

List of Figures

No.	Figure Caption	Page No.
Figure 1.1	Schematic diagram of HPT processing setup	4
Figure 1.2	Schematic diagram of Continuous high-pressure torsion	5
Figure 1.3	Schematic diagram of accumulative roll bonding process	7
Figure 1.4	Schematic diagram of the multi-directional forging process cycle. The filled black arrows show sample rotation axis.	8
Figure 1.5	Schematic diagram of twist extrusion die with twisted channel and different shape of entry and exit of the die with workpiece.	10
Figure 1.6	Schematic diagram of Repetitive corrugation and straightening (RCS).	11
Figure 1.7	Schematic illustration of the equal-channel angular pressing die channel.	13
Figure 1.8	The principle of ECAP showing the shearing plane within the die.	13
Figure 1.9	Variation of the equivalent strain with the channel angle Φ over angle Ψ .	15
Figure 1.10	ECAP processing routes for multipass deformation.	17
Figure 1.11	The distortions of cubic elements on the X, Y and Z planes of sample for A, B _A , B _C and C processing routes.	19
Figure 1.12	Schematic representation of the shearing patterns on the X, Y and Z planes for processing routes A, B _A , B _C and C: mauve, green and blue colors correspond to the 1, 2, 3, 4 pass, respectively.	20
Figure 1.13	Schematic diagram and illustration of operation of Rotary-Die ECAP (a) (initial state) (b) after one pass (c) after 90° die rotation	22
Figure 1.14	Schematic representation of principle of side extrusion process for ECAP	23
Figure 1.15	Schematic diagram of principle of ECAP with parallel channels	24
Figure 1.16	Schematic illustration of a multi-pass ECAP die.	25
Figure 1.17	Schematic diagram of Incremental ECAP (I-ECAP).	26
Figure 1.18	Schematic diagram of continuous processes (n) ECAP-	27

	Conform.	
Figure 1.19	Schematic diagram of con shearing process.	28
Figure 1.20	The principle of the DCAP process for use in continuous production.	29
Figure 1.21	(a) Spherical projection of plane normals, (b) projection of poles of single crystal/grain on rolling, (c) projection of poles from textured grains, (d) pole density distribution pyramid, and (e) ND pole figure with pole density contour.	37
Figure 1.22	[110] pole figure of rolled BCC material.	38
Figure 1.23	Inverse pole figure for 70% cold rolled steel: (a) ND, (b) RD and (c) TD.	38
Figure 1.24	Schematic representation of Euler angles ϕ_1 , Φ , and ϕ_2	39
Figure 1.25	(a) Schematic diagram of Euler's space for BCC material, and (b) 2D-orientation distribution Function (ODF) map of Ti-Nb IF steel at $\phi_2=45^\circ$.	40
Figure 1.26	(a) Locations in Eulers space of the ideal orientations of BCC materials and (b) Schematic ODF and important fiber location produced by rolling, RD, ND, TD, denote the three main fibre lines for which rolling, normal and transverse directions are parallel to the $\langle 110 \rangle$, $\langle 111 \rangle$, and $\langle 110 \rangle$, crystallographic vectors respectively.	42
Figure 1.27	2D ODF of rolled BCC material $\phi_2 = 45^\circ$.	44
Figure 1.28	[110] pole figure showing the locations of the corresponding main ideal orientations ($D_{1\theta}$, $D_{2\theta}$, $E_\theta / \bar{E}_\theta$, $J_\theta / \bar{J}_\theta$, and F_θ) and fiber textures ($\langle 111 \rangle_\theta$ and $\{110\}_\theta$) derived from negative simple shear by a 60° CCW-rotation around the z-axis. Contour levels: 1/1.4/2/2.8/4/5.6.	47
Figure 1.29	Variation of yield strength and ultimate tensile strength with equivalent strain in IF steel deformed by ECAP via route Bc at room temperature.	52
Figure 1.30	Flow curve determined on commercially pure copper in large strain, free-end torsion illustrating the work hardening characteristics of Stages II–VI.	54
Figure 1.31	Variation of yield strength in low carbon steels with grain size from macrosize to ultrafine size for conventional to severe plastic deformation methods.	55
Figure 1.32	Variation of elongation in low carbon steels with grain size from macrosize to ultrafine grain size for conventional to severe plastic deformation methods.	56
Figure 1.33	Transmission electron micrograph showing secondary recrystallized grains after annealing at 200°C for 3 min. The vast majority of the grains are in the nanocrystalline/ultra fine range with some recrystallized regions.	60

Figure 1.34	Engineering stress–strain curves for pure Cu after annealing at various temperatures. Curve A is for annealed, coarse grained Cu; B for room temperature rolling to 95% cold work (CW); C for liquid-nitrogen temperature rolling to 93% CW; D for 93% CW and annealed at 180°C for 3 min. and E for 93% CW and annealed at 200°C for 3 min.	61
Figure 2.1	Schematic diagram of (a) ECAP die assembly with work piece, (b) ECAPed workpiece with reference directions, X, Y, Z or ED, TD and ND respectively.	67
Figure 2.2	Schematic diagram of cold-rolling/cryo-rolling of the equal-channel angular pressed workpiece with extrusion direction (ED, parallel to X), normal direction (parallel to Y), and transverse direction (TD, parallel to Z) designated.	69
Figure 2.3	Location of samples taken for various microstructural investigations.	71
Figure 2.4	Schematic diagram of the ECAP die with sample directions and shear plane directions.	76
Figure 2.5	Orientation and location of tensile sample on equal-channel angular pressed workpiece.	79
Figure 2.5	Typical dimensions of round tensile sample (all dimensions in mm).	79
Figure 3.1	Optical microstructure of the low carbon steel (a) as-received (b) ECAP-0.6, (c) ECAP-1.2, (d) ECAP-1.8, (e) ECAP-3, (f) ECAP-6, (g) ECAP-9, (h) ECAP-12 and (i) ECAP-16.8. Upside arrow is showing extrusion direction and arrow heads inside the micrograph are showing the bands	81-85
Figure 3.2	SEM micrographs (pearlitic region) of low carbon steel (a) As-received, (b) ECAP-1.2, (c) ECAP-6, (d) ECAP-9, (e) ECAP-12, (f) ECAP-16.8 and (g) TEM bright field of ECAP-12, arrows are showing dissolution of carbides from pearlite.	87-90
Figure 3.3	Image quality maps of low carbon steel of (a) ECAP-0, (b) ECAP-0.6, (c) ECAP-1.2, (d) ECAP-1.8, (e) ECAP-3, (f) ECAP-6, (g) ECAP-9, (h) ECAP-12, (i) ECAP-16.8 Magnified view of selected area shown by arrow is given in the inset of corresponding image and arrow showing the selected area (j) Variation of LAGB of low carbon steel with equivalent strain.	91-96
Figure 3.4	Misorientation angle distribution of low carbon steel of (a) As-received (b) ECAP-0.6, (c) ECAP-1.2, (d) ECAP-1.8, (e) ECAP-3, (f) ECAP-6, (g) ECAP-9, (h) ECAP-12, (i) ECAP-16.8 sample, and (j) Variation in average misorientation angle and equivalent strain of low carbon steel.	98-102
Figure 3.4.1	Average boundary length/ unit area with equivalent strain ($\epsilon_{vm} = 0-16.8$) for low carbon steel.	102(a)

Figure 3.4.1	Boundary length per unit area distribution with misorientation angle for equivalent strain ($\epsilon_{vm} = 0-16.8$).	102(b)
Figure 3.5	(a) TEM bright field image low carbon steel of ECAP-0.6, and (b) corresponding selected area diffraction pattern.	104
Figure 3.6	(a) TEM bright field image low carbon steel of ECAP-1.2, and (b) corresponding selected area diffraction pattern.	105
Figure 3.7	(a) TEM bright field image low carbon steel of ECAP-1.8, and (b) corresponding selected area diffraction pattern.	106
Figure 3.8	(a) TEM bright field image low carbon steel of ECAP-3, and (b) corresponding selected area diffraction pattern.	107
Figure 3.9	(a) TEM bright field image low carbon steel of ECAP-6, and (b) corresponding selected area diffraction pattern.	109
Figure 3.10	(a) TEM bright field image low carbon steel of ECAP-9, and (b) corresponding selected area diffraction pattern.	110
Figure 3.11	(a) TEM bright field image low carbon steel of ECAP-12, and (b) corresponding selected area diffraction pattern.	111
Figure 3.12	(a) TEM bright field image low carbon steel of ECAP-16.8, and (b) corresponding selected area diffraction pattern.	112
Figure 4.1	[110] pole figure maps of low carbon steel for (a) $\epsilon_{vm} = 0$ and after ECAP for (b) $\epsilon_{vm} = 0.6$, (c) $\epsilon_{vm} = 1.2$, (d) $\epsilon_{vm} = 1.8$, for (e) $\epsilon_{vm} = 3$, (f) $\epsilon_{vm} = 6$, (g) $\epsilon_{vm} = 9$, (h) $\epsilon_{vm} = 12$ and (i) $\epsilon_{vm} = 16.8$. RD, TD ND directions are denoted for as-received material and ED, TD ND directions are displayed on pole figures of ECAPed samples. Texture intensities 0.8, 1.0, 1.3, 1.6, 2.0, 2.5, 3.2, 4.0, 5.0, and 6.4 are projected.	118-120
Figure 4.2	$\phi_2=0^\circ$ and 45° sections of ODF maps of low carbon steel for (a0, a45) $\epsilon_{vm} = 0$ and after ECAP for (b0, b45) $\epsilon_{vm} = 0.6$, (c0, c45) $\epsilon_{vm} = 1.2$, (d0, d45) $\epsilon_{vm} = 1.8$, and (e0, e45) $\epsilon_{vm} = 3$, and (f0, f45) $\epsilon_{vm} = 6$, (g0, g45) $\epsilon_{vm} = 9$, (h0, h45) $\epsilon_{vm} = 12$, and (i0, i45) $\epsilon_{vm} = 16.8$. Texture data is rotated such that ϕ_1 becomes $\phi_1+120^\circ/2$ towards negative simple shear. Texture intensities are 0.8, 1.2, 2.0, 3.0, 5, 7.5, 10, 13, 17, 20.	122-124
Figure 4.3	Variation of texture index with equivalent strain.	125
Figure 5.1	Engineering stress and plastic engineering strain curve of low carbon steels.	133
Figure 5.2	Variation in strength (YS and UTS) with equivalent strain.	134
Figure 5.3	Variation in total elongation and uniform elongation with equivalent strain.	135
Figure 5.4	Variation in hardness with increase in equivalent strain in low carbon steel.	136
Figure 5.5	Variation of hardness and grain size ($d^{-1/2}$) for as-received and ECAPed low carbon steel.	136

Figure 5.6	Fractographs of low carbon steel of (a) As-received, (b) ECAP-0.6, (c) ECAP-1.2, (d) ECAP-1.8, (e) ECAP-3, (f) ECAP-6, (g) ECAP-9, (h) ECAP-12 and (i) ECAP-16.8 with magnified area of fine dimples in the right hand top as indicated by arrow.	138-142
Figure 6.1	(a) TEM bright field images of ECAP12 (dissolution of cementite is shown by the arrow), and (b) optical microstructures of ECAP12-CR80 and (c) TEM bright field images ECAP12-CR80.	150-151
Figure 6.2	The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of low carbon steels.	152
Figure 6.3	Microstructures of ECAP12-CR80-FA580 LCS: (a) optical, and (b) SEM image, TEM bright-field images, (c) secondary recrystallized region of coarse grains, and (d) ultrafine grain region and (e) cementite precipitates (precipitates are shown by an arrow).	153-155
Figure 6.4	Microstructure of ECAP12-CR80-FA600: (a) optical, (b) SEM image, TEM bright-field images, (c) secondary recrystallized coarse grains, and (d) ultrafine grains and cementite precipitates (the precipitates are shown by an arrow).	156-157
Figure 6.5	Variation of the misorientation angle of LCS with number fraction: (a) as-received, (b) ECAP12, (c) ECAP12-CR80, (d) ECAP12-CR80-FA580, (e) ECAP12-CR80-FA600, (f) variation of HAGB fraction with processing, and (g) Average misorientation angle with respect to processing condition.	159-161
Figure 6.5.1	Boundary length per unit area distribution with misorientation angle for low carbon steel samples.	162
Figure 6.6	Section of the ODF at $\phi_2 = 45$ deg of LCS: (a) as received, and (b) ECAP12 (c) ECAP12-CR80, (d) ECAP12-CR80-FA580 and (e) ECAP12-CR80-FA600. ODF intensities are shown at the right-hand top corner.	163-165
Figure 6.7	Bright field TEM image of low carbon steel ECAP-16.8-CRR-75.	166
Figure 6.8	Bright field TEM image of low carbon steel ECAP-16.8-CRR-75FA475.	167
Figure 6.9	Optical micrograph of ECAP-16.8-CRR-75 annealed at 550°C.	168
Figure 6.10	(a) Optical micrograph of ECAP-16.8-CRR-75 annealed at 600°C, Bright field TEM images of low carbon steel (b) & (c) ECAP-16.8-CRR-75FA600.	168-169
Figure 6.11	(a) Optical micrograph of ECAP-16.8-CRR-75FA675 (b) Bright field TEM image.	171
Figure 7.1	Engineering stress and plastic engineering strain of low carbon steels.	181

Figure 7.2	Variation of hardness with processing conditions.	181
Figure 7.3	Variation of hardness with processing conditions.	182
Figure 7.4	Variation of hardness with processing conditions.	182
Figure 7.5	Engineering stress vs engineering plastic strain plot of low carbon steel samples.	184
Figure 7.6	Variation of hardness value with flash annealing temperature of deformed sample (ECAP-16.8-CRR-75).	184
Figure 7.7	Variation of hardness with inverse square root of grain size ($d^{-1/2}$) of ECAP-16.8-CRR-75-FA.	185
Figure 7.8	Fractographs of LCS: (a) as received, and (b) ECAP12, The single arrow indicates the cleavage area, (c) ECAP12-CR80, and (d) ECAP12-CR80-FA600. The single arrow indicates the cleavage area, and the double arrows show elongated crack.	187-188
Figure A.1	X-ray diffraction pattern of low carbon steel (a) As-received, (b) $\epsilon_{vm} = 0.6$, (c) $\epsilon_{vm} = 1.2$, (d) $\epsilon_{vm} = 1.8$, (e) $\epsilon_{vm} = 3$, (f) $\epsilon_{vm} = 6$, (g) $\epsilon_{vm} = 9$, (h) $\epsilon_{vm} = 12$, and (i) $\epsilon_{vm} = 16.8$.	219-223