"I would like to dedicate this thesis to my family for love and support throughout the life. Thanks for everything"

CERTIFICATE

It is certified that the work contained in the thesis titled "Fault Analysis of Dragline using Bayesian Network and Artificial Neural Network" by "Atma Ram Sahu" has been carried out under our supervision and that this work has not been submitted elsewhere for a degree.

It is further certified that the student has fulfilled all the requirements of Comprehensive, Candidacy and SOTA for the award of Ph. D. Degree.

(S. K. Palei)

Supervisor

Department of Mining Engineering Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi **DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE**

I, Atma Ram Sahu, certify that the work embodied in this thesis is my own bonafide

work and carried out by me under the supervision of Dr. S. K. Palei from

July 2015 to December 2020, at the Department of Mining Engineering, Indian

Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi. The matter embodied in this thesis has not

been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma. I declare that I have

faithfully acknowledged and given credits to the research workers wherever their works

have been cited in my work in this thesis. I further declare that I have not willfully

copied any other's work, paragraphs, text, data, results, etc., reported in journals, books,

magazines, reports, dissertations, theses, etc., or available at websites and have not

included them in this thesis and have not cited as my own work.

Date:

Place: IIT (BHU) Varanasi

(Atma Ram Sahu)

CERTIFICATE BY THE SUPERVISOR

It is certified that the above statement made by the student is correct to the best of my

knowledge.

(Dr. S. K. Palei) Supervisor

Department of Mining Engineering
Indian Institute of Tachnology (BHI) Varance

Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi

Signature of Head of Department"SEAL OF THE DEPARTMENT"

COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE

Title of the Thesis:

Fault Analysis of Dragline using Bayesian Network and

Artificial Neural Network

Name of the Student: Atma Ram Sahu

Copyright Transfer

The undersigned hereby assigns to the Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu

University), Varanasi all rights under copyright that may exist in and for the above

thesis submitted for the award of the Doctor of Philosophy.

Date:

Place: IIT(BHU) Varanasi

(Atma Ram Sahu)

Note: However, the author may reproduce or authorize others to reproduce material

extracted verbatim from the thesis or derivative of the thesis for author's personal use

provided that the source and the Institute's copyright notice are indicated.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Foremost, I would like to express my immense gratitude to my supervisor Dr. S.K. Palei for his excellent guidance and motivation. The completion of this research work is truly an outcome of his constant untiring support, valuable ideas and suggestions during my research work. The insightful discussions with him always provided me great enthusiasm. I could not have imagined having better advisors and mentors for my research work.

I wish to extend my sincere gratitude towards my research performance evaluation committee (RPEC) members, Prof. S. Gupta and Dr. J.V. Tirky for their encouragement, insightful comments and their kind co-operation and encouragement during this journey. I am thankful to Head, Department of Mining Engineering, Prof. P. Rai and faculty members of the department.

I am also very much thankful to research scholars of the Mine ventilation laboratory Mr. Vivek Kumar Kashi, Mr. Aishwarya Mishra, Mr. Viveknand Kumar, Mr. Anurag Singh Chauhan, Mr. Bibhu Ranjan Sahoo, and Mr. Jitendra Kumar for providing a stimulating and friendly environment. My thanks and sincere appreciations also go to all staff members of the department.

I am thankful to the mine management of the case study mine for their co-operation in the data collection that helped in building a strong case study to my thoughts.

I am indebted to Prof. H.K. Naik, Department of Mining Engineering, NIT Rourkela, Odhisha and Prof. A.B. Sarkar, Head of Department, Electrical Engineering Rewa Engineering College Rewa, Madhya Pradesh, for their constant help in research work.

Finally, I heartily express my sincerest gratitude to parents, my wife, my sisters and brothers. I wish to express indebtedness to my parents, for their unconditional love, extreme patience and constant support over the years. They provided me the strength and confidence to attain this task.

Date: (Atma Ram Sahu)

Contents

List of	Figures	xii
List of Tables		xiv
List of Abbreviations		XV
List of	Symbols	xviii
Preface	e	xix
Chapt	er 1 Introduction	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Statement of the Problem	3
1.3	Significance and Novelty of the Research	5
1.4	Objectives of the Research	
1.5	Research Methodology	8
1.6	Outline of the Thesis	10
Chapt	er 2 Literature Review	15
2.1	Introduction	15
2.2	Literature on Dragline	15
2.3	2.1 History of dragline development	16
2.:	2.2 Research works conducted on dragline	16
2.3	Literature Review on FMECA	18

2.4 Int	roduction to Fault Analysis	. 19
2.5 Cla	assification of Fault Analysis Approaches	. 22
2.6 Cla	assification of Data-Driven Based Fault Analysis Approach	. 24
2.6.1	Unsupervised learning based fault analysis approach	. 26
2.6.2	Semi-supervised learning based fault analysis approach	. 29
2.6.3	Supervised learning based fault analysis approach	. 29
2.6.3.1	Inference based fault analysis	. 30
2.6.3.2	Regression-based fault analysis	. 35
2.6.3.3	Classification based fault analysis	. 36
2.7 Su	mmary	. 41
Chapter 3	Methodology	. 43
3.1 Int	roduction	. 43
3.2 De	evelopment of Methodology	. 43
3.2.1	Criticality analysis of dragline components using failure mode, effects and	
criticali	ity analysis	. 45
3.2.2	BN based fault analysis of the dragline system	. 47
3.2.3	ANN based fault analysis of the dragline system	. 48
3.3 Su	mmary	. 48

Chapte	er 4 Identification of Critical Components of Dragline	49
4.1	Introduction	49
4.2	Mine Description	49
4.3	Dragline: A Brief Overview	50
4.3	Dragline system and its subsystems	51
4.3	Working of dragline	53
4.3	Failure data collection of dragline	54
4.4	Identification of Critical Components of Dragline using FMECA	56
4.4	Estimation of the likelihood of failure	59
4.4	Estimation of the consequences of failure	60
4.5	Estimation of RPN of Various Components of Dragline	61
4.6	Summary	64
Chapte	er 5 Data Collection for Fault Analysis of Drag System	65
5.1	Introduction	65
5.2	Drag System: A Subsystem of Dragline	65
5.3	Cause, Symptom and Fault of Drag System	68
5.4	Data Collection of Drag System	69
5.5	Summary	72

Chapte	er 6	Bayesian Network Based Fault Analysis	73
6.1	Intr	oduction	73
6.2	Top	pology of BN Model	73
6.3	BN	Methodology	77
6.3	.1	Model validation through conflict analysis	80
6.3	.2	Fault inference	81
6.3	.3	Fault identification	82
6.3	.4	Sensitivity analysis of BN model	84
6.4	Est	imation of CPT	89
6.5	Ide	ntification of Threshold Limit Value	91
6.6	Res	sults and Discussions of BN Based Fault Analysis	94
6.6	.1	Fault type identification	94
6.6	.2	Identification of critical parameter and accuracy of the model	103
6.6	.2.1	Sensitivity analysis of fault BBF	104
6.6	.2.2	Sensitivity analysis of fault DCP	105
6.6	.2.3	Sensitivity analysis of fault SBP	107
6.6	.2.4	Sensitivity analysis of fault ID	109
6.7	Sur	nmary	111
Chapte	er 7	Artificial Neural Network Based Fault Analysis	113
7.1	Intr	oduction	113

7.2	Topology of Developed ANN Based Fault Analysis	113
7.3	Methodology of ANN based Fault Analysis	115
7.4	Modelling of Collected Drag System Data	117
7.5	Implementation of the ANN Model and Parameters Estimation	120
7.5	Cause to symptom model development	120
7.6	Results and Discussions	127
7.6	Cause to symptom ANN model and its prediction accuracy	127
7.6	Symptom to fault ANN model and its predicted accuracy	132
7.7	Summary	134
Chapte	er 8 Discussion and Conclusion	135
8.1	Introduction	135
8.2	Discussions	135
8.3	Conclusion	138
8.4	Future Scope of Research Work	142
Refere	nces	143
Publica	ations	175

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Downtimes of various subsystems of three draglines deployed in the coal mine. 4
Figure 1.2 Structure of the thesis
Figure 2.1 The sequence of occurrence of failure in the system
Figure 2.2 Graphical representation of the stages of occurrence of failure
Figure 2.3 Process of fault analysis in the system
Figure 2.4 Classification of data-driven fault analysis approach for HEMM
Figure 2.5 Classification of literature on data-driven based fault analysis
Figure 3.1 Flowchart of the research work
Figure 3.2. Flow chart of criticality analysis and assessment of RPN of dragline
Figure 4.1 Overview of dragline (24/96 W2000) deployed in the opencast coal mine 51
Figure 4.2 Breakdown hours of various subsystem of dragline
Figure 4.3 Frequency of failure of various subsystems of the dragline
Figure 4.4. RPN of various component of dragline
Figure 5.1. Outer look structure of the drag system
Figure 5.2. Schematic diagram of outer look structure of the drag system
Figure 5.3 Schematic diagram of the drag system of dragline
Figure 6.1 Schematic representation of a three-layer BN model
Figure 6.2 Decision flow chart for fault analysis of the drag system
Figure 6.3. Types of fault inference
Figure 6.4. Sensitivity analysis in BN
Figure 6.5. Updated CPT of BN for fault analysis of drag system using test dataset 90

Figure 6.6. Updated BN when symptom VUS is observed in the drag system94
Figure 6.7. Updated BN when symptom CF is observed in drag system96
Figure 6.8. Updated BN when symptoms CF and TF are observed in drag system98
Figure 6.9. Updated BN model when symptoms VUS and LL are observed in the drag
system99
Figure 6.10. Updated BN when symptoms CF and VF are observed in drag system101
Figure 6.11. Updated BN when symptoms CF, TF, and Sp are observed in drag system102
Figure 6.12. Sensitivity function graph of fault BBF for various objectives104
Figure 6.13. Accuracy of the sensitivity function graph of fault BBF105
Figure 6.14. Sensitivity function graph of fault DCP for various objectives106
Figure 6.15 Accuracy of the sensitivity function graph of fault DCP107
Figure 6.16. Sensitivity function graph of fault SBP for various objectives
Figure 6.17. Accuracy of the sensitivity function graph of fault SBP108
Figure 6.18. Sensitivity function graph of fault ID for various objectives110
Figure 6.19 Accuracy of the sensitivity function graph of fault ID
Figure 7.1 Applied algorithms to create an optimized ANN and maintenance policy116
Figure 7.2 Relation between average cross-entropy error and number of neurons
Figure 7.3. Multilayer perceptron cause to symptom ANN model
Figure 7.4 Relation between average cross-entropy error and number of neurons126
Figure 7.5 Multilayer perceptron symptom to fault ANN model
Figure 7.6 Predicted pseudo-probability of the output of cause to symptom model128
Figure 7.7 Predicted pseudo-probability of the output of symptom to fault model132

List of Tables

Table 1.1 Literature on the failure mechanism of various components of dragline
Table 2.1 BN based fault analysis approach of the various applications
Table 2.2 ANN based fault analysis approach of various industrial applications
Table 4.1 Failure mode of dragline components
Table 4.2. Ranking of the likelihood of failure (Ω) using K-means clustering algorithm 59
Table 4.3. Production loss of system in terms of average breakdown time
Table 4.4. Rank the performance of the system in terms of defect of the component 60
Table 4.5 Evaluation of RPN of various components of the dragline
Table 4.6 Cross-correlation matrix of the parameters of RPN
Table 5.1. Fault analysis data of the drag system of dragline
Table 6.1 Condition-based fault type identification and its symbolic presentation
Table 6.2 Verification of the threshold limit values of α , β , and ε based on occurrence of
few faults92
Table 7.1 Cause, symptom, and fault data of the drag system and corresponding EHMR 119
Table 7.2 Statistical summary of the random division of the cause to symptom data set 122
Table 7.3 Statistical summary of the random division of the symptom to fault data set 125
Table 7.4. Classification of the predicted output of cause to symptom analysis and its
prediction accuracy
Table 7.5. Rank-wise importance of cause and symptom of the drag system
Table 7.6 Classification of the predicted output of symptom to fault analysis and its
prediction accuracy
Table 7.7 Rank-wise importance of symptom to fault of the drag system

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full form
AI	Artificial Intelligence
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
BBF	Bearing and Bolt Fault
BLB	Bearing and Loose Bolt
BN	Bayesian Network
BT	Breakdown Time
CBM	Condition-Based Maintenance
CIL	Coal India limited
CF	Current Feedback
CP_0	Correct Prediction of 0
CP ₁	Correct Prediction of 1
CPT	Conditional Probability Table
DC	Drum and Coupling
DCP	Drive Control Problem
HEC	Heavy Engineering Corporation Limited
HEMM	Heavy Earth Moving Machinery
EHMR	Engine Hourly Machine Rate
FMECA	Failure Mode Effect and Criticality Analysis
f	Frequency of Failure
FT	Fault Tree

Abbreviation	Full form
НММ	Hidden Markov Model
HP	Horse Power
IC	Improper Control
ICA	Independent Component Analysis
ICP ₀	Incorrect Prediction of 0
ICP ₁	Incorrect Prediction of 1
ID	Insulation Damage
IQR	Interquartile Range
LC	Loose Connection
LHD	Load, Haul, Dump
LL	Lubrication Level
MT	Million Ton
MG	Motor Generator
MTPA	Million Tons Per Annum
MLP	Multi-Layer Perceptron
NCL	Northern Coalfields Limited
ОН	Overheating
OL	Overloading
PCA	Principal Component Analysis
P-F	Potential to Functional failure
RPN	Risk Priority Number
SBP	Starting and Breaking Problem

Abbreviation	Full form
SL	Supervised Learning
Sp	Sparking
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
SSL	Semi-Supervised Learning
SVM	Support Vector Machine
TF	Temperature Feedback
UC	Unidentified Cause
UF	Unidentified Fault
US	Unidentified Symptom
USL	Unsupervised Learning
VF	Voltage Feedback
VUS	Vibration and Unwanted Sound

List of Symbols

Symbol	Details
Ω	Likelihood of occurrence of failure
Ψ	Production loss due to failure of component
μ	Defect of the failure component
T	Average breakdown time of component per failure
α	Degree of detection of fault limit after identification of evidence
β	Degree of detection of fault limit before evidence is observed
arepsilon	Degree of detection of fault limit (difference between posterior and
	prior probability of the occurrence of fault)
M_1	Absolute sensitivity value
M_2	Difference between maximum and minimum sensitivity value

Preface

Unidentified and undetected faults in heavy earthmoving machinery (HEMM) can lead to unwanted failures. The occurrence of failure can cause permanent damage to the components of a system, and reduces the productivity and performance of the system. Since coal mining is cyclic in nature, it is always intended to make the equipment operational in order to maintain the production cycle to achieve the targeted production. Dragline is a capital-intensive HEMM used in coal mines for removal of overburden. The productivity of large draglines reported in Australian coal mining industry is around \$8000 per hour, and its failure has a major consequence on productivity of the mines.

Till date, most of the research works conducted on draglines are mainly based on failure data and research works on predictive fault analysis of capital-intensive draglines are still limited. The maintenance worksheet 2016-17 of the coal mine for a group of three draglines reported that 49% of total downtime was contributed by the drag system alone. Moreover, the failure mode, effects and criticality analysis (FMECA) of 30 components of dragline identified three components based on the acceptable risk criterion. The drag system was identified as the most critical failure component of dragline, followed by the hoist system and dump rope. Therefore, in this thesis, one of the most critical subsystems of the dragline, i.e., drag system—responsible for 49% of the total downtime of dragline—is considered for the fault analysis using artificial intelligence tools so that frequency of failure and downtimes of dragline can be reduced to an acceptable level.

The fault analysis of drag system is complex because one fault can be linked to multiple symptoms or multiple causes, and one cause can be responsible for the occurrence of multiple symptoms or multiple faults. Most of the artificial intelligence tools used in data-driven based fault analysis such as fault tree, fuzzy logic and Bayesian Network (BN) are used in the inference based fault analysis to analyze the causal relationships between dependent and independent variables. Although the fuzzy logic and fault tree analysis is preferred to handle the two-layer causal relationship; however, BN is a powerful artificial intelligence tool in the area of probabilistic knowledge to explain the multi-layer structure

problems to establish causal relationship between multiple causes, symptoms and faults. In addition, the BN model offers flexibility in updating the fault database when new evidence is observed. Therefore, a three-layer BN model for drag system is developed with six cause, six symptom and four fault nodes for deriving the fault inference.

For fault analysis, the fault and failure data of a dragline for 28-month (2014-16) period, deployed in a coal mine in northern India, was collected. The cause, symptom, and fault data of the drag system of dragline from its commissioning to 7267:30 EHMR (Engine Hourly Machine Rate) during the May 2014 to September 2016 were collected from historical recorded sensor data, maintenance worksheet, and visual inspection. The collected data were divided into 15 min interval and were converted into categorical data. A total of 29070 data were recorded. When the symptom crossed the predefined threshold limit, the faults and their root causes were recorded using the maintenance record and experts' judgment. Collected cause, symptom and fault data have been converted into categorical data (1 or 0): '1' refers that the symptom exceeded the threshold limit, and '0' signifies that the data was within the threshold limit. Similar classifications were made for fault (present =1 and absent = 0) and cause (identified =1, not identified = 0).

Subsequently, for BN analysis, the dataset was divided into the testing dataset and validation dataset. The test dataset is used for building the 16-node three-layer BN model to establish the causal relationships between cause, symptom, and fault. Based on the set of observed evidence, the fault inference of the BN model is used to make reasoning to categorize the faults into catastrophic fault, degraded fault or intermittent fault. The conflict among the observed set of evidences is measured through the conflict analysis. The experts' opinion helped to deciding the degree of detection limit of faults such as α , β , and ε and it is further validated using BN through the demonstration of 10 specific fault cases. The identified fault types can help in designing the decision support system. The proposed BN model was also validated using the conflict analysis considering six active cases of fault diagnosis that measured negative conflict values revealing correctness of the model. The sensitivity analysis of the BN model is used to identify the root causes that mostly influence the occurrence of fault for a given evidence. The prediction accuracy of the BN

model is validated using validation dataset for the most effective parameter of the occurrence of fault for given evidence(s). In addition, three-axiom-based sensitivity analysis is also used to validate the model. Finally, case-based reasoning discussed in the decision support system can provide in-depth understanding of fault occurrence for developing maintenance policy.

Rather than the inference based fault diagnosis using BN, the classification based datadriven fault analysis model is also proposed using the multi-layer layer perceptron (MLP) in artificial neural network (ANN). Out of 29070 generated data, there were 452 instances when the symptoms exceeded the threshold limit, which resulted into 199 faults that led to 16 failures in the drag system. Two ANN models have been developed to understand the fault occurrence using seven causes, seven symptoms and five fault parameters of drag system. The data classification is similar to BN, with an addition of nodes for unidentified fault, unidentified symptom, and unidentified cause. When the cause or fault occurs without giving any symptom is defined as unidentified symptom and it occurs mainly due to the effect of other subsystems of the dragline (i.e., sudden fall of the bucket, boom that affects the drag system) and these faults are categorized as unidentified faults. When the cause of the occurrence of fault is unknown, they are categorized into unidentified cause. In this research work, the cause to symptom model is used to identify the sequence of responsible root causes when the symptom exceeds the threshold limit. In addition, the symptom to fault model is used to predict the occurrence of possible faults to prevent a catastrophic failure of the drag system. In the ANN model, the prediction accuracy symptom using cause was 94.2%, and that of fault using the symptoms was 97.1%. The sensitivity of causes and symptoms were ranked for individual faults which is expected to help the maintenance engineer to predict the faults and to make the sequence of preventive maintenance action plan in real-time condition to minimize the unwanted downtime and maintenance cost of the dragline.

Therefore the industrial application of this research work is that the results of real-time fault diagnosis using BN and ANN models can be incorporated in the decision support system to prepare a suitable maintenance policy. The result of fault type identification of BN model

can help to categorize the occurrence of fault as catastrophic fault, degradation fault, or intermittent fault type, which will direct the decision support system to decide whether to continue to operate the dragline or to discontinue the operation. Based on the fault type, the maintenance action can be undertaken, e.g., urgent maintenance or maintenance is to be done during scheduled inspection interval or during P-F interval. The results of the sensitivity analysis of the BN model can be used to identify the most influencing parameter of the occurrence of fault, which can help to initiate the maintenance of the dragline. On the other hand, the result of the ANN based fault analysis will facilitate to prepare the sequence of maintenance action plan based on identified root causes of the fault. Hence, the decision support system can be a guiding tool for the maintenance engineer to preparing suitable condition-based maintenance (CBM) policy to optimize the downtime and maintenance cost of dragline.

Finally, the novelty of the research work is to demonstrate the procedure to determine the degree of fault detection α , β and ε . Through the literature shows an indication of determining these values through experts' opinion and sequential trial and error, 10 such specific fault occurrence cases of the maintenance worksheet have been validated through the BN and experts' opinion. Secondly, a plethora of works have been conducted on failure analysis of dragline and the research work on fault analysis of dragline is still limited. Many dragline components (e.g., bucket, boom, swing shaft, front-end structure) have been analyzed in the literature; however, research works on drag system which is responsible of 49% of downtime have not been conducted. Therefore the research work explained in this thesis would direct the researchers to analyze fault in HEMMs using artificial intelligence tools. The results of the research work are expected to enhance the knowledgebase of the maintenance engineer to formulate a suitable maintenance policy.