

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **Chapter 1: Introduction and literature review**

1.1	Introduction .....	1
1.2	Composite:.....	3
1.3	Polymer Nanohybrids .....	4
1.4	Introduction to PET .....	6
1.4.1	Applications and demand .....	6
1.4.2	Preparation and chemistry .....	10
1.5	Nanofiller .....	16
1.5.1	Zero-dimensional (0D) nanofillers (particulates).....	16
1.5.2	One dimensional (1D) nanofillers .....	17
1.5.3	Two dimensional (2D) nanofillers (platelets) .....	17
1.5.4	Three dimensional (3D) nanofillers .....	17
1.6	Structure and properties of layered silicate: .....	18
1.7	Structure of polymer-clay nanohybrids .....	22
1.7.1	Phase separated (tactoid formation) .....	23
1.7.2	Intercalated. ....	23
1.7.3	Exfoliated .....	23
1.8	Polymer nanoclay hybrid preparation techniques.....	24
1.8.1	Solution casting .....	24
1.8.2	In-situ intercalative polymerization.....	25
1.8.3	Melt intercalation .....	25
1.9	Problem of e-waste .....	27
1.10	Structure and properties of ABS .....	28

1.11 Polyethylene (PE) .....	32
1.12 Low density polyethylene (LDPE) polymer .....	33
1.13 Polymer blends.....	34
1.14 Reactive extrusion.....	36
1.15 Benefits of polymer blending.....	38
1.16 Literature review .....	39
1.16.1 Past studies on PET/clay nanohybrids.....	39
1.16.2 Past studies on polymer blends utilizing ABS .....	51
1.17 Objectives of this work: .....	59
<b>Chapter 2: Experimental Section</b>	
2.1 Materials .....	61
2.1.1 Materials for nanohybrids.....	61
2.1.2 Materials for polymer blends.....	61
2.2 Nanohybrid preparation by solvent casting .....	61
2.3 Blend preparation by reactive extrusion .....	62
2.4 Injection molding .....	63
2.5 Compression molding .....	63
2.6 Transmission electron microscope (TEM).....	63
2.7 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) .....	64
2.8 X-ray diffraction (XRD) .....	65
2.9 Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) .....	65
2.10 UV-visible spectroscopy.....	66
2.11 Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR).....	66
2.12 Atomic force microscopy (AFM) .....	67
2.13 Polarized optical microscopy (POM).....	67

2.14 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA).....	67
2.15 Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC).....	68
2.16 Mechanical properties.....	68
2.17 Vicker Hardness Test.....	69
2.18 Dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA).....	70
2.19 Two dimensional small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) .....	70
2.20 Gas barrier measurements.....	71
2.21 Heat distortion temperature (HDT).....	72
 <b>Chapter 3: Structural, mechanical and gas barrier properties of poly(ethylene terephthalate) nanohybrid using nanotalc</b>	
3.1. Introduction.....	73
3.2 Experimental.....	74
3.2.1 Materials .....	74
3.3 Results and discussion .....	74
3.3.1 Dispersion and interactions .....	74
3.3.2 Thermal properties and stability.....	78
3.3.3 Mechanical responses.....	79
3.3.4 Modulus prediction by micro mechanical models: .....	81
3.3.5 Microhardness of the nanohybrids .....	84
3.3.6 Theoretical modeling of hardness .....	86
3.3.7 Temperature dependent viscoelastic properties .....	88
3.3.8 Effect of stretching on structure .....	90
3.3.8.1 2D-Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS):.....	90
3.3.8.2 Wide-Angle X-ray diffraction (WAXD).....	93
3.3.9 Effect of nanotalc on gas barrier .....	95

3.3.10 Theoretical modeling of gas permeability .....	97
3.4 Conclusions .....	102
<b>Chapter 4: Effect of addition of NK75 nanoclay on properties of PET/clay nanohybrid</b>	
4.1 Introduction .....	104
4.2 Experimental .....	106
4.3 Results and discussion .....	106
4.3.1 Dispersion and interactions .....	106
4.3.2 Thermal properties and stability .....	110
4.3.3 Mechanical responses and predictions .....	111
4.3.4 Microhardness of the nanohybrids and predictions .....	113
4.3.5 Temperature dependent viscoelastic properties .....	114
4.3.6 Effect of stretching on structure .....	116
4.3.7 Effect of nanoclay on gas barrier .....	119
4.3.8 Theoretical modeling of gas permeability .....	120
4.4 Conclusion .....	121
<b>Chapter 5: Enhancement in properties of PET/clay nanohybrids using 30B nanoclay</b>	
5.1 Introduction .....	123
5.2 Experimental .....	125
5.3 Results and discussion .....	125
5.3.1 Dispersion and interactions .....	125
5.3.2 Thermal properties and stability .....	127
5.3.3 Mechanical responses and predictions .....	129
5.3.4 Microhardness of nanohybrids .....	132
5.3.5 Analysis of stress distribution .....	134
5.3.6 Effect of stretching on structure .....	138

5.4 Conclusion .....	141
<b>Chapter 6: Utilization of E-waste by single-step reactive extrusion</b>	
6.1 Introduction.....	144
6.2 Experimental .....	146
6.2.1 Materials.....	146
6.2.2 Preparation of materials.....	146
6.2.3 Sample preparation.....	146
6.2.4 Optimization of blends .....	146
6.2.4.1 MA concentration dependence .....	146
6.2.4.2 Composition dependence .....	147
6.2.4.3 Temperature dependence.....	148
6.2.5 Gel content by solvent extraction.....	150
6.3 Results and discussion .....	151
6.3.1 Phase morphology .....	151
6.3.2 Gel content through solvent extraction .....	154
6.3.3 Proof of crosslinking and determination of its site.....	157
6.3.4 Thermal properties .....	159
6.3.5 Mechanical properties .....	162
6.3.6 Dynamic Mechanical Analysis (DMA).....	167
6.3.7 Heat distortion temperature (HDT) .....	169
6.4 Conclusion: .....	170
<b>Chapter 7 Conclusions and Scope for future works</b>	
7.1 Conclusions.....	172
7.1 Scope for future work: .....	175
<b>References</b> .....	176

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure 1.1:</b> PET applications in different sectors. ....	7
<b>Figure 1.2:</b> Global PET production capacity in different regions (Total production 30.3 million tons in the year 2017) .....	8
<b>Figure 1.3:</b> Global PET consumption in packaging sector in different forms of packaging applications (Total consumption 23.5 million tons in the year 2016) .....	8
<b>Figure 1.4:</b> Demand forecast of PET consumption market size in different application sectors in U.S. (values shown are in USD million) between the years 2014 to 2024. The packaging sector was leading segment in the year 2014 and was forecasted to grow with an estimated compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 7% from year 2016 to 2024. ....	9
<b>Figure 1.5:</b> Chemical structure of PET .....	10
<b>Figure 1.6:</b> Reaction mechanism of EG production .....	11
<b>Figure 1.7:</b> Reaction mechanism of the production of DMT using para-xylene. ....	12
<b>Figure 1.8:</b> (a) Esterification and (b) transesterification reaction mechanisms for PET polymerization. ....	12
<b>Figure 1.9:</b> Polycondensation reaction mechanism. ....	14
<b>Figure 1.10:</b> Reaction of vinyl ester and hydroxyl end groups.....	14
<b>Figure 1.11:</b> Esterification reaction. ....	14
<b>Figure 1.12:</b> Structure of layered silicate.....	19
<b>Figure 1.13:</b> Development of polymer clay nanohybrid (a) tactoid formation results phase separated structure; (b) intercalated structure; (c) exfoliated structure. ....	24
<b>Figure 1.14:</b> Elements of ABS.....	29
<b>Figure 1.15:</b> Structures of monomer units of ABS .....	30
<b>Figure 1.16:</b> polymer chain branching in various kinds of PE .....	33
<b>Figure 2.1:</b> Solvent casting route for the nanohybrid preparation. ....	62

<b>Figure 3.1:</b> (a) Dispersion of nanotalc in PET matrix using bright field TEM image; (b) SEM images showing surface morphology of PET and P–T nanohybrid containing 4 wt % of filler .....	75
<b>Figure 3.2:</b> (a) XRD patterns of PET and its nanohybrids with different weight concentrations of filler. The numbers after P–T indicate the nanotalc content (wt %) in the hybrid; (b) XRD pattern of pure nanotalc clay; (c) UV–vis absorption spectra of PET and its nanohybrid for 4 wt % filler; and (d) FTIR spectra of pristine PET and nanohybrid containing 4 wt % filler. ....	77
<b>Figure 3.3:</b> (a) TGA thermograms of PET and its nanohybrids showing thermal stability of nanohybrid of 4 wt % filler concentration, inset image shows the glass-transition temperatures of pure PET and its nanohybrid using DTA thermogram; and (b) DSC thermograms of pristine PET and its nanohybrid of 4 wt % filler concentration. ....	78
<b>Figure 3.4:</b> (a) Stress–strain curves of PET and its nanohybrid containing 4 wt % of filler concentration; (b) Improvement in modulus values in P–T nanohybrids for different amount of filler loading comparing pure PET. The number after P–T indicates the nanotalc content (wt %) in the hybrid; (c) variation in toughness values as a function of filler concentrations; and (d) predictions of modulus values using different micromechanical models as indicated. ....	80
<b>Figure 3.5:</b> (a) impressions of indenter created through Vicker hardness test of pure PET and its nanohybrid containing 4 wt % of filler concentration; and (b) fitting of hardness values using different micromechanical models as indicated. ....	86
<b>Figure 3.6:</b> Effect of nanotalc inclusion as measured through dynamic mechanical analyzer on (a) storage modulus; (b) loss modulus and (c) $\tan \delta$ of PET and its nanohybrids. ....	89
<b>Figure 3.7:</b> Structural advancement in PET and its nanohybrids containing 4 wt % of filler concentration; (a) 2D SAXS images of before and after stretching of the nanohybrid (the stretching is in vertical direction and the stretched samples are denoted with “-s” in addition to pre-existed abbreviation); (b) Lorentz corrected $I(q)q^2$ versus $q$ plots, inset image shows plots of $I(q)$ (scattering intensity) versus $q$ (scattering vector); (c) Debye–Bueche fitting of the low value of scattering intensity to get the correlation length values of unstretched and stretched PET and its nanohybrid; (d) Linear fitting of slope values of low $q$ values. ....	91
<b>Figure 3.8:</b> (a)WAXD patterns of the unstretched and stretched sample of PET and its nanohybrid; (b) WAXD pattern of pure talc nanoclay (c) schematic representation of the enhancement in short-range ordering due to adherence of nanotalc particles onto the polymer chains after stretching; and (d) TEM images of the stretched P–T (4 wt %) nanohybrid. ..	94

**Figure 3.9:** (a) Permeability of PET and its nanohybrids for different filler concentrations; (b) schematic representation of gas molecules path through pure PET and in nanohybrids containing nanoclay which cause the tortuous path for the gas molecules to pass through. 96

**Figure 3.10:** Predictions of permeability values using different fitting models which fit at different aspect ratios ( $\alpha$ ) ..... 100

**Figure 4.1:** Structure of organic modifier which is used for modification of NK75. HT denotes hydrogenated tallow, which contains ~65% C<sub>18</sub>, ~30% C<sub>16</sub>, ~5% C<sub>14</sub>. ..... 105

**Figure 4.2:** TEM micrograph of nanohybrid, (a) lower magnification (left image) and (b) high magnification image (right side image) shows the intercalated pattern for 4% clay content nanohybrid; SEM images of (c) PET and (d) P-NK; (e) Wide-angle XRD patterns of PET and nanohybrid, inset figure shows the XRD pattern of pure nanoclay; and (f) FTIR spectra of neat PET and P-NK containing 4% of NK75 nanoclay. .... 107

**Figure 4.3:** (a) Thermal stability of nanohybrid as compared to pure PET obtained through TGA thermograms. Inset figure shows DTA thermograms; and (b) DSC thermograms showing glass transition temperature of PET and its nanohybrids. .... 110

**Figure 4.4:** (a) Stress- strain curves of PET and its nanohybrid (4% nanoclay containing hybrid); (b) Variations of tensile modulus of nanohybrids as a function of nanoclay concentration; (c) Variations of toughness of nanohybrids as a function of nanoclay concentration; and (d) Fitting of elastic modulus – experimental and predicted. .... 112

**Figure 4.5:** (a) Images of impressions created by vicker hardness test; and (b) Experimental and predicted curves of Vicker hardness values. .... 114

**Figure 4.6:** Effect of nanoclay inclusion as measured through dynamic mechanical analyzer on (a) storage modulus; (b) loss modulus; and (c)  $\tan \delta$  of PET and its nanohybrids..... 115

**Figure 4.7:** (a) Small angle X-ray scattering images of indicated samples before and after stretching of PET and P-NK nanohybrid; (b) Lorentz corrected  $I(q)q^2$  vs  $q$  SAXS profiles of the unstretched and stretched samples; (c) Debye-Bueche fitting (solid lines represent fitting curve) with respective correlation length ( $a_c$ ) values; (d) Linear fit of scattering intensity versus scattering vector which shows the linear fitting curves of the intensity versus scattering vector; (e) Wide angle XRD patterns of the unstretched and stretched samples; (f) Wide angle XRD patterns of pure NK75 nanoclay; (g) Schematic representation of the effect of stretching showing enhanced short range molecular ordering in presence of nanoclay particle in the P-NK nanohybrid as compared with pure PET; and (h) TEM image of stretched P-NK nanohybrid containing 4% of nanoclay..... 118



<b>Figure 4.8:</b> (a) permeability reduction in P-NK nanohybrids; and (b) Experimental and predicted curves through different indicated models of pure PET and P-NK nanohybrid as a function of filler volume fraction at different values of aspect ratio ( $\alpha$ ). .....	120
<b>Figure 5.1:</b> Structure of organic modifier of Cloisite 30B (T is tallow having ~65% C <sub>18</sub> , ~30% C <sub>16</sub> , ~5% C <sub>14</sub> ) .....	123
<b>Figure 5.2:</b> (a) TEM images of the P-B nanohybrid at low (left) and high magnification (right), inset figure shows the intercalation; (b) SEM images of pure PET and P-B showing the surface morphology; (c) XRD spectra of pure PET and P-B at different nanoclay concentrations; and (d) FTIR spectra showing interactions in nanohybrid. ....	126
<b>Figure 5.3:</b> (a) TGA thermograms of pure PET and P-B nanohybrids, inset figure shows the glass transition temperature through DTA thermograms; (b) DSC thermograms showing glass transition temperature of pristine PET and P-B nanohybrid.....	128
<b>Figure 5.4:</b> (a) Stress-strain curves of nanohybrids at different indicated concentrations comparing pure PET; (b) Elastic modulus values of indicated nanohybrids at different filler concentrations as calculated from the stress-strain curve; (c) Toughness values of the nanohybrids at different filler concentration as calculated from the area under stress-strain curves; (d) Prediction of elastic modulus values using different micromechanical models; (e) Vicker hardness test imprints on the pure PET and P-B nanohybrid; and (f) Prediction of hardness values using different models as discussed in the main text.....	131
<b>Figure 5.5:</b> Stress distribution in pure PET matrix (values are indicative not exact); (a) Pure PET matrix under tensile loading in vertical direction; (b) Stress distribution at the corners of the matrix. ....	134
<b>Figure 5.6:</b> Stress distribution in matrix of PET nanohybrid containing randomly dispersed nanoparticles (values are indicative not exact) .....	135
<b>Figure 5.7:</b> Stress distribution in matrix of PET nanohybrid under tensile loading in vertical direction in presence of (a) Single nanoparticle parallel to the direction of applied load; (b) nanoparticle perpendicular to the direction of the applied load; (c) circular nanoparticle; and (d) square nanoparticle (subsequent images show stress distribution in matrix around nanoparticle and at the corner of the matrix as shown by dashed arrow).....	136
<b>Figure 5.8:</b> (a) SAXS images of unstretched and stretched samples of PET and P-B, (b) Intensity vs. wavevector plot extracted from the SAXS images; (c) Lorentz corrected profiles of the unstretched and stretched samples; (d) Debye Bueche model fitting for calculation of correlation lengths of unstretched and stretched samples; (e) Wide angle XRD plots of unstretched and stretched samples; (f) Wide angle XRD plots of pure Cloisite 30B clay; (g) High magnification TEM images of unstretched and stretched P-B nanohybrid; and (h)	

Schematic diagram of the structural advancement upon stretching in PET and P-B nanohybrids..... 140

**Figure 6.1:** POM images show effect of different MA concentrations of (a) 0%; (b) 0.75%; (c) 2%; (d) 5%; and (e) 10% on structures of blends containing 75% PE and 25% ABS. 147

**Figure 6.2:** POM images show the effect of varied concentration ratio of PE and ABS (shown as P/A), (a) and (b) has P/A as 75/25 without MA and with 5% MA respectively; (c) and (d) has P/A as 50/50 without MA and with 5% MA concentration respectively. .... 148

**Figure 6.3:** POM images show effect of different temperatures of processing on structure of blends containing 75% PE and 25% ABS; without and with 5% MA. (a) and (b) at 160 °C; (c) and (d) at 190 °C; (e) and (f) at 220 °C. .... 149

**Figure 6.4:** (a) POM images of physical mixture (P–M) and chemical mixture (C–M) of ABS and PE; (b) SEM images of P-M and C-M, yellow dotted circles in the SEM image of P-M shows the embedded ABS particle in the PE matrix and the indentation left by ABS particle, red dotted circles represent the plain fractured surface of PE having no or fewer cracks present; and (c) AFM images of P-M and C-M showing relative roughness of surfaces of blends..... 152

**Figure 6.5:** (a) Photographic images of samples before and after the indicated solvent extraction, below each image, the percentage weight remained after each solvent extraction is mentioned; (b) Optical microscopic images in reflectance mode of the samples before and after solvent extraction; and (c) SEM images of samples before and after solvent extraction. .... 156

**Figure 6.6:** Chemical bond formation due to reactive extrusion as determined through spectroscopic techniques, (a) FTIR spectra of indicated samples, vertical lines show the bands corresponding to chemical crosslinks; (b) solid state <sup>13</sup>C NMR; downward vertical line indicate the position of new peak and corresponding carbon site of crosslinking is presented on top left, inset figure on right shows the deconvolution of the peak showing prominent peak at 47.04 ppm, (for FTIR and NMR of C-M, solvent extracted parts of C-M were used); and (c) reaction scheme showing overlapping molecules in P-M while MA induced crosslinking is shown in C-M..... 158

**Figure 6.7:** (a) DSC thermograms of pure polymers and their indicated blends showing melting temperature; (b) indicative crosslink density (CD<sub>i</sub>) and melting temperature of chemical mixture/ blends as a function of MA content; and (c) TGA thermograms of pure polymers and their indicated blends, degradation temperatures (T<sub>d</sub>) correspond to 5% weight loss of samples. .... 160

**Figure 6.8:** Mechanical properties of pure polymers and their blends, (a) stress strain curves of PE, P-M and C-M, inset figure shows the stress strain curve of E-waste ABS; (b) modulus; (c) toughness; and (d) ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of indicated specimens. .... 162

**Figure 6.9:** Three point bending test results of PE, P-M and C-M (a) flexural stress-strain curves; (b) comparative flexural stress; and (c) flexural modulus showing relative increment in physical and chemical blending. .... 166

**Figure 6.10:** Dynamic mechanical analysis of PE, its indicated blends and ABS; variation of (a) storage modulus; (b) loss modulus; and (c)  $\tan\delta$  of indicated specimens as function of temperature. .... 168

**Figure 6.11:** Heat distortion temperature of PE, its indicated blends and ABS. .... 169

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 1.1:</b> Effects of nanoparticles on polymers.....	5
<b>Table 1.2:</b> Details of few of surface modified MMT clay (Southern Clay Products, Inc.)	21
<b>Table 3.1:</b> Vicker hardness values for PET and P-T nanohybrids.....	85
<b>Table 3.2:</b> Permeability values for different concentrations of nanotalc in PET nanohybrids .....	96
<b>Table 4.1:</b> Vicker hardness values for PET and P-NK nanohybrids.....	114
<b>Table 4.2:</b> Permeability values for different concentrations of nanoclay in PET nanohybrids .....	120
<b>Table 6.1:</b> Melting temperature ( $T_m$ ) and degradation temperature ( $T_d$ ) obtained through DSC and TGA studies.....	161
<b>Table 6.2:</b> Mechanical properties of pure polymers and their blends.....	163