DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate my thesis to my beloved mother and father.

CERTIFICATE

It is certified that the work contained in the thesis entitled "**Exploring the Interphase** and Associated Electro-Thermal Properties in Epoxy-Alumina Nanocomposites" by Manohar Singh has been carried out under my supervision. This work has not been submitted elsewhere for a degree. It is further certified that the student has fulfilled all the requirements of comprehensive examination, candidacy and SOTA for the award of Ph.D. Degree.

Signature of Supervisor

Dr. J. C. Pandey

Department of Electrical Engineering

IIT(BHU), Varanasi

Varanasi - 221005

DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE

I, **Manohar Singh**, certify that the work embodied in this thesis is my own bona fide work and carried out by me under the supervision of **Dr. J. C. Pandey** from July-2016 to September-2021, at the Department of Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi. The matter embodied in this thesis has not been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma. I declare that I have faithfully acknowledged and given credits to the research workers wherever their works have been cited in my work in this thesis. I further declare that I have not wilfully copied any other's work, paragraphs, text, data, results, etc., reported in journals, books, magazines, reports dissertations, theses, etc., or available at websites and have not included them in this thesis and have not cited as my own work.

Date:Signature of the StudentPlace:(Manohar Singh)

CERTIFICATE BY THE SUPERVISOR

It is certified that the above statement made by the student is correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Dr. J. C. Pandey Department of Electrical Engineering IIT(BHU), Varanasi

Signature of Head of Department

COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE

Title of the Thesis: Exploring the Interphase and Associated Electro-Thermal Properties in Epoxy-Alumina Nanocomposites

Name of the Student: Manohar Singh

Copyright Transfer

The undersigned hereby assigns to the Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University), Varanasi, all rights under copyright that may exist in and for the above thesis submitted for the award of the Doctor of Philosophy.

Date:

Place:

(Manohar Singh)

Note: However, the author may reproduce or authorize others to reproduce material extracted verbatim from the thesis or derivative of the thesis for author's personal use provided that the source and the Institute's copyright notice are indicated.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The conglomeration of inspiration, guidance, and support from many individuals has led towards the successful completion of this work. Therefore, I would like to take this opportunity here and acknowledge all those persons who have been instrumental and played a key role during my research.

First of all, I would like to express my deep sense of gratitude to my supervisor Dr. J.C. Pandey, Department of Electrical Engineering, IIT (BHU) Varanasi, for his excellent support, skilled guidance, an abundance of patience, and constant encouragement during my research. I consider it my privilege to have the opportunity to work with him. I am especially expressing my sincere gratitude to my supervisor for providing the necessary support and constant encouragement during the entire period of the research work as Professor-in-Charge of the high voltage laboratory.

I would also like to thank the faculty members of my research progress evaluation committee, Dr. Santosh Kumar Singh, Department of Electrical Engineering, and Dr. Akhilesh Kumar Singh, School of Materials Science and Technology, who have always provided me with the necessary support, guidance, criticism, and suggestions for necessary improvements in my thesis work. I also thank Prof. Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Department of Chemical Engineering, and Prof. Siddh Nath Upadhyay, Department of Chemical Engineering and former Director IIT (BHU) for their support.

I am thankful to the Ministry of Human Resource and Development (MHRD) for giving me fellowship during my research period.

I am thankful to Central Instrument Facility Centre IIT (BHU), in which I have done characterizations, which are important for this work. I would like to extend my gratitude to Prof. Devendra Kumar, Dept. of Ceramic Engineering, IIT (BHU), for give access to the Dielectric Spectroscopy instrument in his laboratory. I am thankful to Prof. Pradyumna Ghosh, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, IIT (BHU), for giving me access to the TPS 500 instrument in his laboratory.

I am thankful to the central workshop IIT (BHU), in which I have prepared the brass electrodes for AC dielectric strength and DC conductivity measurements. The lab staff Mr. Sanjay Bharti have also been a kind and helping hand during the entire period.

Very special gratitude goes out to my colleagues and friends with special mention to Mr. Vasantha Kumar Rajendran, Mr. Abhinandan Tripathi, Mr. Shailendra Singh, Mr. Devesh Shukla, Mr. Amit Kumar Thakur, Mr. Akhilesh Barnwal, Mr. Lokesh Yadav, and Mr. Rohit Kumar.

I would like to express my deep sense of gratitude to my parents (Mr. Narayan Singh and Mrs. Chandra Kanwar) for their constant support and encouragement, without which this assignment would not have been completed at all. I am grateful to my other family members who have supported me along the way.

Date: -

Place: -

(Manohar Singh)

DEDICATION	iii
CERTIFICATE	v
DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE	vii
COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE	ix
Acknowledgment	xi
Table of Contents	xiii
List of Figures	xvii
List of Tables	xxiii
Preface	xxv
Chapter 1 Introduction and Literature Review	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	
1.2 LITERATURE SURVEY	5
1.2.1 Synthesis of polymer nanocomposites (PNC)	5
1.2.2 Electrical Characterizations	7
1.2.3 Mechanical properties	
1.2.4 Thermal conductivity	20
1.3 Problem Formulation	23
1.4 Organization of Thesis	25
Chapter 2 Material Description and Sample Preparation	
2.1 Material used	
2.1.1 Host material	
2.1.2 Filler material	
2.1.3 Coupling Agent	29
2.1.4 Curing agent	
2.2 Sample Preparation	
2.2.1 Synthesis of pure epoxy samples	
2.2.2 Synthesis of nanocomposites with untreated nanofillers	
2.2.3 Synthesis of Nanocomposites with surface-treated nanoparticles	
2.3 Dispersion of nanofillers	
2.4 Summary	39
Chapter 3 Experimental Techniques for Material Characterization	
3.1 Thermal Characterization	
3.1.1 Thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA)	
3.1.2 Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)	42

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.1.	3 Thermal conductivity measurements	44
3.2	Electrical Characterization	45
3.2.	1 AC dielectric strength measurement	45
3.2.	2 DC conductivity	47
3.2.	3 Dielectric Spectroscopy	51
3.3	Chemical Characterization	56
3.3.	1 Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	56
3.4	Structural Characterization	58
3.4.	1 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis	58
3.5	Summary	60
Chapter	Exploring the Interphase and its Impact on Electro-Thermal Properties	61
4.1	Introduction	61
4.2	Experimental	62
4.2.	1 Sample Preparation and Dispersion Analysis	62
4.2.	2 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis	64
4.2.	3 Dielectric Properties Measurements	66
4.2.	4 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)	69
4.2.	5 Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)	70
4.3	GENESIS OF INTERPHASE AND ITS RELATIONSHIP WITH MATERIAL PROPERTIES	71
4.4	Summary	83
Chapter	5 Estimating Relative Permittivity and Size of Interphase	
5.1		85
5.1	Introduction	
5.2	Introduction Methodology	85
	Methodology	85 85
5.2	Methodology 1 Building model geometry and selection of unit cell	85 85 86
5.2 5.2.	Methodology	85 85 86 88
5.2 5.2. 5.2.	Methodology1Building model geometry and selection of unit cell2Applying physics and boundary conditions3Assignment of material properties	85 85 86 88 89
5.2 5.2. 5.2. 5.2.	Methodology1Building model geometry and selection of unit cell2Applying physics and boundary conditions3Assignment of material properties	85 85 86 88 89 89
5.2 5.2. 5.2. 5.2. 5.2.	 Methodology Building model geometry and selection of unit cell Applying physics and boundary conditions Assignment of material properties Calculation of effective permittivity 	85 86 88 89 89 92
5.2 5.2. 5.2. 5.2. 5.2. 5.3	 Methodology Building model geometry and selection of unit cell Applying physics and boundary conditions Assignment of material properties Calculation of effective permittivity Validation of Finite Element Analysis Determination of Interphase Permittivity using Bisection Method Algorithm 	85 86 88 89 89 92 96
5.2 5.2. 5.2. 5.2. 5.2. 5.2. 5.3 5.4	 Methodology Building model geometry and selection of unit cell Applying physics and boundary conditions	85 86 88 89 89 92 96 96
5.2 5.2. 5.2. 5.2. 5.2. 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.4	 Methodology Building model geometry and selection of unit cell Applying physics and boundary conditions	85 86 88 89 92 96 96 97
5.2 5.2. 5.2. 5.2. 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.4 5.4. 5.4.	 Methodology Building model geometry and selection of unit cell Applying physics and boundary conditions Assignment of material properties	85 86 88 89 92 96 96 97 101
5.2 5.2. 5.2. 5.2. 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4. 5.5	 Methodology Building model geometry and selection of unit cell Applying physics and boundary conditions Assignment of material properties Calculation of effective permittivity Validation of Finite Element Analysis Determination of Interphase Permittivity using Bisection Method Algorithm Bisection method Application of bisection method for estimation of interphase permittivity Discussion on important findings Summary 	85 86 88 89 92 96 96 97 101 106
5.2 5.2. 5.2. 5.2. 5.3 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.5 5.6	 Methodology Building model geometry and selection of unit cell Applying physics and boundary conditions Assignment of material properties Calculation of effective permittivity Validation of Finite Element Analysis Determination of Interphase Permittivity using Bisection Method Algorithm Bisection method Application of bisection method for estimation of interphase permittivity Discussion on important findings Summary 	85 86 88 89 92 96 97 101 106 107
5.2 5.2. 5.2. 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.5 5.6 Chapter	 Methodology Building model geometry and selection of unit cell Applying physics and boundary conditions	85 86 88 89 92 96 97 101 106 107 107

6.2.	1	Methodology	108
6.3	Esti	imation of thermal conductivity of interphase	115
6.3.	1	Methodology	115
6.4	Res	ult and Discussion	128
6.5	Sun	nmary	132
Chapter and ther		nterphase and its effect on optimizing filler concentrations for improve properties	
7.1	Intr	oduction	133
7.2	Me	thodology	133
7.2.	1	Estimation of effective electrical conductivity	134
7.2.	2	Estimation of effective thermal conductivity	135
7.2.	3	Experimental validation	136
7.2.	4	Optimizing filler concentrations and role of interphase	137
7.3	Sun	nmary	139
Chapter	8 C	Conclusion and Future Scope	141
8.1	Sun	nmary of Important Findings	141
8.2	Futi	ure Scope	143
Referenc	es		145
A. App	endi	x: Publication in referred and peer-reviewed Journals	155

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	(a) Porcelain insulator, (b) glass insulator, (c) polymer insulator2
Figure 1.2	Insulator strings in transmission line2
Figure 1.3	Schematic showing various applications of polymeric insulation in
electrical p	ower components
Figure 1.4	Schematic showing the effect of particle size on interfacial region
Figure 1.5	Basic process for degradation of insulation due to partial discharge 14
Figure 1.6	Mechanism illustrating erosion behavior in (a) neat polymer, (b) micro
composites	, and (c) nanocomposites 17
Figure 2.1	Chemical structure of uncured epoxy
Figure 2.2	Epoxy resin (LY556) and hardener (HY951) supplied from Huntsman 28
Figure 2.3	Aluminum oxide (Al ₂ O ₃) nanopowder supplied from Sigma Aldrich 29
Figure 2.4	Chemical structure of coupling agent
Figure 2.5	3-Glycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane (GPS) supplied from Sigma Aldrich 30
Figure 2.6	Chemical structure of hardener
Figure 2.7	Schematic diagram for synthesis of epoxy alumina nanocomposite
Figure 2.8	Apparatus used for synthesis of epoxy alumina nanocomposite (a)
Weighing	machine, (b) Ultra-sonicator (c) Magnetic stirrer with hot plate, and (d)
Vacuum pu	ump
Figure 2.9	ZEISS SEM apparatus at CIF, IIT (BHU)

Figure 2.10 Schematic showing particle vol.% within sampling square of different
length
Figure 2.11 Filler particles of 50 nm diameter and with a concentration of 1 vol.% (a)
uniformly distributed (b) agglomerated
Figure 2.12 Variance (σ 2) vs. window length (L) for dispersion in Figures 2.11 38
Figure 3.1 TGA-50 (Shimadzu) apparatus at CIF, IIT (BHU) 42
Figure 3.2 DSC-60 Plus (Shimadzu) apparatus at CIF, IIT (BHU) 44
Figure 3.3 TPS sensor sandwiched between samples
Figure 3.4 Schematic for the measurement of short term AC dielectric strength 46
Figure 3.5 Experimental setup for the measurement of AC dielectric strength
Figure 3.6 Three electrodes arrangement for measurement of DC conductivity
Figure 3.7 Schematics of three-electrode system for measurement of DC conductivity
Figure 3.8 Experimental Setup for the measurement of DC conductivity
Figure 3.9 Schematics of a typical polarization-depolarization current waveform 50
Figure 3.10 Polarization phenomena as a function of frequency
Figure 3.11 Experimental setup of Dielectric Spectroscopy 55
Figure 3.12 Dielectric sample and electrode assembly
Figure 3.13 Schematics of dielectric spectroscopic measurements
Figure 3.14 FTIR (Nicolet IS5 model) apparatus at CIF, IIT (BHU) 58

Figure 3.15 XRD (Rigaku smart lab 9kW) apparatus at CIF, IIT (BHU)60
Figure 4.1 SEM images of nanocomposites (a) with untreated nanofillers (b) with
surface treated nanofillers
Figure 4.2 Digitized images indicating center coordinates of nanoparticles (a) with
untreated nanofillers (b) with surface treated nanofillers
Figure 4.3 XRD pattern of: (a) epoxy (b) alumina nanoparticle (c) epoxy alumina
nanocomposites
Figure 4.4 Weibull probability plot for the short-term AC dielectric strength of epoxy
and nanocomposites
Figure 4.5 Polarization current at an applied electric field of 2 kV/mm
Figure 4.6 Complex relative permittivity (a) real part (εr') (b) imaginary part (εr") 68
Figure 4.7 TGA plots for epoxy and nanocomposites
Figure 4.8 DSC graph of Nanocomposites
Figure 4.9 Flow diagram on curing process of epoxy resin
Figure 4.10 FTIR spectra (a) uncured epoxy (b) hardener (c) cured epoxy74
Figure 4.11 Chemical structure and reactive functional groups of GPS
Figure 4.12 Schematic diagram to illustrate surface functionalization of alumina
nanoparticles
Figure 4.13 FTIR spectra of (a) as received nanofillers (b) GPS (c) surface treated
nanofillers

Figure 4.14 Electric potential and field distribution with needle-plane geometry (a)
electric potential (b) electric field (c) electric field around needle tip (magnified view)81
Figure 4.15 Time to failure (in Hrs.) during voltage endurance test
Figure 4.16 Barrier effect (a) pure epoxy (b) nanocomposites with untreated
nanofillers (c) nanocomposites with surface treated nanofillers
Figure 5.1 Flow diagram for performing numerical simulation using FEM
Figure 5.2 Highlighted region in a simple cubic unit cell (a) nanoparticle (b) interphase
(c) base polymer
Figure 5.3 Plots from simulation studies (a) electric field intensity (E), (b)
displacement field (D)
Figure 5.4 Capacitor with two different dielectric materials
Figure 5.5 Electric field distribution in two layer dielectric system
Figure 5.6 Bisection method converge at $f(x) = 0$
Figure 5.7 Flowchart to calculate permittivity of the interphase 100
Figure 5.8 Estimated interphase permittivity and interphase thickness required get the
solution converged
Figure 5.9 Relative permittivity of nanocomposite and interphase at power frequency
50 Hz corresponding to interphase thickness
Figure 5.10 Interphase permittivity obtained thorough the simulation model and
measured permittivity of nanocomposites sample (a) interphase thickness of 50 nm (b)
interphase thickness of 100 nm

Figure 5.11 Interphase (a) highlighted region in unit cell (b) computed interphase
volume fraction for different interphase thicknesses
Figure 6.1 Flow diagram for performing finite element analysis
Figure 6.2 Randomly distributed multi-particle model
Figure 6.3 Flowchart to illustrate building of model geometry
Figure 6.4 Potential, electric field and current density distribution with the
nanodielectrics
Figure 6.5 Flowchart to estimate the dc conductivity of the interphase
Figure 6.6 Neat epoxy and nanocomposite samples for thermal conductivity
measurement
Figure 6.7 TPS 500 instrument in mechanical engineering department IIT (BHU) 117
Figure 6.8 TPS sensor sandwiched between samples
Figure 6.9 Model geometry considered for FEA and analytical study (a) 3-D view (b)
2-D view
Figure 6.10 Heat transfer through different parts of the model
Figure 6.11 Flowchart to estimate the thermal conductivity of the interphase 127
Figure 6.12 Thermal conductivity of nanocomposite sample (measured value) and
simulated value for a two-phase model
Figure 6.13 Phonon scattering phenomena in a polymer
Figure 6.14 Phonon transport phenomena through aligned polymers chains in
interphase

Figure 7.1	Epoxy matrix containing filler nanoparticles	34
Figure 7.2	Computed effective DC conductivity 12	35
Figure 7.3	Computed effective thermal conductivity	36
Figure 7.4	Interphase volume fraction vs. filler concentrations characteristics	at
different in	terphase thicknesses1	39

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Polymer insulation weight advantage over porcelain insulation (Courtesy-
Hubbell Po	ower Systems)
Table 1.2	Comparison between porcelain and polymer for 10Miles, 345 kV, 250
Strings of]	Insulators (Courtesy- Hubbell Power Systems)
Table 2.1	Quantification of particle dispersion in Figure 2.11
Table 4.1	Quantification of nano-filler dispersion in nanocomposites
Table 4.2	Weibull parameters (α and β)
Table 4.3	Measured DC conductivity at an applied electric field of 2 kV/mm
Table 4.4	Measured relative permittivity and loss tangent (tanb) at 50Hz69
Table 4.5	Degradation temperature of pure epoxy and nanocomposites
Table 4.6	Glass transition temperature (Tg) data of pure epoxy and Nanocomposites 71
Table 5.1	List of symbols or notations associated with unit cell
Table 5.2	Comparison of analytical and numerically computed values
Table 5.3	List of variables used in the flowchart
Table 6.1	Experimental values of thermal conductivity of specimens
Table 6.2	Material properties of different constituents in the numerical model 120
Table 7.1	Effective dc conductivity and thermal conductivity of nanocomposites
obtained fr	rom experimental and simulation studies

PREFACE

Electrical insulation constitutes the backbone for all electrical equipment. At the same time, they are considered as the weakest link. Electrical insulation's capacity to endure degradation under various operating stresses (e.g., electrical, mechanical, thermal, and environmental) is a key factor in determining the reliability of electrical components and systems. Polymeric materials are widely used insulating materials in electrical generators, transformers, bushings, line insulators, circuit breakers, underground cables, GIS, surge arresters, and electrical machines. These materials enjoy widespread acceptance among electrical insulation design engineers due to many desirable attributes (e.g., good dielectric properties, high strength to weight ratio, and ease of molding). However, charge accumulation at the high dc field, poor discharge resistance, low thermal conductivity, limited-service temperature range, and inadequate stiffness have proven to be severe obstructions to the far-reaching utilization of these materials. Often, inorganic oxide fillers are added to the host polymer in order to increase its thermo-mechanical properties. Unfortunately, the synthesis of polymer composites using conventional micro-sized fillers demands a large filler loading; as a result, better thermo-mechanical properties are obtained at the expense of deteriorated electrical properties. An idea that originated under the name of polymer nanocomposites (PNCs) is supposed to offer a potential solution to the aforementioned problems. The combination of nanoscale reinforcement and a polymer matrix has the potential to produce exceptional material characteristics. Recent literature is a testimonial of the enormous research on synthesis and characterization of PNCs for various engineering applications. Polymer nanocomposites offer unprecedented material properties, which are widely attributed to a high proportion of interphase generated between the matrix and filler material. Thus, interphase characterization is critical for tailoring these novel

materials to a broad range of engineering and scientific applications. The literature striving to make interphase perceivable is indeed exiguous. In the recent past, few theoretical models have been proposed to corroborate interphase and its affiliation material properties. However, current research falls far short of providing a mechanistic description of the interphase formation and its experimental manifestation. Furthermore, a quantitative analysis of interphase, as well as qualitative analysis, is critical for obtaining tailored material properties for a variety of applications and making PNCs commercially viable. This work unravels and sheds light on the interphase formation and its affiliation with electro-thermal properties in epoxy alumina nanocomposites. Furthermore, a comprehensive quantitative analysis of interphase provides an intellectual foundation for evaluating nanodielectrics for future applications in the electrical power industry. Epoxy resin (LY556 Bisphenol-A, density 1.17 g/cm³ at 25°C) supplied from Huntsman was used as a host material. Utilities have extensive experience using traditional micro-sized aluminum oxide (Al₂O₃) fillers to improve mechanical strength in composites. Thus, nanometer-sized aluminum oxide/or alumina particles are used as filler material in the present study.

One of the most difficult aspects of nanocomposites synthesis is achieving homogeneous nanofiller dispersion in the polymer matrix. Nanoparticles tend to agglomerate due to their high specific surface area and hydrophilic nature. Additionally, inorganic oxide fillers are incompatible with organic polymers due to their chemical composition. Thus, the first part of the study establishes an experimental protocol for the fabrication of nanocomposites with well-dispersed nanofillers. Additionally, inorganic fillers have their surfaces functionalized with a suitable surfactant to improve their dispersion and bonding to the host polymer. FTIR spectroscopy is used to verify the surface functionalization of nanofillers, and FESEM is used to qualitatively examine filler dispersion in the polymer matrix. Furthermore, a numerical method for quantifying nanofiller dispersion in the polymer matrix is developed.

The second part of the thesis explores the formation of interphases in epoxy alumina nanocomposites. To investigate interphase and its relationship to dielectric properties, three types of samples are examined: neat epoxy, nanocomposites (incorporated with surface-treated nanofillers), and nanocomposites (formed with untreated nanofillers). Synthesized samples are subjected to dielectric properties measurements i.e., measurement of ac dielectric strength, measurements of complex permittivity, and measurement of dc conductivity. Further, the thermal characteristics of nanocomposites are analyzed by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). Characteristics information received from thermogravimetric analysis and dielectric properties measurements insinuates a change in chemistry and molecular mobility at the filler matrix interfaces. Using the chemical structure of different constituent phases and FTIR spectroscopic analysis, a chemical interactive model is presented to elicit interphase formation in composites. The impact of interfacial interaction on the long-term performance of the nanodielectrics is examined by conducting endurance tests under divergent ac stress. Nanocomposites exhibit a clear superior erosion resistance over the neat polymer. Under the application of cyclic non-uniform ac stress, progressive erosion is likely to initiate and grow from high-stress region. Nanofillers may act as an obstacle and force the eroded channels to move through a zig-zag path. Additionally, surfacetreated nanofillers owing to their strong chemical bonding with polymer matrix expected to retard the damage process by alleviating fatigue and distributing electromechanical stress between filler and polymer matrix.

The interphase is anticipated to have material properties distinct from those of the matrix and filler materials. To obtain tailored material properties for a variety of applications, quantitative interphase analysis in conjunction with qualitative analysis is critical. As a result, the third part of the thesis describes a methodology for quantifying interphase's permittivity and thickness. Epoxy-based nanocomposites samples (synthesized using surface-treated nanoalumina) are subjected to dielectric spectroscopic measurements over a frequency range of 10⁻² to 10⁷ Hz. A finite element-based numerical model is developed to estimate the effective permittivity of composites for different values of assumed interphase parameters (i.e. thickness and permittivity). A bisection method-based algorithm is devised to assign actual thickness and permittivity to interphase based on the best fit of experimental and simulated results. It is observed that interphase in epoxy alumina nanocomposites may extend up to 100 nm, and the relative permittivity of interphase is slightly lower than the effective permittivity of composites.

Low electrical conductivity combined with high thermal conductivity has become an increasingly desirable characteristic for polymeric insulating materials operating at elevated voltage levels. Conduction loss is the primary source of heat generation within dielectric materials in a high voltage direct current (HVDC) system, and conduction-based heat transfer is governed by the dielectric material's thermal conductivity. In recent years, polymer nanocomposites (PNCs) have gained prominence as promising candidates for addressing these two conflicting requirements. As evidenced by a growing body of literature, interphase appears to be critical in enhancing the properties of PNCs. On the other hand, the thermoelectric properties of interphase are unknown and are assumed to be significantly different from those of the filler and base polymer. Because electrical conduction losses generate heat, thermal analysis under electrical stress requires knowledge of dc conductivity. Thus, the fourth section of the thesis examines the interphase's electrical and thermal conductivity in epoxy alumina nanocomposites. To begin, we determine the dc conductivity of epoxy alumina nanocomposite samples using the three-electrode method and a Keithley 6715B electrometer. The effective dc conductivity of nanocomposites is estimated using a numerical model based on finite elements. Following that algorithm described in the previous section is used to determine the electrical conductivity of interphase using experimental results and numerical modeling in conjunction. Subsequently, the thermal conductivity of epoxy alumina nanocomposite samples synthesized in the laboratory is measured using TPS-500. A finite element method (FEM) based numerical model is developed to estimate the effective thermal conductivity of epoxy alumina nanocomposites for a range of assumed interphase thermal conductivity values. By using simulation and experiment results in conjunction, the thermal conductivity of interphase is estimated by using the algorithms described above. A detailed analysis of experimental and numerical modeling data suggests that the thermal conductivity of the interphase in epoxy alumina nanocomposites is significantly higher than that of the host polymer. On the other hand, dc conductivity of the interphase found to be lower than that of neat epoxy. The aligned polymer chain at filler matrix interfaces may be responsible for the high thermal conductivity of the interphase. The alignment of the polymer chain lowers phonon scattering and hence improves heat transmission efficiency. Furthermore, filler matrix interaction at the interface may promote crystallinity, which reduces electrical conductivity. As a result, nanocomposites made with surface-treated nanofillers are successful in increasing thermal conductivity while decreasing electrical conductivity.

Polymers are frequently filled with nanosized inorganic fillers that are electrically insulating but conduct heat more efficiently than the base polymer. It is critical to determine the filler concentrations that improve thermal conductivity without impairing electrical properties. Additionally, as demonstrated in the preceding chapters, the interphase surrounding nanofillers plays a critical role in the change of properties in polymer nanocomposites. Thus, the fifth part of the work investigates the effect of interphase on optimizing the nanofiller content for improved electro-thermal properties. It has been observed that that thermal property is governed by nanofiller concentrations, whereas dielectric properties are governed by interphase volume fraction. The thermal conductivity increases monotonically as filler concentrations are increased, provided that filler dispersion is adequate. At high filler content, thermal conductivity is expected to increase dramatically due to the formation of a conducting network. As a result, the optimal filler concentrations for enhanced dielectric and thermal properties are those with the highest interphase volume fraction. Beyond these optimal filler concentrations, increased thermal conductivity is possible at the expense of degraded dielectric properties.

In summary, the work constitutes an extensive study of interphase and associated thermo-electrical properties in epoxy-alumina nanocomposites. Furthermore, the thesis presents a comprehensive numerical modeling strategy that will be critical for assessing the electro-thermal behavior of various polymer-based nanocomposites.