

# Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>Nomenclature</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Static output feedback control design problem . . . . .	2
1.1.1 Continuous-time system . . . . .	2
1.1.2 Continuous-time pole-placement . . . . .	7
1.1.3 Continuous-time system with parametric uncertainties . . . . .	11
1.1.4 Continuous-time system with actuator saturation . . . . .	13
1.1.5 Discrete-time system . . . . .	17
1.1.6 Discrete-time pole-placement . . . . .	20
1.2 Literature review on static output feedback (SOF) controller design . . . . .	21
1.2.1 Continuous-time system . . . . .	21
1.2.2 Discrete-time system . . . . .	26
1.3 Projection Lemma and some equivalences of matrix inequalities . . . . .	28
1.4 Motivation . . . . .	29
1.5 Thesis objectives . . . . .	32
1.6 Organization of the Thesis . . . . .	33
<b>2 Continuous-time static output feedback controller design</b>	<b>35</b>
2.1 SOF controller design for LTI systems . . . . .	35
2.1.1 Problem statement and preliminaries . . . . .	36

2.1.2	Decomposition of constituent matrices . . . . .	37
2.1.3	$H_\infty$ controller design . . . . .	39
2.1.4	Pole-placement in LMI region . . . . .	40
2.1.5	$H_\infty$ controller design with norm bounded uncertainty . . . . .	42
2.1.6	Dynamic controller design . . . . .	43
2.2	Robust PID controller design in SOF framework . . . . .	44
2.2.1	$H_\infty$ controller design . . . . .	45
2.2.2	PID controller design . . . . .	46
2.2.3	$H_\infty$ based robust PID controller . . . . .	47
2.2.4	Numerical example . . . . .	48
2.3	SOF controller design for a class of polytopic systems with actuator saturation	50
2.3.1	Problem statement and preliminaries . . . . .	51
2.3.2	Decomposition of auxiliary variable $G$ . . . . .	54
2.3.3	$\mathcal{L}_2$ based SOF controller design . . . . .	56
2.3.4	Design cases . . . . .	61
2.4	Summary . . . . .	64
<b>3</b>	<b>Discrete-time static output feedback controller design</b>	<b>67</b>
3.1	SOF controller design without using a matrix decomposition approach . . .	68
3.1.1	Problem statement and preliminaries . . . . .	68
3.1.2	$H_\infty$ controller design . . . . .	69
3.1.3	$H_2$ controller design . . . . .	72
3.1.4	Dynamic controller design . . . . .	76
3.1.5	Numerical examples . . . . .	76
3.2	SOF controller design using a matrix decomposition approach . . . . .	81
3.2.1	Decomposition of $G$ and $\bar{G}$ . . . . .	81
3.2.2	$H_2$ controller design . . . . .	83
3.2.3	$H_\infty$ controller design . . . . .	84
3.2.4	Numerical examples . . . . .	85
3.3	Pole-placement in damping region . . . . .	89
3.3.1	Convex approximation of damping region . . . . .	89
3.3.2	Problem statement and preliminaries . . . . .	92

3.3.3	Controller design . . . . .	94
3.3.4	Numerical examples . . . . .	100
3.4	Summary . . . . .	104
<b>4</b>	<b>Application examples</b>	<b>107</b>
4.1	Continuous-time designs . . . . .	108
4.1.1	Coupled Tank System . . . . .	108
4.1.2	Twin Rotor MIMO System: 2-DOF Helicotper . . . . .	117
4.1.3	Quasi-LPV PI control of TRMS subject to actuator saturation . . . . .	126
4.1.4	SOF controller design for TRMS . . . . .	142
4.2	Discrete-time design . . . . .	146
4.2.1	Boost Converter . . . . .	146
4.3	Summary . . . . .	151
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion and Future Scope</b>	<b>153</b>
5.1	Research Summary . . . . .	153
5.2	Thesis Contributions . . . . .	153
5.3	Suggestions for future work . . . . .	155
<b>A</b>	<b>Linear Matrix Inequalities (LMIs)</b>	<b>157</b>
A.1	Solving LMIs . . . . .	158
A.2	LMI problem forms . . . . .	158
A.2.1	Feasibility problem . . . . .	158
A.2.2	Linear objective minimization problem . . . . .	159
A.2.3	Generalized eigenvalue minimization problem . . . . .	159
A.3	Schur Complement . . . . .	159



# List of Figures

1.1	Generalized Control System . . . . .	4
1.2	Half-plane region . . . . .	8
1.3	Conic sector region . . . . .	9
1.4	Circular disc region . . . . .	9
1.5	The pole location region $\mathcal{D}_C(\alpha, \theta)$ . . . . .	10
1.6	The constant damping regions in continuous and discrete-domain . . . . .	20
2.1	Closed-loop system block diagram . . . . .	46
3.1	Full-ellipse representation for $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_1$ region (solid line) . . . . .	90
3.2	Ellipse segment $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_2$ region (solid line) . . . . .	92
4.1	Schematic Diagram of Coupled Tank System . . . . .	111
4.2	Block Diagram of the closed-loop system . . . . .	112
4.3	Laboratory Experimental Setup . . . . .	115
4.4	Time variation of water level in Tank 1 ( $h_1$ ) . . . . .	116
4.5	Time variation of water level in Tank 2 ( $h_2$ ) . . . . .	116
4.6	Time variation of control input (Conventional PI controller) . . . . .	117
4.7	Time variation of control input (Proposed PI controller) . . . . .	118
4.8	Free body diagram of a generalised TRMS . . . . .	119
4.9	Block Diagram of the closed-loop system . . . . .	121
4.10	Experimental setup . . . . .	121
4.11	Time variation of pitch angle . . . . .	123
4.12	Time variation of yaw angle . . . . .	123
4.13	Comparison of time variation of pitch angle of Robust PI for centralized and decentralized cases . . . . .	123

4.14	Comparison of time variation of yaw angle of Robust PI for centralized and decentralized cases . . . . .	124
4.15	Time variation of control input for pitch angle . . . . .	125
4.16	Time variation of control input for yaw angle . . . . .	126
4.17	Block schematic of the closed-loop model . . . . .	130
4.18	Schematic diagram of qLPV control strategy . . . . .	132
4.19	Evolution of pitch angle with time . . . . .	138
4.20	Evolution of yaw angle with time . . . . .	139
4.21	Comparison of evolution of pitch and yaw angle for centralized and decentralized robust PI controller . . . . .	139
4.22	Comparison of evolution of yaw and pitch angle for centralised and decentralised robust PI controller . . . . .	140
4.23	Time evolution of control input for pitch angle . . . . .	140
4.24	Time evolution of control input for yaw angle . . . . .	141
4.25	Region of Attraction (involving states $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2$ ) . . . . .	141
4.26	Time evolution of pitch and yaw angle without considering saturation constraints . . . . .	142
4.27	Comparison of control input with and without considering saturation constraints (Bold black margins denote saturation limits) . . . . .	142
4.28	Experimental result: Pitch angle variation of the 2-DOF helicopter . . . . .	144
4.29	Experimental result: Yaw angle variation of the 2-DOF helicopter . . . . .	145
4.30	Experimental result: Comparison of control input of 2-DOF helicopter with and without considering saturation constraints (Bold black margins denote saturation limits) . . . . .	145
4.31	Boost step-up converter circuit diagram . . . . .	147
4.32	Pole map for the boost converter : (i) Open-loop poles (star marked) and (ii) Closed-loop poles for the SOF controller at the four polytope vertices (cross marked) . . . . .	149
4.33	Output voltage response for different controllers . . . . .	150
4.34	State Feedback PI controller structure . . . . .	150
4.35	Output voltage response of State feedback and SOF PI controllers . . . . .	150

# List of Tables

2.1	SOF and PID Controllers and their respective performances . . . . .	49
2.2	$\mathcal{L}_2$ based SOF Controller for Example 2.24 . . . . .	62
2.3	Variation of design parameter for Example 2.24 . . . . .	63
2.4	$\mathcal{L}_2$ based SOF Controller for Example 2.25 . . . . .	64
3.1	Comparison of SOF stabilization problem for 1000 randomly generated cases	78
3.2	SOF $H_2$ Control for Example 3.9 . . . . .	79
3.3	DOF $H_2$ Control For Example 3.9 . . . . .	79
3.4	Output Feedback for $H_\infty$ Control for Example 3.10 . . . . .	80
3.5	SOF $H_2$ Control for Example 3.17 . . . . .	85
3.6	$H_\infty$ Output Feedback Control for Example 3.18 . . . . .	86
3.7	$\gamma$ obtained for benchmark examples from <i>COMPl<sub>e</sub>ib</i> plants . . . . .	88
3.8	Approximation error for $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_1$ . . . . .	91
3.9	Approximation error for $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_2$ . . . . .	91
3.10	Designed State Feedback Controllers for Example 3.24 . . . . .	101
3.11	Designed SOF Controllers for Example 3.24 . . . . .	101
3.12	Designed DOF Controllers for Example 3.24 . . . . .	102
3.13	Designed State Feedback Controllers for Example 3.25 . . . . .	103
3.14	Designed SOF Controllers for Example 3.25 . . . . .	103
3.15	Designed DOF Controllers for Example 3.25 . . . . .	104
4.1	Design parameters for coupled tank system . . . . .	110
4.2	Controller gains, IAE and ISE performance for different controllers . . . . .	116
4.3	Time domain performance for different controllers . . . . .	117
4.4	System Value Parameters . . . . .	119

4.5	Different Controller Gains . . . . .	125
4.6	Error Performance for Different Controllers . . . . .	125
4.7	Control gains for different controllers . . . . .	137
4.8	Error Performance for Different Controllers . . . . .	138
4.9	Controller gains and error performance for different controllers . . . . .	146
4.10	Controller gains and error performance for different controllers . . . . .	146
4.11	Closed-Loop Poles with Controllers Gains . . . . .	149