

Contents

List of Figures	i
List of Tables.....	v
PREFACE.....	vii
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW	1
1.1 Historical preview of alloying.....	1
1.2 Definitions of HEAs.....	5
1.3. Four core effects of HEAs.....	7
1.3.1. High entropy effect.....	8
1.3.2 Lattice distortion effect	11
1.3.3. Sluggish diffusion.....	13
1.4. Phase formation rules in binary systems.....	15
1.4.1. Hume-Rothery rules.....	16
1.4.2. Ideal solid solutions	18
1.4.3. Real solutions	18
1.4.5. Amorphous alloys.....	21
1.5. Phase prediction rules in high entropy alloys	21
1.5.1. Parametric approaches to predict phases	22
1.6. Miedema's model: A semi-empirical approach.....	30
1.7. Density functional theory: A theoretical approach	30
1.8. CALPHAD: Phase diagram approach	32
1.9. Processing routes of high entropy alloys	33
1.10 Prospective applications of HEAs	36
1.10.1 Structural properties.....	36
1.10.2 Functional properties	37
1.11. Motivation.....	37
1.12. Objectives.....	39
Chapter 2.....	41
EXPERIMENTAL AND THEORETICAL METHODS.....	41

2.1. Materials selection	41
2.2.1 Mechanical alloying	43
2.2.2 Vacuum arc melting	43
2.3 Structural characterization	44
2.3.1 X-ray diffraction	44
2.3.2 Transmission electron microscopy	45
2.3.3 Scanning electron microscopy	45
2.4 Thermal stability	46
2.4.1 Differential scanning calorimetry	46
2.4.2 Annealing treatment	46
2.5.3 Density measurement	47
2.6 Density functional theory	47
2.6.1 DFT: From wave functions to electron density	49
2.6.2 Kohn-Sham scheme	49
2.7 Special quasirandom structure (SQS)	50
2.8 Cluster expansion (CE) method	52
2.9 CALPHAD approach	54
Chapter 3	57
PHASE STABILITY STUDY OF CrFeCoNiCu HIGH ENTROPY ALLOY	57
3.1. Parametric approaches for the phase stability study	57
3.2 Phase prediction based on DFT calculations	60
3.3 Phase prediction based on CALPHAD	62
3.4 Experimental results on phase evolution in the milled powder	62
3.4 Thermal stability of CrFeCoNiCu HEA	69
3.5. Discussion	72
3.6. Conclusions	74
Chapter 4	77
LOW-DENSITY MgAlMnFeCu HIGH ENTROPY ALLOY	77
4. Results	79
4.1. Phase prediction of chosen alloy along temperature scale using CALPHAD	79
4.2. Characterization of as-milled MgAlMnFeCu HEA	80
4.3. Thermal stability of as-milled powder of MgAlMnFeCu alloy	87
4.4. Characterization of annealed powder of MgAlMnFeCu HEA	88

4.5. Phase evolution and mechanical properties of SPSed sample	90
4.6. Discussion	94
4.7. Conclusions	100
Chapter 5	103
PHASE STABILITY STUDY OF REFRACTORY HEAs	103
5.1 TiVZrMoW refractory high entropy alloy	103
5.1.1. Phase prediction by theoretical models	106
5.1.2. Phase evolution and microstructure in the as-cast and annealed sample	114
5.1.3 Discussion	119
5.2 TiVZrYHf refractory high entropy alloy	124
5.2.1 Phase prediction based on parametric and CALPHAD approaches	127
5.2.2 Phase stability study using DFT	132
5.2.3 Characterization of the annealed sample.	133
5.2.4 Discussion	137
5.3 Conclusions	140
5.3.1 TiVZrMoW	140
5.3.2 TiVZrYHf.....	141
Chapter 6	143
SUMMARY AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	143
6.1 Summary	143
6.1.1 CrFeCoNiCu high entropy alloys.....	143
6.1.2 Low-density MgAlMnFeCu high entropy alloy	144
6.1.3 Refractory high entropy alloys.....	145
6.2 Suggestions for future work	147
References	149
List of Publications	163
List of Conferences Attended	164

List of Figures	Page No.
Figure 1.1: Materials development with increasing entropy [4].	2
Figure 1.2: Schematic representation of 5 elements mixed to form a quinary random solid solution[11].	5
Figure 1.3: Entropy based definition of HEAs.	6
Figure 1.4: Number of ways to distribute A and B atom over 4 lattice sites. (a) pure A (b) 3 A and 1 B atoms (c) 2 A and 2 B atoms.	9
Figure 1.5: Increasing entropy of mixing with an increase in the number of elements.	11
Figure 1.6: Schematic diagram showing severe lattice distortion in a BCC cell containing 5-components.	12
Figure 1.7: A 2-D representation of atom distribution and lattice distortion due to the varying size of constituent elements.	13
Figure 1.8: Fluctuation of lattice potential energy for diffusion path of atom compared to pure elements. [34].	14
Figure 1.9: Hardness and lattice constants of a CuCoNiCrAlxFe alloy system with different x values: (A) hardness of the CuCoNiCrAlxFe alloys (B) Lattice constants of an FCC phase (C) lattice constant of a BCC phase. [24]	16
Figure 1.10: Phase selection plot based on the enthalpy of mixing and atomic size mismatch (δ). [39]	25
Figure 1.11: The RMS residual strain versus the dimensionless elastic energy for the typical glass-forming alloys, including Cu-, Mg-, Zr-, La-, Nd-, Ti- and Pd-based bulk metallic glasses.	27
Figure 1.12: Experimentally determined 185 HEAs are plotted on (a) Φ vs δ and (b) Φ vs ΔH_{mix} forms solid solution with exception of 16 HEAs [55]	29
Figure 1.13: Traditional synthesis methods for HEAs. (a) Liquid-state synthesis, including arc-melting method[74] Bridgman solidification,[75] and laserengineered net shaping.(LENS)[76] (b) Solid-state synthesis, including mechanical alloying,[77] high-energy ball milling,[78] and spark plasma sintering.[79] (c) Gas-state synthesis, including plasma spray process, thermal spraying,[80] and magnetron sputtering.	34
Figure 1.14: Processing routes of high entropy alloys	36
Figure 2.1: A representative picture of randomly distributed Ti and V atom over 8 atom cell (SQS-8) used in the calculation of formation energy for Ti-V	51

binary systems.

- Figure 2.2:** A representative structure of 10 atom primitive BCC cell over which 5 elements are distributed. 53
- Figure 2.3:** A representative structure of 10 atom primitive HCP cell over which 5 elements are distributed. 53
- Figure 3.1:** Prediction of amount of phases that may form with increasing temperature. 59
- Figure 3.2:** XRD patterns of CrFeCoNiCu HEA powders as a function of milling time. After milling for 10 min., diffraction peaks corresponding to every constituent element could be identified. The final peaks (65 h) show the major BCC and minor FCC phase. 64
- Figure 3.3:** Variation of crystallite size and lattice strain has been shown as a function of milling time for BCC phase. It shows that crystallite size decreases with increases in lattice strain as milling proceeds with time. 65
- Figure 3.4:** SEM micrograph of CrFeCoNiCu high entropy alloy powder milled at 65 h. 65
- Figure 3.5:** Particle size distribution observed in the SEM micrograph. 66
- Figure 3.6:** Elemental analysis of milled powder through EDX analysis. 66
- Figure 3.7:** TEM analysis of CrCoFeNiCu alloy. (a) Bright-field image (b) Indexed selected area diffraction (c) Dark-field image. Where F and B indicates FCC and BCC respectively on the reflections in (b) 67
- Figure 3.8:** STEM-EDS elemental mapping of CrFeCoNiCu alloy showing Fe rich region. 68
- Figure 3.9:** In situ high temperature XRD on as-milled powder. 70
- Figure 3.10:** XRD patterns of annealed CrFeCoNiCu HEA powders at 623 and 1073 K. 71
- Figure 4.1:** Equilibrium diagram calculated by Thermo-Calc using SSOL5 database. 82
- Figure 4.2:** (a) Phase evolution during mechanical alloying of MgAlMnFeCu high entropy alloy up to 60 h of MA; (b) variation of crystallite size and lattice strain as a function of milling duration for BCC phase. 85
- Figure 4.3:** SEM micrograph of MgAlMnFeCu HEA MA for 60 h (a) at low magnification showing river like pattern and; (b) at high magnification; (c) particle size distribution of MgAlMnFeCu HEA MA for 60 h; (d) Spot-1 depicting the area used for collecting the chemical composition of HEA MA for 60h; (e) EDS spectrum corresponding to Spot-1 and (f) chemical 84

composition of HEA MA for 60h.

- Figure 4.4:** (a) Selected area diffraction pattern (SADP) of BCC + γ -brass HEA powder particle; (b) bright-field image corresponding to SADP in figure 3 (a); (c) dark field image of corresponding to BCC (110); (d) dark field image corresponding to γ -brass type (721). 85
- Figure 4.5:** DSC thermogram of MgAlMnFeCu HEA MA for 60 h. 87
- Figure 4.6:** Phases evolved in MgAlMnFeCu HEA after heat treating the sample at 400 °C. 890
- Figure 4.7:** Phases evolved in MgAlMnFeCu HEA, heat-treating the sample at 600 °C. 89
- Figure 4.8:** Phase evolution of MgAlMnFeCu HEA after SPS; a blown-up image of phase evolved during SPS. 90
- Figure 4.9:** SEM micrograph of MgAlMnFeCu (a) spark plasma sintered at 900 °C and showing area of elemental mapping; (b) distribution of all the elements within the selected area; (c) SEM-EDS chemical composition of individual elements corresponding to the selected. 91
- Figure 4.10:** Compressive stress-strain plot of MgAlMnFeCu HEA spark plasma sintered at 900°C for 15 min. 92
- Figure 5.1:** Amount of equilibrium phases as a function temperature. The figure shows two significant phases, bcc1 (BCC_B2#2) and bcc2 (BCC_B2), above 600 °C. 106
- Figure 5.2:** Phase diagrams of binary subsystems calculated by Thermo-Calc showing equilibrium phases with varying temperatures. 108
- Figure 5.3:** Phases evolved in the as-cast TiVZrMoW refractory high entropy alloy. 110
- Figure 5.4:** (a) Selected area diffraction (SADP) of powdered as-cast TiVZrMoW with an overlapped ring. (b) bright field image corresponding to SADP in figure 5.5(a). 110
- Figure 5.5:** SEM micrograph of as-cast TiVZrMoW RHEA showing dendritic type microstructure. 112
- Figure 5.6:** STEM-EDS analysis of the as-cast powdered sample. The oval and rectangular area marked on Ti and Zr are showing lean regions in the elemental mapping. 112
- Figure 5.7:** DSC thermogram of as-cast powdered TiVZrMoW HEA showing solid-solid transition (endothermic peak). 113
- Figure 5.8:** XRD analysis of the annealed vacuum-sealed sample at 900 °C for 7 h. We have indexed two ordered B2 (bcc1 and bcc2) along with one 114

disordered BCC phase, as shown in the pop-up window shown adjacent to the bcc2 phase from the diffraction.

Figure 5.9: SEM micrograph of the annealed TiVZrMoW RHEA at 900 °C for 7 h. 115

Figure 5.10: SEM-EDS mapping of the full captured area showing the composition close to the equiatomic composition. 115

Figure 5.11: SEM-EDS analysis of a micron area (a) taken on the grey phase (W, Mo and V rich). (b) Taken from the dark phase (Ti and Zr rich) of annealed TiVZrMoW RHEA. 116

Figure 5.12: Phase diagrams of binary subsystems calculated by Thermo-Calc showing equilibrium phases. The binary phase diagrams are as follows: (a) Hf-Ti (b) Hf-V (c) Hf-Y (d) Hf-Zr (e) Ti-V (f) Ti-Y (g) Ti-Zr (h) V-Y (i) V-Zr (j) Y-Zr. 126

Figure 5.13: Plot of the number of equilibrium phases as a function of temperature in TiVYZrHf RHEA. The phase diagram shows a single BCC_B2 structure above 1200 K and a mixture of three disordered HCP phases and the C15 type Laves phase below 227 K. 127

Figure 5.14: Figure 5.14: Phase diagrams of all the binary subsystems taken from the Binary alloy Phase Diagrams handbook [173] (a) Hf-Ti (b) Hf-V (c) Hf-Y (d) Hf-Zr (e) Ti-V (f) Ti-Y (g) Ti-Zr (h) V-Y (i) V-Zr (j) Y-Zr. 128

Figure 5.15: Phases evolved after annealing the as-cast sample for 7 h encapsulated in an argon-filled quartz tube at 900 °C. 131

Figure 5.16: SEM micrographs of an annealed sample. The area marked 1 represents the primary phase, while the area marked 2 illustrates the eutectic kind of lamellar phases formed. The area pointed as 3 represents the phase that solidified the last forming droplet kind of structure, while the thin boundary marked as 4 represents the liquid phase between grain boundaries that solidify after the formation of the primary phase. 132

Figure 5.17: SEM-EDAX of the annealed sample. A full area scan is done to obtain the average composition of the bulk sample. 133

Figure 5.18: SEM-EDS of the spot on the major phase of the annealed sample. 133

Figure 5.19: SEM-EDS analysis of the lamellar microstructure in the annealed sample showing the presence of all the elements except Y. 134

List of Tables	Page No.
Table 1.1: Summary of various substitutional solid solution phases based on departures of enthalpy of mixing value from ideality.	20
Table 2.1: Physical properties of metals used for the synthesis of alloys.	42
Table 2.2: Milling parameters used for the synthesis of HEA powders.	43
Table 3.1: Parameters used in Miedema's model for calculation of mixing enthalpy.	58
Table 3.2: The chemical mixing enthalpies ΔH_{mix}^{ij} (kJ.mol ⁻¹) calculated using Miedema's model for the present binary subsystems in equiatomic CrFeCoNiCu high entropy alloy.	58
Table 3.3: Calculated values of the parameters playing an essential role in phase stability.	58
Table 3.4: Enthalpy values for all binary subsystems in CrFeCoNiCu HEA calculated using DFT.	60
Table 3.5: Composition of phases at 500 °C and 1000 °C, calculated using single point calculation tool of Thermo-Calc (SSOL_5 database).	61
Table 4.1: Composition of phases (atomic fraction) at 300°C, 600°C and 1200°C, calculated using single point calculation tool of Thermo-Calc (SSOL_5 database).	81
Table 4.2: Physical property of elements in MgAlMnFeCu high-entropy alloy.	95
Table 4.3: The crystallite size and lattice strain for BCC phase of equiatomic MgAlMnFeCu high entropy alloy powder.	95
Table 4.4: Density and Compressive strength of MgAlMnFeCu high entropy alloy.	97
Table 4.5: Chemical enthalpy of mixing (ΔH_{mix}^{ij} , kJ.mol ⁻¹) of atomic pairs for MgAlMnFeCu high entropy alloy, following the Miedema's approach.	97
Table 4.6: Calculated thermodynamic and physical parameters of MgAlMnFeCu.	98
Table 5.1: Enthalpy of mixing for all the binary subsystems in TiVZrMoW HEA in kJ.mol ⁻¹ .	104

Table 5.2: Phase stability parameters calculated by empirical formulas.	104
Table 5.3: Composition of all phases formed at 1400 °C, 800 °C, 500 °C and 300 °C in a mole fraction estimated by single point equilibrium calculations.	107
Table 5.4: Lattice parameters of constituent elements calculated by DFT are used to predict the lattice parameter of phases formed at 800 °C.	107
Table 5.5: Enthalpy of mixing for all binary subsystems in TiVZrMoW HEA using DFT.	109
Table 5.6: Enthalpy of formation of possible intermetallic phases based on binary phase diagrams in the TiVZrMoW HEA, calculated using DFT.	109
Table 5.7: Enthalpy of mixing (in kJ.mol ⁻¹) for all the binary sub-systems in TiVYZrHf HEA.	125
Table 5.8: Phase stability parameters calculated using the semi/empirical approaches, showing phase separation tendency in the quinary alloy system.	127
Table 5.9: Composition of all the phases formed at 300 K, 700 K and 1100K in mole fraction estimated by single point equilibrium calculation of TiVYZrHf RHEA.	129
Table 5.10: Energy of formation for 10 atoms primitive cell and HCP cell are calculated, and the maximum and minimum values are tabulated. As the formation energy is significantly positive, we have calculated the miscibility gap's critical temperature using a regular solution model.	130
Table 5.11: Formation energy (kJ.mol ⁻¹) of possible intermetallics taken from phase diagrams are calculated using DFT.	131
Table 5.12: Prediction of lattice parameter based on the rule of mixture. The lattice parameter of TiZrHf is predicted based on the composition of the major phase obtained from SEM-EDS(Figure 5.18), while that of TiV is assumed to be the equiatomic phase.	136