart module if and only if R is a hereditary ring. Therefore, M<sup>(Λ)</sup> is a dual-Rickart R-module for every non-empty index set Λ. Thus, M is Σ-dual-Rickart module as well as finite Σ-dual-Rickart module, while M is not an endoregular module (see [40, Remark 4.28]).
- (iii) From [35, Example 2.3], the converse of " $\Sigma$ -Rickart  $\Rightarrow$  Finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart" and "endoregular  $\Rightarrow$  Finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart  $\Rightarrow$  Rickart" need not be true.
- (iv) On the basis of Corollary 5.12 and Remark 5.13 of [37] theoretically, it seems that the finite direct sum of copies of a dual-Rickart module without an extra condition (like D2-condition, indecomposable Hopfian module) need not be a dual-Rickart module. Therefore, we can say that a dual-Rickart module need not be a finite Σ-dual-Rickart module.

The following proposition shows that the finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module is closed under direct summand.

**Proposition 4.1.4.** The direct summand of a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module is finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart.

Proof. Let M be a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module and N be a direct summand of M. Then,  $M^{(n)}$  is a dual-Rickart module for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is easy to see that  $N^{(n)}$  is a direct summand of  $M^{(n)}$ . Since the direct summand of a dual-Rickart module is dual-Rickart, so  $N^{(n)}$  is a dual-Rickart module. Hence, N is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module.

**Proposition 4.1.5.** If M is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module, then  $M^{(n)}$  is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module for every positive integer n.

Proof. Let M be a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module. Then  $M^{(n)}$  is a dual-Rickart module. Thus,  $(M^{(n)})^{(m)} = M^{(nm)}$  is also a dual-Rickart module for any positive integer m. Hence,  $M^{(n)}$  is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module.

**Lemma 4.1.6.** If M is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module, then  $M^{(n_1)}$  is  $M^{(n_2)}$  dual-Rickart for every positive integers  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ .

Proof. Let  $\psi : M^{(n_1)} \to M^{(n_2)}$  be any homomorphism. Since M is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module,  $M^{(n_1n_2)}$  is a dual-Rickart module. So,  $M^{(n_1n_2)}$  is  $M^{(n_1n_2)}$ -dual-Rickart. Hence, from [37, Theorem 2.19]  $M^{(n_1)}$  is  $M^{(n_2)}$ -dual-Rickart.

For a module M, add(M) [61] denotes the class of all right R-modules, which are isomorphic to a direct summand of  $M^{(n)}$  for a positive integer n.

**Proposition 4.1.7.** A module M is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module if and only if every module  $N \in add(M)$  is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module.

Proof. Let  $N \in add(M)$ . Then, there exists a module K such that  $N \cong K \leq^{\oplus} M^{(n)}$ , for a positive integer n. So, by Proposition 4.1.4 and Proposition 4.1.5, K and  $M^{(n)}$  are finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart modules, respectively. Hence, N is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module. The converse follows from the fact that the module M also lies in add(M). **Proposition 4.1.8.** Every cohereditary module is finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart.

Proof. Let M be a cohereditary module. By [50, Proposition 3.3'],  $M^{(n)}$  is also a cohereditary module for each positive integer n. So, for every  $\psi \in End_R(M^{(n)})$ ,  $M^{(n)}/Ker(\psi) \cong Im(\psi)$  is injective.

Therefore, the short exact sequence  $0 \to Im(\psi) \to M^{(n)} \to Coker(\psi) \to 0$  splits. So,  $Im(\psi)$  is a direct summand of  $M^{(n)}$ . Thus,  $M^{(n)}$  is a dual-Rickart module. Hence, M is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module.

The following example illustrates that the converse of Proposition 4.1.8 is not true in general.

**Example 4.1.9.** Let  $R = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_{\lambda}$ , where  $\Lambda$  is an infinite index set and  $R_{\lambda} = \mathbb{F}$  for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , where  $\mathbb{F}$  is any field. Clearly, R is a von Neumann regular ring. Therefore, from [37, Proposition 2.25] right R-module  $R^{(n)}$  is dual-Rickart for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $R_R$  is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart R-module, while  $R_R$  is not a cohereditary R-module (see Example 3.1, [57]).

**Proposition 4.1.10.** For an *R*-module *M*, the following statements are true:

- (i) Every finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module has SSP.
- (ii) Every finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module with D3 condition has SIP.

*Proof.* (i) Let M be any finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module. Then for every positive integer n,  $M^{(n)}$  must be a dual-Rickart module. Since every dual-Rickart module has SSP [37, Proposition 2.11], so  $M^{(n)}$  has SSP. Hence, the module M also has SSP.

(*ii*) Let M be a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module with D3-condition. Since from [2, Lemma 19] a D3-module with SSP has SIP. Hence, the module M has SIP.

**Corollary 4.1.11.** A quasi-projective finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module has SIP.

*Proof.* Since every quasi-projective module has D3-condition, so the proof follows from Proposition 4.1.10(ii)

**Note 4.1.12.** The converse of both the statements of Proposition 4.1.10 need not be true. It can be seen in the following example.

The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  satisfies the SIP and the SSP, but it is neither Rickart nor dual-Rickart. So,  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  can not be a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

In the following Proposition, we characterize von Neumann regular rings in terms of the finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart modules.

**Proposition 4.1.13.** The following statements are equivalent for a ring quasi – projective

- (i) Every finitely generated free (projective) R-module is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart;
- (ii) The free R-module  $R^{(2)}$  has SSP;
- (iii) R is a von Neumann regular ring.

*Proof.*  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  Clearly,  $R^{(2)}$  is a finitely generated free *R*-module. Therefore, by hypothesis  $R^{(2)}$  is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart ring. So, from Proposition 4.1.10,  $R^{(2)}$  has summand sum property.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$  It is clear from [37, Proposition 2.25].

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$  Let M be a finitely generated free R-module, then  $M \cong R^{(n)}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From hypothesis, R is a von Neumann regular ring, so for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  $Mat_k(M) \cong Mat_k(R^{(n)}) \cong End_R(R^{(n \times k)})$  is von Neumann regular ring. Hence,  $M^{(k)}$  is a dual-Rickart module, which implies that M is finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart.  $\Box$  **Proposition 4.1.14.** A projective and quasi-injective right R-module M over right hereditary ring R is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module.

Proof. Let M be a projective and quasi-injective module. Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M^{(n)}$  is projective and continuous. Therefore,  $M^{(n)}$  is a projective continuous right R-module. Since projective continuous modules over the hereditary ring are dual-Rickart [37, Proposition 2.4], so  $M^{(n)}$  is a dual-Rickart module as by assumption Ris hereditary. Hence, M is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module.

In the following Proposition, we characterize finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart modules in terms of the hereditary rings.

**Proposition 4.1.15.** A ring R is hereditary if and only if every injective R-module is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module.

Proof. Let M be an injective module. Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M^{(n)}$  is an injective module. Now, let  $\psi \in End_R(M^{(n)})$  be arbitrary. Since R is a right hereditary ring,  $M^{(n)}/Ker(\psi) \cong Im(\psi)$  is an injective module. Therefore,  $Im(\psi) \leq^{\oplus} M^{(n)}$ . Thus,  $M^{(n)}$  is a dual-Rickart module. Hence, M is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module.

**Conversely**, let M be an injective module and N be any submodule of M. Then  $M \oplus E(M/N)$  is an injective module. By hypothesis,  $M \oplus E(M/N)$  is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module. So,  $M \oplus E(M/N)$  is also dual-Rickart module. From Lemma 3.1.7, M is E(M/N)-dual-Rickart. Now define a homomorphism  $\phi : M \to E(M/N)$  such that  $\phi(m) = m + N$ . Then  $Im(\phi) = M/N \leq^{\oplus} E(M/N)$ . Therefore, M/N is an injective module. Hence, R is a right hereditary ring.

In the following proposition, with the help of Lemma 4.1.16, we characterize finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart modules in terms of finitely *M*-cogenerated modules. Recall from

[5] that an *R*-module *N* is finitely *M*-cogenerated if there exists a monomorphism  $\rho: N \to M^{(n)}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.16.** [5, Proposition 10.8]. The direct sum of two finitely M-cogenerated modules is finitely M-cogenerated.

**Proposition 4.1.17.** If M is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module, then the sum of two finitely M-cogenerated submodules of  $K \in add(M)$  is finitely M-cogenerated.

Proof. (i) Let  $K \in add(M)$  and  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  be two finitely M-cogenerated submodules of K. Consider the exact sequence,  $0 \to K_1 \cap K_2 \xrightarrow{f} K_1 \oplus K_2 \xrightarrow{g} K_1 + K_2 \to 0$ , where for any  $k \in K_1 \cap K_2$ , f(k) = (k, k) and for any  $(k_1, k_2) \in K_1 \oplus K_2$ ,  $g(k_1, k_2) = k_1 + k_2$ . Since  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are finitely M-cogenerated, from Lemma 4.1.16  $K_1 \oplus K_2$  is finitely M-cogenerated. So, there exists a monomorphism  $h : K_1 \oplus K_2 \to M^{(n)}$  for some positive integer n. Since M is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart and  $K \in add(M)$ , so by Lemma 4.1.6 K is  $M^{(n)}$  dual-Rickart. Therefore, Im(hf) is a direct summand of  $M^{(n)}$ . It is clear that Im(hf) = h(Imf). Therefore, from Lemma 3.1.15, Im(f) is a direct summand of  $K_1 \oplus K_2$ . Thus,  $(K_1 \oplus K_2)/Im(f)$  is finitely M-cogenerated.  $\Box$ Since  $K_1 + K_2 \cong (K_1 \oplus K_2)/Im(f)$ , hence  $K_1 + K_2$  is finitely M-cogenerated.  $\Box$ 

## 4.2 Finite $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart Modules vs. Finite $\Sigma$ -Rickart Modules

The notion of finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart modules is dual of the notion of finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart modules. In this section, we study when a finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart module implies a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module and vice-versa. Also, we discuss when these notions are equivalent to each other.

The following example illustrates that the class of finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart modules and the class of finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart modules are independent of each other.

**Example 4.2.1.** As every injective right R-module over a right hereditary ring R is a dual-Rickart module [37, Theorem 2.29]. Therefore,  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}^{(\mathscr{I})}$  is a dual-Rickart  $\mathbb{Z}$ module for any finite index set  $\mathscr{I}$ . So,  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$  is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module while it is not a Rickart  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module [36]. Therefore,  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$  is not a finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart module. Further,  $\mathbb{Z}$  considered as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is a finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart module (see [35]), but  $\mathbb{Z}$ considered as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is not a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart as  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not a dual-Rickart module (see [37]).

**Proposition 4.2.2.** Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ , where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are submodules of M. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) If M is a C4-module and  $M_1$  is  $M_2$ -Rickart then  $M_1$  is  $M_2$ -dual-Rickart.
- (ii) If M is a D4-module and  $M_1$  is  $M_2$ -dual-Rickart then  $M_1$  is  $M_2$ -Rickart.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $M_1$  be  $M_2$ -Rickart module and  $\psi : M_1 \to M_2$  be any homomorphism. Then  $Ker(\psi) \leq^{\oplus} M_1$ . Since M has C4-condition,  $Im(\psi) \leq^{\oplus} M_2$ . Hence,  $M_1$  is  $M_2$ -dual-Rickart module.

(*ii*) Let  $M_1$  be  $M_2$ -dual-Rickart module and  $\psi : M_1 \to M_2$  be any homomorphism. Then  $Im(\psi) \leq^{\oplus} M_2$ . Since M has D4-condition, so  $Ker(\psi) \leq^{\oplus} M_1$ . Hence,  $M_1$  is  $M_2$ -Rickart module.

**Corollary 4.2.3.** Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  for submodules  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  of M. Then the following statements hold:

 (i) If M is a C2 (or C3) module and M<sub>1</sub> is M<sub>2</sub>-Rickart module then M<sub>1</sub> is M<sub>2</sub>dual-Rickart module. (ii) If M is a D2 (or D3) module and M<sub>1</sub> is M<sub>2</sub>-dual-Rickart module then M<sub>1</sub> is
M<sub>2</sub>-Rickart module.

*Proof.* The proof is clear because every C2 and C3 modules are C4 module.

The following examples show that the C4-condition and D4-condition in Proposition 4.2.2 are not superfluous.

**Example 4.2.4.** (i) Let  $M = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ . It is clear that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Rickart module. Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not a C2 module, by [20, Proposition 2.15] M is not a C4 module. Further,  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not a  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module as it is not a dual-Rickart module [37]. (ii) Let  $M = \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. It is easy to see that  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$ -dual-Rickart module while  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$  is not a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$ -Rickart module [36]. Also,  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$  is not a D2 module. Therefore, from [21, Proposition 2.11] M is not a D4 module.

**Proposition 4.2.5.** For an *R*-module *M*, the following statements hold:

- (i) Every dual-Rickart module with D2-condition is a Rickart module.
- (ii) Every Rickart module with C2-condition is a dual-Rickart module.

*Proof.* (i) Let M is a dual-Rickart module and  $\psi \in End_R(M)$  be an endomorphism. Since,  $M/Ker(\psi) \cong Im(\psi) \leq^{\oplus} M$ . Thus, by D2-condition,  $Ker(\psi) \leq^{\oplus} M$ . Hence, M is a Rickart module.

(*ii*) Let M be a Rickart module and  $\varphi \in End_R(M)$  be an endomorphism. Then there exists submodule  $K \leq M$  such that  $M = Ker(\varphi) \oplus K$ . Since the restriction map  $\varphi|_K$  is a monomorphism, so by C2-condition  $\varphi(K) \leq^{\oplus} M$ . Thus,  $Im(\varphi) = \{0\} \oplus \varphi(K)$  is a direct summand of M. Hence, M is a dual-Rickart module.

**Proposition 4.2.6.** Every quasi-injective finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart module is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module. *Proof.* Let M be a quasi-injective finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart module. Then,  $M^{(n)}$  is a Rickart module for every positive integer n. Since M is a quasi-injective R-module, therefore  $M^{(n)}$  is also a quasi-injective module. Thus,  $M^{(n)}$  is a Rickart module with C2condition. Hence, by Proposition 4.2.5(ii) M is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module.  $\Box$ 

The following example shows that the quasi-injectivity in proposition 4.2.6 is not superfluous.

**Example 4.2.7.** The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  is not quasi-injective, but M is a finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart module (see [35, Example 2.3]), while M is not a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. In fact, M is not a dual-Rickart module [36].

**Proposition 4.2.8.** Every projective finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module is a finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart.

*Proof.* Let M be a projective finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module. Then,  $M^{(n)}$  is a dual-Rickart module for every positive integer n. Since M is a projective R-module,  $M^{(n)}$  is also a projective module. Thus,  $M^{(n)}$  is a Rickart module with D2-condition. Hence, M is a finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart module.

**Corollary 4.2.9.** If R is a semisimple ring, then every finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart R-module is finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart.

Projectivity in Proposition 4.2.8 is not superfluous. We provide an example that illustrates it.

**Example 4.2.10.** It is easy to see that  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$  is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module while  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$  is not a projective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Also,  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$  is not a finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module as  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$  is not a Rickart module [36].

In the following proposition, we discuss the conditions under which a module is finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart as well as finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-a Rickart.

**Proposition 4.2.11.** The following statements hold for an *R*-module *M*:

- (i) If R is a right V-ring, then every finitely cogenerated R-module is finite Σdual-Rickart and finite Σ-Rickart module.
- (ii) Every finitely cogenerated right R-module over a right SSI-ring is finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart and finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module.
- (iii) If M is an endoregular module, then M is a finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart and finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module.

Proof. (i) Let  $\psi \in End_R(M^{(n)})$  be arbitrary, where *n* is a positive integer. As *M* is a finitely cogenerated right *R*-module,  $M^{(n)}$  is also finitely cogenerated. Since every finitely cogenerated module over a right *V*-ring is endoregular [40, Proposition 2.14], so  $M^{(n)}$  is an endoregular module. Therefore,  $Im(\psi)$  and  $Ker(\psi)$  are direct summand of  $M^{(n)}$ . Hence, *M* is a finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart module as well as a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module.

(*ii*) It follows from part (i) and from the fact that every SSI-ring is right Noetherian and right V-ring.

(*iii*) Since M is an endoregular module, so  $M^{(n)}$  is also an endoregular module [40, Corollary 3.15]. Hence, M is a finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart and finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.2.12.** The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R:

- (i) R is semisimple Artinian ring;
- (ii) Every R-module is finite  $\Sigma$ -Rickart module;

(iii) Every R-module is finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module.

Proof.  $(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)$  From [36, Theorem 2.25], the ring R is semisimple Artinian if and only if every R-module is Rickart. So, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M^{(n)}$  is Rickart R-module if and only if R is semisimple Artinian ring. Hence, the result follows.  $(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii)$  It is clear from[37, Theorem 2.24], the ring R is semisimple Artinian if and only if every R-module is dual-Rickart. So, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M^{(n)}$  is dual-Rickart R-module if and only if R is semisimple Artinian ring.

# 4.3 Endomorphism Rings of Finite ∑-dual-Rickart Modules

In this section, we study some properties of the endomorphism ring of a finite  $\Sigma$ dual-Rickart modules. We characterize von Neumann regular rings, coherent rings and hereditary rings with the help of finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart modules.

- **Theorem 4.3.1.** (i) The endomorphism ring of every finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart projective R-module is von Neumann regular.
- (ii) The endomorphism ring of finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart free R-module is von Neumann regular.

Proof. (i) Let M be a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module. Then for every finite index set  $\mathscr{I}$ ,  $M^{(\mathscr{I})}$  is a dual-Rickart module. Now, let  $\phi \in End(M^{(\mathscr{I})})$  be arbitrary. So,  $M^{(\mathscr{I})}/Ker(\varphi) \cong Im(\varphi) \leq^{\oplus} M^{(\mathscr{I})}$ . Since M is a projective module,  $M^{(\mathscr{I})}$  is a projective module. So,  $M^{(\mathscr{I})}$  satisfies D2 condition. Thus,  $Ker(\varphi)$  is a direct summand of  $M^{(\mathscr{I})}$ . Therefore, from [47, Theorem 4]  $End_R(M^{(\mathscr{I})}) = Mat_{\mathscr{I}}(End_R(M))$  is a von Neumann regular ring. Hence,  $End_R(M)$  is a von Neumann regular ring. (*ii*) The proof follows from part (*i*).

**Corollary 4.3.2.** Let M be an R-module and  $S = End_R(M)$ . If M is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart and projective module, then M is intrinsically injective.

*Proof.* Let M be a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart projective module. Then by Theorem 4.3.1 S is a von Neumann regular ring. Hence, from [59, 6.11(3)], M is an intrinsically injective module.

**Proposition 4.3.3.** The endomorphism ring of a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module is left semi-hereditary. Conversely, if  $S = End_R(M)$  is a left semi-hereditary ring with C2-condition as a left S-module, then M is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module.

Proof. Let M be a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module. Then for every positive integer n,  $M^{(n)}$  is a dual-Rickart module. It is clear that  $End_R(M^{(n)}) = Mat_n(End_R(M)) = Mat_n(S)$ . So by [37, Proposition 3.1],  $Mat_n(S)$  is a left Rickart ring. Therefore, from [52, Proposition], S is a left semi-hereditary ring.

For the converse part, let S be a left semi-hereditary ring with C2 condition. Then S is a left Rickart ring with C2-condition. So from [36, Corollary 3.18], S is a von Neumann regular ring. Therefore,  $Mat_n(S) = End_R(M^{(n)})$  is a von Neumann regular ring for each positive integer n. Thus,  $M^{(n)}$  is a dual-Rickart. Hence, M is a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module.

**Corollary 4.3.4.** Let M be a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart R-module and  $S = End_R(M)$ . If  $_SM$  is an FP-injective module, then M is an intrinsically injective module.

*Proof.* Let M be a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module. Then by Proposition 4.3.3, S is a left semi-hereditary ring. Since by hypothesis  ${}_{S}M$  is FP-injective module, from [59, 6.11(2)] M is an intrinsically injective module.

**Proposition 4.3.5.** The endomorphism ring of a finitely generated finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module is a left hereditary.

*Proof.* The proof follows from [37, Remark 3.3].

**Proposition 4.3.6.** The endomorphism ring of a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module is a left coherent ring.

*Proof.* Let M be a finite  $\Sigma$ -dual-Rickart module and  $S = End_R(M)$ . Then, from Proposition 4.3.3 S is a left semi-hereditary ring. Since from [32, Proposition 4.47] every semi-hereditary ring is left coherent ring, so S is left coherent.