

THESIS CERTIFICATE

This is certified that the work contained in this thesis titled **“Petrological, geochronological and tectono-metamorphic evolution of granulite facies rocks of the Daltonganj (Palamau) Jharkhand, India”** submitted by **“Ravi Ranjan Kumar”** to the Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi has been carried out under my supervision and that this work has not been submitted elsewhere for a degree.

It is further certified that the student has fulfilled all the requirements of Comprehensive Examination, Candidacy and SOTA for the award of Ph.D. Degree.

Varanasi – 221005

Date: 05/04/2021

Prof. S. B. Dwivedi

(Supervisor)

Department of Civil Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology (BHU)

Varanasi – 221005, India

DECLARATION BY THE STUDENT

I, “**Ravi Ranjan Kumar**”, certify that the work embodied in this thesis is my own bona fide work and carried out by me under the supervision of “**Prof. S. B. Dwivedi**” from July 26, 2016 to April 5, 2021, at the “**Department of Civil Engineering**”, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi. The matter embodied in this thesis has not been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma. I declare that I have faithfully acknowledged and given credits to the research workers wherever their works have been cited in my work in this thesis. I further declare that I have not willfully copied any other’s work, paragraph, text, data, results, etc., reported in journals, books, magazines, reports dissertations, theses, etc., or available at websites and have not included them in this thesis and have not cited as my own work.

Place: IIT (BHU), Varanasi

Date: 05/04/2021

(Ravi Ranjan Kumar)

DECLARATION BY THE SUPERVISOR

It is certify that the above statement made by the student is correct to the best of my knowledge.

(Prof. S. B. Dwivedi)

Supervisor

(Prof. P.K.S. Dikshit)

Head of Department

COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE

Title of the Thesis: Petrological, geochronological and tectono-metamorphic
evolution of granulite facies rocks of the Daltonganj (Palamau)
Jharkhand, India

Name of the Student: Ravi Ranjan Kumar

Copyright Transfer

The undersigned hereby assigns to the Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University), Varanasi all rights under copyright that may exist in and for the above thesis submitted for the award of the “**Doctor of Philosophy**”.

Date: 05/04/2021

Place: IIT (BHU), Varanasi

(Ravi Ranjan Kumar)

Note: However, the author may reproduce or authorize others to reproduce material extracted verbatim from the thesis or derivative of the thesis for author’s personal use provided that the source and the Institute’s copyright notice are indicated.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I wish to thank my main supervisor, **Professor S.B. Dwivedi**, Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi, for his support, help, advice, and patience in correcting the many mistakes I made along the way. Countless discussions during field work and his office have been of cardinal importance and have shaped the development of this thesis. It has been a privilege to work under a man with such dedication, zeal and passion for research and fondness towards his students. This work could not have been completed without him. I remain for ever indebted for the knowledge he has imparted on me since the day I joined, and I remain for ever grateful to him for the pleasant experience during my research tenure, which just made me more confident and passionate towards my work.

I also express my gratitude to **Dr. Medha Jha (internal subject expert)** for her continuous motivation, support and valuable suggestions during this project. I am always thankful to her for her advice and her experiences which she shared with me. I am also thankful to **Prof. Arif Jamal (external subject expert)** Department of Mining, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), for his continuous support and help. I am also thankful to **Dr. B.N. Singh**, for his guidance and suggestions throughout my PhD work. I express my humble thanks to **Prof. Prabhat Kumar Singh Dikshit, Head, Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU)**, for his encouragement and arranging all kind of support from the department.

I want to thank **CSIR-UGC** for providing financial support in the form Junior Research Fellowship and other financial aids for the successful completion of the research work.

I am thankful to **Prof. Kaushik Das**, Department of Earth and Planetary Systems Science, Hiroshima University (Japan) who introduced me to the world of LA-ICPMS zircon geochronology and provides the U-Pb Zircon dating data.

A special acknowledgement goes to **Mr. Dinesh Singh** and my labmates **Ms. Pratigya Pathak, Mr. Nikhilesh Singh, Mr. Manish Srivastava, Mr. Sandeep Maddheshiya, Mr. Raviranjana Kumar Sharma** and **Mr. Vikas Pandey** for their continuous help, support and guidance during my lab work. It was great sharing lab with you people.

I am very much thankful to **Prof. N.V. Chalapathi Rao** and **Dr. Dinesh Pandit**, Department of Geology, Banaras Hindu University for his technical support and help during the EPMA data acquisition. A special acknowledgement goes to **Mr. Sibban ji**, **Mr. Saurabh Singh** and local villagers for their continuous help, support and guidance during my field work.

I express special thanks to **Mr. Rohit Kumar Giri** and **Mr. Mahendra Singh**, two old friends for their personal support, encouragement and love in every stages of the research work.

I owe my utmost gratitude to my parents **Dr. Kameshwar Singh** and **Mrs. Sushila Devi**, my grandparents for their love and support throughout my life. I thank all for giving me strength, guidance, and freedom to chase my dreams. I must thank my elder brother **Dr. Hemant** and sister-in-law **Mrs. Sunita Kumari** as well as younger brothers for being a constant pillar of support. I am also grateful to my uncles, aunts, nephews (**Ayush & Atharv**) and all my relatives for their wishes and support. I remain grateful near all mighty God for the opportunities and all the blessings.

There are few more uncredited good hearts behind this success. I would like to thank every one of them.

Again, I am very grateful to my supervisor and my family members, who believed and encouraged me for making this mission possible.

Ravi Ranjan Kumar

*Dedicated to my beloved family and
respected supervisor*

Table of Contents

List of Figures.....	xix
List of Tables.....	xxv
List of Abbreviations.....	xxvii
List of Mineral Abbreviations.....	xxviii
Ab Albite.....	xxviii
Preface.....	xxix
CHAPTER – 1.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 General.....	1
1.2 Scope of the Investigation.....	5
1.3 Methodology.....	7
1.4 Purpose of the Thesis.....	11
CHAPTER - 2.....	13
LITERATURE REVIEW.....	13
2.1 General.....	13
2.2 Introduction.....	13
2.3 Previous work in the Chhotanagpur Granite Gneiss Complex.....	14
2.4 Monazite and Zircon Geochronology.....	15
2.5 Geothermobarometry and phase equilibria modelling.....	17
2.6 Geochemistry.....	21
2.7 Why to need of this study.....	22
CHAPTER - 3.....	25
GEOLOGICAL SETTING.....	25
3.1 Introduction.....	25
3.2 Classification.....	27
3.3 Regional structure.....	32
3.4 Stratigraphy.....	34
3.5 Geochronology and tectonothermal events of CGGC.....	35
3.6 Geological setting around Daltonganj.....	38
3.6.1 Structure.....	39
3.7 Metallogeny events in CGGC.....	41
3.8 Rock types and their field relations.....	42
3.8.1 Mafic granulites.....	42

3.8.2 Pelitic granulites	42
3.8.3 High-grade gneisses.....	42
3.8.4 Migmatitic gneiss	43
3.8.5 Sillimanite- biotite- graphite schist.....	43
3.8.6 Amphibolite	43
CHAPTER - 4	45
PETROGRAPHY	45
4.1 Introduction	45
4.2 Petrography	46
4.3 Preparation of thin polished section	47
4.3.1 Introduction	47
4.3.2 Method.....	48
4.4 Petrography of the thin section.....	49
4.4.1 High-grade gneiss	50
4.4.2 Pelitic granulite.....	57
4.4.3 Mafic granulite	60
4.4.4 Migmatitic granite gneiss	67
4.4.5 Sillimanite-biotite-graphite schist.....	70
4.4.6. Amphibolite	73
CHAPTER-5	77
MINERALOGY	77
5.1 Introduction	77
5.2 EPMA analytical technique.....	78
5.3 Garnet	79
5.3.1 Garnet zoning	80
5.3.2 Ca & Mn content of garnet	82
5.4 Amphibole.....	82
5.4.1 Classification of amphibole	83
5.4.2 Hornblende	83
5.4.3 Gedrite	85
5.5 Pyroxene.....	85
5.5.1 Orthopyroxene	86
5.5.2 Clinopyroxene	87

5.6 Cordierite.....	87
5.7 Mica.....	88
5.7.1 Biotite	89
5.8 Feldspar	91
5.9 Sillimanite	93
5.10 Chlorite.....	93
5.11 Opaque	94
5.12 Transmission electron microscopy.....	95
5.12.1 Gedrite	95
5.12.2 Pyroxene Exsolution.....	97
CHAPTER - 6.....	101
GEOCHEMISTRY	101
6.1 Introduction	101
6.2 Major oxides Geochemistry	102
6.3 Trace Element Geochemistry	102
6.4 Rare Earth Elements Geochemistry	103
6.5 Analytical techniques	104
6.5.1 XRF and ICP-MS	104
6.6 Mafic granulite	105
6.6.1 Major oxides.....	105
6.6.2 Trace elements.....	106
6.6.3 REEs	109
6.6.4 Discussion.....	109
6.6.4.1 Petrogenesis	110
6.7 Pelitic granulite	113
6.7.1 Whole-rock geochemistry	113
6.7.2 Trace and rare earth element patterns.....	113
6.7.3 Discussion.....	114
6.7.3.1 Tectonic implications.....	114
6.8 High-grade gneiss.....	118
6.8.1 Major oxides.....	118
6.8.2 Trace and rare earth elements.....	119
6.8.3 Geochemical significance.....	120

6.8.4 Petrogenesis	122
CHAPTER-7	125
GEOCHRONOLOGY	125
PART – A: Monazite Geochronology.....	125
7.A.1 Introduction.....	125
7.A.2 Theoretical foundation and premises.....	126
7.A.3 Analytical techniques.....	128
7.A.4 Sample preparation and identification of monazite	130
7.A.5 Textural interpretations of monazite.....	131
7.A.6 Sample description and U–Th–Pb systematics.....	132
7.A.7 Electron microprobe dating	135
PART- B: Zircon Geochronology.....	138
7.B.1 Radioactive decay mechanisms	138
7.B.2 U-Pb Systematics	138
7.B.3 Mass Spectrometry.....	140
7.B.3.1 LA-ICP-MS.....	141
7.B.4 Analytical Technique	142
7.B.5. U–Pb zircon geochronology	142
7.B.5.1 Pelitic granulite	142
7.B.5.2 Mafic granulite	145
CHAPTER – 8	147
METAMORPHIC CONDITION.....	147
8.1 Introduction	147
PART – A: Phase Petrology.....	147
8.A.1 Introduction.....	147
8.A.2 Phase compatibility relation	148
8.A.2.1 High-grade gneiss	148
8.A.2.2 Mafic granulites	150
8.A.2.3 Pelitic granulites.....	152
8.A.3 Petrogenetic grid.....	153
8.A.3.1 High-grade gneiss	154
PART – B: Geothermobarometry	155
8.B.1 Conventional geothermobarometry	156

8.B.1.1 Temperature estimation.....	156
8.B.1.2 Pressure estimation.....	158
8.B.2 Average P-T calculation using THERMOCALC	159
8.B.3 Application of geothermobarometers and Average PT	159
8.B.3.1 High-grade gneiss.....	160
8.B.3.2 Mafic granulite	160
8.B.3.3 Pelitic granulite	161
PART – C: Bulk Composition Modelling.....	162
8.C.1 Application of equilibrium thermodynamics.....	162
8.C.2 Pseudosection modelling	163
8.C.3 Methodology.....	164
8.C.4 P-T Pseudosections	165
8.C.4.1 High-grade gneiss.....	165
8.C.4.2 Mafic granulites	168
8.C.4.3 Pelitic granulite	170
CHAPTER - 9.....	173
TECTONO-METAMORPHIC EVOLUTION.....	173
9.1. Metamorphic condition.....	173
9.1.1 Petrographic evidences.....	174
9.1.1.1 High-grade gneiss	174
9.1.1.2 Mafic granulite.....	175
9.1.1.3 Pelitic granulite.....	176
9.1.2 Geochronological evidences.....	177
9.1.2.2 Protolithic age of mafic granulites.....	178
9.1.2.3 The timing of metamorphic events	179
9.1.3 P-T-t Path.....	180
9.1.3.1 High-grade gneiss	183
9.1.3.2 Mafic granulite.....	183
9.1.3.3 Pelitic granulite	184
9.2 Geodynamic condition	186
9.2.1 High-grade gneiss.....	186
9.2.2 Mafic granulite	187
9.2.3 Pelitic granulite.....	188

9.3 Global correlation of CGGC	190
9.3.1 Correlation with the Columbia supercontinent.....	190
9.3.2 Correlation with the Rodinia supercontinent.....	192
CHAPTER - 10	195
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	195
Scope for Future Work.....	206
References.....	209
List of publications	239

List of Figures

- Figure 3.1(a) Inset map showing the location of the Chhotanagpur Granite Gneiss Complex (CGGC) in India. (b) The geological map is showing different lithological units and tectonic elements of Central Indian Tectonic Zone (CITZ). (c) Geological map of the Chhotanagpur Granite Gneiss Complex. 26
- Figure 3.2 Geological map of the Chotanagpur Granite Gneiss Complex (CGGC) and the adjoining areas showing major subdivisions. 28
- Figure 3.3 Local geological map of the area around the south-west of Daltonganj, District Palamau (Jharkhand) India. 40
- Figure 4.1 Field photograph of the High-grade gneiss (HGG). (a) HGG associated with granite gneiss. (b) Gedrite appeared as dark grey colour in HGG. Photomicrographs illustrating the textural relations in HGG. (c) Chlorite completely rimmed by gedrite. (d) A small grain of brown Al-rich biotite present as inclusion in gedrite and gedrite are surrounded by a huge mass of garnet and cordierite. (e) Garnet contains the inclusion of amphibole, cordierite, biotite. (f) Inclusion of cordierite, gedrite, biotite, quartz and ilmenite in garnet. (g) Corona texture in which garnet is rimmed by cordierite followed by gedrite. (h) Garnet rimmed by gedrite. (i) Garnet and biotite surrounded by cordierite and gedrite. (j) Gedrite laths are defines a foliation within the rock, Chlorite completely rimmed by gedrite. (k) Gedrite rimmed by orthopyroxene and garnet occurs as inclusion within orthopyroxene. (l) Opx rimmed by garnet and gedrites. (m) BSE image shows some accessory minerals, i.e., monazite and ilmenite with other minerals like gedrite, biotite and quartz. (n) Inclusions of monazite in different mineral phases. 52-53
- Figure 4.2 Outcrop photographs showing field features of the studied rock types. (a) Melanocratic Pelitic granulites present as enclaves form within the Granitic gneisses groundmass. (b) Porphyroblastic garnet (size; 2-3 cm) present within the Pelitic granulites. (c) Photomicrograph of Pelitic granulites showing biotite and plagioclase present as inclusion within porphyroblastic garnet. (d) Flakes of sillimanites are associated with the garnet whereas biotite and quartz present as inclusion in garnet. (e) Sillimanite needle, biotite and quartz present within the garnet whereas cordierite present as groundmass. (f) Biotite flakes are wrapped around sillimanite needle within the groundmass of cordierite. 58
- Figure 4.3 Field photographs of mafic granulites form the southern margin of the Daltonganj. (a) Various boulders of mafic granulites distributed in the area, a villager stand as scale, (b) It represents a close view of mafic granulite boulder, (c) Mafic granulite present as enclaves within garnet-amphibolite gneiss. (d) Mafic granulite associated with migmatites. 61

Figure 4.4 Photomicrographs of Various mineral association of mafic granulites 64 -
 are showing (a) Amphibole occur as prismatic and anhedral shape. (b) Garnet 65
 rimmed by cpx, amp and plg. (c), (d) Back Scattered Electron (BSE) image
 shows thin lamellae Opx occur in Cpx, (Inset image represents Photomicrograph
 shows an exsolution texture within Opx and Cpx. (e) Amphibole represents two
 modes of generations. (f) Opx, Cpx, and Bt occur as inclusion in Amp
 porphyroblast. (g) Symplectitic texture, in which micro-grains of orthopyroxene
 and clinopyroxene were distributed within plagioclase. (h) BSE image shows the
 textural association of different minerals opx, cpx, amp, bt, plg, qt and ilm. (i)
 Idioblastic to sub-idioblastic grains of opx and cpx with inclusion of amp. (j)
 Clinopyroxene present as prismatic and idioblastic texture.

Figure 4.5 Field photographs of migmatitic granite gneiss (a) Gneissose 68
 structure and (b) Deformation structure; Photomicrographs of various mineral
 association are showing (c) Amphibole with biotite define the schistosity S_2 , (d)
 Biotite and amphibole arrange as foliation with K-feldspar and plagioclase
 mosaic layer, (e) Exsolution texture of plagioclase and K-feldspar, (f) Inclusion
 of amphibole, biotite, quartz, ilmenite within the plagioclase.

Figure 4.6. Photomicrographs represent (a) Linear arrangement of graphite, 71
 biotite and sillimanite, (b) Sillimanite interlayer with biotite.

Figure 4.7. Photomicrographs represent (a) Ophitic texture between 74
 clinopyroxene and plagioclase, (b) Orientation of amphibole, (c) Amphibole
 included the clinopyroxene, biotite, plagioclase and magnetite, (d) Amphibole
 and quartz symplectite.

Figure 5.1 (a) Triangular diagram showing the variation in (spessartine + 80
 grossular)–almandine–pyrope end member compositions in the garnets from
 different rock types. (b) A plot of X_{Mg} vs Ca/Mn of garnets, from different rock
 types.

Figure 5.2 (a) BSE image of garnet porphyroblast with inclusions of biotite and 81
 quartz. (b-e) These images represent the X-ray mapping of Fe, Mg, Mn and Ca
 in garnet porphyroblast. (f) X_{Alm} , X_{Py} , X_{Grs} and X_{Sps} variation along the garnet
 porphyroblast from rim to rim.

Figure 5.3 (a) Amphibole classification diagram for the Daltonganj mafic 84
 granulites. (b) Plot $0.5[Al^{IV} - (Na+K)^A]$ vs $(Na+K)^A$ a.p.f.u for calcic amphibole
 from greenschist to granulite facies expressed as Daltonganj mafic granulite
 belongs to granulite facies rock. (c) A plot of X_{Mg} vs Al^{IV} of garnets, from
 different rock types. (d) Leaks classification diagram for the amphiboles.

Figure 5.4 A $CaSiO_3$ – $MgSiO_3$ – $FeSiO_3$ composition diagram of proroxyenes 87
 showing the plot of ortho and clinopyroxenes from different rock types.

Figure 5.5 (a) A plot of microprobe analyses of biotites from different rock type in Mg–Ti–(Fe+Mn) diagram. (b) A plot of microprobe analyses of biotites from different rock type in Mg–(Al ^{IV} +Ti)–(Fe+Mn) diagram. (c) A plot of Ti vs Mg showing negative trend. (d) A plot of X _{Fe} /X _{Mg} vs TiO ₂ showing linear relationship.	90
Figure 5.6 Triangular NaAlSi ₃ O ₈ –KAlSi ₃ O ₈ –CaAl ₂ Si ₂ O ₈ diagram showing plots of alkali feldspar and Plagioclase feldspar.	92
Figure 5.7 Triangular diagram for chlorite end-member.	94
Figure 5.8 Triangular diagram for ilmenite and magnetite.	94
Figure 5.9 (a) TEM images (a) shows the distribution of gedrite grain in which bundles forms of gedrite grains are present. (b) Fibrous and prismatic growth of gedrite minerals. (c) The orientations of grains are in (100) and (010). (d) The orientation of grain in (001). (e) Width of double-chain silicate structure along (010) orientation with the help of histogram by using TEM. (f) SAED pattern of gedrite grain.	96
Figure 5.10 (a) Back Scattered Electron (BSE) image shows porphyroblast of Orthopyroxene and Clinopyroxene, with a line along which compositional profiling has been done interface of Opx-Cpx. (b) [100] TEM projection of Cpx. (c) [001] TEM projection of Cpx. (d) Silicate structure of the Opx-Cpx interface. (e) [100] and [001] TEM projection of Opx (f) A line profile along with the Opx-Cpx minerals showing a compositional variation of Wollastonite, Enstatite and Ferrosilite components, with Variation of XMg along with this line profile. (g) SAED pattern of Pyroxene grain. (h) Graphical representation of EDAX values of pyroxene.	99
Figure 6.1 (a) The chemical classification and nomenclature of volcanic rocks using total alkalis versus silica diagram of mafic granulite. (b) La/Yb vs. Nb/La plot ruling out significant Lithospheric contribution in the mantle source region of the Daltonganj basic granulites.	106
Figure 6.2 Bi-variate plots (a) MgO (wt %) vs SiO ₂ (wt %), (b) MgO (wt %) vs TiO ₂ (wt %), (c) MgO (wt %) vs Al ₂ O ₃ (wt %), (d) MgO (wt %) vs Fe ₂ O ₃ (wt %), (e) MgO(wt %) vs CaO (wt %), (f) MgO (wt %) vs MnO (wt %), (g) MgO (wt %) vs K ₂ O (wt %), and (h) MgO (wt %) vs Na ₂ O (wt %) for the Daltonganj basic granulites are showing good fractionation trends except for K ₂ O and Na ₂ O.	107
Figure 6.3 (a) Primitive mantle normalized multi-element spider diagram for the Daltonganj basic granulites. (b) Chondrite normalized rare earth element distribution pattern.	108

- Figure 6.4 Tectonic discrimination diagrams for Dalonganj basic granulites. (a) 108
Th vs Yb bivariate plot. (b) Y–La–Nb ternary diagram.
- Figure 6.5 (a) Nb (ppm) vs Nb/U plot. (b) Th/Yb vs Nb/Yb diagram depicting a 112
subduction-related enrichment for the Dalonganj basic granulites samples. (c)
Th/Nb vs Ce/Nb. (d) Y vs La/Nb diagram.
- Figure 6.6 (a) Total alkali silica diagram. (b-c) Granitoid classification scheme 115
revealing (b) magnesian to ferroan, (c) slightly peraluminous nature of the
studied rock (d) calc-alkalic to alkali-calcic. (e) Na₂O vs K₂O diagram. (f) K₂O
vs SiO₂ plot.
- Figure 6.7 (a) Primitive mantle normalized multi-elements spider diagram of 116
granulite gneiss. (b) Chondrite normalized REE plot.
- Figure 6.8 (a) (Y/Nb)_N vs (Th/Nb)_N plot. (b) Zr vs Nb/Zr plot. (c) Y vs Nb 117
tectonic discrimination diagram. (d) Y+Nb vs Rb tectonic discrimination
diagram plotted for granulite gneiss.
- Figure 6.9 The chemical classification and nomenclature of volcanic rocks using 118
total alkalis versus silica diagram of high-grade gneiss.
- Figure 6.10 Bi-variate plots (in wt%) (a) MgO vs SiO₂, (b) MgO vs Al₂O₃, (c) 120
MgO vs TiO₂, (d) MgO vs Fe₂O₃, (e) MgO vs CaO, (f) MgO vs Na₂O, (g) MgO
vs K₂O, and (h) MgO vs P₂O₅.
- Figure 6.11 (a) Primitive mantle normalised multi-element spider diagram for 121
the high-grade gneiss. (b) Chondrite normalised are earth element distribution
pattern.
- Figure 6.12 Tectonic discrimination diagrams for the high-grade gneiss. (a) Y– 124
La–Nb ternary diagram. (b) Th vs Yb bivariate plot.
- Figure 7.1 Back Scattered Electron (BSE) images are showing the 132
microstructural and textural settings of monazite occurrences in the granulitic
gneiss of Dalonganj. (a) Monazite occurring as inclusion within porphyroblastic
garnet in R-91-97. (b) Monazite grain occurring as inclusion within the
periphery area of garnet in R-91-97. (c) Monazite present as inclusion in the
cordierite, Crd is later surrounded by garnet in R-91-96. (d) Monazite occurring
as inclusion within biotite in R-91-96. (e) Monazite present in gedrite and
garnet. (f) Different images show monazite present in garnet, amphibole,
cordierite and biotite.

- Figure 7.2 (a) Grain-P43 of the R-91-97 sample, (a) BSE image. (b-c) X-ray 133
 elemental maps documenting the homogeneous pattern of Th and U elements in
 monazite. (d) X-ray map shows the zoning pattern at the outer part in monazite;
 where in grain-P46 of the R-91-96 sample, (e) BSE image, (f-g) X-ray elemental
 maps documenting the homogeneous pattern of Th and U elements in the
 monazite. (h) X-ray map shows the zoning pattern at the outer part as well as the
 core of monazite.
- Figure 7.3 The bivariate plot shows the variation in the composition of monazite 134
 of three different age domain from Daltonganj (CGGC). ~1424 Ma age enriched
 in brabantite and ~972 Ma age rich in huttonite, whereas ~855 Ma age lie
 between both substitution vector.
- Figure 7.4 Represents the backscattered images (BSE-SEM) of different 136
 monazite grains from two rock samples.
- Figure 7.5 (a), (c), (e), (g) and (i) Weighted-average ages; (b), (d), (f), (h) and (j) 137
 Probability–density ages of two distinct age domains from the R-91-97 (a,b,c,d)
 and R-91-96 (e,f,g,h) rock sample, and youngest age for from both samples (I
 and j) with 2σ uncertainty, different numbers of point analysis and MSWD
 (mean square of weighted deviates) for monazite from the Daltonganj area of
 the CGGC, plotted with the ISOPLOT program (Ludwig 2011).
- Figure 7.6 Decay chain of ^{238}U to ^{206}Pb , ^{235}U to ^{207}Pb and ^{232}Th to ^{208}Pb . 141
- Figure 7.7 (a-b) Representative Back-scattered electron (BSE) image; (c-d) 144
 Cathodoluminescence (CL) images of zircons with different zoning patterns and
 metamictised mantle of zircons from pelitic granulites from the Daltonganj area.
- Figure 7.8 (a) Analytical data from the pelitic granulite (D-3) plotted in Tera- 144
 Wasserburg Concordia graph. (b) Probability density plot of $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages
 showing concordant age at 1707.1 ± 8.8 Ma and 1629.8 ± 10 Ma.
- Figure 7.9 (a-b) Representative Back-scattered electron (BSE) image; (c-d) 146
 Cathodoluminescence (CL) images of zircons with different zoning patterns and
 metamictised mantle of zircons from mafic granulites from the Daltonganj area.
- Figure 7.10 (a) Analytical data from the pelitic granulite (RP-1) plotted in Tera- 146
 Wasserburg Concordia graph. (b) Weighted average age of mean age at
 1629.0 ± 60 Ma, with MSWD = 1.4, probability = 0.068.
- Figure 8.1 AFM projection from K-feldspar point of the AKFM tetrahedron 149
 onto the AFM plane. (a) Showing the gedrite in the middle of triangle Grt–Crd–
 Opx in which gedrite is reactant. (b) Depicts the disappearance of gedrite to
 form the triangle Grt–Crd–Opx as a product.

- Figure 8.2 (a) The observed mineral assemblages (solid circle) of the mafic granulites are shown in the ACF diagram. (b) The mineral assemblage orthopyroxene-clinopyroxene-plagioclase is formed due to the hornblende tie line's consumption during a prograde reaction. 151
- Figure 8.3 the mineral composition of the mafic granulites are shown in ACF diagram where, $A = (Al_2O_3 + Fe_2O_3) - (K_2O + Na_2O)$; $C = CaO$; $F = FeO + MgO + MnO$. ($A + C + F = 100$ mol%). 151
- Figure 8.4 AKF Diagrams, $A = (Al_2O_3 + Fe_2O_3) - (K_2O + Na_2O + CaO)$; $K = K_2O$; $F = FeO + MnO + MgO$, ($A + K + F = 100$ mol%). For the Pelitic granulites. Solid circles correspond to the observed mineral assemblages in the investigated area. 153
- Figure 8.5 A petrogenetic grid in the FMASH system constructed after the Schreinmakers analysis for the high-grade gneiss. 155
- Figure 8.6 P-T pseudosection is calculated for high-grade gneiss of sample number R-91-97 in NCKFMASH system. 166
- Figure 8.7 P-T pseudosection plot is calculated for mafic granulites from the Daltonganj in the system NCKFMASHTO. The pseudosection is contoured with isopleths of X_{Mg} of Opx and Cpx mineral assemblages. 169
- Figure 8.8 NCKFMASHTO P-T pseudosection for Pelitic granulites showing calculated mineral equilibria for the minerals assemblage grt-plg-sill-kfs-bt-melt-ilm-mag-qz and two phases of retrograde metamorphism are depicted in pseudosection as Rg1 (grt + crd + plg + sill + kfs + melt + ilm + qz + mag) and Rg2 (grt + crd + bt + plg + kfs + melt + ilm + qz + mag), (Mineral abbreviations: [269]). (b) Isopleths for garnet, cordierite, and biotite are contoured in the P-T pseudosection. 172
- Figure 9.1 P-T-t path represents the metamorphic stages of all the three studied rocks. 182
- Figure 9.2 Cartoon diagram showing the stages of the (a) sedimentation of protolith of pelitic granulites and (b) M1 stage of metamorphism of pelitic granulite which is present as patches within the granitic gneisses of Chhotanagpur Granite Gneiss Complex. 190
- Figure 9.3 A schematic map is showing the Columbia supercontinent with the SMGC, as a continuation of the CITZ (modified after, [6,7]). Abbreviations of orogens: Ad, Aravalli-Delhi; Af, Albany-Fraser; Ca, Capricorn; CITZ, Central Indian Tectonic Zone; Eg, Eastern ghat belt; Ra, Rayner; SMGC, Shillong-Meghalaya Gneissic Complex; Wb, Windmill Islands–Bunger Hills. 191
- Figure 9.4. Cartographic picture showing the Rodinia assembly and position of India at ~1000 Ma. 194

List of Tables

Table 3.1 Four stages of metamorphism (M ₁ -M ₄) with Geochronology are representing from different localities of the CGGC.	44: A-E
Table 5.1 Chemical analysis and structural formulae (on the basis of 12 Oxygen) of garnet from high-grade gneisses.	98: F-H
Table 5.2 Chemical analysis and structural formulae (on the basis of 23 Oxygen) of Hornblende from mafic granulite.	98: I-K
Table 5.3 Chemical analysis and structural formulae (on the basis of 23 Oxygen) of Gedrite from high-grade gneisses.	98: L
Table 5.4 Chemical analysis and structural formulae (on the basis of 6 Oxygen) of Orthopyroxene from high-grade gneisses.	98: M-O
Table 5.5 Chemical analysis and structural formulae (on the basis of 6 Oxygen) of Clinopyroxene from basic granulites.	98: P-Q
Table 5.6 Chemical analysis and structural formulae (on the basis of 18 Oxygen) of Cordierite from high-grade gneisses.	98: R-S
Table 5.7 Chemical analysis and structural formulae (on the basis of 22 Oxygen) of biotite from high-grade gneisses.	98: T-V
Table 5.8 Chemical analysis and structural formulae (on the basis of 32 Oxygen) of Plagioclase from mafic granulites.	98: W-Y
Table 5.9 Chemical analysis and structural formulae (on the basis of 10 Oxygen) of Sillimanite from pelitic granulites.	98: Z
Table 5.10 Chemical analysis and structural formulae (on the basis of 28 Oxygen) of Chlorite from high-grade gneisses.	98: AA
Table 5.11 Chemical analysis and structural formulae (on the basis of 4 Oxygen) of Ilmenite from high-grade gneisses.	98: BB- CC
Table 6.1 Whole-rock geochemistry data for Daltonganj mafic granulites.	121:DD
Table 6.2 Whole-rock geochemistry data for Daltonganj Pelitic granulites.	121:EE
Table 6.3 Whole-rock geochemistry data for high-grade gneiss from Daltonganj, CGGC.	121:FF

Table 7.1 Representative electron microprobe analyses and structural formula of monazite (on 4 Oxygen basis).	143:GG-JJ
Table 7.2 LA-ICP-MS zircon U-Pb data of Pelitic granulite.	143:KK
Table 7.3 LA-ICP-MS zircon U-Pb data of Mafic granulite.	143:LL
Table 8.1a Pressure and temperature estimates of the high-grade gneiss of the study area through conventional geothermobarometers and internally consistent data set.	168:MM
Table 8.1b Result of internally consistent geothermobarometry of high-grade gneiss (R-91-97) with THERMOCALC v-3.21.	168:NN
Table 8.2a Temperature estimates of mafic granulites from conventional clinopyroxene – orthopyroxene exchange geothermometer at assumed pressure 8 kbar.	168:OO
Table 8.2b Temperature estimates of mafic granulites (Sample: S-4) from conventional garnet – clinopyroxene exchange geothermobarometer at assumed pressure 8 kbar.	168:OO
Table 8.2c Result of internally consistent geothermobarometry of (mafic granulite) orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene, plagioclase and amphibole as end-member with THERMOCALC v-3.47 [102] for sample KK-2.	168:PP
Table 8.3a Temperature estimates of pelitic granulites from conventional geothermometry.	168:QQ
Table 8.3b Pressure estimates of pelitic granulites from conventional geobarometry.	168:QQ
Table 8.3c Result of internally consistent geothermobarometry of garnet, cordierite, biotite and plagioclase end-member with THERMOCALC v-3.33 (Holland and Powell, 1998) for sample D-4.	168:RR

List of Abbreviations

AX	Activity-Composition
BMB	Bihar Mica Belt
BSE	Back Scattered image
CGGC	Chhotanagpur Granite Gneiss Complex
CHIME	CHemical Isochron MEthod
CIS	Central Indian Shear Zone
CITZ	Central India Tectonic zone
DOB	Dalma Ophiolite Belt
EDS	Energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometry
EPMA	Electron microprobe analysis
HFSE	High field strength element
HREE	Heavy rare earth elements
IBC	Isobaric Cooling
ICP-MS	Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry
ITD	Isothermal Decompression
LA-ICP-MS	Laser Ablation Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry
LILE	large-ion lithophile element
LREE	Light rare earth element
MMB	Mahakoshal Mobile Belt
NIB	Northern Indian Block
NSMB	North Singhbhum Mobile Belt
P-T	Pressure and temperature
P-T-t	Pressure-Temperature-Time
REE	Rare earth element
SAED	Selected area electron diffraction
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
SIB	Southern India Block
SMB	Sausar Mobile Belt
SNNF	Son Narmada North Fault
TEM	Transmission Electron Microscopy
UHT	Ultra high-temperature
XRF	X-ray fluorescence

List of Mineral Abbreviations

Ab	Albite
Alm	Almandine
Amp	Amphibole
Ann	Annite
An	Anorthite
Ap	Apatite
Aug	Augite
Bt	Biotite
Chl	Chlorite
Cpx	Clinopyroxene
Crd	Cordierite
Di	Diopside
Ged	Gedrite
Grs	Grossular
Grt	Garnet
Gr	Graphite
Hbl	Hornblende
Ilm	Ilmenite
Kfs	K-feldspar
Ky	Kyanite
Liq	Liquid
Mag	Magnetite
Mc	Microcline
Mnz	Monazite
Opx	Orthopyroxene
Plg	Plagioclase
Prp	Pyrope
Qz	Quartz
Sill	Sillimanite
Sps	Spessartine
Ts	Tschermakite
Zrn	Zircon

Preface

The east-west trending Central India Tectonic Zone (CITZ) is located in the middle of peninsular India, which is about 1500 km long and is considered as Proterozoic mobile belt. The CITZ is the suture zone of the Southern Indian Block (SIB) and the Northern Indian Block (NIB), which develops the Great Indian landmass during the Proterozoic period. The CITZ has three parts from west to east; the central mainland region is located among the Mahakoshal Mobile Belt (MMB) in the north and the Sausar Mobile Belt (SMB) in the south, the Chhotanagpur Granite Gneiss Complex (CGGC) situated at the east of the central region and the eastern most part is Shillong-Meghalaya Gneissic Complex (SMGC). The Monghyr-Saharsa Ridge and the South Purulia Shear Zone are bounded at the northern and southern periphery of the CGGC, respectively.

The Daltonganj area falls under the western edge of the CGGC, which covers a vast area (100,000 sq km) of eastern India. The CGGC is situated among the two mobile belts, the North Singhbhum mobile belt in the southern part and the MMB and Vindhyan sediments in the northwestern part of the CGGC. However, the western part is surrounded by the Gondwana fluvial deposits. The Ganges alluvial covers the northern region, and the Rajmahal basalt bounds the northeastern part. The CGGC consists mainly of high-grade metamorphic terrain, with rocks ranging from amphibolite to granulite grade. The CGGC consists of mafic granulites, pelitic granulites, high-grade gneiss, khondalite, migmatites, leptynite, migmatites and meta-igneous rocks, which are intruded by a variety of mafic to ultramafic rocks like; tholeiitic basalts (Rajmahal Traps), gabbro-anorthosite, dolerite, syenite, along with felsic rocks granite, rapakivi granite, pegmatite, and aplite during the various geological time.

The study area around Daltonganj lies in the NW extremity of the CGGC within the Daltonganj (Palamau)-Hazaribagh-Dumka belt. The investigated area falls between latitude 23°54'50''N to 23°58'30''N and longitude 84°02'E to 84°06'30''E in the Survey of India Toposheet number 73A/1. The study area extends in the east up to Renukoot and in the NNW direction to Japala of Garhawa district. A thrust separates it to Vindhyan Supergroup, which lies in Sasaram. The study area is located 14 km away from Daltonganj in the southwestern part. The study area consists of mafic granulite, pelitic granulite, high-grade gneiss (garnet-cordierite-gedrite gneiss, garnet-cordierite-orthopyroxene gneiss), migmatitic granite gneiss, massive granite, khondalite (garnet-cordierite-sillimanite-graphite gneiss), amphibolite, dolomitic marble and dyke in the localities around Datam, Sokra, Dokra, Nawa, Mahawat-Muria, Kui, Rakh Pahar and Khatauni.

Textural associations of mineral phases, mineral composition and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analyses of the rock samples are suggested that the three stages of gedrite. The bundles, prismatic and fibrous are three different forms of gedrites, which have been observed under the TEM images. TEM nanophotographs and selected area electron diffraction patterns show the distribution of metallic element position at the different lattice site. TEM analyses revealed that some of the clinopyroxenes have exsolution texture, where orthopyroxene occurs as thin lamellae within the porphyroblast of clinopyroxene. The measured lattice parameters of Opx and Cpx were; $a = 18.4$, $b = 8.8$, $c = 5.3 \text{ \AA}$ and $a = 9.4$, $b = 8.9$, $c = 5.4 \text{ \AA}$ respectively determined by electron diffraction pattern.

Electron microprobe analyses (EPMA) of minerals from the different mineral assemblages are used to observe the characteristics of mineral phases. The X_{Mg} of garnet in the different rocks show the following trends: high-grade gneisses (0.21–0.30)

> mafic granulites (0.24–25) > pelitic granulites (0.17–0.23). The higher content of TiO₂ in biotite from mafic granulites (More than 4 wt%) is similar to other granulite facies terrains. Cordierite has a variable range of X_{Mg}, ranging from 0.604 to 0.795; higher values correspond to the high-grade gneiss, whereas in pelitic granulites included cordierite within garnet shows 0.78 and matrix cordierite shows 0.68. The X_{Mg} ratio of hornblende ranges from 0.38 to 0.52, and Al^{IV} and Al^{VI} content varies from 1.453 to 1.875 and 0.0 to 0.478 p.f.u. respectively. Although in gedrite, the X_{Mg} varies from 0.46 to 0.53 and it contains a significant amount of Na₂O, up to 1.95 wt%. In mafic granulites, orthopyroxene lies close to hypersthene and coexisting clinopyroxene plots within the diopside and augite field. The X_{Ca} = (Ca/Ca+Na+K) ratio of plagioclase from mafic granulites range from 0.52 to 0.93.

The high-grade gneisses are classified on the basis of total alkali versus silica (TAS) plot; in this classification scheme, all of the garnet-cordierite-amphibole gneisses lie in the basalt field, and the remaining two garnet-orthopyroxene-amphibole gneisses fall in the basaltic andesite field. However, mafic granulite samples show basaltic nature, and pelitic granulites display diorite and monzonite, whereas few samples are gabbroic in nature. High-grade gneiss shows excellent availability of the compatible element (Cr, Ni, Co, V) and low TiO₂ suggests a mafic source rock or may be more possibility of mantle origin. The substantial depletion of K and Na is most pronounced if their protoliths are considered the mafic metavolcanic. Negative Nb and Sr anomalies suggest the involvement of subduction orogeny. Y–La–Nb triangular plot and Yb–Th discrimination diagram indicate the calc-alkaline basaltic nature of these gneisses developed at the island arc domain during subduction-related processes. The enhanced abundance of LREE and LILE (Th, Ta, U, Pb) is better interpreted due to enrichment by fluid-related metasomatism. High-grade gneiss shows calc-alkaline rich mafic fluids

intruded in the pre-existing rocks and then emit its mafic components (Fe, Mg). In the process, the modal availability of the felsic component of pre-existing rocks decreases, and it signifies a 'restitic' origin of the studied gneisses. The mafic granulite shows high elemental concentrations of Mg, V, Cr, and Co suggest that they be derived from primary magmatic sources. The amount of HFSE (Y, U, Pb, Hf, Nb, Ta) is small, indicating that the rock is derived from the mafic source. Nb has negative anomalies that showed crustal contamination. The Zr vs Nb/Zr diagram confined that protolith of pelitic granulite encountered a subduction-related tectonic setting. The Y vs Nb and Rb vs (Y+Nb) tectonic discrimination diagram reveals that the protolith has an affinity towards the within plate granite (WPG). After establishing the relationship between the $(Y/Nb)_N$ vs $(Th/Nb)_N$ diagram, it is used to establish the discrimination between oceanic islands, continental crust and convergent margin rocks, and all samples are located in the convergent margin rocks field. In pelitic granulite, some sedimentary features have also been observed as overall enrichment of $\sum REE$ may be due to immobile REE accumulation during the sedimentation; also, low content of Sr attribute to the leaching effect. Sr is depleted because they are highly mobile and are easily transported during sediment dehydration. Pelitic granulites have a high Rb and Ba content, as the feldspar is a significant host of Rb and Ba in terrigenous sedimentary rocks.

The P - T conditions of high-grade gneiss have been calculated by various conventional geothermobarometry. The garnet-orthopyroxene geothermometry suggests temperature lies between 735 and 809°C, whereas garnet-cordierite and garnet-biotite exchange geothermometers reveal temperature ranges from 610 to 654°C and 522 to 581°C, respectively. However, garnet-cordierite-sillimanite-quartz geobarometer shows pressure lies between 6.9-7.43 kbar. Pseudosection modelling of high-grade gneiss in the NCKFMASH system using the software Perple_X shows the The pre-peak

metamorphic stage was recorded between the range of 5.78–6.15 kbar and 600–622°C, and the first stage Grt₁ developed under pressure conditions of 6.70 kbar. The *P-T* condition of the peak metamorphism is at 8.65–9.42 kbar and 772–788°C. For the post-peak metamorphic stage, the mineral assemblage Grt₃-Amp₃-Crd-Bt-melt-Plg-Qz remains as orthopyroxene-free phase. This post-peak stage is confined at 5.71–6.18 kbar and 745–762°C, which is constrained by the isopleth lines of garnet (X_{Mg}) and cordierite (X_{Mg}). Various methods to constrain the *P-T* conditions of mafic granulites have been used, such as conventional and multi-equilibrium thermobarometry as well as forward thermodynamic modelling. Results from multi-equilibrium thermobarometry, using the software THERMOCALC, suggest that the mafic granulite's peak conditions at average pressure-temperature (PT_{av}) conditions of 6.7±1.19 kbar/ 814±60°C. In contrast, exsolution bearing opx-cpx minerals crystallised at a relatively lower temperature (772±14°C), determined by the conventional geothermometers. The peak to retrograde evolution of these mafic granulites is constrained through phase equilibrium modelling in the NCKFMASHTO model system. Phase equilibria results of peak conditions (i.e. 6.0-6.78 kbar and 775-808°C) are consistent for those obtained through multi-equilibrium and conventional thermobarometry, while the retrograde path is defined down to ~4.5 kbar and ~540°C. The same model system as mafic granulite is chosen for the pelitic granulites. The pseudosection is characterized by large high variance ($F = 3-6$) garnet-bearing fields. Garnet-biotite geothermometer is used to calculate temperature condition and the estimated temperature varies from 716 to 806°C, and garnet-biotite-plagioclase-quartz geobarometer inferred that the pressure lies at 8.47. However, garnet-cordierite geothermometer provides the temperature condition ranges from 661 to 717°C, whereas garnet-cordierite-sillimanite-quartz geobarometer was used to estimate the pressure and it ranges from 5.35 to 6.24 kbar.

The P - T condition of pre-peak metamorphism is found at ~ 3.2 kbar and $\sim 620^\circ\text{C}$, and the P - T condition of this stage is derived by the X_{Mg} isopleth contour lines of garnet and cordierite which are similar to the analyzed microprobe data. The P - T stability field for the peak assemblage (grt + bt + plg + sill + kfs + melt + ilm + qz) ranges from 7.40 to 9.10 kbar and 815 to 835°C . Tetravariant fields dominate the pseudosection. The textural interpretation reveals that the retrograde metamorphic assemblage in P - T pseudosection contains grt + crd + bt + plg + kfs + melt + ilm + qz + mag, which are stable at pressure ~ 4.0 kbar and temperature $\sim 790^\circ\text{C}$. Our results have two fold implications: (i) they show how the integrating of different geothermobarometric methods is the best proxy to constrain the evolution of high-grade metamorphic rocks, and (ii) they pavement to new constraints on the Paleoproterozoic to Neoproterozoic evolution of the CGGC.

The CGGC consists of a wide variety of rocks and is exhibiting four stages of metamorphism (M_1 to M_4) and deformation that recorded in the: the M_1 occurred at about 1.87–1.66 Ga, the M_2 is considered to happen between 1.55–1.45 Ga, the M_3 varies from 1.2–0.93 Ga, and the last M_4 event lies between 0.87–0.78 Ga. The first metamorphic event has been recorded from the pelitic granulites at ~ 1680 – 1580 Ma; previously, their protolith must have derived from the different sources which contain the variable age domains ~ 2400 Ma, ~ 2000 Ma ~ 1800 – 1700 Ma. The geochronological age of detrital zircon demarcates the protolith of pelitic granulites and their origin source. It has inferred that the NW CGGC area's pelitic granulite underwent a progressive phase of tectonothermal processes where initially occurrence of crustal thickening (M_1) followed by quick exhumation of the crustal lithosphere (M_2), these both processes indicate that collision or subduction-related tectonic processes. Mafic granulites show all the age data gathered near the 1600 Ma, with two ages lying over

the Concordia line. However, the weighted average age plot shows 1629 ± 6 Ma (MSWD=1.4), validly constraining the magmatic emplacement event. The EPMA analysis of high-grade gneisses produced three age population at 1424 ± 64 Ma, 972 ± 28 Ma and 855 ± 31 Ma with 95% confidence, it indicates that these ages are in the vicinity of the Mesoproterozoic and Neoproterozoic ages.

It has been observed the Earth's evolutionary history must have been a cycle of multiple stages for the accretion and breakup of a supercontinent. The Columbia supercontinent's amalgamation took place between ~ 2000 Ma to ~ 1800 Ma, and further breakdown process began after ~ 1500 Ma. The Indian peninsular shield has preserved a strong signature of the Columbia assembly. The formation of Rodinia supercontinent was started from the Grenvillian orogeny (~ 1100 – 900 Ma). The CGGC preserves the age of 1100 – 900 Ma, showing evidence of a Grenvillian orogeny, and suggesting that the Grenvillian orogeny suture was possessed by the CGGC of India.