

Contents

Front Page	i
Acknowledgements	v
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Theoretical modelling of polymer	8
1.1.1 Continuum model	8
1.1.2 Lattice model	11
1.1.3 Self-avoiding Walk	12
1.2 Modelling of DNA	15
1.2.1 Poland-Scheraga Model	15
1.2.2 Peyrard and Bishop Model	15
1.2.3 Lattice model of DNA	16
1.3 Methods: Theory and Simulations	17
1.3.1 Exact Enumeration technique	17
1.3.2 Monte-Carlo simulation	17
1.3.3 Metropolis technique	20
1.3.4 Wang-Landau technique	22
2 Effect of solvent gradient inside the entropic trap on polymer migration	25
2.1 Introduction	25
2.2 Model and Method	28
2.3 Free energy landscape	33
2.4 Configurational properties of polymer during migration . .	38
2.5 Summary	42
3 Forced induced melting of DNA in presence of an attractive	

surface	45
3.1 Introduction	45
3.2 Model and method	47
3.3 Constant temperature	49
3.4 Constant force	52
3.5 Summary	55
4 Statistical mechanics of DNA melting in confined geometry	57
4.1 Model and Method	60
4.2 Thermal melting profile of DNA attached at the edge of pore	63
4.3 Melting profile and free-energy landscape of DNA	68
4.4 Summary	73
5 Effect of solvent gradient on DNA confined in a strip	75
5.1 Model and method	79
5.2 Distribution of nucleotide and base-pair across the strip . .	85
5.3 Effect of sequence on the melting profile	87
5.4 Summary	89
6 Conclusions	91
References	95

Figures

1.1	Schematic representation: Polymer classification based on functionality.	1
1.2	Schematic representation: Polymer classification based on different kind of monomer	2
1.3	Examples of monomers of synthetic polymer	2
1.4	Various stages of protein folding. Image is taken from https://courses.lumenlearning.com/microbiology/chapter/proteins/	3
1.5	Schematic representation of dsDNA structure. Image taken from https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/IN/en/technical-documents/protocol/genomics/sequencing/sanger-sequencing	4
1.6	Probability distribution $P(\vec{R}, N)$ for FJC model of polymer.	9
1.7	Worm-like chain model	10
1.8	Schematic representation of the (a) Random walk (RW), (b) Fully Directed walk (FDW), (c) Partially Directed Walk (PDW), and (d) Self-avoiding Walk (SAW).	12
1.9	Schematic representation of different types of interactions in the solvent.	14
1.10	Schematic representations of lattice models of DNA: (a)-(d) represent the possible models for lattice representations of DNA. For model A, (a) and (b) are two possible states with (c) representing a possible ground state. For model B, (a) represents the ground state and (d) represents a partial bound state. Here, (d) differs from (b) in the nature of interactions represented by the dotted lines. In model B, (c) has no valid interaction and would represent an open state.	16

1.11 Schematic representation of various moves: a) end-point rotation b) kink c) crankshaft moves. These are called local moves. Figure (d) represents pivot move (global move) where the rotation of polymer can be done after i^{th} monomer.	18
1.12 Schematic representation of global pull moves. This figure is taken from the ref. [49]	19
1.13 Flowchart of Wang-Landau algorithm	24
2.1 Schematic representations of polymer chain migration through an in-homogeneous channel. Channel has three different regions (I, II, and III) of a certain length ($l_1 = 14, l_2 = 10$ lattice units) width ($h = 12$ lattice units) and different solvent quality (good, poor, and solvent): (a) Model A: Channel without entropic trap and solvent interaction gradient (in region II) is along the x -direction; (b) Model B: Channel with entropic trap of depth $d = 10$ lattice units and solvent interaction gradient (in region II) is along the x -direction. The red dashed line shows the non-bonded nearest neighbor pairs along x and y -direction and corresponding Boltzmann weights are represented by τ_x and τ_y ; (c) Model C is same as model B, but the solvent interaction gradient inside an entropic trap (region II) acts along the transverse direction (y -axis); (d) Model D is same as the model C, but the solvent interaction gradient has the reverse sign in compare to model C.	29
2.2 Variation of the free energy with anchoring coordinate (x) for different solvent interaction gradient ($\Delta\epsilon$): (a) for model A (b) for model B.	33
2.3 Variation of the migration time (τ_m) with $\Delta\epsilon$ for model A and model B. In the inset we show the region where migration time for the model B exceeds the model A.	35

2.4	The free energy landscape for different values of $\Delta\epsilon$: (a) for the model C; (b) for the model D. The arrows shown in Fig. (a) indicate the free energy barrier and free energy well.	36
2.5	(a) Variation of the migration time with $\Delta\epsilon$. (a) for the model C; (b) for the model D. The inset of Fig. (a) shows the minimum of migration time for model C; (b) Same as (a), but for the model D.	37
2.6	The average number of monomers inside the trap ($\langle N_T \rangle$) for different $\Delta\epsilon$: (a) for model C; (b) for model D.	39
2.7	(a) Variation of radius of gyration ($\langle R_g^2 \rangle$) with x for different $\Delta\epsilon$ for model C; (b) Variation of x -component of radius of gyration ($\langle R_{gx}^2 \rangle$) with x for different $\Delta\epsilon$ for model C; (c) Variation of y -component of radius of gyration ($\langle R_{gy}^2 \rangle$) with x for different $\Delta\epsilon$ for model C; (d-f) Same as Fig. (a,b,c), but for model D.	40
3.1	Schematic diagram showing the adsorption of different bases of DNA into gold-nanoparticle (Au-Np) surfaces. Image is taken from Ref.[90].	46
3.2	(a) Schematic diagrams of DNA adsorbed on attractive surface. The strand near the surface is called strand-I (first strand). A force (g) is applied on the one end of other strand (strand-II) along the y direction. The surface adsorbed nucleotides are shown in red circles, while black circles represent the nucleotide of strand-II. y_1 and y_2 represent the distance of end nucleotides of strand-I and strand-II from the surface respectively. Figure (b) and (c) represent some of the conformations of DNA in presence of attractive surface under the applied force g .	48
3.3	Figures (a,b) show the variation of $\langle N_p \rangle$, $\langle N_s \rangle$, and $\langle N_{ps} \rangle$ with g at $T = 0.5$ for different ϵ_s . (c, d) same as (a,b), but for $\langle y_1 \rangle$ and $\langle y_2 \rangle$.	50

3.4	Same as Fig. 3.3, but at higher temperature $T = 1.0$	51
3.5	Figures (a-c) show the variation of $\langle N_p \rangle$, $\langle N_s \rangle$ and $\langle N_{ps} \rangle$ with T at different value of g at $\epsilon_s = -0.5$. Figure (d-f) are same as (a-c), but for $\langle y_1 \rangle$ and $\langle y_2 \rangle$	53
3.6	Same as Fig. 3.5 but for $\epsilon_s = -1.0$	55
4.1	Schematic representations of dsDNA translocating through a conical shape pore. Figure A), B), C) shows different stages of translocation. Figure D) represents the associate current distribution during translocation. Whenever the DNA is translocating through pore there is residual current distribution I_{res} which depicts the time of translocation. This figure is taken from ref [110]	58
4.2	Schematic representations of crystal structure of MSPA protein. The figure is taken from the ref [110]	59
4.3	Schematic representations of dsDNA attached at different sites across the pore: (i) the cone-shaped channel (a-c), and (ii) the flat channel (d-f). Starting end of the dsDNA is kept fixed and the other end is free to move anywhere except the wall (a and b). To calculate the free energy barrier, we fix the dsDNA chain at varying distances from the interface (say, x). Here, x can be positive, negative and zero (interface). ϵ_c and ϵ_o correspond to the non-native attraction between the complimentary bases of the dsDNA.	60
4.4	Schematic representations of dsDNA chain attached at the interface: (a) dsDNA is completely inside the cone, (b) Completely outside the cone, and (c) One strand is inside the cone, while the other is outside and <i>vice versa</i>	61

4.5	Variation of fluctuation in base-pairs with temperature for the cases when DNA is attached at the edge of the pore: Open square represents when both strands are completely inside the cone, whereas solid square represents a case where both strands are completely outside the cone. Open circle stands for the case when both strands can be anywhere. For the sake of comparison, we have shown the melting profile for the case when there is no confinement by solid circles.	63
4.6	(a) Variation of $\ln(\frac{P_c}{P_o})$ with β for cone-shaped channel. The slope ΔF gives the free energy barrier; (b) Same as (a), but for flat case.	64
4.7	(a) The free energy barrier ΔF as a function of $\Delta\epsilon$. The linear dependence is apparent from the plot. (b) same as of (a) but for the flat interface pore. For a cone-shaped channel, it occurs at $\Delta\epsilon \neq 0$, where as for flat pore it occurs at $\Delta\epsilon = 0$. Arrow indicates the value at which the free-energy barrier vanishes.	66
4.8	Variation of free energy as a function of $\langle N_{po} \rangle$, whose one end is fixed at the edge of (a) the cone-shaped channel. (b) the flat channel. The free energy barrier occurs at maxima of $F(\langle N_{po} \rangle)$	67
4.9	Melting profile of DNA across the pore ($x = -12$ to $x = 12$, including zero) for different solvent qualities across the pore: (a) for the cone-shaped channel, and (b) for flat-shaped channel.	69
4.10	Variation of $\langle N_{po} \rangle$ and $\langle N_{pc} \rangle$ with temperature (T) for three sets of interactions and different starting positions of DNA ($x = -4, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2$).	70
4.11	shows the variation of $\langle m_o \rangle$ and $\langle m_c \rangle$ with temperature (T) for three sets of interactions and different starting positions of DNA ($x = -4, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2$).	71

- 4.12 Figures (a-c) show the free energy profiles of a DNA chain, whose starting points have been varied systematically from $x = -12$ to 12 for three sets of solvent interactions. (a) If the starting point of chain is far away from the edge, for a given set of interaction, the free energy remains the same for the *cis* and *trans*-side, whereas near the pore it is higher. A rough estimate of the free-energy barrier may be estimated from these plots. This figure also shows the effect of confinement on the free energy arising due to cone-shaped channel and flat channel.(b) For this set of interaction, the difference of free energy between cone-shaped and flat channel vanishes, if the polymer is in the *trans*-side, however, the barrier height increases. (c) Same as Fig. b, but in this case, barrier height increases further. Triangle and circle correspond to the cone-shaped channel and flat-shaped channel, respectively. 72
- 5.1 Deep sea hydrothermal vent ejecting mineral-rich chimneys. Image credit: Oregon State University / CC BY-SA 2.0. 76
- 5.2 a) Trapping and accumulation of DNA by convection and thermophoresis. Thermophoresis drive the molecule to the right. DNA gets accumulated in the bottom of the right b) DNA convection cycle is shown. In each cycle DNA denatures by short primer and replicates by DNA polymerase. This is taken from ref [139] 77
- 5.3 Schematic representations of end grafted polymers in capillary valve: (a) Low temperature (or poor solvent condition); (b) high temperature (or good solvent condition).This is taken from ref [148] 78

5.4	Schematic representation of MASAW (two mutually self-attracting self avoiding walk) dsDNA chain on a square lattice in a confined system (strip of length L) kept at different temperatures (T_H and T_L). We mimic the thermal gradient in terms of the solvent gradient by assigning different base-pairing interactions (ϵ_L and ϵ_H) corresponding to lower and upper walls respectively. The Boltzmann weight for the base-pairing interactions (τ_x and τ_y) are depicted by dotted lines. For the homogeneous medium τ_x and τ_y are same, but for a system having solvent gradient, τ is a function of y ($\tau_x \neq \tau_y$).	79
5.5	shows the variation of average number of monomers (nucleotides) ($\langle n_m \rangle$) scaled by its length as a function of solvent gradient ($\beta\Delta\epsilon$) using (a) exact enumeration method (short chain); (b) Using Monte Carlo method (long chain). For both the cases, nucleotides move along the interaction gradient (thermal gradient) and prefer to stay near the upper layers (7th and 8th layers) at higher interaction gradient (cold temperature) to minimize the free energy. . . .	82
5.6	Distribution of an average number of monomers (nucleotides) as a function of layer number and solvent gradient ($\beta\Delta\epsilon$) using (a) exact enumeration method, and (b) Monte Carlo simulation. The colour corresponds to the density.	84
5.7	show the average number of base-pairing ($\langle N_p \rangle$) scaled by length of the chain (N) as a function of solvent gradient ($\beta\Delta\epsilon$) using (a) exact enumeration method (short chain); (b) Monte Carlo method (long chain). It is evident from the plots that as we increase the solvent gradient, zipping (increase in number of base-pairing) takes place towards the upper surface.	85

-
- 5.8 Schematic representations of three different sequences of DNA: (a) a homo-sequence of AT, (b) a di-block of DNA which contains 50 % AT and 50 % GC, and (c) a homo-sequence of GC. 86
- 5.9 Variation of average end-to-end distance ($\langle R \rangle$) as a function of solvent gradient ($\beta\Delta\epsilon$) for three sequences using (a) exact enumeration (short chain) and (b) Monte Carlo method (long chain). Both short and long chain results show that GC rich DNA chain denatures at higher temperature than that of AT rich DNA. 88
- 5.10 Phase diagram of DNA melting under solvent gradient for different sequences: (a) exact enumeration (EE), and (b) Monte Carlo (MC) method. There is an excellent agreement between exact enumeration and Monte Carlo results. It shows a transition from DNA unzipping to zipping. . . . 89

Tables

1.1	Range of forces and corresponding displacements for various experimental probes.	5
-----	--	---

