

---

# Contents

---

Certificate . . . . .	iii
Declaration . . . . .	v
Copyright . . . . .	vii
Acknowledgement . . . . .	ix
Table of Contents . . . . .	xi
List of Figures . . . . .	xv
List of Tables . . . . .	xxi
Nomenclature . . . . .	xxiii
Abstract . . . . .	xxvii
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 PV/PCM systems: Background . . . . .	2
1.2 Prior studies on PV/PCM systems . . . . .	3
1.2.1 Conventional PV/PCM systems . . . . .	3
1.2.2 PV/PCM system with finned PCM enclosures . . . . .	4
1.2.3 PV/PCM system with comoposite PCM . . . . .	4
1.2.4 Hybrid PVT/PCM systems . . . . .	5
1.3 Research gap . . . . .	5
1.4 Research methodology . . . . .	7
1.4.1 Novel PCM encapsulation designs for PV/PCM systems . . . . .	9
1.5 Objective of the thesis . . . . .	13
1.6 Organization of the thesis . . . . .	14
<b>2 Effect of Novel PCM Encapsulation Designs on Electrical and Thermal Performance of a Hybrid Photovoltaic Solar Panel</b>	<b>15</b>

2.1	Introduction . . . . .	15
2.2	Material & method . . . . .	20
2.2.1	Problem description & definition . . . . .	20
2.2.2	Solution method . . . . .	23
2.2.3	Grid independence test . . . . .	26
2.2.4	Validation with experimental eesults . . . . .	26
2.3	Results and discussions . . . . .	28
2.3.1	Solid-liquid interface patterns . . . . .	28
2.3.2	Temperature distributions . . . . .	33
2.3.3	Effect of enclosure design on flow behavior during PCM melting . . . . .	34
2.3.4	Heat transfer characteristics . . . . .	36
2.3.4.1	Melting rate . . . . .	36
2.3.4.2	Nusselt number . . . . .	39
2.3.4.3	Heat storage Performance . . . . .	40
2.3.5	Performance characteristics . . . . .	42
2.3.5.1	PV cell temperature . . . . .	42
2.3.5.2	Effect of enclosure design on PV electrical efficiency . . . . .	44
2.4	Conclusions . . . . .	46
<b>3</b>	<b>Behaviour of Novel Design of Non-rectangular PCM Enclosure for PV/PCM Systems under Variable Boundary and Ambient Conditions</b>	<b>49</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	50
3.2	Methodology . . . . .	52
3.2.1	Problem description & definition . . . . .	52
3.2.2	Boundary conditions . . . . .	53
3.2.3	Solution method . . . . .	54
3.3	Results and discussions . . . . .	55
3.3.1	Thermal analogy of melting front propagation . . . . .	55
3.3.2	Heat transfer characteristics . . . . .	58
3.3.2.1	Liquid fraction . . . . .	58
3.3.2.2	Nusselt number . . . . .	60
3.3.2.3	Thermal energy storage performance . . . . .	61
3.3.3	Performance characteristics . . . . .	63
3.3.3.1	Effect of PV cell tempereare on electric conversion efficiency . . . . .	63
3.3.3.2	Electric power output . . . . .	64
3.3.3.3	Total heat loss from the system . . . . .	67
3.3.3.4	Energy and exergy efficiency . . . . .	67
3.3.4	Feasibility of the system: . . . . .	71

---

3.4	Conclusions . . . . .	75
<b>4</b>	<b>Effect of New Overhead Phase Change Material Enclosure Designs on Thermo-electric Performance of a Photovoltaic Panel</b>	<b>77</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	78
4.2	Methodology . . . . .	80
4.2.1	Problem description & definition . . . . .	80
4.2.2	Boundary conditions . . . . .	81
4.2.3	Solution method . . . . .	83
4.3	Results and discussions . . . . .	83
4.3.1	Solid-liquid interface patterns . . . . .	83
4.3.2	Temperature distributions . . . . .	87
4.3.3	Heat transfer characteristics . . . . .	88
4.3.3.1	Melting rate . . . . .	88
4.3.3.2	Nusselt number . . . . .	91
4.3.3.3	Thermal energy storage performance . . . . .	92
4.3.4	Performance characteristics . . . . .	94
4.3.4.1	PV cell temperature . . . . .	94
4.3.4.2	Electrical conversion efficiency of PV cell . . . . .	97
4.3.4.3	Total utilized radiation . . . . .	98
4.4	Conclusions . . . . .	102
<b>5</b>	<b>Effect of New Extended Type Non-rectangular PCM Enclosure on Thermo-electric Performance of PV/PCM Systems</b>	<b>105</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	105
5.2	Solution methodology . . . . .	108
5.2.1	Problem formulation . . . . .	108
5.2.2	Boundary conditions . . . . .	108
5.2.3	Numerical solution . . . . .	110
5.3	Results and discussions . . . . .	111
5.3.1	Solid-liquid interface patterns . . . . .	111
5.3.2	Temperature distributions . . . . .	114
5.3.3	Heat transfer characteristics . . . . .	116
5.3.3.1	Melting process . . . . .	116
5.3.3.2	Nusselt number . . . . .	120
5.3.3.3	Thermal energy storage performance . . . . .	123
5.3.4	Performance characteristics . . . . .	126
5.3.4.1	Effect of PV cell temperature on power conversion efficiency . . . . .	126
5.3.4.2	Overall system performance . . . . .	127

5.4	Conclusions . . . . .	134
<b>6</b>	<b>Thermal Energy Storage Design of a New Bifacial PV/PCM System for Enhanced Thermo-electric Performance</b>	<b>137</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	138
6.2	Solution methodology . . . . .	142
6.2.1	Problem formulation . . . . .	142
6.2.2	Boundary conditions . . . . .	142
6.2.3	Numerical solution . . . . .	145
6.2.4	Experimental calibration of numerical model . . . . .	145
6.3	Results and discussions . . . . .	146
6.3.1	Solid-liquid interface patterns . . . . .	146
6.3.2	Temperature distributions . . . . .	149
6.3.3	Heat transfer characteristics . . . . .	151
6.3.3.1	Melting process . . . . .	151
6.3.3.2	Nusselt number . . . . .	154
6.3.4	Performance characteristics . . . . .	155
6.3.4.1	Effect of PV cell temperature on power conversion efficiency . . . . .	155
6.3.4.2	Total electric power output . . . . .	159
6.3.4.3	Overall system performance . . . . .	161
6.3.4.4	Feasibility of the system . . . . .	164
6.4	Conclusions . . . . .	169
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions and Recommendations</b>	<b>171</b>
7.1	Conclusions . . . . .	172
7.2	Major contribution from the thesis . . . . .	178
7.3	Assumptions and limitations of present investigation . . . . .	179
7.4	Recommendations for future research . . . . .	180
	Bibliography . . . . .	183
	Author's Personal Profile and Publication List . . . . .	193

---

## List of Figures

---

1.1	(a) Conventional PV/T system , (b) conventional PV/PCM system . . . . .	3
1.2	Melting process inside a rectangular PCM enclosure showing all four different regimes of melting: (i) conduction regime, (ii) mixed regime, (iii) quasi-steady convection regime, and (iv) solid-shrinking regime. . . . .	7
1.3	(a) Conventional PV/PCM system, (b) PV/PCM system with non-rectangular enclosure of generic opposite wall profile . . . . .	9
1.4	(a) PV/PCM system with overhead type rectangular PCM enclosure, (b) PV/PCM system with extended non-rectangular PCM enclosure with generic opposite wall profile. . . . .	10
1.5	Bifacial PV/PCM system with modified non-rectangular PCM enclosure. . . . .	11
2.1	Schematic diagram showing cross-section of PV/PCM system with (a) type-A conventional rectangular PCM enclosure, (b) type-B, C, & D non-rectangular PCM enclosure with a general profile, $y = (ax - b)^{(1/n)}$ , $n=1$ for type-B, $n=2$ for type-C and $n=3$ for type-D. . . . .	17
2.2	Variation of centerline temperature at 3600 s for different number of grids. Inset shows the melting front of PCM. Location of centerline is marked in the figure by dash-dot line. . . . .	24
2.3	Variation of liquid fraction of PCM predicted from numerical model and experimental study (Kamkari et. al 2014). Two figures in the inset shows the instantaneous liquid fraction: left [experimental] and right [numerical]. . . . .	25
2.4	Solid-liquid interface patterns predicted from (i) experimental study by Kamkari et al., (2014) and (ii) numerical model. . . . .	27
2.5	Sequential solid fraction contours of the melting process of PCM in rectangular enclosure (type – A) and in non-rectangular enclosure (type – B, C, and D) for Case 1. . . . .	29

2.6	Sequential solid fraction contours of the melting process of PCM in rectangular enclosure (type – A) and in non-rectangular enclosure (type – B, C, and D) for Case 2.	30
2.7	Sequential solid fraction contours of the melting process of PCM in rectangular enclosure (type – A) and in non-rectangular enclosure (type – B, C, and D) for Case 2.	31
2.8	Temperature distribution in all type of configuration of PV/PCM systems at 120 minutes.	35
2.9	Variation of Temperature along the height of PV panel at 120 minutes for case 3.	36
2.10	Velocity streamlines superimposed on solid volume fraction map for Case 3 at 120 minutes. Black color on the right wall shows solid PCM.	37
2.11	Expanded view of liquid fraction vs time shown in inset for all type of configurations for case 3.	38
2.12	Variation of Nusselt number for all type of configuration for case 3.	39
2.13	(a) Expanded view of variation of ESD with time shown in inset, (b) ESD values for all configurations at 120 minutes.	43
2.14	Variation of PV cell temperature with time for all type of configuration of PV/PCM system for case 3.	44
2.15	Variation of electrical efficiency with time for all type of configuration of PV/PCM system for case 3.	45
3.1	Schematic diagram showing cross-section of PV/PCM system with (a) type-A conventional rectangular PCM enclosure, (b) type-B, C, & D non-rectangular PCM enclosure with a general profile, $y = (ax - b)^{(1/n)}$ , $n=1$ for linear, $n=2$ for parabolic and $n=3$ for cubic right wall profile.	53
3.2	Diurnal variation of (a) incident radiation, (b) ambient temperature with time.	54
3.3	Transient history of (a) solid-liquid interface patterns, and (b) temperature distribution patterns.	57
3.4	Transient variation of liquid fraction for both type of configurations. Full melting history has been shown in inset.	59
3.5	Comparison of transient history of Nusselt number for both type of configuration. Solid-liquid interface patterns for both configurations at end of quasi-steady convection regime are shown in inset.	61
3.6	Transient variation of ESD for all configurations.	62
3.7	Transient variation of average PV cell temperature for all configurations of PV and PV/PCM systems.	64
3.8	Transient Variation of electrical efficiency for all configurations of PV and PV/PCM systems.	65
3.9	Transient variation of electric power output for all configurations of PV and PV/PCM systems.	66

3.10	Transient variation of total heat loss for all type of configurations. . . . .	68
3.11	Comparison of energy efficiencies for all different configurations of PV and PV/PCM systems. . . . .	70
3.12	Comparison of exergy efficiencies for all different configurations of PV and PV/PCM systems. . . . .	72
4.1	Two-dimensional view of PV panel with integrated PCM enclosure (a) rectangular enclosure (type-A), (b) rectangular enclosure with extension $H_e$ (type-B), (c) rectangular enclosure with overhead tank extension $H_e$ (type-C), (d) rectangular enclosure with modified overhead tank extension $H_e$ and depression $H_d$ (type-D). Note that subsequent designs from type-B to type-D was arrive after analyzing the performance of the previous design. . . . .	82
4.2	Transient history of solid fraction contours of the melting of PCM superimposed with velocity streamlines for all type of configurations. . . . .	85
4.3	(a) Temperature contours for all type of configurations of PV/PCM system at 120 minutes, and (b) PV cell temperature variation with height of PV panel along PV-PCM interface at 120 minutes. . . . .	89
4.4	Expanded view of transient variation of liquid fraction shown in inset for all type of configurations. Full melting history has been shown in inset. . . . .	90
4.5	Comparison of transient history of Nusselt number among all type of configuration. Solid-liquid interface patterns for all configurations at 120 minutes are shown in inset. . . . .	92
4.6	(a) Distribution of ESD in all configurations of PV/PCM systems at 120 minutes and (b) transient variation of ESD ratio for all configurations. . . . .	95
4.7	Transient variation of average PV cell temperature for all configurations of PV/PCM system. . . . .	96
4.8	Transient Variation of electrical efficiency all configurations of PV/PCM system. . .	98
4.9	Variation of utilized radiation with time. (Expanded view for specific time period is shown in inset). . . . .	100
4.10	Variation of ratio of utilized radiation to unutilized radiation with time. (Expanded view for specific time period is shown in inset.) . . . . .	101
5.1	Two dimensional schematic diagram of PV/PCM systems (a) with rectangular PCM enclosure (Type – A), and (b) non-rectangular extended PCM enclosure with a general right wall profile, $y = (ax - b)^{(1/n)}$ , $n = 1$ for type-B, $n = 2$ for type-C and $n = 3$ for type-D. . . . .	109
5.2	Transient history of solid-liquid interface patterns for different configurations of PV/PCM system. . . . .	115

5.3	Transient history comparison of melting front contours with temperature distribution contours for type – B configuration of PV/PCM system at lower thickness ratio ( $L_1/L = 0.3$ ) and extension ratio ( $H_e/H_{pv} = 0.3$ ). . . . .	117
5.4	Temperature distribution at 120 minutes for different configurations of PV/PCM systems at lower thickness ratio . . . . .	118
5.5	Transient variation of liquid fraction for type – B configuration of PV/PCM system at lower thickness ratio ( $L_1/L = 0.3$ ). Expanded view of selected part of curve is shown in inset. . . . .	119
5.6	Fraction of liquid melted after 120 minutes of process for all configurations of PV/PCM system with non-rectangular PCM enclosure. . . . .	121
5.7	Variation of Nusselt number with time for type – B configuration of PV/PCM system at lower thickness ratio ( $L_1/L = 0.3$ ). Transient history of melting front contours for same configuration at extension ratio ( $H_e/H_{pv} = 0.3$ ) is shown in inset. . . . .	122
5.8	Comparison of Nusselt number at 120 minutes of process among all configuration of PV/PCM system with non-rectangular PCM enclosure. . . . .	123
5.9	Transient variation of energy storage density ( <i>ESD</i> ) for type – B configuration of PV/PCM system at lower thickness ratio ( $L_1/L = 0.3$ ). Expanded view of selected part of curve is shown in inset. . . . .	124
5.10	Comparison of <i>ESD</i> at 120 minutes of process among all configuration of PV/PCM system with non-rectangular PCM enclosure. . . . .	125
5.11	Transient variation of (a) PV cell temperature (b) PV cell electric conversion efficiency for type – B configuration of PV/PCM system at lower thickness ratio ( $L_1/L = 0.3$ ). Full transient history are shown in inset for each curve. . . . .	128
5.12	Comparison of (a) PV cell temperature, and (b) PV cell electric conversion efficiency at 120 minutes of process among all configuration of PV/PCM system with non-rectangular PCM enclosure. . . . .	129
5.13	Transient variation of total utilized radiation for type – B configuration of PV/PCM system at lower thickness ratio ( $L_1/L = 0.3$ ). Expanded view of selected part of curve is shown in inset. . . . .	130
5.14	Comparison of total utilized radiation at 120 minutes of process among all configuration of PV/PCM system with non-rectangular PCM enclosure. . . . .	132
5.15	Transient variation of $I_{gain}$ ratio for type – B configuration of PV/PCM system at lower thickness ratio ( $L_1/L = 0.3$ ). Expanded view of selected part of curve is shown in inset. . . . .	133
5.16	Comparison of $I_{gain}$ ratio at 120 minutes of process among all configuration of PV/PCM system with non-rectangular PCM enclosure. . . . .	134
6.1	Schematic diagram of conventional PV/PCM system. . . . .	140



---

6.2	Schematic diagram of BIF-PV/PCM system with sandwiched rectangular PCM unit.	141
6.3	Schematic diagram of modified BIF-PV/PCM system. Note how the rectangular PCM enclosure is modified to a bifurcated non-rectangular design. This design has been arrived after studying the melting front in the rectangular design. . . . .	144
6.4	Comparison of liquid fraction – time curve between numerical and experimental model for (a) conventional mono-facial heating of PCM , and (b) bifacial heating of PCM . Solid-liquid interface patterns are given in inset for specified time. . . . .	147
6.5	Solid-liquid interface patterns for PV/PCM systems and BIF-PV/PCM systems. . .	149
6.6	Temperature distribution at 120 minutes for PV/PCM systems and BIF-PV/PCM systems. . . . .	150
6.7	Variation of (a) liquid fraction with time, and (b) absolute amount of melted PCM with time. . . . .	152
6.8	Variation of (a) Nusselt number for top PV-PCM interface with time for PV/PCM systems and BIF-PV/PCM systems (b) Nusselt number for bottom PV-PCM interface with time for BIF-PV/PCM systems. Solid-liquid interface patterns at peaks and kinks are shown in inset. . . . .	156
6.9	Transient variation of PV cell electric conversion efficiency of (a) top PV panel, and (b) bottom PV panel for all configurations of PV and PV/PCM systems. . . . .	158
6.10	Variation of overall electric power output with time for different configurations of PV and PV/PCM systems. . . . .	160
6.11	Variation of overall system efficiency with time for different configuration of PV and PV/PCM systems. . . . .	163
6.12	Comparison of exergy efficiency for different configurations of PV and PV/PCM systems. . . . .	164
6.13	Schematic of calculation of land area requirement of integration of a single bifacial PV/PCM unit. . . . .	165
6.14	Comparison of (a) total energy utilization density, (b) power output density among bifacial PV/PCM unit, simple PV/PCM unit and conventional PV system unit. . . .	166



---

## List of Tables

---

2.1	Relevant literature on PCM integrated with PV module. Note that only rectangular type encapsulation design has been reported. . . . .	17
2.2	Thermo-physical properties of materials . . . . .	22
2.3	Design specifications and boundary conditions for all geometric configurations. . . . .	22
2.4	Results of PV and PV/PCM systems. The results in bold are crucial for comparing some of the top performing configurations to conventional ones. . . . .	41
3.1	Economic and exergoeconomic investigation for all configurations of PV and PV/PCM systems. . . . .	74
3.2	Enviroeconomic investigation for all configurations of PV and PV/PCM systems. . . . .	75
5.1	Design specifications for all PV /PCM configurations with non-rectangular PCM enclosure. . . . .	110
5.2	Timing of melting front reaching right and top wall for all non-rectangular configurations. Note 120* indicates that melting front does not reach the respective wall in 120 minutes of process. . . . .	113
6.1	Equations for energy efficiency and exergy efficiency analysis . . . . .	161
6.2	Equations for energy efficiency and exergy efficiency analysis. . . . .	162
6.3	Equations for economic, exergoeconomic and enviroeconomic analysis . . . . .	167
6.4	Economic, exergoeconomic, and enviroeconomic analysis for studied configuration of PV and PV/PCM systems. . . . .	168