TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE ii
DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE iii
CERTIFICATE BY THE SUPERVISOR iii
COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT vi
LIST OF TABLES xi
LIST OF FIGURES xii
ABSTRACT xvii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION ..... 1 - 15
1.1 Transverse Hydraulic Structures 2
1.2 Earlier Research 9
1.3 Research Needs 10
1.4 Objectives of the Study 10
1.5 Experimental Setup and Data Collection 11
1.6 Structural Conditions 12
1.7 Instruments 12
1.8 Limitations and Scope for Future Work 13
1.9 Organisation of Thesis 13
CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE ..... 16 - 46
2.1 Introduction 16
2.2 Structural Integrity 23
2.3 Discharge Capacity of Piano Key Weir and its Comparison with Other Alternatives 25
2.4 Economic Aspects 35
2.5 PKW Aeration 38
2.6 Sediment Erosion and Transport Aspect 40
2.7 Field Applicability 44
2.8 Scope of Future Work 44
2.9 Summary and Conclusions 45
CHAPTER 3 NUMERICAL METHODOLOGY ..... 47 - 55
3.1 Introduction 47

3.2 Mathematical model....48
3.3 Governing Equations....49
3.4 Boundary conditions....49
3.5 Discretization Error and Numerical Solution Strategy....50
3.6 Advantage of FVM Method Over Other Methods....52
3.7 Linearization Error and Deriving the System of Algebraic Equations....53
3.8 Verification and Validation of the Numerical Study....55
CHAPTER 4 NUMERICAL STUDY OF DISCHARGE-HEAD RELATIONSHIP OF PIANO KEY WEIRS FOR LOW HEADS .... 56 - 71
4.1 Introduction....56
4.2 Governing Equations....59
4.3 Three–Dimensional Numerical Model....61
4.3.1 Meshing and Boundary Condition....61
4.3.2 Numerical Algorithm....62
4.3.3 Verification of the Numerical Study....63
4.4 Results and Discussion....63
4.4.1 Head Discharge Relationship....63
4.4.2 Discussion on Discharge Coefficient....66
4.4.3 General Water Surface Profile Observations....66
4.4.4 Velocity Distributions....68
4.5 Conclusions....70
CHAPTER 5 EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL STUDY OF DISCHARGE CAPACITY AND SEDIMENT PROFILE UPSTREAM OF PIANO KEY WEIRS WITH DIFFERENT PLAN GEOMETRIES.... 72 - 99
5.1 Introduction....72
5.2 Experimental Setup....77
5.3 Numerical Setup....80
5.4 Results and Discussions....82
5.4.1 Discharge Coefficient....82
5.4.2 Sediment Profile and Self-Cleaning Capacity....85
5.4.3 Vertical Velocity Component 'v' for PKW with Different Plan Geometries....87
5.5 Conclusions....97

CHAPTER 6 STUDY OF FLOW OVER PIANO KEY WEIR OF DIFFERENT PLAN SHAPES WITH FREE AND PARTIALLY SUBMERGED OUTLET CONDITIONS ..... 100 - 114

6.1 Introduction 100

6.2 Methods 103

6.2.1 Experimental setup 103

6.2.2 Numerical Setup 105

6.3 Results and Discussions 106

6.3.1 Discharge-Head Relationship of PKW Models 106

6.3.2 Observations Under Partial to Full Submergence of the Outlet of PKW 109

6.4 Conclusions 113

CHAPTER 7 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE SCOPE OF STUDY ..... 115 - 119

7.1 Summary and Conclusions 115

7.2 Future Scope of the Study 118

REFERENCES 120-137

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS 138

A. Papers Published in Journals 138

B. Book Chapter 138

C. Papers Published in Conferences 138

PERMISSIONS FOR REPRINT AND INCLUSION AS CHPTER IN THESIS 140

1. ISH Journal of Hydraulic Enginnering, TAYLOR & FRANCIS 140
2. WATER RESOURCES, SPRINGER 140
3. WATER RESOURCES AND MANAGEMENT, SPRINGER 142
4. WATER SUPPLY, IWA PUBLISHING 144

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. 1 Reasons of Dam Failure.....6

Table 1. 2 Notable Dam Failures in India.....7

Table 3. 1 Conservation of mass and momentum equations 49

Table 4. 1 Dimension of the numerical model (All units in mm) (adapted from experiments by Tiwari and Sharma (2017a).....61

Table 5. 1 Properties of sand used in the study.....78

Table 5. 2 Geometric parameters of PKWs 80

Table 5. 3 PKW plan types and discharges for experimental study of sediment carriage property 86

Table 5. 4 Locations of various study points for the two PKW geometries 89

Table 5. 5 Study at three critical points for different discharges and various plan geometries.....92

Table 6. 1 Geometric parameters of PKWs 105

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2. 1 (a) The PKW system at the EDF Goulours dam in France. (Author and source: Frederic Laugier, EDF) (b) Upstream view of PKW at Goulours Dam, France during construction (courtesy of M. Leite Ribeiro) 16

Figure 2. 2 Swaraa-Kuddu Hydroelectric Project Thana, Solan, HP (Source Innovante Water Solutions Pvt. Ltd & Dr. Nayan Sharma) 17

Figure 2. 3 Spatial location of Saint-Marc and Raviege Dam PKW 18

Figure 2. 4 Elevated views of the weir from the valve tower and spatial location of PKW (Courtesy of Scottish Water and Google Earth) 18

Figure 2. 5 Spatial Location of Tzaneen Dam PKW (Ref: Google Earth) 19

Figure 2. 6 Spatial Location of Loombah Dam (Australia) PKW (Ref: Google Earth) 20

Figure 2. 7 Under construction and constructed PKW at Van Phong Dam (Vietnam) 20

Figure 2. 8 PKW at Giritale Reservoir 21

Figure 2. 9 Fundamental parameters of a Piano key weir 22

Figure 2. 10 Different Types of PKW 23

Figure 2. 11 Hydraulic aspects over PKW flow for (a) free (b) submerged weir flow (adapted from Kabiri-Samani and Javaheri (2012) 29

Figure 2. 12 Hydraulic performance of reference designs adapted from Lempérière et al. (2011) 31

Figure 2. 13 Rating curves of the three different models A1, B1, C1 and comparison with a linear Creager (adapted from Ouamane (2011)). 31

Figure 2. 14 Hydraulic data and saving of PKW"A" and PKW "B"(adapted from Lempérière and Ouamane (2003). 33

Figure 2. 15 Discharge per unit width q of a PKW compared to an ogee crested weir – PKW: P =Pi =P_o =2m and L/W =5; Ogee crested weir: design head=2m, CS =0.494(adapted from Erpicum et al. (2013) 33

Figure 2. 16 Economical optimizations with constant water level (left) and constant spillway length (right) (adapted from Lempérière and Vigny (2011)). 37

Figure 3. 1 Overview of Black Box Model (Courtesy of A Hands-on Introduction to Engineering Simulations (edX) by Dr.Rajesh Bhaskaran) 48

Figure 3. 2 Boundary condition of mathematical models.....50

Figure 3. 3 Numerical solution strategy (Courtesy of A Hands-on Introduction to Engineering Simulations (edX) by Dr.Rajesh Bhaskaran) 52

Figure 3. 4 FVM vs. FEM Models (Courtesy of A Hands-on Introduction to Engineering Simulations (edX) by Dr. Rajesh Bhaskaran). 53

Figure 3. 5 Algorithm for iterative solution (Courtesy of A Hands-on Introduction to Engineering Simulations (edX) by Dr.Rajesh Bhaskaran) 54

Figure 3. 6 Steps of numerical solution (Courtesy of A Hands-on Introduction to Engineering Simulations (edX) by Dr.Rajesh Bhaskaran) 54

Figure 4. 1(a) Half PKW model for numerical study (b) Finer mesh for PKW 59

Figure 4. 2(a) Residuals for the numerical study at a discharge (Q) of 4700cm^3/s (b) Head discharge relationship between the experimental and numerical model & (c) Discharge coefficient vs. h/P plot using Eq. 7. 65

Figure 4. 3(a) Nappe profile over the crest of PKW for a discharge of 10600 cm^3/s (b) Water flow profile for a discharge of 10600 cm^3/s.....67

Figure 4. 4(a) Longitudinal velocity (u) for three different discharges at X1 and Z1 (b) Longitudinal velocity (u) for three different discharges at X2 and Z1. 69

Figure 5. 1 (a) Geometric parameters of an RPKW, (b) Trapezoidal PKW nomenclature & (c) A single TPKW unit plan in terms of the net decrease in the developed length (L). 74

Figure 5. 2 Experimental setups for (a) free flow and (b) sediment carriage study & (c) Experimental Layout of Lab.....79

Figure 5. 3 (a) Plot between head at the crest of the weir (h)(cm) vs. r values for different plan geometries of PKW (b) Discharge (Q) vs. head at the crest (h) for different plan geometries of PKW & (c) C vs. h/P for PKW with different plan geometries. 83

Figure 5. 4 Comparison of experimental and numerical study results for (a) RPKW (b)TPKW6 (c)TPKW13. 85

Figure 5. 5 (a) Sediment profiles for RPKW at Q1 discharge, (b) TPKW 6 at Q1 discharge, (c) Comparison of sediment profile between RPKW and TPKW 6 for Q1 discharge, (d) Comparison of sediment profile between RPKW and TPKW 6 for Q2 discharge & (e) Comparison of sediment profile between RPKW and TPKW 6 for Q3 discharge 88

Figure 5. 6 Vertical velocity component 'v' vs. 'Y' at different locations for three different discharges for RPKW & TPKW6 96

Figure 5. 7 Vertical velocity component (‘v’) at all locations for RPKW and TPKW6 for different discharges 97

Figure 5. 8 (a) High degree of sediment uplift near the start of the inlet key and (b) Sediment passage beginning under the upstream overhang near the lateral crest 97

Figure 6. 1 Models used in the experimental study (a) RPKW (b) TPKW9 & (c) Experimental setup..... 104

Figure 6. 2 (a) Comparison of an experimental and numerical study of discharge vs. head at the crest for RPKW (b) Comparison of an experimental and numerical study of discharge vs. head at the crest for TPKW9 & (c) Comparison of experimental discharge vs. head at the crest for RPKW and TPKW9 108

Figure 6. 3 Comparison of experimental discharge vs. head at the crest for RPKW with that of a linear weir (b) Comparison of experimental discharge vs. head at the crest for TPKW9 with that of a linear weir..... 110

Figure 6. 4 Different stages in submergence of the outlet of RPKW 112

Figure 6. 5 Continuous water film in PKWs under partial submergence 113