

# Table of Contents

<b>Acknowledgements .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Table of Contents .....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Figures.....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>List of Tables .....</b>	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations .....</b>	<b>xxv</b>
<b>List of Symbols .....</b>	<b>xxix</b>
<b>Preface.....</b>	<b>xxxiii</b>
<b>1 Chapter 1: Introduction and Literature Review .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Martensite Phase Transition .....	1
1.2 Shape Memory Alloys.....	5
1.3 Magnetic Shape Memory Alloys.....	7
1.4 Ni-Mn-based Magnetic Shape Memory Alloys .....	10
1.4.1 Phase Transitions in Ni-Mn-Ga Magnetic Shape Memory Alloys.....	10
1.4.2 Phase Transitions in Ni-Mn-In Magnetic Shape Memory Alloys .....	15
1.4.3 Premartensite (Precursor) Phase in Ni-Mn-based Magnetic Shape Memory Alloys..	16
.....	16
1.5 Anomalous and Topological Hall Effect.....	20
1.6 Magnetic Shape Memory Alloys with Hexagonal Austenite Phase .....	26
1.7 Objective of the Present Work .....	30
<b>2 Chapter 2: Synthesis and Experimental Methods .....</b>	<b>33</b>
2.1 Synthesis Procedure .....	33
2.2 X-ray Diffraction.....	36
2.3 Scanning Electron Microscope and Energy Dispersive Analysis of X-rays.....	37
2.4 Differential Scanning Calorimetry .....	40
2.5 Magnetic Measurements .....	41
2.6 Magnetoresistance and Hall Measurements.....	45
2.7 Synchrotron X-ray Powder Diffraction.....	50
2.7.1 Linac .....	51
2.7.2 Booster Ring .....	51

2.7.3	Storage Ring.....	51
2.7.4	Beamlines.....	51
2.7.5	P02.1 Beamline of PETRA-III.....	52
2.7.6	Xpress Beamline of ELETTRA.....	54
<b>3</b>	<b>Chapter 3: A Pair Distribution Function Study of Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa Magnetic Shape Memory Alloy: Evidence for the Precursor State of the Premartensite Phase .....</b>	<b>57</b>
3.1	Introduction .....	57
3.2	Experimental Section .....	61
3.3	Atomic Pair Distribution Function Method .....	62
3.4	Results and Discussion.....	65
3.4.1	Magnetization .....	65
3.4.2	Arrott Plot, Critical Isotherm and Universal Curve .....	68
3.4.3	Temperature Dependent High-Resolution Synchrotron X-ray Powder Diffraction.....	75
3.4.4	Temperature dependent atomic pair distribution function analysis.....	79
3.5	Conclusions .....	91
<b>4</b>	<b>Chapter 4: Intrinsic Anomalous Hall Conductivity and Topological Hall Effect in Ni<sub>2</sub>MnGa Magnetic Shape Memory Alloy.....</b>	<b>93</b>
4.1	Introduction .....	93
4.2	Experimental Section .....	97
4.3	Results and Discussion.....	99
4.3.1	Phase purity.....	99
4.3.2	Temperature and Magnetic Field Dependent Magnetization.....	100
4.3.3	Resistivity and Magnetoresistance.....	104
4.3.4	Anomalous Hall Effect .....	106
4.3.5	Topological Hall Effect.....	112
4.4	Conclusions .....	119
<b>5</b>	<b>Chapter 5: Stabilization of the Premartensite Phase in Ni<sub>50</sub>Mn<sub>34</sub>In<sub>16-x</sub>Al<sub>x</sub> (x = 0.5, 0.8) Magnetic Shape Memory Alloys.....</b>	<b>121</b>
5.1	Introduction .....	121
5.2	Experimental Section .....	125
5.3	Results and Discussion.....	127
5.3.1	Phase Purity and Phase Transition.....	127

5.3.2	Magnetization and High-Resolution Synchrotron X-ray Powder Diffraction .....	130
5.4	Conclusions .....	143
<b>6</b>	<b>Chapter 6: Temperature and Pressure-Induced Phase Transition and Magnetoelastic Coupling in the Hexagonal NiMnGa.....</b>	<b>145</b>
6.1	Introduction .....	145
6.2	Experimental Section .....	151
6.3	Results and Discussion.....	152
6.3.1	Phase Purity .....	152
6.3.2	Ferromagnetic to Paramagnetic Phase Transition Behavior .....	153
6.3.3	Spin Reorientation Transition Behavior .....	160
6.3.4	Temperature Dependent Structure Investigation .....	163
6.3.5	Magnetoelastic Coupling .....	169
6.3.6	Bond Length and Bond Angle .....	172
6.3.7	Thermal Expansion Behavior .....	176
6.3.8	Structural Investigation Under Hydrostatic Pressure.....	179
6.4	Conclusions .....	187
<b>7</b>	<b>Chapter 7: Signature of Skyrmions and Evidence for the Local Noncentrosymmetric Crystal Structure in Hexagonal NiMnGa.....</b>	<b>189</b>
7.1	Introduction .....	189
7.2	Experimental Section .....	193
7.3	Results and Discussion.....	193
7.3.1	Temperature Dependent AC-Susceptibility .....	193
7.3.2	Signature of the Skyrmions with Hysteretic Nature using Magnetic Field Dependent AC-Susceptibility Measurements.....	195
7.3.3	Temperature Dependent High- <i>Q</i> Synchrotron X-ray Powder Diffraction .....	199
7.3.4	Temperature Dependent Atomic Pair Distribution Function Analysis.....	201
7.4	Conclusions .....	215
<b>8</b>	<b>Chapter 8: Summary and Suggestions for Future Work .....</b>	<b>217</b>
8.1	Summary .....	217
8.2	Suggestions for Future Work .....	219
	<b>References .....</b>	<b>223</b>
	<b>List of Publications .....</b>	<b>263</b>



## List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Schematic diagram of FCC austenite to BCT martensite transformation via Bain distortion. The  $xyz$  and  $XYZ$  represent the axes of the parent FCC austenite and BCT martensite phase, respectively. The lattice parameter (LP) of FCC lattice is labelled by  $a_0$ , while LP of BCT lattice are  $a$  and  $c$  [16]..... 2

Figure 1.2: Lattice deformation from austenite to the martensite phase accompanying shear. (a) No lattice invariant shear, (b) Slip shear, and (c) Twinning shear[14]. (d) A more simplified model of austenite to the martensite phase transformation[16]. ..... 3

Figure 1.3: (a) Austenite to martensite phase transition with temperature change. The  $M_s$ ,  $M_f$ ,  $A_s$ , and  $A_f$  indicate the martensite start, martensite finish, austenite start, austenite finish temperatures, respectively. The  $H$  represents the width of the thermal hysteresis. (b) Schematic diagram of Gibbs free energy ( $G$ ) relationship during the martensite transformation[19].  $\Delta T_s$  is the supercooling driving temperature for the forward martensite transformation. The subscripts  $P$  and  $M$  stand for the parent austenite and martensite phases, respectively, while  $T_0$  indicates the equilibrium temperature. .... 4

Figure 1.4: Schematic diagram of shape memory effect and superelastic behavior during cooling, heating, loading, and unloading are depicted. The states (i), (ii), and (iii) represent the austenite, twinned martensite, and detwinned martensite phases. Labels  $A_f$  and  $M_f$  indicate the austenite finish and martensite finish temperatures, respectively. The black curve represents a wire whose shape changes in (i) and (iii)..... 7

Figure 1.5: Schematic diagram of magnetic shape memory effect with application of magnetic field ( $H$ ). The states (i), (ii), and (iii) represent the twinned martensite with no magnetic field, twinned martensite with field  $H$ , and detwinned martensite with field  $H$ , respectively. The MCA stands for magnetocrystalline anisotropy..... 8

Figure 1.6: Schematic diagram of unit cells of austenite phase (A) for  $Ni_2MnGa$  MSMA. The inset (i), (ii), and (iii) depicts the unit cells of body centered tetragonal martensite,  $3M$  modulated premartensite (PM), and  $7M$  modulated martensite (M) phases, respectively. .... 12

Figure 1.7: Phase diagram of Ni-Mn-Ga MSMA for (a) deficient Ni and excess Mn, (b) excess Ni and deficient Mn and (c) excess Mn and deficient Ga composition. The  $FM$  and  $PM$  stand for

ferromagnetic and paramagnetic, while  $T_C$ ,  $T_M$ , and  $T_{PM}$  indicate the *PM* to *FM*, martensite, and premartensite phase transition temperature, respectively[93]. ..... 14

Figure 1.8: Phase diagram of Ni-Mn-In MSMA. The FM and PM stands for ferromagnetic and paramagnetic while  $T_C^A$ ,  $T_C^M$  and  $M_s$  indicate the Curie temperature of the austenite, Curie temperature of the martensite, and martensite start temperature, respectively. Label  $L1_0$  represents the  $L1_0$ -type tetragonal structure[98]. ..... 16

Figure 1.9: (a) Schematic illustration of Hall effect, where I, H, and V stands for current, magnetic field, and Hall voltage, respectively[152] and (b) Hall resistivity ( $\rho_{xy}$ ) with magnetic field for a nonmagnetic conductor[153]. ..... 21

Figure 1.10: (a) Schematic illustration of anomalous Hall effect (AHE)[152] and (b) Hall resistivity ( $\rho_{xy}$ ) with magnetic field for a ferromagnetic conductor, where  $R_S M$  is the zero-field intercept and is related to the AHE (see eq. (1.1) for more detail)[153]. ..... 22

Figure 1.11: Schematic illustrations of intrinsic, side jump, and skew scattering mechanism to anomalous Hall effect[159]. ..... 23

Figure 1.12: The schematic diagram of topological hall effect (THE). (a) Turning of electron trajectory on passing through a magnetic texture like skyrmion. The electron's spin orientation follows the spin orientation of the skyrmion texture. (b) Topological hall resistivity vs magnetic field plot, where arrow indicated the direction of field sweeping. Insets in (b) show positive and negative magnetic fields skyrmions with reversed spin texture [184]. ..... 25

Figure 2.1: (a) Real image of sample preparation unit at the School of Materials Technology, IIT (BHU), Varanasi, India. (b) An enlarged view of the vacuum arc melting furnace. .... 35

Figure 2.2: Schematic diagram of x-ray diffraction by a set of lattice planes of the crystal. .... 36

Figure 2.3: (a) A real image of temperature dependent x-ray diffractometer in the School of Materials Science and Technology, IIT (BHU). (b) An enlarged view of the machine part. .... 37

Figure 2.4: A schematic diagram of working principle of scanning electron microscope[236]... 39

Figure 2.5: A schematic mechanism of characteristic x-rays emission from inner shells of an atom by primary electron beam[237]. ..... 39

Figure 2.6: A schematic diagram of the working principle of DSC[238]. ..... 41

Figure 2.7: A schematic diagram of the operating principle for the VSM option of PPMS[239].42

Figure 2.8: A schematic diagram of ACMS II coil set[240], where different coils are indicated.44

Figure 2.9: The schematic diagram of magnetic flux detection by SQUID, where  $\Delta\phi$  is the change in flux and  $\phi_0$  is flux quanta[241]..... 45

Figure 2.10: A typical schematic diagram of (a) Longitudinal voltage and (b) Hall (transverse) voltage measurement setup, where  $I_+$ ,  $I_-$ ,  $V_+$ , and  $V_-$ , indicate positive current, negative current, positive potential, and negative potential contacts respectively while  $H$ ,  $V_L$ , and  $V_H$  represent the magnetic field, longitudinal voltage, and Hall voltage, respectively..... 46

Figure 2.11: A real image of a sample mounted for magnetotransport measurements using the four-probe method in ETO of PPMS at School of Materials Science and Technology, IIT (BHU). ... 47

Figure 2.12: The real image of CFMS setup at School of Materials Science and Technology, IIT (BHU). ..... 49

Figure 2.13: The real image of sample holder for magnetoresistance measurement using CFMS at School of Materials Science and Technology, IIT (BHU). ..... 49

Figure 2.14: A schematic diagram of synchrotron light source and beamlines[245]. ..... 52

Figure 2.15: (a) Schematic diagram of optics of P02.1 beamline of PETRA-III, DESY[246]. (b) Real image of mounted capillary during measurement at P02.1 beamline of PETRA-III, DESY. .... 53

Figure 2.16: (a) Schematic diagram of diamond anvil cell (DAC). The (b) and (c) show the real image of the experimental hutch and ruby fluorescence setup at the Xpress beamline of ELETTRA. The inset above (c) depicts the automation of the pressure membrane system. .... 55

Figure 3.1: Temperature dependence of the direct dc magnetization of  $\text{Ni}_2\text{MnGa}$ , measured at 100 Oe in the zero-field cooled warming (ZFCW) cycle at the rate of (a) 4 K/min and (c) 1 K/min and (b) temperature dependence of the real part of the ac-susceptibility of  $\text{Ni}_2\text{MnGa}$  measured at 333 Hz in the ZFCW (black color), field cooled (FC; red color), and field cooled warming (FCW; blue color) cycles. The inset of (a) gives an enlarged view for  $235 \text{ K} \leq T \leq 365 \text{ K}$  range to clearly show the dip in magnetization at the premartensite phase transition temperature  $T_{PM} \sim 260 \text{ K}$  as well as the anomalously decreasing behavior of magnetization below the ferromagnetic (FM) Curie temperature ( $T_C$ ). The insets (i) and (ii) of (b) show an enlarged view around the premartensite and FM transition temperatures, respectively. The inset of (c) shows the thermal hysteresis across FM  $T_C$  using two independent measurements labeled as I and II..... 66

Figure 3.2: (a) The isothermal (magnetic field dependent) magnetization data around Curie temperature ( $T_C \sim 371 \text{ K}$ ). (b) The Arrott plots, obtained from (a). The inset of (b) shows the

enlarged view around the lower field region, revealing the negative slope guided by a dotted blue arrow. .... 69

Figure 3.3: The behavior of  $\ln(M)$  with  $\ln(H)$  around  $T_C \sim 371$  K. The red line represents the linear fitting at the higher field at 370 K. The significant deviation from the linearity at the lower magnetic field regions is indicated by extrapolated wine color line..... 70

Figure 3.4: Isothermal entropy change versus temperature across the magnetic phase transition. The vertical dotted blue line marks the peak value, which appears at  $T_C \sim 371$  K. .... 71

Figure 3.5: (a) The variation of the peak value of entropy change ( $\Delta S_{pk}$ ) (obtained from Figure 3.4) with change in the magnetic field. (b) The red line indicates the fit using power law ( $\Delta S_{pk} = k H^n$ ). The variation of  $\Delta S_{pk}$  with the rescaled magnetic field ( $H^n$ ). The blue line represents the deviation from linearity at the lower field region. .... 72

Figure 3.6: The normalized entropy change ( $\Delta S_{iso}/\Delta S_{pk}$ ) versus rescaled temperature ( $\theta$ ) ..... 74

Figure 3.7: (a) High-resolution SXRPD patterns at various temperatures in the temperature range 260 K to 400 K. The inset (i) shows the enlarged view around the most intense Bragg peak of the cubic austenite phase at various temperatures while the inset (ii) depicts a highly magnified view around the most intense peak at 260 K showing the appearance of satellite peaks corresponding to the premartensite phase, which are marked as “PM”. The panels (b) and (c) show the observed (black circles), calculated (continuous red line), and difference profiles (continuous green line at the bottom) obtained after Rietveld refinement using SXRPD data at 400 K and 270 K, respectively, while the blue tick bars indicate the Bragg peak positions and  $R_{wp}$  is the weighted agreement factor. The inset in (b) and (c) show the quality of Rietveld fit around the most intense peak. .... 76

Figure 3.8: The variation of the (a) unit cell volume ( $V$ ) with temperature showing volume contraction at  $T \leq T_C$ , (b) linear volume expansion coefficient ( $\alpha_V$ ), obtained using the  $V$  versus  $T$  plot, (c) cubic volume strain ( $\Delta V/V$ ) with  $M_s^2$  in the temperature range of  $260 \text{ K} < T < 370 \text{ K}$ , and (d) spontaneous magnetization  $M_s$ , measured at 7 Tesla field, as a function of temperature. The dotted line (blue) in (a) is the extrapolated region of the linear expansion behavior above  $T_C$ , while the dotted line in (c) corresponds to the least-squares linear fit. .... 78

Figure 3.9: (a) The observed (black circles), calculated (continuous red line) and difference profiles (green line), Bragg peak positions (blue ticks), and weighted agreement factor ( $R_{wp}$ ) obtained after



Rietveld refinement of the cubic austenite phase in the  $Fm\bar{3}m$  space group using high- $Q$  SXRPD data at 400 K. (b) The reduced structure function  $F(Q)$  versus  $Q$ . ..... 80

Figure 3.10: The experimental (dark black dots connect with black line) and calculated (continuous red line) PDFs and their difference (blue line at the bottom) obtained by real space structure refinement using cubic space group  $Fm\bar{3}m$  in the (a) SR and (b) LR regimes at 400K, and (c) SR and (d) LR regimes at 300 K. The insets in (a) and (c) show an enlarged view of the PDF fits around 5.4 Å. The asterisk (\*) marked peak is a ripple due to the truncation of the Fourier series. .... 81

Figure 3.11: Experimental PDFs in the SR regime at various temperatures from 400 K to 260 K. The atomic pairs contributing to the individual peaks are indicated below the blue arrow line. The asterisk (\*) marked peak is a ripple due to the truncation of the Fourier series. The inset shows an enlarged view around 5.4 Å, where the black arrow is to guide to the emergence of new pair of interatomic distance while the blue arrow shows the shift of the minima towards the higher  $r$  side. .... 84

Figure 3.12: The experimental (dark black dots connected with black line) and calculated (continuous red line) PDFs and their difference (blue line at the bottom) obtained by real space structure refinement at 260 K using  $3M$  modulated orthorhombic premartensite phase space group  $Pnmn$  in the (a) SR and (b) SR+LR regimes. The PDF fits at 300 K using the same space group ( $Pnmn$ ) in the (c) SR and (d) the SR+LR regimes. The insets in (a), (b), (c), and (d) show an enlarged view of the PDF fit around 5.4 Å. The asterisk (\*) marked peak is a ripple due to the truncation of the Fourier series. .... 86

Figure 3.13: The experimental (dark black dots connected with black line) and calculated (continuous red line) PDFs and their difference (blue line at the bottom) using the  $3M$  modulated orthorhombic premartensite phase space group ( $Pnmn$ ) in the temperature range 330 to 270 K obtained by real space structure refinement. The left panel ((a) to (g)) shows fits up to the correlation length ( $\zeta$ ) for which the premartensite phase structure is able to account for the peak around 5.4 Å, as shown in the insets. The right panel ((h) to (n)) depicts the fits to a distance, which is greater than the correlation lengths. The misfit for the peak around 5.4 Å is quite evident from the insets of (h) to (n). .... 90

Figure 3.14: The correlation length ( $\zeta$ ) of the premartensite phase as a function of temperature. The black dotted line shows the slowly increasing trend of  $\zeta$  in the temperature range 330 to 270

K. The sharp increase in  $\xi$  at  $T_{PM} \sim 260$  K is shown by the dotted blue line. Below 260 K,  $\xi$  tends towards its saturation value for the long-range ordered premartensite phase..... 91

Figure 4.1: The observed (dark black dots), calculated (continuous red line), and difference profiles (green bottom line) obtained after Rietveld refinement of cubic austenite phase in the  $Fm\bar{3}m$  space group using x-ray powder diffraction data at room temperature of  $Ni_2MnGa$ . Above the difference profile, the vertical tick marks represent the Bragg peak positions whose miller indices are indicated. The inset (i) depicts an enlarged view of the fit at the low  $2\theta$ -range. The inset (ii) shows a BSE image of  $Ni_2MnGa$ ..... 100

Figure 4.2: (a) Temperature dependence of dc magnetization ( $M$ ) of  $Ni_2MnGa$ , measured at 100 Oe in the zero-field cooled warming (ZFCW; black color), field cooled (FC; red color), and field cooled warming (FCW; blue color) cycles. The inset in (a) depicts an enlarged view around paramagnetic to ferromagnetic transition. The  $T_M$ ,  $T_{PM}$ ,  $T_{onset}$  and  $T_C$  (indicated in the inset) represent the martensite, premartensite, onset of ferromagnetic, and ferromagnetic transition temperatures, respectively. The arrows are to guide the cooling and warming cycles. (b) The magnetic field dependence of magnetization of  $Ni_2MnGa$ , measured at the indicated temperatures (385-2 K), wherein inset depicts the temperature dependency of the saturation magnetization ( $M_S$ ). ..... 103

Figure 4.3: (a) Temperature dependence of longitudinal resistivity ( $\rho_{xx}$ ) of  $Ni_2MnGa$ , measured in cooling and warming cycles at 0 and 1 T. The insets depict an enlarged view around the martensite phase transition where cooling and warming cycles are indicated by arrows. The  $T_{PM}$  indicates the premartensite transition temperature. (b) The magnetic field dependence of magnetoresistance (MR) of  $Ni_2MnGa$ , measured at the indicated temperatures (385-2 K). ..... 105

Figure 4.4: (a) The magnetic field dependence of resistivity corresponding to the anomalous Hall effect ( $\rho_{AHE}$ ) of  $Ni_2MnGa$ , measured at the indicated temperatures (385-2 K). (b) Variation of anomalous Hall resistivity divided by saturation magnetization ( $\rho_{AH}/M_S$ ) with longitudinal resistivity ( $\rho_{xx}$ ) and (c) their logarithm value (dark black dots) in the martensite and in both austenite and premartensite (“A+PM”) phases. The blue line represents in (b) fitting using equation  $\rho_{AH}/M_S = a\rho_{xx} + b\rho_{xx}^2$  and (c) linear fit wherein the value of slope ( $\beta$ ) of the linear fit is indicated. (d) Temperature dependency of the anomalous Hall conductivity ( $\sigma_{AH}$ ). (f) Temperature dependency of scaling coefficient ( $S_H = \sigma_{AH}/M_S$ ), where red and blue line represent the temperature

independency of  $S_H$  in the martensite and “A+PM” phases, respectively. The austenite, premartensite (PM), and martensite phases are separated by dashed lines in (d) and (f). ..... 108

Figure 4.5: The magnetic field dependence of (a)-(i) experimental ( $\rho_{xy}^{exp}$ ; dark black dots connected with line) and calculated Hall resistivity ( $\rho_{xy}^{cal}$ ; red continuous line) and (j) topological Hall resistivity ( $\rho_{xy}^T$ ) of  $Ni_2MnGa$  at the indicated temperatures. The (k) depicts the contour mapping of the magnitude of  $\rho_{xy}^T$  as a function of the magnetic field ( $H$ ) and temperature ( $T$ ). ..... 113

Figure 4.6: Temperature dependence of (a) maximum value magnitude of topological Hall resistivity ( $\rho_{xy}^{max}$ ) and (b) anisotropy constant ( $K_u$ ) of  $Ni_2MnGa$ . The austenite, premartensite (PM), and martensite phase regions are separated by vertical dashed lines. Green, red and blue color dotted lines are to guide the behavior of  $\rho_{xy}^{max}$  and  $K_u$  in the austenite, PM and martensite phases, respectively. .... 117

Figure 5.1: The observed (dark black dots), calculated (continuous red line), and difference profiles (continuous green line) obtained after Le Bail refinement using laboratory source XRD data at 300 K for (a) the martensite phase in the  $P2/m$  space group for  $Ni_{50}Mn_{34}In_{15.5}Al_{0.5}$  and (b) for the cubic austenite phase in the  $Fm\bar{3}m$  space group for  $Ni_{50}Mn_{34}In_{15.2}Al_{0.8}$ . Above the difference profile, the vertical tick marks represent the Bragg peak positions in (a) and (b). The inset of (a) depicts an enlarged view of fit around the most intense Bragg peak, while the inset of (b) shows an enlarged view of fit around the (111) and (200) Bragg reflections. The BSE image for (c)  $Ni_{50}Mn_{34}In_{15.5}Al_{0.5}$  and (d)  $Ni_{50}Mn_{34}In_{15.2}Al_{0.8}$ . ..... 129

Figure 5.2: The DSC data for (a)  $Ni_{50}Mn_{34}In_{15.5}Al_{0.5}$  and (b)  $Ni_{50}Mn_{34}In_{15.2}Al_{0.8}$ . The arrows in (b) and (c) indicate the heating and cooling cycle. The magnetic field dependent magnetization ( $M(H)$  loop) for (c)  $Ni_{50}Mn_{34}In_{15.5}Al_{0.5}$  at 5 K and (d)  $Ni_{50}Mn_{34}In_{15.2}Al_{0.8}$  at 2 K. The value of saturation magnetic moment ( $M_S$ ) is indicated in (c) and (d). ..... 130

Figure 5.3: (a) The temperature dependent dc-magnetization at 500 Oe for Al free  $Ni_{50}Mn_{35}In_{15}$  (taken from reference[91]). The temperature dependent real part of ac-susceptibility for (b)  $Ni_{50}Mn_{34}In_{15.5}Al_{0.5}$  and (c)  $Ni_{50}Mn_{34}In_{15.2}Al_{0.8}$  MSMA. The insets are enlarged view around 300 K for the field cooled protocol. The  $T_M$ ,  $T_{PM}$ ,  $T_C^M$  and  $T_C$  represent the martensite transition temperature, premartensite transition temperature, Curie temperature of the martensite phase, and Curie temperature of the austenite phase, respectively. The ZFCW, FC, and FCW correspond to

measurements performed during warming on the zero-field cooled sample, during field cooling, and during warming on the field cooled sample, respectively..... 132

Figure 5.4: Typical SXRPD patterns of  $\text{Ni}_{50}\text{Mn}_{34}\text{In}_{15.5}\text{Al}_{0.5}$  MSMA in the (a) austenite, (b) premartensite, and (c) martensite phases. An enlarged view around the most intense (220) Bragg peak for the austenite and the premartensite (PM) phases, given in inset (i) of (a) and (b), respectively, reveal the appearance of the satellite peaks (indicated by ‘PM’ in the inset (i) of (b)) due to 3M like modulation in the PM phase. Untruncated view of the (220) cubic peak for the austenite and PM phases, given in inset (ii) of (a) and (b), respectively, reveal the absence of Bain distortion in the PM phase. The inset of (c) depicts the splitting of the most intense (220) cubic peak and appearance of the satellite peaks due to Bain distortion and 3M like modulation of the martensite (M) phase. The observed (dark black dots), calculated (continuous red line), and difference patterns (continuous green line), obtained after Le Bail refinement using the SXRPD data for the (d) cubic austenite, (e) 3M modulated PM, and (f) 3M modulated martensite phases in the  $Fm\bar{3}m$ ,  $P2/m$ , and  $P2/m$  space groups, respectively, for  $\text{Ni}_{50}\text{Mn}_{34}\text{In}_{15.5}\text{Al}_{0.5}$ . The vertical ticks above the difference profile represent the Bragg peak positions. The insets (i) and (ii) of (a) show an enlarged view of fit around the (111) and (200) Bragg reflections and around the most intense Bragg peak, respectively. The inset of (e) and (f) shows fits around the most intense Bragg peak region in a magnified scale. The satellite peaks of the PM phase are marked as ‘PM’ at 310 K in the inset of (b) and (e). The peaks related to the martensite phase are marked as ‘M’ at 110 K in the inset of (c) and (f)..... 133

Figure 5.5: (a) The laboratory source ( $\text{CuK}\alpha$ ) XRD data at indicated temperature (300 to 13 K) for  $\text{Ni}_{50}\text{Mn}_{34}\text{In}_{15.5}\text{Al}_{0.5}$  MSMA. (b) An enlarged view around the most intense Bragg peak region of (a). The peaks related to the martensite phase are marked as ‘M’ in (b)..... 135

Figure 5.6: The SXRPD patterns of  $\text{Ni}_{50}\text{Mn}_{34}\text{In}_{15.2}\text{Al}_{0.8}$  are shown in (a) at (i) 400 K, (ii) 220 K, and (iii) 100 K. The insets show an enlarged view around the most intense Bragg peak to reveal the satellite peaks of the premartensite (PM) phase. The enlarged view around the most intense cubic peak (220) at the various temperatures in the range 400-100 K are given in (b) and (c). The arrows in (c) indicate the temperature dependent shifts of the PM satellite peak positions. Note the gradual sharpening of the satellite peaks in (c) on lowering the temperature. (d) An enlarged view around the most intense (220) cubic peak at selected temperatures reveal the appearance of the most intense satellite peak of the PM phase at  $T \sim 300$  K, indicated by an arrow. (e) Untruncated

SXRPD profiles of the (220) cubic Bragg peak is depicted in the 400 to 100 K range. The satellite peaks of the PM phase are marked as ‘PM’ in the inset of (ii) and (iii) of (a) and in (c). ..... 137

Figure 5.7: The laboratory source (CuK $\alpha$ ) XRD data of Ni<sub>50</sub>Mn<sub>34</sub>In<sub>15.2</sub>Al<sub>0.8</sub> alloy at indicated temperatures (300 to 13 K) wherein the inset shows an enlarged view around the most intense Bragg peak. .... 138

Figure 5.8: The observed (dark black dots), calculated (continuous red line), and difference patterns (continuous green line) obtained after Le Bail refinement using SXRPD pattern of Ni<sub>50</sub>Mn<sub>34</sub>In<sub>15.2</sub>Al<sub>0.8</sub> MSMA for the (a) cubic austenite phase at 400 K and (b) 3M modulated premartensite (PM) phase at 100 K in the  $Fm\bar{3}m$  and  $P2/m$  space groups, respectively. The vertical tick marks above the difference profile represent the Bragg peak positions in (a) and (b). The inset of (a) shows the presence of (111) and (200) Bragg reflections characteristic of the L2<sub>1</sub> ordering in the cubic austenite phase. The inset of (b) shows an enlarged view of the fit around the most intense Bragg peak and satellite reflections (marked as ‘PM’ with their indices) related to the 3M modulated PM phase. The temperature dependence of the dc magnetization, measured on zero-field cooled sample during warming cycle, is shown in (c) for different magnetic fields. The enlarged view of (c) around the FM T<sub>C</sub>, shown in (d), reveals a skewed diffuse peak due to the PM transition. The variation of the PM transition temperature ( $T_{PM}$ ) with the magnetic field is shown in (e). .... 140

Figure 5.9: (a) High-resolution SXRPD pattern collected at 294 K under zero magnetic field (black dots connected with a continuous line) and an external magnetic field of 2500 Oe (red squares connected with a continuous line) for Ni<sub>50</sub>Mn<sub>34</sub>In<sub>15.2</sub>Al<sub>0.8</sub>. The inset of (a) shows an enlarged view of the lower 2 $\theta$ -range (2-12.5°). (b) An enlarged view of encircled region of (a) (guided by arrow). Note the disappearance of the satellite peaks related to the premartensite (PM) phase under the magnetic field. (c) Image of magnets setup used in measurement, where (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) indicate the magnets, capillary position, plastic for support, and clay for positioning the magnets centered with respect to the capillary, respectively (shown by arrow). The ‘\*’ in the inset of (a) and ‘PM’ in (b) indicate the extra peaks related to the setup of magnets and satellite peaks related to the PM phase, respectively. .... 142

Figure 6.1: The observed (filled black circles), calculated (continuous red line), and difference (bottom green line) profiles obtained after Rietveld refinement using laboratory x-ray powder diffraction data at room temperature using the hexagonal structure with  $P6_3/mmc$  space group. The

vertical ticks above the difference profile represent the Bragg peak positions. The “ $R_{wp}$ ” is the weighted agreement factor of the fitting. The inset depicts a unit cell structure of NiMnGa. ... 153

Figure 6.2: The magnetization vs temperature ( $M(T)$ ) plot for an applied field of 100 Oe under zero-field cooled warming (ZFCW) cycle. Inset (i) depicts the enlarged view of  $M(T)$  in the temperature range of 150 K to 330 K where the arrow shows the decreasing behavior of  $M(T)$  below ferromagnetic transition temperature ( $T_C$ ), the red line shows deviation below 180 K and dotted blue lines are showing the spin reorientation transition (SRT) region with starting at  $\sim 210$  K. Inset (ii) depicts the  $M(T)$  measured at a high applied field (5 Tesla) under the ZFCW cycle. .... 155

Figure 6.3: (a)  $M(T)$  at different magnetic applied fields under ZFCW, FC, and FCW cycles indicated by black, olive, and blue color, respectively. (b) An enlarged view of (a) around  $T_C$  at the indicated field, where ZFCW and FCW cycles are completely superimposed, while the arrow indicates the FC and FCW cycles. The ZFCW, FC, and FCW correspond to measurements performed during warming on the zero-field cooled sample, during field cooling, and during warming on the field cooled sample, respectively. .... 157

Figure 6.4: The enlarged view of Figure 6.3(a) around  $T_C$  only for the ZFCW cycle (indicated by arrow) at the indicated fields. The red lines indicate the extrapolation of magnetization for both above and below  $T_C$  where the intersection point of red lines is considered as the onset temperature of  $T_C$  ( $T_{onset}$ ) at each field. The obtained  $T_{onset}$  at each field is shown in the inset, where the blue line represents the linear fitting. .... 158

Figure 6.5: (a) The isotherms ( $M(H)$ ) around  $T_C$  in the temperature range of 378-330 K, in the 2 K temperature interval, under the cooling cycle. (b) The Arrott plots ( $H/M$  vs  $M^2$  plots) using  $M(H)$ . Figs. (c) and (d) depict enlarged views of the Arrott plots at the low field region at the indicated temperatures. The arrow in (c) is to guide the negative slope. The change of sign of curvature around  $T_{mid} \sim 342$  K is visible in (d). .... 159

Figure 6.6: The enlarged view of  $M(T)$  (given in Figure 6.3(a)) at the indicated fields around spin reorientation transition (SRT) for (a) ZFCW cycle and (b) FC cycle. The (c) depicts an enlarged view of  $M(T)$  around SRT for FC and FCW cycles guided by the arrow at 2000 Oe of the applied field. The (d) depicts the field dependence of start of the SRT temperature ( $T_{SRT}^S$ ) (obtained from ZFCW curve). .... 161

Figure 6.7: (a) Isothermal  $M(H)$  around  $T_{SRT}$  in the 170-230 K temperature range in the 5 K temperature interval. (b) Arrott plots using  $M(H)$  around  $T_{SRT}$ . The inset in (a) and (b) depict an enlarged view at the region of the high field of  $M(H)$  and at the low field region of the Arrott plots.

..... 162

Figure 6.8: (a) The observed (filled black dots), calculated (continuous red line), and difference (bottom green line) profiles obtained after Rietveld refinement using synchrotron x-ray powder diffraction (SXRPD) data at room temperature using the hexagonal structure with  $P6_3/mmc$  space group. The vertical blue ticks above the difference profile represent the Bragg peak positions. The inset of (a) depicts an enlarged view of the most intense Bragg peak region. (b) The SXRPD patterns at indicated temperatures. The inset of (b) depicts the enlarged view of the most intense Bragg peak region. (c) The enlarged view of SXRPD data around intense Bragg peak region in the 110-400 K range in the temperature interval of 5 K. .... 164

Figure 6.9: (a) The temperature dependent (300 to 15 K) laboratory source x-ray diffraction patterns of MnNiGa. (b) An enlarged view of (a) around the most intense Bragg peak region. The miller indices of both major peaks are indicated near the bottom-most pattern in (b). ..... 165

Figure 6.10: Temperature dependent behavior of (a) lattice parameters ( $a$  &  $c$ ), (b)  $c/a$  ratio and (c) unit cell volume ( $V$ ). The temperature derivative of  $a$ ,  $c$ , and  $V$  are given in (d)  $da/dT$ , (e)  $dc/dT$  and (f)  $dV/dT$ , respectively. The blue curve in (d), (e), and (f) represent a smoothen behavior. The transition temperatures ( $T_{SRT} \sim 200$  K and  $T_C \sim 350$  K) are guided by the vertical dotted line in (a)-(f). ..... 168

Figure 6.11: The unit cell volume ( $V$ ) of hexagonal phase vs temperature plot indicated by black circles. The solid red line indicates the theoretically modeled unit cell volume ( $V_{cal}$ ) using the Debye-Grüneisen equation. The grey arrow indicates the deviation between  $V$  and  $V_{cal}$ . The bulk hexagonal strain ( $\Delta V/V$ ) vs square of spontaneous magnetization ( $M_S^2$ ) plots are shown in the inset (i) for 350-300 K, inset (ii) for 300-260 K, and inset (iii) for 260-180 K, where the blue line represents the linear fitting. .... 172

Figure 6.12: The bond length corresponding to (a) Ni-Ga, (b) Mn-Mn, and (c) Ni-Mn or Ga-Mn pairs. The temperature derivative of (d) Ni-Ga, (e) Mn-Mn, and (f) Ni-Mn or Ga-Mn, pairs. The blue curve in (d)-(f) represents a smoothen behavior. The transition temperatures  $T_C \sim 350$  K and  $T_{SRT} \sim 200$  K are guided by the vertical dotted line. .... 174

Figure 6.13: (a) Temperature dependency of bond angle corresponding to Mn1-Ni-Mn2 and Ni-Mn1-Mn2. The slope change around  $T_C \sim 350$  K and  $T_{SRT} \sim 200$  K are guided by the vertical dotted line. (b) The unit cell of NiMnGa, where the position of atoms involved for bond lengths (shown in Figure 6.12) and bond angles (shown in Figure 6.13(a)) are indicated (by arrows and angle) for the sake of visualization..... 175

Figure 6.14: Thermal expansion vs temperature plot corresponding to (a)  $a$ -parameter ( $\Delta a/a$ ), (b)  $c$ -parameter ( $\Delta c/c$ ) and (c) unit cell volume ( $\Delta V/V$ ). The blue curve represents smoothed behavior. The anomalous, positive, and zero thermal expansion regions are guided by dashed lines and red arrows. The ZTE, ATE, and PTE represent the zero-thermal expansion, anomalous thermal expansion, and positive thermal expansion, respectively. The thermal expansion coefficient corresponding to  $a$ -parameter,  $c$ -parameter, and unit cell volume is indicated by  $\alpha_a$ ,  $\alpha_c$  and  $\alpha_v$ , respectively. .... 178

Figure 6.15: The observed (black spheres), calculated (continuous red line), and difference profiles (bottom green line) obtained after Rietveld refinement using synchrotron x-ray powder diffraction pattern at the ambient condition in the  $P6_3/mmc$  space group of NiMnGa. The vertical tick marks above the difference profile represent the Bragg peak positions. The “ $R_{wp}$ ” represents the weighted agreement factor of the fitting. The miller indices are given above each reflection. .... 180

Figure 6.16: (a) The synchrotron x-ray powder diffraction pattern collected at various pressure (indicated) up to 14 GPa. (b) The enlarged view around the most intense Bragg peak region. The miller indices of both major peaks are given in the bottom-most in (b). The “P (GPa)” represents the pressure in the GPa unit. The topmost pattern labeled by “0.50 (release)” was collected during releasing the pressure with effective pressure of 0.50 GPa. .... 181

Figure 6.17: Pressure-dependence of (a) in-plane and (b) out of plane lattice parameters of NiMnGa. The red line and dotted blue line in (a) and (b) represent the linear compression and extrapolated region of linear compression behavior, respectively. The “ $da/dP$ ” and “ $dc/dP$ ” indicate the linear compression rate in  $a$  and  $c$ -parameter in (a) and (b), respectively. The error in lattice parameters is smaller than the symbol size. (c) The unit cell of NiMnGa, wherein different lengths of springs connected with arrows indicate the different compression rates in the in-plane and out-of-plane lattice parameters..... 183

Figure 6.18: Pressure-dependence of unit cell volume ( $V$ ). Solid lines indicate the results of a second-order Birch–Murnagan equation-of-state (EoS) fit to the data. “EoS1” and “EoS2”



represent the fitting considering the data upto 4 GPa and above 4 GPa, respectively. The  $V_0$ ,  $B$ , and  $B'$  are the parameters obtained from the fit. Pressure dependence of  $c/a$  ratio is depicted in the inset, wherein the red line and dotted blue line represent the linear fit and extrapolation of the linear fit, respectively. The error in  $V$  and  $c/a$  are smaller than the symbol size. .... 184

Figure 7.1: Temperature dependence of the real part of ac-susceptibility ( $\chi'(T)$ ) of NiMnGa, measured at 333 Hz under the zero-field cooled warming cycle. The  $T_C$  and  $T_{SRT}$  indicate the ferromagnetic and spin reorientation transition temperature, respectively..... 194

Figure 7.2: (a) The variation of the real component of ac-susceptibility ( $\chi'$ ) with dc magnetic field ( $H$ ) ( $\chi'$  vs  $H$  plot) at 220 K with increasing and decreasing field (-1 T to 1 T).  $H_S$  and  $H_C$  indicate the critical fields at which the system transforms from helical to biskyrmions and from biskyrmions to ferromagnetic states, respectively. (b) The first derivative ( $d\chi'/dH$ ) of  $\chi'$  vs  $H$  plot. The insets (i) & (ii) of (b) depict an enlarged view around -0.25 T and 0.25 T, respectively, where the vertical dashed lines indicate the increasing and decreasing fields of maxima and minima. .... 196

Figure 7.3: The variation of the real component of ac-susceptibility ( $\chi'$ ) with dc magnetic field ( $H$ ) ( $\chi'$  vs  $H$  plot) at the indicated temperatures (5 to 340 K) with increasing magnetic field only (0 to  $\pm 1$  T). The inset shows the enlarged view around 0.2 T. The blue arrow in the inset indicates the increasing behavior of critical field ( $H_S$ ) and peak growth on decreasing the temperature..... 198

Figure 7.4: The observed profile (black spheres), calculated profile (continuous red line), difference profile (continuous green line), Bragg peak positions (blue ticks), and weighted agreement factor ( $R_w$ ) obtained after Rietveld refinement in the  $P6_3/mmc$  space group of NiMnGa using high-Q synchrotron x-ray powder diffraction data at (a) 400 K, (b) 300 K, and (c) 100 K. The reduced structure function  $F(Q)$  vs  $Q$  at (d) 400 K, (e) 300 K, and (f) 100 K. .... 200

Figure 7.5: Experimental pair distribution functions (PDFs;  $G(r)$ ) on the vertically spaced scale at various temperatures from 400 K to 100 K in the (a) Short-range (SR), (b) Medium-range (MR), and (c) Long-range (LR) regimes. .... 202

Figure 7.6: The experimental PDF (blue spheres), calculated PDF (continuous red line), their difference (continuous green line at the bottom), and weighted agreement factor ( $R_w$ ) obtained by real-space structure refinements using the  $P6_3/mmc$  space group in the SR and LR at (a) 400 K, (b) 300 K and (c) 100 K with isotropic atomic displacement parameters (ADPs;  $U_{iso}$ ) consideration in the refinements. The PDFs fits in the SR and LR using the same space group ( $P6_3/mmc$ ) at (d) 400 K, (e) 300 K, and (f) 100 K with anisotropic ADPs ( $U_{aniso}$ ) consideration in the refinements.

The significant misfit just below 5 Å is indicated by encircled region of difference PDFs (Gdiff) in (d), (e), and (f)..... 206

Figure 7.7: The anisotropic atomic displacement parameter (AADP) of the Mn atom with distance ( $r$ ) obtained after  $r$ -dependent real-space structure refinement using the  $P6_3/mmc$  space group at (a) 400 K, (b) 300 K, and (c) 100 K. The planer ADP ( $U_{11}$ ) and prismatic ADP ( $U_{33}$ ) are indicated by black squares connected with a solid line and blue spheres connected with a solid line, respectively. The insets in the (a), (b), and (c) depict the ratio of  $U_{33}$  with  $U_{11}$  (i.e.,  $U_{33}/U_{11}$ ) vs  $r$  plot. .... 207

Figure 7.8: The experimental PDF (blue spheres), calculated PDF (continuous red line), their difference (continuous green line at the bottom), and weighted agreement factor ( $R_w$ ) obtained by real-space structure refinements in the SR at 300 K using space group (a)  $P6_3mmc$ , (b)  $P\bar{6}m2$ , (c)  $P6_3mc$ , (d)  $P\bar{6}2c$ , (e)  $P6_322$ , (f)  $P6_3/m$ , (g)  $P\bar{3}1c$ , (h)  $Pmmc$ , (i)  $Pnma$ , (j)  $P\bar{3}m1$ , and (k)  $P3m1$ . For comparison, the difference PDF (Gdiff) of (j) and (k) are depicted in separate panels given below (j) and (k), respectively. .... 209

Figure 7.9: The experimental PDF (blue spheres), calculated PDF (continuous red line), their difference (continuous green line at the bottom), and weighted agreement factor ( $R_w$ ) obtained by real-space structure refinements using the  $P6_3/mmc$  space group in the SR at (a) 400 K and (c) 100 K, while in the LR at (e) 400 K and (g) 100 K. The PDFs fits using the  $P3m1$  space group in the SR at (b) 400 K and (d) 100 K, while in the LR at (f) 400 K and (h) 100 K..... 214

## List of Tables

Table 3.1: Interatomic distances corresponding to the refined structural parameters of the austenite phase at 300 K and premartensite phase at 230 K reported in the literature[71].	82
Table 3.2: Parameters obtained from the PDF refinement using cubic austenite ( $Fm\bar{3}m$ ) and $3M$ commensurate premartensite ( $Pnmm$ ) structures in the SR regime at selected temperatures. The $a$ , $b$ , $c$ are the lattice parameters, $U_{iso}$ is the isotropic atomic displacements parameter, $\delta_2$ is the coefficient for $1/r^2$ contribution to the peak sharpening, and $R_w$ is the weighted agreement factor for the PDF refinement.	87
Table 6.1: The lattice parameters ( $a$ and $c$ ), thermal factor (B), and agreement factors obtained after Rietveld refinement (with $P6_3/mmc$ space group) using temperature dependent SXRPD data of NiMnGa.	166
Table 6.2: Comparison of lattice parameters ( $a$ and $c$ ) with temperature and pressure of hexagonal NiMnGa.	186
Table 7.1: The lattice parameters ( $a$ and $c$ ), thermal factor (B), and agreement factors obtained after Rietveld refinement (with $P6_3/mmc$ space group) using temperature dependent high- $Q$ SXRPD data of NiMnGa.	201
Table 7.2: The lattice parameters ( $a$ and $c$ ), isotropic atomic displacements parameters ( $U_{iso}$ ), the coefficient for $1/r^2$ contribution to the peak sharpening ( $\delta_2$ ), and weighted agreement factor ( $R_w$ ) obtained from the PDF refinement using hexagonal ( $P6_3/mmc$ space group) at the selected temperatures of NiMnGa.	204
Table 7.3: The lattice parameters ( $a$ and $c$ ), anisotropic atomic displacements parameters ( $U_{11} = U_{22}$ and $U_{33}$ ), the coefficient for $1/r^2$ contribution to the peak sharpening ( $\delta_2$ ), and weighted agreement factor ( $R_w$ ) obtained from the PDF refinement using hexagonal ( $P6_3/mmc$ space group) at the selected temperatures of NiMnGa.	205
Table 7.4: List of subgroups of $P6_3/mmc$ (194), their asymmetric unit, Wyckoff positions[415], and weighted agreement factor ( $R_w$ ) of the real-space structure refinement of NiMnGa. The $P6_3/mmc$ (194) is not the subgroup. It is added just given for the comparison of Wyckoff positions and $R_w$ .	210
Table 7.5: The lattice parameters ( $a$ and $c$ ), isotropic atomic displacements parameters ( $U_{iso}$ ), coefficient for $1/r^2$ contribution to the peak sharpening ( $\delta_2$ ), atomic positions and weighted	

agreement factor ( $R_w$ ) obtained from the PDF refinement in the SR using trigonal structure with  $P3m1$  space group at the selected temperatures of NiMnGa. .... 212