



I would like to dedicate this thesis to my family who has supported and encouraged me throughout this endeavor: Thank you for your love and support throughout my entire life and helping me to realize who I am today

CERTIFICATE

It is certified that the work contained in the thesis titled “**Structural, Mechanical and Gas Barrier Properties of Poly(ethylene terephthalate) Nanohybrids and E-waste Utilization**” by “**Dipti Saxena**” has been carried out under my supervision and that this work has not been submitted elsewhere for a degree.

It is further certified that the student has fulfilled all the requirements of Comprehensive Examination, Candidacy, and SOTA for the award of Ph.D. Degree.



Prof. Pralay Maiti

(Supervisor)

School of Materials Science & Technology

Indian Institute of Technology (BHU)

Varanasi-221005, India

DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE

I, “**Dipti Saxena**”, certify that the work embodied in this thesis is my own bonafide work and carried out by me under the supervision of “**Prof. Pralay Maiti**” (Supervisor) from “July 2015 to June 2021” at the “**School of Materials Science & Technology**”, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi. The matter embodied in this thesis has not been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma. I declare that I have faithfully acknowledged and given credits to the research workers wherever their works have been cited in my work in the thesis. I further declare that I have not wilfully copied any other’s work, paragraph, text, data, results, *etc.*, reported in journals, books, magazines, reports, dissertations, thesis, *etc.* or available at websites and have not included them in this thesis and have not cited as my own work.

Date: 07.07.2021

Place: Varanasi



(Dipti Saxena)

CERTIFICATE BY THE SUPERVISOR

It is certified that the above statement made by the student is correct to the best of our knowledge



Prof. Pralay Maiti
(Supervisor)

Dr. Chandana Rath
(Coordinator)

COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE

Title of the Thesis: Structural, Mechanical and Gas Barrier Properties of Poly(ethylene terephthalate) Nanohybrids and E-waste Utilization

Name of the Student: Dipti Saxena

Copyright Transfer

The undersigned hereby assigns to the Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi all rights under copyright that may exist in and for the above thesis submitted for the award of the “*Degree of Philosophy*”.

Date: 07.07.2021

Place: Varanasi



(Dipti Saxena)

Note: However, the author may reproduce or authorize others to reproduce material extracted verbatim from the thesis or derivative of the thesis for the author’s personal use provided that the source and the Institute’s copyright notice are indicated.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

At this moment of retrospection, it gives me immense pleasure to acknowledge the individuals who have helped me, directly or indirectly, during the course of my work and my stay at IIT (BHU). I would therefore, take this opportunity to express my heartfelt gratitude to everyone who has been associated with me & whose collective efforts have enabled me to compile this work.

Firstly, I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. Pralay Maiti, for his trust, guidance, support and advice throughout my Ph.D. work. His constant involvement and interest in my work will always remain as a happy memory. I am grateful to him for providing me his guidance, expertise and wisdom to improve my research. He has always encouraged me to be a better researcher & to develop a holistic view of the subject. His patient and enthusiastic approach towards my training is ineffable and I will always remain thankful to him.

I would also take this opportunity to express my gratitude to my Research Program Evaluation Committee (RPEC) members, Prof. Nira Misra from School of biomedical Engineering and Prof. K. D. Mandal from Department of Chemistry, IIT (BHU).

I would like to acknowledge Dr. E. Bhoje Gowd, CSIR-NIIST, Kerala for Small Angle X-ray Scattering measurements; Prof. Vimal Katiyar, IIT Guwahati for gas barrier property measurements; Dr. Dipak Rana, Canada for TEM measurements.

During the time I have spent in the School of Materials Science and Technology, IIT (BHU), Varanasi, I have learned & experienced significantly. These teachings will not only help me in my research carrier but also in life. I feel proud to be a part of this school. I would like to thank all the respected faculty members of the school, Prof. Dhananjay Pandey, Prof. Rajiv Prakash, Dr. Chandana Rath, Dr. Akhilesh Kr. Singh, Dr. Chandan Upadhyay, Dr. Bholanath Pal, Dr. Ashish Kumar Mishra, Dr. Shrawan Mishra, Dr. Sanjay Singh and Dr. Nikhil Kumar for their valuable suggestions and encouragement during my research work.

Also, I wish to thank all the lab mates Dr. Karun K. Jana, Dr. Dinesh Kumar Patel, Dr. Sunil Kumar, Dr. Akhand Pratap Singh, Dr. Arun Kumar Mahanta, Dr. Sudipta Senapati, Dr. Arpan Biwas, Dr. Aparna Shukla, Dr. Anupama Gaur, Mr. Om Prakash, Mr. Ravi Prakash, Mr. Pravesh Kumar Yadav, Mr. Shivam Tiwari, Mr. Shyam Bihari, Mr. Swapan Maiti, Ms. Sudepta Bauri, Ms. Swikriti Tripathi and Ms. Pooja Singh for their cooperation and enjoyable company.

I would like to thank the Central Instrumental Facility Centre (CIFC), IIT (BHU), Varanasi, for providing various instrumental facilities.

It fills me with a deep sense of reverence when I think about my family. Their constant encouragement, moral support and cooperation at every step of my life can't be expressed in words. I am thankful for their love and blessings.

I am deeply indebted to my husband (Harshit Umre) for being my emotional anchor throughout this journey, and for always being there for me through thick and thins.

Finally, I bow with reverence and gratitude to the Almighty for enriching me with such an excellent opportunity and infusing the power in my mind to fulfill the work assigned to me, and making everything possible so that today I can stand proudly with my head held high.

Date: 07.07.2021

Place: Varanasi



(Dipti Saxena)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1: Introduction and literature review

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Composite:.....	3
1.3	Polymer Nanohybrids	4
1.4	Introduction to PET	6
1.4.1	Applications and demand	6
1.4.2	Preparation and chemistry	10
1.5	Nanofiller	16
1.5.1	Zero-dimensional (0D) nanofillers (particulates).....	16
1.5.2	One dimensional (1D) nanofillers	17
1.5.3	Two dimensional (2D) nanofillers (platelets)	17
1.5.4	Three dimensional (3D) nanofillers	17
1.6	Structure and properties of layered silicate:	18
1.7	Structure of polymer-clay nanohybrids	22
1.7.1	Phase separated (tactoid formation)	23
1.7.2	Intercalated.	23
1.7.3	Exfoliated	23
1.8	Polymer nanoclay hybrid preparation techniques.....	24
1.8.1	Solution casting	24
1.8.2	In-situ intercalative polymerization.....	25
1.8.3	Melt intercalation	25
1.9	Problem of e-waste	27
1.10	Structure and properties of ABS	28

1.11 Polyethylene (PE)	32
1.12 Low density polyethylene (LDPE) polymer	33
1.13 Polymer blends.....	34
1.14 Reactive extrusion.....	36
1.15 Benefits of polymer blending.....	38
1.16 Literature review	39
1.16.1 Past studies on PET/clay nanohybrids.....	39
1.16.2 Past studies on polymer blends utilizing ABS	51
1.17 Objectives of this work:	59
Chapter 2: Experimental Section	
2.1 Materials	61
2.1.1 Materials for nanohybrids.....	61
2.1.2 Materials for polymer blends.....	61
2.2 Nanohybrid preparation by solvent casting	61
2.3 Blend preparation by reactive extrusion	62
2.4 Injection molding	63
2.5 Compression molding	63
2.6 Transmission electron microscope (TEM).....	63
2.7 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	64
2.8 X-ray diffraction (XRD)	65
2.9 Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)	65
2.10 UV-visible spectroscopy.....	66
2.11 Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR).....	66
2.12 Atomic force microscopy (AFM)	67
2.13 Polarized optical microscopy (POM).....	67

2.14 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA).....	67
2.15 Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC).....	68
2.16 Mechanical properties.....	68
2.17 Vicker Hardness Test.....	69
2.18 Dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA).....	70
2.19 Two dimensional small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS)	70
2.20 Gas barrier measurements.....	71
2.21 Heat distortion temperature (HDT).....	72
 Chapter 3: Structural, mechanical and gas barrier properties of poly(ethylene terephthalate) nanohybrid using nanotalc	
3.1. Introduction.....	73
3.2 Experimental.....	74
3.2.1 Materials	74
3.3 Results and discussion	74
3.3.1 Dispersion and interactions	74
3.3.2 Thermal properties and stability.....	78
3.3.3 Mechanical responses.....	79
3.3.4 Modulus prediction by micro mechanical models:	81
3.3.5 Microhardness of the nanohybrids	84
3.3.6 Theoretical modeling of hardness	86
3.3.7 Temperature dependent viscoelastic properties	88
3.3.8 Effect of stretching on structure	90
3.3.8.1 2D-Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS):.....	90
3.3.8.2 Wide-Angle X-ray diffraction (WAXD).....	93
3.3.9 Effect of nanotalc on gas barrier	95

3.3.10 Theoretical modeling of gas permeability	97
3.4 Conclusions.....	102
Chapter 4: Effect of addition of NK75 nanoclay on properties of PET/clay nanohybrid	
4.1 Introduction.....	104
4.2 Experimental.....	106
4.3 Results and discussion	106
4.3.1 Dispersion and interactions	106
4.3.2 Thermal properties and stability.....	110
4.3.3 Mechanical responses and predictions	111
4.3.4 Microhardness of the nanohybrids and predictions.....	113
4.3.5 Temperature dependent viscoelastic properties.....	114
4.3.6 Effect of stretching on structure	116
4.3.7 Effect of nanoclay on gas barrier.....	119
4.3.8 Theoretical modeling of gas permeability	120
4.4 Conclusion	121
Chapter 5: Enhancement in properties of PET/clay nanohybrids using 30B nanoclay	
5.1 Introduction.....	123
5.2 Experimental.....	125
5.3 Results and discussion	125
5.3.1 Dispersion and interactions.....	125
5.3.2 Thermal properties and stability	127
5.3.3 Mechanical responses and predictions.....	129
5.3.4 Microhardness of nanohybrids.....	132
5.3.5 Analysis of stress distribution.....	134
5.3.6 Effect of stretching on structure.....	138

5.4 Conclusion	141
Chapter 6: Utilization of E-waste by single-step reactive extrusion	
6.1 Introduction.....	144
6.2 Experimental	146
6.2.1 Materials.....	146
6.2.2 Preparation of materials.....	146
6.2.3 Sample preparation.....	146
6.2.4 Optimization of blends	146
6.2.4.1 MA concentration dependence	146
6.2.4.2 Composition dependence	147
6.2.4.3 Temperature dependence.....	148
6.2.5 Gel content by solvent extraction.....	150
6.3 Results and discussion	151
6.3.1 Phase morphology	151
6.3.2 Gel content through solvent extraction	154
6.3.3 Proof of crosslinking and determination of its site.....	157
6.3.4 Thermal properties	159
6.3.5 Mechanical properties	162
6.3.6 Dynamic Mechanical Analysis (DMA).....	167
6.3.7 Heat distortion temperature (HDT)	169
6.4 Conclusion:	170
Chapter 7 Conclusions and Scope for future works	
7.1 Conclusions.....	172
7.1 Scope for future work:	175
References	176

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: PET applications in different sectors.	7
Figure 1.2: Global PET production capacity in different regions (Total production 30.3 million tons in the year 2017)	8
Figure 1.3: Global PET consumption in packaging sector in different forms of packaging applications (Total consumption 23.5 million tons in the year 2016)	8
Figure 1.4: Demand forecast of PET consumption market size in different application sectors in U.S. (values shown are in USD million) between the years 2014 to 2024. The packaging sector was leading segment in the year 2014 and was forecasted to grow with an estimated compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 7% from year 2016 to 2024.	9
Figure 1.5: Chemical structure of PET	10
Figure 1.6: Reaction mechanism of EG production	11
Figure 1.7: Reaction mechanism of the production of DMT using para-xylene.	12
Figure 1.8: (a) Esterification and (b) transesterification reaction mechanisms for PET polymerization.	12
Figure 1.9: Polycondensation reaction mechanism.	14
Figure 1.10: Reaction of vinyl ester and hydroxyl end groups.....	14
Figure 1.11: Esterification reaction.	14
Figure 1.12: Structure of layered silicate.....	19
Figure 1.13: Development of polymer clay nanohybrid (a) tactoid formation results phase separated structure; (b) intercalated structure; (c) exfoliated structure.	24
Figure 1.14: Elements of ABS.....	29
Figure 1.15: Structures of monomer units of ABS	30
Figure 1.16: polymer chain branching in various kinds of PE	33
Figure 2.1: Solvent casting route for the nanohybrid preparation.	62

Figure 3.1: (a) Dispersion of nanotalc in PET matrix using bright field TEM image; (b) SEM images showing surface morphology of PET and P-T nanohybrid containing 4 wt % of filler	75
Figure 3.2: (a) XRD patterns of PET and its nanohybrids with different weight concentrations of filler. The numbers after P-T indicate the nanotalc content (wt %) in the hybrid; (b) XRD pattern of pure nanotalc clay; (c) UV-vis absorption spectra of PET and its nanohybrid for 4 wt % filler; and (d) FTIR spectra of pristine PET and nanohybrid containing 4 wt % filler.	77
Figure 3.3: (a) TGA thermograms of PET and its nanohybrids showing thermal stability of nanohybrid of 4 wt % filler concentration, inset image shows the glass-transition temperatures of pure PET and its nanohybrid using DTA thermogram; and (b) DSC thermograms of pristine PET and its nanohybrid of 4 wt % filler concentration.	78
Figure 3.4: (a) Stress-strain curves of PET and its nanohybrid containing 4 wt % of filler concentration; (b) Improvement in modulus values in P-T nanohybrids for different amount of filler loading comparing pure PET. The number after P-T indicates the nanotalc content (wt %) in the hybrid; (c) variation in toughness values as a function of filler concentrations; and (d) predictions of modulus values using different micromechanical models as indicated.	80
Figure 3.5: (a) impressions of indenter created through Vicker hardness test of pure PET and its nanohybrid containing 4 wt % of filler concentration; and (b) fitting of hardness values using different micromechanical models as indicated.....	86
Figure 3.6: Effect of nanotalc inclusion as measured through dynamic mechanical analyzer on (a) storage modulus; (b) loss modulus and (c) $\tan \delta$ of PET and its nanohybrids.....	89
Figure 3.7: Structural advancement in PET and its nanohybrids containing 4 wt % of filler concentration; (a) 2D SAXS images of before and after stretching of the nanohybrid (the stretching is in vertical direction and the stretched samples are denoted with “-s” in addition to pre-existed abbreviation); (b) Lorentz corrected $I(q)q^2$ versus q plots, inset image shows plots of $I(q)$ (scattering intensity) versus q (scattering vector); (c) Debye-Bueche fitting of the low value of scattering intensity to get the correlation length values of unstretched and stretched PET and its nanohybrid; (d) Linear fitting of slope values of low q values.	91
Figure 3.8: (a)WAXD patterns of the unstretched and stretched sample of PET and its nanohybrid; (b) WAXD pattern of pure talc nanoclay (c) schematic representation of the enhancement in short-range ordering due to adherence of nanotalc particles onto the polymer chains after stretching; and (d) TEM images of the stretched P-T (4 wt %) nanohybrid. ..	94

Figure 3.9: (a) Permeability of PET and its nanohybrids for different filler concentrations; (b) schematic representation of gas molecules path through pure PET and in nanohybrids containing nanoclay which cause the tortuous path for the gas molecules to pass through. 96

Figure 3.10: Predictions of permeability values using different fitting models which fit at different aspect ratios (α) 100

Figure 4.1: Structure of organic modifier which is used for modification of NK75. HT denotes hydrogenated tallow, which contains ~65% C₁₈, ~30% C₁₆, ~5% C₁₄. 105

Figure 4.2: TEM micrograph of nanohybrid, (a) lower magnification (left image) and (b) high magnification image (right side image) shows the intercalated pattern for 4% clay content nanohybrid; SEM images of (c) PET and (d) P-NK; (e) Wide-angle XRD patterns of PET and nanohybrid, inset figure shows the XRD pattern of pure nanoclay; and (f) FTIR spectra of neat PET and P-NK containing 4% of NK75 nanoclay. 107

Figure 4.3: (a) Thermal stability of nanohybrid as compared to pure PET obtained through TGA thermograms. Inset figure shows DTA thermograms; and (b) DSC thermograms showing glass transition temperature of PET and its nanohybrids. 110

Figure 4.4: (a) Stress- strain curves of PET and its nanohybrid (4% nanoclay containing hybrid); (b) Variations of tensile modulus of nanohybrids as a function of nanoclay concentration; (c) Variations of toughness of nanohybrids as a function of nanoclay concentration; and (d) Fitting of elastic modulus – experimental and predicted. 112

Figure 4.5: (a) Images of impressions created by vicker hardness test; and (b) Experimental and predicted curves of Vicker hardness values. 114

Figure 4.6: Effect of nanoclay inclusion as measured through dynamic mechanical analyzer on (a) storage modulus; (b) loss modulus; and (c) $\tan \delta$ of PET and its nanohybrids. 115

Figure 4.7: (a) Small angle X-ray scattering images of indicated samples before and after stretching of PET and P-NK nanohybrid; (b) Lorentz corrected $I(q)q^2$ vs q SAXS profiles of the unstretched and stretched samples; (c) Debye-Bueche fitting (solid lines represent fitting curve) with respective correlation length (a_c) values; (d) Linear fit of scattering intensity versus scattering vector which shows the linear fitting curves of the intensity versus scattering vector; (e) Wide angle XRD patterns of the unstretched and stretched samples; (f) Wide angle XRD patterns of pure NK75 nanoclay; (g) Schematic representation of the effect of stretching showing enhanced short range molecular ordering in presence of nanoclay particle in the P-NK nanohybrid as compared with pure PET; and (h) TEM image of stretched P-NK nanohybrid containing 4% of nanoclay. 118

Figure 4.8: (a) permeability reduction in P-NK nanohybrids; and (b) Experimental and predicted curves through different indicated models of pure PET and P-NK nanohybrid as a function of filler volume fraction at different values of aspect ratio (α).	120
Figure 5.1: Structure of organic modifier of Cloisite 30B (T is tallow having ~65% C ₁₈ , ~30% C ₁₆ , ~5% C ₁₄)	123
Figure 5.2: (a) TEM images of the P-B nanohybrid at low (left) and high magnification (right), inset figure shows the intercalation; (b) SEM images of pure PET and P-B showing the surface morphology; (c) XRD spectra of pure PET and P-B at different nanoclay concentrations; and (d) FTIR spectra showing interactions in nanohybrid.	126
Figure 5.3: (a) TGA thermograms of pure PET and P-B nanohybrids, inset figure shows the glass transition temperature through DTA thermograms; (b) DSC thermograms showing glass transition temperature of pristine PET and P-B nanohybrid.....	128
Figure 5.4: (a) Stress-strain curves of nanohybrids at different indicated concentrations comparing pure PET; (b) Elastic modulus values of indicated nanohybrids at different filler concentrations as calculated from the stress-strain curve; (c) Toughness values of the nanohybrids at different filler concentration as calculated from the area under stress-strain curves; (d) Prediction of elastic modulus values using different micromechanical models; (e) Vicker hardness test imprints on the pure PET and P-B nanohybrid; and (f) Prediction of hardness values using different models as discussed in the main text.....	131
Figure 5.5: Stress distribution in pure PET matrix (values are indicative not exact); (a) Pure PET matrix under tensile loading in vertical direction; (b) Stress distribution at the corners of the matrix.	134
Figure 5.6: Stress distribution in matrix of PET nanohybrid containing randomly dispersed nanoparticles (values are indicative not exact)	135
Figure 5.7: Stress distribution in matrix of PET nanohybrid under tensile loading in vertical direction in presence of (a) Single nanoparticle parallel to the direction of applied load; (b) nanoparticle perpendicular to the direction of the applied load; (c) circular nanoparticle; and (d) square nanoparticle (subsequent images show stress distribution in matrix around nanoparticle and at the corner of the matrix as shown by dashed arrow).....	136
Figure 5.8: (a) SAXS images of unstretched and stretched samples of PET and P-B, (b) Intensity vs. wavevector plot extracted from the SAXS images; (c) Lorentz corrected profiles of the unstretched and stretched samples; (d) Debye Bueche model fitting for calculation of correlation lengths of unstretched and stretched samples; (e) Wide angle XRD plots of unstretched and stretched samples; (f) Wide angle XRD plots of pure Cloisite 30B clay; (g) High magnification TEM images of unstretched and stretched P-B nanohybrid; and (h)	

Schematic diagram of the structural advancement upon stretching in PET and P-B nanohybrids..... 140

Figure 6.1: POM images show effect of different MA concentrations of (a) 0%; (b) 0.75%; (c) 2%; (d) 5%; and (e) 10% on structures of blends containing 75% PE and 25% ABS. 147

Figure 6.2: POM images show the effect of varied concentration ratio of PE and ABS (shown as P/A), (a) and (b) has P/A as 75/25 without MA and with 5% MA respectively; (c) and (d) has P/A as 50/50 without MA and with 5% MA concentration respectively. 148

Figure 6.3: POM images show effect of different temperatures of processing on structure of blends containing 75% PE and 25% ABS; without and with 5% MA. (a) and (b) at 160 °C; (c) and (d) at 190 °C; (e) and (f) at 220 °C. 149

Figure 6.4: (a) POM images of physical mixture (P–M) and chemical mixture (C–M) of ABS and PE; (b) SEM images of P-M and C-M, yellow dotted circles in the SEM image of P-M shows the embedded ABS particle in the PE matrix and the indentation left by ABS particle, red dotted circles represent the plain fractured surface of PE having no or fewer cracks present; and (c) AFM images of P-M and C-M showing relative roughness of surfaces of blends..... 152

Figure 6.5: (a) Photographic images of samples before and after the indicated solvent extraction, below each image, the percentage weight remained after each solvent extraction is mentioned; (b) Optical microscopic images in reflectance mode of the samples before and after solvent extraction; and (c) SEM images of samples before and after solvent extraction. 156

Figure 6.6: Chemical bond formation due to reactive extrusion as determined through spectroscopic techniques, (a) FTIR spectra of indicated samples, vertical lines show the bands corresponding to chemical crosslinks; (b) solid state ¹³C NMR; downward vertical line indicate the position of new peak and corresponding carbon site of crosslinking is presented on top left, inset figure on right shows the deconvolution of the peak showing prominent peak at 47.04 ppm, (for FTIR and NMR of C-M, solvent extracted parts of C-M were used); and (c) reaction scheme showing overlapping molecules in P-M while MA induced crosslinking is shown in C-M..... 158

Figure 6.7: (a) DSC thermograms of pure polymers and their indicated blends showing melting temperature; (b) indicative crosslink density (CD_i) and melting temperature of chemical mixture/ blends as a function of MA content; and (c) TGA thermograms of pure polymers and their indicated blends, degradation temperatures (T_d) correspond to 5% weight loss of samples. 160

Figure 6.8: Mechanical properties of pure polymers and their blends, (a) stress strain curves of PE, P-M and C-M, inset figure shows the stress strain curve of E-waste ABS; (b) modulus; (c) toughness; and (d) ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of indicated specimens. 162

Figure 6.9: Three point bending test results of PE, P-M and C-M (a) flexural stress-strain curves; (b) comparative flexural stress; and (c) flexural modulus showing relative increment in physical and chemical blending. 166

Figure 6.10: Dynamic mechanical analysis of PE, its indicated blends and ABS; variation of (a) storage modulus; (b) loss modulus; and (c) $\tan\delta$ of indicated specimens as function of temperature. 168

Figure 6.11: Heat distortion temperature of PE, its indicated blends and ABS. 169

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: Effects of nanoparticles on polymers.....	5
Table 1.2: Details of few of surface modified MMT clay (Southern Clay Products, Inc.)	21
Table 3.1: Vicker hardness values for PET and P-T nanohybrids.....	85
Table 3.2: Permeability values for different concentrations of nanotalc in PET nanohybrids	96
Table 4.1: Vicker hardness values for PET and P-NK nanohybrids.....	114
Table 4.2: Permeability values for different concentrations of nanoclay in PET nanohybrids	120
Table 6.1: Melting temperature (T_m) and degradation temperature (T_d) obtained through DSC and TGA studies.....	161
Table 6.2: Mechanical properties of pure polymers and their blends.....	163

ABBREVIATIONS

AA	Acetaldehyde
ABS	Acrylonitrile butadiene styrene
AFM	Atomic force
BHET	Bis-(2-hydroxyethyl)-terephthalate
CAGR	Compound annual growth rate
CEC	Cation exchange capacity
C-M	Chemical mixture
CSD	Carbonated soft drinks
DCM	Dichloromethane
DMA	Dynamic mechanical analysis
DMT	Dimethyl terephthalate
DP	Degree of polymerization
DSC	Differential scanning calorimetry
EG	Ethylene glycol
EO	Ethylene oxide
FTIR	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
HDPE	High density polyethylene
HDT	Heat distortion temperature
LDH	Layered double hydroxide
LDPE	Linear density polyethylene
LLDPE	Linear low density polyethylene
MA	Maleic anhydride
MMT	Montmorillonite
NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy
PA6	Polyamide 6
PE	Polyethylene
PEO	Poly(ethylene oxide)
PET	Poly(ethylene terephthalate)

PLA	Poly lactide
P-M	Physical mixture
PMDA	Pyromellitic dianhydride
PMMA	Poly(methyl methacrylate)
POM	Polarized optical microscopy
PP	Polypropylene
PVA	Poly(vinyl alcohol)
SAXS	Small angle X-ray scattering
SEBS	Styrene (ethylene butadiene) styrene
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
SSP	Solid state polymerization
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
TGA	Thermo gravimetric analysis
TPA	Terephthalic acid
UTM	Universal testing machine
UTS	Ultimate tensile strength
wt. %	Weight percentage
WAXD	Wide angle X-ray diffraction
WEEE	Waste electrical and electronic equipment
XRD	X-Ray spectroscopy
μm	micrometer
mm	millimeter
nm	nanometer
T	Temperature
T _g	Glass transition temperature
T _m	Melting temperature
T _d	Degradation temperature
°C	Degree centigrade

PREFACE

Polyethylene terephthalate (PET) is a predominant polymer in the packaging industry because of its excellent properties. The need to make a light weight packaging material has driven the substitution of glass and metal packaging by polymeric materials. PET became a preferred packaging material due to its light weight, transparency, good mechanical strength, low permeability and recyclability. However, this extensively used packaging material gives a limited shelf life of the packaged goods and also has several limitations due to its other suboptimal properties. Hence, there is a constant need to further improve the mechanical strength, thermal stability and gas barrier properties of PET.

The PET is an environmentally safe material due to its high recyclability. However, there are numerous other polymeric materials which are produced as E-waste and have a vast potential to be reused for producing materials having improved properties. ABS is present in large portion of electronic equipment and contributes in a significant part of electronic waste (E-waste). This widely present ABS in E-waste gives opportunity to reuse and reutilize it.

Here in this work PET nanohybrids have been prepared using three different nanoclays namely NK75, nanotalc and Cloisite 30B. The preparation, structure and characterizations of different properties have been done in detailed manner. The nanohybrids have been prepared by solvent casting route having different clay concentrations. The dispersion and interactions of nanoclays with the PET matrix have been studied and the nanoclays have been found to be homogeneously dispersed in the PET matrix. Prepared PET nanohybrids have been tested for their thermal stability, mechanical properties, hardness, gas barrier properties as well as their structural advancement upon stretching. The different

micromechanical models have been used to precisely predict the mechanical and gas barrier properties of nanohybrids. The structural changes upon stretching and effect of presence of nanoclays in PET matrix have been studied.

Further, the utilization of E-waste have been done by extracting waste poly(acrylonitrile butadiene styrene) (ABS) and using it in making polymer blend with polyethylene having improved properties. The blends have been prepared with different concentrations of maleic anhydride (MA) by melt compounding. The chemical blends have been characterized to have improved mechanical properties and found to be suitable for possible practical purposes.

This thesis has been divided in seven chapters. The first chapter is Introduction and literature review which gives the understanding about nanohybrids, problem of E-waste and its utilization along with detailed literature survey. The second chapter presents the different experimental techniques used for the characterization. The third chapter “Structural, mechanical and gas barrier properties of poly(ethylene terephthalate) nanohybrid using nanotalc” (work published in *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, (2020): 137(27), 48607) presents the PET nanohybrids and their characterizations having nanotalc as filler. The fourth chapter “Effect of addition of NK75 nanoclay on properties of PET/clay nanohybrid” (work published in *Journal of Polymer Research*, (2020): 27(2), 1-9) discusses the preparation and characterization of the PET nanohybrids using NK75 nanoclay. The fifth chapter, “Enhancement in properties of PET/clay nanohybrids using 30B nanoclay” (work published in *SN Applied Sciences*, (2019): 1(11), 1-11) gives the preparation and characterization of PET/30B nanohybrids. The sixth chapter, Utilization of E-waste by single-step reactive extrusion (work published in *Polymer*, (2021): 221, 123626) presents the utilization of ABS extracted from E-waste to prepare a polymer blend having improved properties. The last

chapter presents the major conclusions drawn from this work and suggestions for the future work in this field. The reference work is given at the end.