

Table of Contents

CERTIFICATE	i
CERTIFICATE BY THE SUPERVISOR	ii
COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
ABSTRACT	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS USED	xx
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General.....	1
1.2 Classification of spur dyke.....	2
1.3 Flow structure around a spur dyke.....	3
1.4 Flow structure in the scour area	4
1.5 Gene expression programming	5
1.6 Need of the study	6
1.7 Objectives	6
1.8 Organization of thesis	7
CHAPTER 2	9
LITERATURE REVIEW	9

2.1	Introduction.....	9
2.2	Flow pattern around a spur dyke – a general review	10
2.3	Factors affecting the scour around a spur dyke located in the bend	15
2.4	Empirical formulation of scour around single spur Dyke.....	22
2.5	Bed topography around the spur dyke	29
2.6	Numerical investigation of flow and scour process around spur dyke in bend ..	32
2.7	Gene Expression Programming	35
2.8	Summary and Conclusion.....	37
CHAPTER 3		39
EXPERIMENTAL AND EMPIRICAL STUDIES.....		40
3.1	Introduction.....	39
3.2	The equilibrium scour depth	39
3.2.1	Dimensional Analysis and similitude	40
3.2.2	Temporal Variation of scour depth	40
3.3	General Equations for equilibrium scour in meandering channel with time	40
3.4	Experimental setup.....	42
3.4.1	Measuring Equipment.....	44
3.4.2	Geometry of T-shaped Spur Dyke used in the Experiment	45
3.5	Procedure	46
3.6	Results and discussions.....	49
3.6.1	Flow characteristics in general.....	49

3.6.2 Bed Topography.....	49
3.6.3 Temporal and maximum scour depth	51
3.6.4 Mathematical formulation for temporal and maximum scour depth	54
3.7 Validation results	55
3.8 Conclusion	56
CHAPTER 4	59
NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION.....	59
4.1 Introduction.....	59
4.2 Numerical modelling	63
4.2.1 Equation Formation	63
4.3 Computational meshing of 180° reverse bend channel.....	65
4.4 Result and Discussions	69
4.4.1 Velocity distribution	69
4.4.2 Flow separation zone	74
4.4.3 Bed shear stress distribution	76
4.5 Verification.....	79
4.6 Conclusion	81
CHAPTER 5	82
GENE EXPRESSION PROGRAMMING FOR SCOUR.....	82
5.1 Introduction.....	82
5.2 An overview of Gene Expression Programming (GEP)	84

5.3 Non-linear Regression for Maximum scour depth.....	89
5.4 Prediction of scour depth using GEP model.....	89
5.4.1 The Error Measures.....	92
5.5 Development of ANN model.....	93
5.6 Result and discussion of GEP.....	94
5.7 Conclusions.....	95
CHAPTER 6	97
CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE	98
6.1 Further scope of study.....	99
REFERENCE	101
List of Publication	118

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Value of constants in Equation 2.11	25
Table 4.1 Value of constants in RNG k- ϵ model	65
Table 4.2 Some general parameters of volume meshing used.....	67
Table 4.3 Value of grid dependency test.....	70
Table 4.4 m/L and n/L values at z/D =0.25 and 0.75 for flow separation around the spur Dyke (Case 2).	76
Table 4.5 Maximum value of bed shear-stress at the convex tips (side) of bends	78
Table 5.1 Parameters for optimizing the GEP model.	91
Table 5.2 Data ranges (Pandey et al. 2015)	92
Table 5.3 Sensitivity Analysis (GEP) of Independent Parameters for the Testing.....	94

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Classification of spur dyke (Zhang, and Nakagawa, 2008).....	2
Figure 1.2 Plan views of Flow Patterns at a Spur dyke or Exposed Groin (Copeland, 1983)	4
Figure 1.3 Flow zones around spur dyke (Zhang, and Nakagawa, 2008)	4
Figure 1.4 Longitudinal section passing through the spurs Dyke.....	5
Figure 2.1 Typical plan views of a channel bend.	12
Figure 2.2 Flow contour near the water surface in 90° bend with spur dyke located at (a) 30° and (b) 75° (Fazli et al., 2008).....	13
Figure 2.3 Vortices formed around T-shaped spur dyke located at $\theta = 45^\circ$ in the 90°channel (Ghodsian et al., 2009).....	14
Figure 2.4 Vorticity and secondary flow variation around T-shaped spur dyke located at 75° in 90° bend (Vaghefi et al., 2017a).....	14
Figure 2.5 Scour depth variation with shear velocity (V_*),	16
Figure 2.6 Variation of D_m/y with L/W in (a) 90° bend; (b)180° bend.....	18
Figure 2.7 Variation of D_m/y with B/W for T-shaped spur dyke located in (a) 90° bend (Vaghefi et al., 2012); (b) 180° bend (Masjedi et al., 2010c).....	19
Figure 2.8 Variation of D_m/y with R_c/W for T-shaped spur dyke in a 90° bend, $Fr = 0.47$, $L/W = 0.15$ (Vaghefi et al., 2012).....	19
Figure 2.9 Variation of D_m/y with Fr in (a) 90° bends; (b) 180° bends.....	20

Figure 2.10 Variation of scouring parameters with L/W for T-shaped spur dyke in 90° bend, Fr = 0.33, $\theta = 45^\circ$ (Ghodsian et al., 2009)	21
Figure 2.11 Variation of (a) V_0/y^3 with B/L and Fr for T-shaped dyke, $\theta = 75^\circ$ (Ghodsian et al., 2009), (b) h/y with Fr for straight dyke (Fazli et al., 2008) in 90°bend.....	21
Figure 2.12 Variation of total length (c+d) and width (a) of scour with Fr and θ for straight dyke in 180° channel bend (Rashedipoor et al., 2012)	22
Figure 2.13 Comparison of the observed value of maximum scour depth with Equation (2.1) by (Fazli et al., 2008)	26
Figure 2.14 Comparison of the observed value of maximum scour depth with Equation (2.3) (Masjedi et al., 2010b).....	26
Figure 2.15 Comparison of the observed value of maximum scour depth with Equation (2.8) by (Masjedi et al., 2010c).....	27
Figure 2.16 Comparison of the observed value of maximum scour depth with Equation (2.10) (Masjedi et al., 2011).....	27
Figure 2.17 Comparison of the observed value of maximum scour depth with Equation (2.11) by (Vaghefi et al., 2012).....	28
Figure 2.18 (a) Lateral and (b) longitudinal bed profile for a straight spur dyke located at $\theta = 45^\circ$ in a 90°channel bend (Fazli et al., 2008).....	30
Figure 2.19 Longitudinal bed profile variation for a T-shaped spur dyke located in the 90° channel bend (Vaghefi et al., 2012).....	32
Figure 3.1 A schematic diagram of the reverse bend rectangular meander channel	43
Figure 3.2 Cross-section of channel.....	44

Figure 3.3 Current meter.....	45
Figure 3.4 Plan of T-shape spur dyke.....	45
Figure 3.5 Placement of T-shaped spur dyke at 60° location in a laboratory channel ...	46
Figure 3.6 Meandering channels.....	47
Figure 3.7 Bed topography for spur located at (a) $\theta = 30^\circ$; and (b) $\theta = 120^\circ$	50
Figure 3.8 Scour contour around spur dyke located at $\theta = 30^\circ$, (a) $Fr = 0.35$; and (b) $Fr = 0.50$	51
Figure 3.9 Temporal scour depth variation for spur dyke located at $\theta = 30^\circ, 60^\circ, 120^\circ$, and 150° , respectively.....	52
Figure 3.10 Variation of ds_m/y with Froude number for different locations of spur dyke	53
Figure 3.11 Variation of ds_m/y Vs. θ	54
Figure 3.12 Comparison of the calculated and observed value of maximum scour depth	55
Figure 3.13 Comparison of the calculated and observed value of maximum scour depth (from other result.)	56
Figure 4.1 Numerical model for case 2 (i.e., spur dyke located at 75°).	66
Figure 4.2 Computational mesh grid for (a) case 1: Without spur dyke, and (b) case 2: With spur dyke.....	67
Figure 4.3 Velocity contour for case 1 (without spur dyke) for Froude number = 0.29 at (a) 25%; and (b) 75% of water depth.....	70
Figure 4.4 Velocity contour for case 1 (without spur dyke) for Froude number = 0.86 at (a) 25%; and (b) 75% of water depth.....	70

Figure 4.5 Velocity streamline for case 1 (without spur dyke) at 75% of water depth for Froude number = (a) 0.29; and (b) 0.86.....	71
Figure 4.6 Velocity contour for case 2 (with spur dyke) for Froude number = 0.29 at (a) 25%; and (b) 75% of water depth.....	71
Figure 4.7 Velocity contour for case 2 (with spur dyke) for Froude number = 0.86 at (a) 25%; and (b) 75% of water depth.....	72
Figure 4.8 Flow velocity vector around spur dyke (case 2) for Froude number = 0.29 at location(a) 50% of spur dyke length from the outer bank of the first bend, and (b) along the spur dyke's wing (or 100%) of spur dyke length.....	73
Figure 4.9 Flow velocity vector around the spur dyke (case 2) for Froude number = 0.86 at location (a) 50% of spur dyke length from the outer bank of the first bend; and (b) along the spur dyke's wing (or 100%) of spur dyke length.....	74
Figure 4.10 A schematic diagram of flow separation around spur dyke (adopted from Vaghefi et al., 2019).....	74
Figure 4.11 (a) $(m+n)/L$ vs z/D ; and (b) $(m+n)/L$ vs Fr for flow separation in a straight outlet channel (Case 1).....	76
Figure 4.12 Bed shear-stress distribution in case 1 (without spur dyke) for Froude No. = (a) 0.29; (b) 0.86.....	78
Figure 4.13 Bed shear-stress distribution in case 2 (with spur dyke) for Froude No. = (a) 0.29; (b) 0.86.....	79
Figure 4.14 Comparison of experimental and numerical results of (a) longitudinal velocity; and (b) lateral velocity at a longitudinal section located at 58% of channel width from the outer bank of a 90° channel bend.....	80

Figure 5.1 Gene expression algorithm flow diagram.....	83
Figure 5.2 Expression tree for the gene shown in Equation (5.1).....	85
Figure 5.3 Observed versus predicted relative scour depth	95