

Table of Contents

Page No.

List of figures	xiii
List of tables	xvii
List of abbreviations	xix
Preface	xxii
Chapter 1	
Introduction.....	01
1.1 Amputation.....	02
1.1.1 Upper-limb amputation.....	02
1.1.2 Amputation scenario.....	02
1.2 Prosthesis	04
1.2.1 Upper-limb prosthesis.....	04
1.2.1.1 Body-powered prosthesis	05
1.2.1.2 Externally powered prosthesis	05
1.3 Electromyography.....	06
1.4 Myoelectric prosthesis	09
1.5 Myoelectric control system.....	10
1.6 Literature survey	11
1.7 Market overview	18
1.8 Problems	20
1.9 Research objectives.....	20
1.10 Thesis outline.....	21
1.11 References.....	24
Chapter 2	
A low-cost, wearable sEMG sensor for upper-limb prosthetic application.....	28
2.1 Introduction.....	29
2.2 Materials and methods	32
2.2.1 Design and construction of the sensor.....	32
2.2.1.1 Design of skin interface	33
2.2.1.2 Design of signal conditioning circuitry	33
2.2.1.3 Power supply unit	35
2.2.1.4 Description of the developed sensor	35

2.2.2 Experimental setup for sensor validation	36
2.2.2.1 Selecting a sensor for comparison	36
2.2.2.2 Subject selection	37
2.2.2.3 Allocating different levels of muscular contraction	38
2.2.2.4 Positioning of sensors	40
2.2.2.5 Data acquisition	40
2.3 Results and discussion	41
2.3.1 Amplitude analysis.....	41
2.3.2 Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) calculation.....	42
2.3.3 Sensitivity analysis	44
2.3.4 Response time analysis.....	45
2.4 Sensor application for controlling prosthetic hand.....	46
2.4.1 Development of prosthetic hand prototype.....	46
2.4.2 Implementation of a control strategy for the generation of control command using the EMG signal from the sensor	47
2.4.3 Utilization of the sensor on amputees to control the operation of the prosthetic hand	48
2.5 Conclusion	49
2.6 References.....	52
Chapter 3	
A dry electrode-based compact-sized sEMG Sensor for myoelectric hand prosthesis.....	56
3.1 Introduction.....	57
3.2 Materials and methods	59
3.2.1 Design and development of sEMG sensor.....	59
3.2.1.1 Fabrication of electrode interface	59
3.2.1.2 Design of preprocessing circuitry	60
3.2.1.3 Sensor description	60
3.2.2 Experimental setup for Assessment of sensor performance.....	61
3.2.2.1 Selection of sensor for comparison	61
3.2.2.2 Positioning of sensors	62
3.2.2.3 Defining the level of muscular contraction	63
3.2.2.4 Data acquisition	65
3.3 Results and discussion	65

3.3.1 Electrode performance	65
3.3.1.1 Electrode-skin impedance	65
3.3.1.2 Electrode SNR	67
3.3.2 Sensor overall performance	67
3.3.2.1 Output	67
3.3.2.2 SNR	68
3.3.2.3 Sensitivity	70
3.3.2.4 Response time	71
3.4 Sensor utilization for prosthetic hand control.....	73
3.5 Conclusion	75
3.6 References	79
Chapter 4	
Single-channel sEMG based control of multi-functional prosthetic hand.....	82
4.1 Introduction.....	83
4.2. Materials and methods	85
4.2.1 Electromyography.....	85
4.2.1.1 EMG sensor	85
4.2.1.2 Muscle contractile force	86
4.2.1.3 Sensor attachment to the forearm.....	87
4.2.2 Subjects	88
4.2.3 Experimental protocol and data acquisition.....	89
4.2.4 Feature Extraction	89
4.2.5 Classification	91
4.2.5.1 Design of classifier	92
4.2.5.2 Classifier's performance	93
4.2.6 Real-time implementation and testing	94
4.2.6.1 Prosthetic hand development	94
4.2.6.2 Control scheme	95
4.2.6.3 Method for testing and analysis	96
4.3 Results	97
4.3.1 Sensor output.....	97
4.3.2 Extracted features	98

4.3.3 Classification performance.....	98
4.3.4 Real-time testing of multifunctional prosthetic hand	100
4.4 Discussion.....	103
4.5 Conclusion	105
4.6 References.....	107
Chapter 5	
A novel force myography sensor to measure muscle contraction for controlling hand prosthesis	111
5.1 Introduction.....	112
5.2 Materials and methods	115
5.2.1 Design of FMG Sensor	115
5.2.2 Signal conditioning	118
5.2.3 Static and dynamic characteristics of the FMG sensor	121
5.2.4 Similarity with the EMG signal	123
5.2.5 Controlling hand prosthesis	124
5.3 Results and discussion	125
5.3.1 Static and dynamic results	125
5.3.2 EMG-FMG comparison	129
5.3.3 Hand prosthesis control.....	131
5.4 Conclusion	132
5.5 References	134
Chapter 6	
Development of an affordable transradial prosthesis based on force myography	138
6.1 Introduction.....	139
6.2 Materials and methods	142
6.2.1 Fabrication of sensor	142
6.2.2 Sensor validation.....	144
6.2.2.1 Sensitivity	145
6.2.2.2 Repeatability	145
6.2.2.3 Hysteresis	145
6.2.2.4 Frequency response	145
6.2.2.5 Correlation with EMG signal	146
6.2.2.6 SNR calculation	146
6.2.3 Prosthetic hand development.....	147

6.2.3.1 3D printing	147
6.2.3.2 Actuation	147
6.3 Results and discussion	148
6.3.1 Sensitivity.....	148
6.3.2 Repeatability.....	149
6.3.3 Hysteresis.....	150
6.3.4 Frequency response curve	150
6.3.5 FMG-EMG correlation.....	150
6.3.6 SNR comparison.....	152
6.4 Hand prosthesis control.....	152
6.4.1 Control scheme.....	152
6.4.2 Complete hand setup	153
6.4.3 Hand trial on amputees	154
6.5 Conclusion	156
6.6 References.....	158
Chapter 7	
Conclusions and future scope	162
7.1 Conclusions.....	163
7.2 Future scope	164
Permission from Ethical Committee.....	165
List of publications	166

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Figure description	Page No.
Figure 1.1	Different types of upper-limb amputation.	03
Figure 1.2	Statistics of amputees around the world.	03
Figure 1.3	Different types of upper-limb prosthesis.	04
Figure 1.4	Different types of upper-limb functional prosthesis.	06
Figure 1.5	Generation of EMG signal.	07
Figure 1.6	Placement of electrodes for performing surface electromyography.	08
Figure 1.7	Description of EMG measuring devices.	09
Figure 1.8	The various components of a myoelectric prosthesis.	10
Figure 1.9	Types of myoelectric control system.	11
Figure 1.10	(a) Manus hand intrinsically actuated using two DC motors, (b) Smart hand actuated by four motors.	13
Figure 1.11	(a) Modular prosthetic limb intrinsically actuated by fifteen motors, (b) Southampton hand-activated using six motors.	14
Figure 1.12	(a) Deka arm from defence advanced research project agency, (b) Hit prosthetic hand, (c) A dexterous hand actuated by seventeen motors.	15
Figure 1.13	An anthropomorphic, single-channel sEMG controlled prosthetic hand, (b) 3D-printed myoelectric prosthesis with individual finger operation.	16
Figure 1.14	Commercially available (a) Michelangelo hand, (b) Ottobock sensor hand, (c) i-Limb quantum hand, (d) Bebionic v3 hand.	18
Figure 1.15	Flowchart representation of thesis outline.	23
Figure 2.1	Block diagram representation of the proposed EMG sensor.	33
Figure 2.2	(a) Envelope detector circuit, (b) Circuit for step-up booster and single to dual supply.	36
Figure 2.3	(a) Front view of the sensor, (b) Rear view of the sensor showing the skin interface.	36
Figure 2.4	(a) Sensing area of the FSR band, (b) Output circuit for the band, (c) Attachment of band to the forearm.	38
Figure 2.5	Force voltage calibration curve for the FSR band.	39

Figure 2.6	Attachment of sensors on the forearm of subjects.	40
Figure 2.7	Raw EMG and its envelope obtained by the sensor.	41
Figure 2.8	Obtained EMG Signal envelopes with (a) Developed sensor, (b) Myoware muscle sensor.	42
Figure 2.9	EMG output voltage vs. movement repetition for (a) developed sensor (b) myoware sensor.	42
Figure 2.10	Noise level and EMG signal envelope obtained using developed sensor.	42
Figure 2.11	(a) Sensitivity comparison of sensors for all the subjects, (b) Sensitivity comparison of sensors for amputees.	45
Figure 2.12	Rise and fall time calculation from the envelope produced with the developed sensor.	46
Figure 2.13	Prepared 3D printed hand prototype.	47
Figure 2.14	Schematic for generating the control command.	48
Figure 2.15	Performed operations by prosthetic hand using sEMG signal from amputees.	49
Figure 3.1	(a) Dry type surface myoelectrodes, (b) wet type surface myoelectrodes.	57
Figure 3.2	(a) Block diagram representation of the proposed EMG sensor, (b) detailed circuit for the envelope detector.	60
Figure 3.3	(a) Front view of the developed sensor, (b) Rear view of the developed sensor.	61
Figure 3.4	Attachment of (a) developed sensor on healthy subject, (b) conventional sensor on healthy subject (c), (d) developed sensor on amputees.	62
Figure 3.5	(a) Sensing area of the FSR band, (b) Output circuit for the band, (c) Attachment of band to the forearm, (d) Attachment of the developed sensor and band together to the forearm.	64
Figure 3.6	Force voltage calibration curve for FSR band.	64
Figure 3.7	Obtained impedance response for both the surface electrodes.	66
Figure 3.8	Envelopes produced by the two sensors for six different levels of muscular contractions of an amputee.	68
Figure 3.9	(a) SNR calculation from recorded EMG envelope, (b) Baseline noise for myoware sensor, (c) Baseline noise for developed sensor.	69
Figure 3.10	(a) Sensitivities of sensors for all the subjects, (b) Sensitivities of sensors for only amputees.	71

Figure 3.11	Rise and fall time calculation from an EMG envelope.	72
Figure 3.12	Generation of control command using EMG signal from the sensor.	73
Figure 3.13	Grasping of various objects performed by prosthetic hand using EMG signals from an amputee.	74
Figure 4.1	Block diagram of the sensor showing different stages.	86
Figure 4.2	(a) FSR band, (b) translating circuit, (c) attachment of FSR band on forearm.	87
Figure 4.3	(a) Sensor attached on the forearm muscles of a transradial amputee, (b) attachment of sensor and FSR band together on the forearm muscles.	88
Figure 4.4	Block diagram for Fuzzy logic classification based control scheme.	93
Figure 4.5	(a) Developed 3D printed hand prototype, (b) experimental setup for real-time operation of hand.	95
Figure 4.6	The control scheme for real-time control of developed prosthetic hand.	96
Figure 4.7	EMG envelopes for six levels of muscular contraction using the designed sensor.	97
Figure 4.8	3D plot for variation of (a) MAV, (b) IEMG, (c) MAX, (d) RMS with different muscular contraction levels for all the subjects.	98
Figure 4.9	Six predefined grip patterns of human hand and the grip patterns obtained by prosthetic hand.	101
Figure 4.10	Different grasping actions performed by the prosthetic hand utilizing EMG signal from a subject.	101
Figure 4.11	Variation of response time of prosthetic hand for performing each grip pattern considering all the subject.	103
Figure 5.1	Construction of FSR showing its different layers.	116
Figure 5.2	Mechanical arrangement for uniform distribution of force on the FSR.	118
Figure 5.3	(a) Buffered voltage divider, (b) bipolar supply circuitry, (c) buck converter, (d) transimpedance amplifier.	119
Figure 5.4	Designed FMG sensor (a) front view, (b) rear view, (c) side view.	120
Figure 5.5	Experimental arrangement for the measurement of the frequency response of the designed FMG sensor.	122
Figure 5.6	Placement of FMG sensor and EMG sensor on the forearm muscle of a subject.	123

Figure 5.7	Controlling 3D printed hand prosthesis using the FMG signal of an amputee.	125
Figure 5.8	Static calibration curve for the FMG sensor.	126
Figure 5.9	Recorded output of the sensor for 120 s after application of different static loads.	126
Figure 5.10	FMG sensor drift error at different applied weights.	127
Figure 5.11	Hysteresis curve obtained for the sensor.	127
Figure 5.12	Repeatability error of the sensor at different loads.	128
Figure 5.13	Dynamic response of the sensor: magnitude frequency response (upper panel) and phase frequency response (lower panel).	129
Figure 5.14	Simultaneous recordings of (a) raw EMG signal, (b) linear envelope of EMG, (c) FMG sensor output for three different contractions of forearm muscle of decreasing intensity.	130
Figure 5.15	Different grasping operations performed by an amputee wearing hand prosthesis.	131
Figure 6.1	(a) Mechanical assembly of the proposed FMG sensor, (b) front, rear, and side views of the designed FMG sensor.	143
Figure 6.2	(a) Step-down converter circuit, (b) transimpedance amplifier.	144
Figure 6.3	Actuation scheme for the hand fingers.	148
Figure 6.4	Static calibration curve for the sensor.	149
Figure 6.5	Repeatability error curve for the sensor.	149
Figure 6.6	Hysteresis curve for the sensor.	150
Figure 6.7	The frequency response curve for the sensor.	151
Figure 6.8	Simultaneously acquired FMG and EMG envelopes for MVC, 50% MVC, and 25% MVC.	151
Figure 6.9	The control scheme for driving hand prototype.	153
Figure 6.10	Developed hand prototype showing different parts.	154
Figure 6.11	Attachment of developed prosthetic hand setup to the residual limb of an amputee.	155
Figure 6.12	Activities performed by an amputee wearing the developed hand.	155

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Table description	Page No.
Table 1.1	Prosthetic hands developed by the researchers.	17
Table 1.2	Prosthetic hands commercially available in market.	19
Table 2.1	Commercially available EMG devices.	32
Table 2.2	Details of amputees participated for acquisition.	37
Table 2.3	Contraction level based on FSR output.	39
Table 2.4	Determined SNR by sensor type.	44
Table 2.5	Rise and fall time for the sensors.	46
Table 2.6	The response time of hand with both the sensors.	49
Table 2.7	Comparison table for the sensors.	50
Table 3.1	Details of amputees participated in EMG data acquisition.	61
Table 3.2	Contraction level determined from FSR output.	64
Table 3.3	Performance comparison for surface electrodes.	67
Table 3.4	Evaluated SNR by sensor type.	70
Table 3.5	Rise and fall time for both the sensors.	72
Table 3.6	Response time of hand with both the sensors.	75
Table 3.7	Specification for the sensors.	76
Table 4.1	Contraction levels for recording EMG data.	87
Table 4.2	Details of amputees participated in the experiment.	89
Table 4.3	Fuzzy rules.	92
Table 4.4	Achieved classification percentages for the six gestures.	99
Table 4.5	ROC analysis for each hand activities.	99

Table 4.6	Contingency matrices.	100
Table 4.7	Number of correct grip actions performed by the subjects.	102
Table 6.1	Evaluated SNR for both the sensors.	152
Table 6.2	Comparison of developed hand with a commercial hand.	157