

DESIGN OF SOME AERODYNAMIC FLEXIBLE STRUCTURES FOR RELIABLE PERFORMANCE



**Thesis submitted towards the partial fulfillment of the
requirements towards the Award of Degree of**

Doctor of Philosophy

by

MAHENDR PRATAP

Under the supervision of

Prof. Anil Kumar Agrawal
Mechanical Engineering Department
Indian Institute of Technology (BHU)
VARANSI-221005

Dr. Subhash Chandra Sati
Distinguished Scientist and DRDO Chair
Res. & Dev. Establishment (Engrs.)
PUNE - 411014

**DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (BHU), VARANASI
VARANASI – 221005**

Roll No. 14101002

January 2021

CERTIFICATE

It is certified that the work contained in the thesis titled "**Design of Some Aerodynamic Flexible Structures for Reliable Performance**" by "**Mr. Mahendr Pratap** (Roll No. 14101002)" has been carried out under our supervision and that this work has not been submitted elsewhere for the award of any degree or diploma. It is further certified that the student has fulfilled all the requirements of Comprehensive Examination, Candidacy, State of Art seminar and Open seminar for the award of Ph.D. Degree.

Supervisor

Prof. Anil Kumar Agrawal
Mechanical Engineering Department
Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi
VARANSI-221005

External Supervisor

Dr. Subhash Chandra Sati
Distinguished Scientist and DRDO Chair
Res. & Dev. Establishment (Engrs.)
PUNE - 411014

DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE

I, **Mahendr Pratap**, certify that the work embodied in this thesis is a bonafide research work carried out by me, under the supervision of **Professor Anil Kumar Agrawal** and **Dr Subhash Chandra Sati** from July 2014 to January 2021, at the Department of Mechanical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi. The matter embodied in this thesis has not been submitted elsewhere for the award of any other degree or diploma. I declare that I have faithfully acknowledged and given credits to the research workers wherever their works have been cited in my work in this thesis. I further declare that I have not wilfully copied other's work, paragraphs, text, data, results, etc., reported in journals, books, magazines, reports dissertations, theses, etc., or available at websites, and have not included them in this thesis and have not cited as my own work.

Date: September 21, 2021

Place: IIT (BHU) Varanasi

(Mahendr Pratap)

CERTIFICATE BY THE SUPERVISORS

It is certified that the statement made above by the student is correct to the best of our knowledge.

Supervisor

External Supervisor

Prof. Anil Kumar Agrawal
Mechanical Engineering Department
Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi
VARANSI-221005

Dr. Subhash Chandra Sati
Distinguished Scientist and DRDO Chair
Res. & Dev. Establishment (Engrs.)
PUNE - 411014

Prof. Santosh Kumar
Head
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi
VARANSI-221005

COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE

Title of the Thesis: Design of Some Aerodynamic Flexible Structures for Reliable Performance

Name of the Student: Mahendra Pratap

Copyright Transfer

The undersigned hereby assigns to the Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi all rights under copyright that may exist in and for the above thesis submitted for the award of the *DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY*.

Date: September 21, 2021

Place: IIT (BHU) Varanasi, India

Mahendr Pratap

Note: However, the author may reproduce or authorize others to reproduce material extracted verbatim from the thesis or derivative of the thesis for author's personal use provided that the source and the Institute's copyright notice are indicated.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

ॐ तत्पुरुषाय विद्महे महादेवाय धीमहि तन्नो रुद्रः प्रचोदयात् ।

Om, let me meditate on the great God of God Lord Shiva, Oh, greatest God, give me higher intellect, and let God Shiva illuminate my mind

First and foremost, I would like to express my deep sense of gratitude and appreciation to my supervisors **Prof. Anil Kumar Agrawal**, Department of Mechanical Engineering and **Dr. Subhash Chandra Sati**, Distinguished Scientist and DRDO Chair (R & D Engineers, Pune) for their esteemed guidance, invaluable encouragement, moral support, and also for providing enough space to work on my ideas as well as for scholarly inputs from the early stage of the present research work. It has helped in building confidence in me for carrying out the present research work and also for publication of papers. This research work would have not been possible without their valuable guidance, moral support and continuous encouragement.

I would also express my heartiest thankfulness to the members of my Research Progress Evaluation Committee (RPEC), **Prof. S. K. Sharma**, External Expert from the Department of Mining Engineering, and **Prof. Prabhash Bhardwaj**, Subject Expert from the Department of Mechanical Engineering, for their kind co-operation, useful suggestions and insightful comments throughout the period of the research work. The same has been instrumental in successful completion of the present thesis work.

I am also highly obliged to **Prof. Santosh Kumar**, the Head, and Dr. R. K. Gautam, Convener (DPGC), Department of Mechanical Engineering, for their constant encouragement towards expediting the thesis work.

My special thanks are due to my former Directors from ADRDE, Agra—Dr Balraj Gupta, Shri Debashis Chakraborti and present Director Shri A. K. Saxena—for their continuous support and extended hands of cooperation. We had frequent discussions on research problems, various decision-making techniques, advanced tools and methodologies that added values to my thesis work.

I am thankful to the office staff of the Mechanical Engineering Department, in particular, Mr. J.K. Sinha and Mr. Akash Mishra, for their constant help and support. Special thanks are due to the staff of Simulation and Optimization Laboratory of the Department, Mr. Anil Singh and Mr. Rajendra Kumar, for their enthusiastic support. With a lot of difficulty in expressing my emotions in words, I want to thank my colleagues and friends. I made herein, especially, Dr Bharat Singh Patel, Sh Harish Babu and Sh Sushil Kumar Yadav, for their support and co-operation.

I am thankful to all the respondents from the DRDO and other organizations, who responded to my questionnaire and participated in brainstorming sessions as a part of my research work. In this context, I would pay special thanks to Dr Murli Krishna, Project Director, NPOL, Kochin, Shri Swadesh Kumar, Shri Sandeep Kumar and my DRDO colleagues for their active support during the course of my research work.

I owe a lot to my parents, Late Shri Hori Lal Vishwakarma and Mrs. Basanti Devi, for their inseparable support and encouragement at every stage of my academic and personal life. They yearned to see this achievement come true one day. They are the people who showed me the joy of intellectual pursuit ever since I was a child. I thank them for sincerely bringing me up with care and love. I also feel proud to strongly acknowledge the support received from my elder brother, Mr. Rajendra Prasad, elder sisters, Mrs. Savitri Devi and Mrs. Gayatri Devi, and my younger sister, Mrs. Madhuri, in every

possible way to see the completion of this doctoral work. They may not realize their contribution to this thesis but it would not been possible to complete this dissertation without those smiles they brought for me. I draw endless motivation from them. I am delighted to express my admiration to my son, Mr. Madhvan Sharma, and daughter, Ms. Shiksha Sharma, for being supportive and caring. Words would fail me to express my appreciation to my wife, Mrs. Shikha Sharma, for her understanding, patience and active cooperation throughout the course of my doctoral research work. She is my real stress-buster. I am highly thankful to her for bearing the inconvenience when I used to work in lab until late night.

I am grateful to **DRDO, Ministry of Defence, Government of India**, for the financial support provided during my tenure of stay at Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi.

Mahendr Pratap

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE	ii
DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE.....	iii
CERTIFICATE BY SUPERVISOR	iii
COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE.....	iv
AKNOWLEDGMENT.....	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	viii
LIST OF FUGURES.....	xv
LIST OF TABLES.....	xix
PREFACE.....	xxii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Introduction to Parachute Deceleration System	2
1.1.1 Challenges in Design for Operational Reliability.....	3
1.1.2 Problem Related to Design and Development of a PDS.....	4
1.2 Introduction to Tether.....	7
1.3 Relevance of the Present Work.....	8
1.3.1 Related to Designing of Parachute Deceleration System.....	8
1.3.2 Related to Designing of Hybrid Tether.....	9
1.4 Research Objectives and Goals.....	9
1.4.1 Related to PDS.....	10
1.4.2 Related to Tether.....	11
1.5 Organization of Thesis.....	11
1.6 Summary.....	16

CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF LITERATURE ON PARACHUTE DECELERATION SYSTEM.....	18
2.1 Introduction.....	18
2.2 Apollo’s Crew Module Earth Landing System.....	20
2.2.1 Design Feature.....	21
2.2.2 Parachute Specification.....	23
2.3 Soyuz Parachute Landing System.....	24
2.3.1 Sequence of Operation.....	25
2.3.2 Parachute Specification	26
2.4 Orion’s Crew Module Recovery Parachute System	27
2.5 Space Module Recover Experiment (SRE)	28
2.6 Other Types of Parachute used in Space Missions.....	30
2.7 Summary.....	31
CHAPTER 3: SUSPENSION-LINES AND RISER LENGTH DETERMINATION FOR AVOIDING WAKE EFFECT	32
3.1 Introduction	33
3.2 Model Design and Fabrication	37
3.2.1 Forebody	37
3.2.2 Parachute Model.....	39
3.3 Instrumentation and Data Acquisition System.....	40
3.3.1 Load Cell Calibration	40
3.3.2 Six-Component Strain-Gauge Balance Calibration	40
3.4 Wind Tunnel Test Setup	41
3.4.1 Experimental Setup.....	41
3.5 Test Result and Discussions.....	43
3.5.1 Effect of Forebody	43

3.5.2	Effect of Parachute	43
3.5.3	Test Results for a Single Parachute Behind the FB.....	45
3.5.4	Test Results for a Cluster of Two Parachutes Behind the FB.....	46
3.5.5	Dynamic Stability Analysis for a Single and Cluster of Two Parachutes.	48
3.6	Summary.....	50
CHAPTER 4: DETERMINATION OF SHAPE AND SIZE OF CANOPY OF MAIN PARACHUTE.....		52
4.1	Introduction.....	53
4.2	Mathematical Modeling.....	56
4.2.1	Basic Equilibrium Equations.....	56
4.2.2	Point Mass Trajectory Model.....	57
4.2.3	Drag Area Variation of Parachute.....	58
4.3	Parameters Influencing the Selection of Parachute.....	59
4.3.1	Canopy Filling Time.....	59
4.3.2	Parachute Sizing.....	62
4.3.3	Angle of Oscillation.....	63
4.3.4	Parachute Opening Shock Load.....	64
4.3.5	Peak Deceleration.....	66
4.4	Summary.....	69
CHAPTER 5: PARACHUTE DECELERATION SYSTEM DESIGN AND TESTING.....		71
5.1	Introduction.....	73
5.2	Sequence of Operation.....	74
5.3	General Design Philosophy of Parachute Deceleration System.....	77
5.3.1	Estimation of Parachute Opening Force.....	78
5.3.2	Parachute Inflation Time.....	81

5.3.3	Design Factor.....	82
5.3.4	Estimation of Load on Parachute Components for Material Selection....	84
5.3.5	Material Selection Criteria.....	86
5.3.6	Parachute Testing and Evaluation	86
5.4	Design of Parachute Deceleration System	87
5.4.1	TCS Chute	87
5.4.2	Pilot Chute	98
5.4.3	Drogue Parachute: First Stage Decelerator	101
5.4.4	Main Parachute: Second Stage Decelerator	117
5.5	Summary.....	137
CHAPTER 6: RISKS AND HAZARD ASSOCIATED WITH PARACHUTE DECCELERATION SYSTEM.....		139
6.1	Introduction.....	139
6.2	Risk and Hazard Analysis	140
6.3	Risk Analysis of Parachute Deceleration System	143
6.3.1	Hazard-Risk Assessment Matrix for TCS/Pilot Chute	144
6.3.2	Hazard-Risk Assessment Matrix for Drogue Parachute.....	145
6.3.3	Hazard-Risk Assessment Matrix for Main Parachute	145
6.4	Accident Scenario of Parachutes.....	147
6.4.1	TCS Chute	147
6.4.2	Pilot Chute	150
6.4.3	Drogue Parachute	151
6.4.4	Main Parachute	152
6.5	Mitigation of Risk-Hazard Events.....	153
6.6	Summary.....	154

CHAPTER 7: FAILURE MODE AND EFFECTS ANALYSIS AND FAULT TREE ANALYSIS OF PARACHUTE DECELERATION SYSTEM	156
7.1 Introduction.....	156
7.2 FMEA Approach.....	158
7.2.1 FMEA Rating Scale.....	158
7.2.2 Risk Priority Number.....	158
7.3 FMEA of Parachute Deceleration System ..	162
7.3.1 TCS Chute	163
7.3.2 Pilot Chute ..	165
7.3.3 Drogue Parachute	166
7.3.4 Main Parachute	168
7.4 Overall Analysis of Failure Modes ...	169
7.4.1 Distribution of Failure Modes Based on Severity Rating.....	170
7.4.2 Distribution of Failure Modes Based on Occurrence Rating	170
7.4.3 Distribution of Failure Modes Based on Detection rating ..	171
7.4.4 Ranking of Failure Modes with “Corrective Action Required”	172
7.5 Limitation of FMEA	173
7.6 Fault Tree Analysis ...	174
7.6.1 TCS/Pilot Chute.....	175
7.6.2 FTA of Drogue Parachute.....	176
7.6.3 Main Parachute	177
7.7 Proposed Integrated FTA-FMEA Model.	178
7.7.1 FTA-FMEA Framework.....	179
7.7.2 FTA-FMEA Working Steps.....	180
7.7.3 Integrated FTA-FMEA Approach for Failure Analysis of PDS.	183

7.8	Summary.....	185
CHAPTER 8: RELIABILITY ANALYSIS OF PARACHUTE DECELERATION SYSTEM.....		186
8.1	Introduction	188
8.1.1	Uncertainty Related to Parachute	189
8.1.2	Factors for Poor Reliability of Parachute	189
8.2	Development of the Reliability Model	190
8.2.1	Stress-Strength Method	192
8.2.2	Operational Reliability	195
8.2.3	Components Reliability and Confidence Level	196
8.3	Computation of Reliability of Parachute Deceleration System.....	197
8.3.1	Reliability Block Diagram.....	197
8.3.2	Allocation (Target) of Reliability	201
8.3.3	Reliability Estimation of TCS/Pilot Chute	202
8.3.4	Reliability Estimation of Drogue Parachute	205
8.3.5	Reliability Estimation of Main Parachute	207
8.3.6	Overall Reliability of Parachute Deceleration System	212
8.4	Summary	212
CHAPTER 9: RELIABILITY BASED OPTIMIZED DESIGN OF HYBRID TETHER.....		215
9.1	Introduction	216
9.2	Probabilistic Design Model.....	216
9.3	Classical Design Approach	218
9.4	Probabilistic Design Approach.....	221
9.5	Summary	226

CHAPTER 10: CONCLUSIONS AND SCOPE FOR FURTHER WORK..	227
10.1 Introduction .	227
10.2 Summary of Finding and Suggestions...	229
10.3 Limitations of the Present Work	230
10.4 Scope for Further Work.	231
REFERENCES.....	232
APPENDIX ‘A’.....	242
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS.....	244

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Parachute performance-envelopes	3
Figure 1.2: Construction details of hybrid tether..	8
Figure 1.3: Flowchart representing the thesis structure.....	12
Figure 2.1: Worldwide human space recovery systems.....	20
Figure 2.2: Sequence of deployment of Apollo parachute system	22
Figure 2.3: Abort sequence of Apollo parachute system	23
Figure 2.4: Soyuz main parachute prior to landing	25
Figure 2.5: Deployment sequence of CPAS nominal and high-altitude ascent abort ...	27
Figure 2.6: Orion’s parachute system returning from space	28
Figure 2.7: Sequence of recovery system operation of SRE	29
Figure 3.1: Mounting scheme of FB and parachute model.....	36
Figure 3.2: Wind tunnel test setup (a) single parachute with and without FB (b) a cluster of two parachutes with and without FB	42
Figure 3.3: Parachute drag coefficient (SP_R1) in deployment mode at 40 m/s.....	44
Figure 3.4: Effect of variation in the riser’s length (single parachute without FB)	45
Figure 3.5: Wind axis forces and moments of single parachute with FB at riser length R=1.26 m ($\beta = 0^0$ to 15^0)	48
Figure 3.6: Wind axis forces and moments of cluster of two parachutes with FB at riser Length R=1.26 m ($\beta = 0^0$ to 15^0)	49
Figure 4.1: Commonly used canopies in planetary exploration missions	55
Figure 4.2: Flight path trajectory of re-entry module	57
Figure 4.3: Drag Area variation for slotted and solid canopy.....	59
Figure 4.4: Size and filling time of various parachutes ($C_D S = 100\text{m}^2$)	61
Figure 4.5: (a) Drag area variation vs time plot and (b) Opening load variation vs time plot for ringsail, aero-conical and conical ribbon parachutes (500 kg payload)	62

Figure 4.6: Average angle of oscillation vs drag coefficient for slotted and solid Canopies.....	64
Figure 4.7: Opening load variation of different parachutes for 500 kg payload.....	65
Figure 4.8: Opening load variation of different parachutes for 3500 kg payload.....	65
Figure 4.9: Velocity reduction of different parachutes for 500 kg payload.....	66
Figure 4.10: Velocity reduction of different parachutes for 3500 kg payload.....	66
Figure 4.11: Deceleration of different parachutes for 500 kg payload.....	67
Figure 4.12: Deceleration of different parachutes for 3500 kg payload.....	67
Figure 4.13: Top view of the proposed canopies.....	68
Figure 4.14: Gore layout view.....	69
Figure 4.15: Flow field in steady descent.....	69
Figure 5.1: Proposed architecture of the PDS.....	75
Figure 5.2: Sequence of operation of proposed PDS.....	75
Figure 5.3: Force-time profile of a parachute across its deployment stages.....	78
Figure 5.4: Parachute-payload forces in streamline at terminal speed	79
Figure 5.5: Parachute force-time graph	82
Figure 5.6: Major components of a parachute	85
Figure 5.7: Module and forward heat shield separation chutes	87
Figure 5.8: Constructional details of ringslot canopy	89
Figure 5.9: Load profile of single chute at speed of 106 m/s	100
Figure 5.10: Load profile of cluster of two chutes at speed of 106 m/s	100
Figure 5.11: Pilot chute and drogue parachute in deployment condition.....	102
Figure 5.12: Constructional details of conical ribbon parachute.....	104
Figure 5.13: Peak deceleration of drogue parachute	108
Figure 5.14: Wind tunnel test setup (a) single parachute with & without FB (b) a cluster of two parachutes with & without FB	114
Figure 5.15: Deployment of pilot and drogue parachute behind the CM in track test ..	115

Figure 5.16: Measured load profile of drogue parachute..	116
Figure 5.17: Main parachute with reefed and disreefed in deployed conditions.....	118
Figure 5.18: Typical inflation load vs. time profile of reefed and disreefed parachute...	118
Figure 5.19: Reefing arrangement in canopy for reefing-line	120
Figure 5.20: Reefing ratio vs reefing-line ratio for various parachutes.....	120
Figure 5.21: Constructional parameters for slotted solid canopy	122
Figure 5.22: Opening shock force experience by the main parachute.....	125
Figure 5.23: Peak deceleration of main parachute	125
Figure 5.24: Stress distribution on parachute canopy.....	127
Figure 5.25: Main parachutes with two risers in each parachute	133
Figure 5.26: Circular slotted solid canopy in wind tunnel test.....	135
Figure 5.27: Load profile of main parachute with reefed and disreefed canopy ..	136
Figure 6.1: Hazard risk assessment matrix for TCS/pilot chute.....	144
Figure 6.2: Hazard risk assessment matrix for drogue parachute.....	145
Figure 6.3: Hazard risk assessment matrix for main parachute.....	146
Figure 6.4: Accident scenario when forward heat shield is not opened.....	147
Figure 6.5: Accident scenario in case of collision of forward heat shield with CM.....	148
Figure 6.6: Accident scenario when TCS chute malfunction.....	149
Figure 6.7: Vent line broken from Vent band	150
Figure 6.8: Accident scenario related to pilot chute malfunctions	150
Figure 6.9: Suspension-lines broken near skirt band.....	151
Figure 6.10: Accident scenario when drogue parachute malfunction	152
Figure 6.11: Probable causes of malfunction of main parachute	153
Figure 7.1: Severity effect pie chart.	170
Figure 7.2: Occurrence effect pie chart.....	171
Figure 7.3: Initial detection effect pie-chart.....	171

Figure 7.4: Ranking of failure modes	172
Figure 7.5: Common symbols used in FTA	175
Figure 7.6: FTA of TCS and pilot chutes.....	176
Figure 7.7: FTA of drogue parachute system	177
Figure 7.8: FTA of main parachute	178
Figure 7.9: FTA-FMEA integrated approach framework	180
Figure 7.10: Simplified cut-set example.....	181
Figure 8.1: Stress-strength distribution	193
Figure 8.2: RBD of TCS chute.....	197
Figure 8.3: RBD of pilot chute.....	198
Figure 8.4: RBD of drogue parachute.....	199
Figure 8.5: RBD of single main parachute and reefing-lines cutters.....	200
Figure 9.1: Damaged tether part towards the balloon end.....	219
Figure 9.2: (a) Tether epoxy joint with mild steel cap (b) Testing of tether sample on UTM	220
Figure 9.3: Reliability vs factor of safety of hybrid tether	224
Figure 9.4: Change of tether reliability w.r.t. diameter	225
Figure 9.5: Cost (per unit length) vs diameter of hybrid tether	226

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Specification of Apollo command module recovery parachute system.....	23
Table 2.2: Details of Soyuz parachute	26
Table 2.3: Worldwide type of parachutes used in space missions	30
Table 3.1: Full scale parameters of forebody and drogue parachute.....	36
Table 3.2: FB model dimensions.....	37
Table 3.3: Forebody model profile accuracy measurement.....	38
Table 3.4: Dimensions of the parachute model.....	39
Table 3.5: Results of FB alone (wind speed 20 m/s to 50 m/s under sweep mode)	43
Table 3.6: Wind tunnel test results for single parachute model without FB.....	44
Table 3.7: Wind tunnel test results for a single parachute behind FB at different riser's length (under sweep mode)	46
Table 3.8: Wind tunnel test results for a cluster parachute behind FB at different riser's length (under sweep mode)	46
Table 3.9: Worldwide wake distance chosen for various space mission and reported wind tunnel data.....	47
Table 4.1: Worldwide parachutes used in planetary exploration missions.....	54
Table 4.2: Drag coefficient and filling time index for various parachutes.....	60
Table 4.3: Drag coefficient and size of parachutes.....	63
Table 4.4: Parachute average angle of oscillation.....	63
Table 5.1: List of functional items in proposed PDS	75
Table 5.2: Parachute design and performance characteristic data.....	80
Table 5.3: Canopy filling time index, n_{fill} , for various parachute types	82
Table 5.4: List of materials selected for the TCS chute	97
Table 5.5: Summary of dynamic test results.....	101
Table 5.6: Component's mass, materials and MoS of drogue parachute	113

Table 5.7: Track test results of pilot chute and drogue parachute with CM.....	115
Table 5.8: Comparison of planned and achieved performance parameters of drogue parachute	117
Table 5.9: Component mass, materials and MoS of main parachute	135
Table 5.10: Design outcome of parachute deceleration system.....	138
Table 6.1: Hazard severity classification	141
Table 6.2: Classification based on occurrence of hazard	141
Table 6.3: A sample hazard risk assessment matrix	142
Table 7.1: Severity rating scale for PDS	159
Table 7.2: Occurrence rating scale for PDS	160
Table 7.3: Detection rating scale PDS	160
Table 7.4: FMEA of TCS chute.....	163
Table 7.5: FMEA of pilot chute.....	165
Table 7.6: FMEA of drogue parachute.....	166
Table 7.7: FMEA of main parachute	168
Table 7.8: Value of w according to number of failure per million	182
Table 7.9: Weights of critical components.....	183
Table 7.10: RPN from traditional FMEA and weighted RPN from intergrated FTA-FMEA model for critical failure models.....	184
Table 8.1: R_0 for selected confidence level & number of failures from a series of trials.	196
Table 8.2: Approximate confidence coefficient for given number of components.....	196
Table 8.3: Allocated reliability for the TCS/Pilot chute.....	201
Table 8.4: Allocated reliability for the drogue parachute.....	201
Table 8.5: Allocated reliability for the main parachute	202
Table 8.6: Test data on stress-strength of components of TCS/pilot chute.....	203
Table 8.7: Test data stress-strength of components of drogue parachute	205

Table 8.8: Test data stress-strength of components of main parachute	207
Table 8.9: Failure probability for various factors working on reefing-line cutter.....	210
Table 8.10: Reliability matrix of TCS/pilot chute system	212
Table 8.11: Reliability matrix of drogue parachute system	213
Table 8.12: Reliability matrix of main parachute system	213
Table 9.1: Estimated and measurement tether tension during limited trials conducted (without safety factor).....	218
Table 9.2: Tether sample test results with epoxy joint.....	220
Table 9.3: Factor of safety and corresponding reliability.....	224
Table 9.4: Effect of tether diameter on weight and reliability	225

PREFACE

India's plan for human space program calls for a first time to send two to three crew members in LEO for 7 days and safe landing on earth. These goals require the development of a spacecraft, launch & recovery systems and survival accessories which is based on the many studies carried out by India in past. This research is one of the pre-project activities of recovery system (i.e., parachute deceleration system) and can be reference for the future proposed mission.

The Crew Module provides a safe habitable volume for the crews during launch, spaceflight, and return through the atmosphere with help of decelerators called flexible Parachute Deceleration System (PDS). Function of PDS is to retard the module from high altitude to the sea level at reduced required velocities in stages. In the deceleration system, module speed is reduced in two stages by using two stage deceleration systems. These deceleration systems are deployed in air by pilot chutes and drogue parachute. At low atmospheric altitude, Top Cover Separation (TCS) chute take away the Forward heat shield of module and open the way to deploy other parachutes. Drogue parachutes diminish the damping of module oscillations and drag to slow down the speed for successful deployment of main parachutes. The proposed recovery parachute sequence is presented in Figure 5.1 and Figure 5.2, Chapter 5. The CM falls under the recovery parachute system in both conditions, during nominal re-entry and descent after an abort from a failed launch. First, mortars are fired and deploy two forward chutes which remove the forward heat shield from CM. Then, a mortar deploys two pilot chutes pulls out the two drogue parachutes. The drogue parachutes are retard the CM as a first stage deceleration and then jettisoned from the CM at pre-define altitude and in turn pull out two large main parachutes. The main parachutes passed through one reefing stage before

they are fully opened and slow the CM for a water landing. The investigation on parachute design analysis, failure modes, risk and hazard analysis, reliability analysis are the part of the focus of this research.

Parachute system and tether reliability analyses are carried out and their related terms are the important factors in the parachute packing, development, production, operation, and maintenance of such complex system. An analysis of a design for reliability can identify critical failure modes and cause of unreliability and provide an effective tool. Application of design evaluation techniques can provide a sound basis for determining spare parts requirements, required part improvement programs, and needed redesign efforts, re-allocation of resources and other measures to assure specified reliability requirements will be met.

The primary objective of this research is to identify the weakness of the design, risk analysis, failure and reliability analyses to improve the parachute performance and optimized design of tether, so that, final product would be more reliable and requires maintenance free for human rated applications. Each Chapter contains its own specific introduction, analysis, methodologies and at the end thesis conclusions and further scope of work are proposed. Since this system has lot of sub systems, parts, components, sub components, therefore, only reliability of critical components have been considered for analysis. Potential failure parts have been identified before manufacture and accordingly corrective action suggested.

In the end of the research work (Chapter 10) covers the research conclusions from the work carried out related to parachute deceleration system and a hybrid aerostat tether. This chapter complies and summarizes the present research work and its limitations. This chapter also provides the recommendations for future research work in this field.