

LIST OF FIGURES

	FIGURE	Page No.
Chapter 1	Metal Matrix Composite (MMC)-An Introduction	1
1.1	Conventional Powder Metallurgy process	8
1.2	Electroplating / Electroforming process	10
1.3	Schematic of stir casting process. (1) Stirrer spindle, (2) Sliding mechanism with impeller position control unit, (3) Electric motor, (4) Sprue, (5) Crucible, (6) Electric furnace, (7) Impeller, (8) Argon gas inlet, and (9) Thermocouple.	10
1.4	Direct and indirect squeeze casting.	12
1.5	Spray Deposition process	13
1.6	Ultra-hard and wear-resistant component manufactured from Fe/TiC MMCs	14
Chapter 2	Literature Review: Processing, Structural, Mechanical and Electrochemical Behavior of Metal Matrix Composites	16
2.1	SEM micrographs of Al and Al ₂ O ₃ powders (a) Al, (b) Al ₂ O ₃ -3 μ m, (c) Al ₂ O ₃ -12 μ m and (d) Al ₂ O ₃ -48 μ m.	23
2.2	Effect of sintering time on microstructure of composites at 600 °C (a) 45,(b) 60 and (c) 90 min.	24
2.3	Effect of sintering temperature on microstructure of composites after sintering for 45 min (a) 500, (b) 550 and (c) 600°C.	25
2.4	Micrographs of powders of: (a) Ni– coated SiC, (b) Ni –coated Al ₂ O ₃	29
2.5	Microstructures of: (a) Cu– 20% coated SiC, (b) Cu –20% SiC, (c) Cu– 20% coated Al ₂ O ₃ , and (d) Cu–20% Al ₂ O ₃ composites.	29
2.6	The effect of sliding distance on the weight loss of the investigated alloys (a) 3 N, (b) 5 N and (c) 20 N	32
2.7	SEM micrographs of the worn surfaces of the investigated alloys	33
2.8	XRD patterns of samples (a) sintered block, (b) magnesium matrix composite	34
2.9	SEM photograph of samples (a) sintered blocks, (b) magnesium matrix composite	35
2.10	SEM micrographs of Mg matrix composite (transverse direction) reinforced with SiC of size: (a) 15, (b) 20, (c) 25, (d) 38 and (e) 50 μ m	36
2.11	SEM of (a) Worn surface of the alloy showing oxide formation (b) Worn surface of the alloy with oxides agglomeration	37
2.12	Typical SEM micrographs of (a) Mg and (b) Mg–6SiC surfaces obtained after immersion in 1M NaCl for 5 min	40

2.13	SEM image of the microstructure of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{X} \% \text{Fe}$ composites showing the distribution of the FeAl_2O_4 spinel	41
2.14	SEM of worn surfaces at sliding velocity of 1.26m/s and load of 20N (a) Iron-sintered at 100 mm/s. (b) Iron–3wt.% SiC sintered at 100 mm/s	43
2.15	SEM micrographs of corroded surfaces before and after anodic polarization curve tests in 3.5% NaCl solution: (a) original surface morphology of composite A; (b) corroded surfaces morphology of composite A	45
Chapter 4	Synthesis and Characterization Methods	50
4.1	Schematic Layout of Pin on Disc Wear and Friction Testing Machine	69
4.2	Standard potentiodynamic anodic polarization plot of 430 stainless steel	80
4.3	Excitation waveform for Tafel plots	81
4.4	Experimentally measured Tafel plot	82
4.5	Schematic Diagram showing the Corrosion Cell	83
Chapter 5	Sintering and Hardness Behavior of $\text{Fe-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ Metal Matrix Nanocomposites	85
5.1	XRD patterns of the specimens (a) 5AFe900(2) (b) 5AFe1000(2) and (c) 5AFe1100(2)	87
5.2	XRD patterns of the specimens (a) 10AFe900(1) (b) 10AFe1000(1) and (c) 10AFe1100(1)	88
5.3	XRD patterns of the specimens (a) 10AFe900(2) (b) 10AFe1000(2) and (c)10AFe1100(2)	89
5.4	XRD patterns of the specimens (a) 20AFe900(1) (b) 20AFe1000(1) and (c) 20AFe1100(1)	90
5.5	XRD patterns of the specimens (a) 20AFe900(2) (b) 20AFe1000(2) and (c) 20AFe1100(2)	91
5.6	XRD patterns of the specimens (a) 20AFe900(3) (b) 20AFe1000(3) and (c) 20AFe1100(3)	92
5.7	XRD patterns of the specimens (a) 30AFe900(1) (b) 30AFe1000(1) and (c) 30AFe1100(1)	93
5.8	XRD patterns of the specimens (a) 30AFe900(2) (b) 30AFe1000(2) and (c) 30AFe1100(2)	94
5.9	XRD patterns of the specimens (a) 30AFe900(3) (b) 30AFe1000(3) and (c) 30AFe1100(3)	95
5.10	SEM image at 250X of (a) Fe Powder (b) Al_2O_3 Powder	97
5.11	SEM image of ball milled powder at 200X of (a) Fe-5% Al_2O_3 (b) Fe-10% Al_2O_3 (c) Fe-20% Al_2O_3 (d) Fe-30% Al_2O_3	98
5.12	SEM of 5AFe900(3) at (a) 5000X and (b) 15000X respectively	100

5.13	SEM of 5AFe1000(3) at (a) 5000X and (b) 15000X respectively	100
5.14	SEM of 5AFe1100(1) at (a) 5000X and (b) 15000X respectively	100
5.15	SEM of 10AFe900(1) at (a) 5000X and (b) 20000X respectively	101
5.16	SEM of 10AFe900(2) at (a) 5000X and (b) 20000X respectively	101
5.17	SEM of 10AFe1100(1) at (a) 5000X and (b) 20000X respectively	101
5.18	SEM of 20AFe900(1) at (a) 5000X and (b) 20000X respectively	102
5.19	SEM of 20AFe900(2) at (a) 5000X and (b) 20000X respectively	102
5.20	SEM of 20AFe900(3) at (a) 5000X and (b) 20000X respectively	102
5.21	SEM of 20AFe1000(1) at (a) 5000X and (b) 20000X respectively	103
5.22	SEM of 20AFe1000(2) at (a) 5000X and (b) 20000X respectively	103
5.23	SEM of 20AFe1000(3) at (a) 5000X and (b) 20000X respectively	103
5.24	SEM of 20AFe1100(1) at (a) 5000X and (b) 20000X respectively	104
5.25	SEM of 20AFe1100(2) at (a) 5000X and (b) 20000X respectively	104
5.26	SEM of 20AFe1100(3) at (a) 5000X and (b) 20000X respectively	104
5.27	Density vs. % Al ₂ O ₃ plots for the various specimens	108
5.28	Hardness vs. % Al ₂ O ₃ for the specimens sintered at 900°C	112
5.29	Hardness vs. % Al ₂ O ₃ for the specimens sintered at 1000°C	113
5.30	Hardness vs. % Al ₂ O ₃ for the specimens sintered at 1100°C	114
Chapter 6	Wear and Deformation Characteristics of Fe-Al₂O₃ Metal Matrix Nanocomposites	116
6.1	Wear Rate vs. Load for specimens sintered at 900°C	118
6.2	Wear Rate vs. Load for specimens sintered at 1000°C	119
6.3	Wear Rate vs. Load for specimens sintered at 1100°C	120
6.4	SEM micrograph of 5AFe900(2) (a) 200X (b) 5000X and (c) 10000X magnification after measurement at 2 Kg load	122
6.5	SEM micrograph of 5AFe900(3) (a) 200X (b) 5000X and (c) 10000X magnification after measurement at 2 Kg load	123
6.6	SEM micrograph of 5AFe1000(3) (a) 200X (b) 5000X and (c) 10000X magnification after measurement at 2 Kg load	125
6.7	SEM micrograph of 5AFe1100(1) (a) 200X (b) 5000X and (c) 10000X magnification after measurement at 2 Kg load	126
6.8	SEM micrograph of 5AFe1100(2) (a) 200X (b) 5000X and (c) 10000X magnification after measurement at 2 Kg load	127
6.9	Wear Rate vs. Load for specimens sintered for 1h	132
6.10	Wear Rate vs. Load for specimens sintered for 2h	133
6.11	Wear Rate vs. Load for specimens sintered for 3h	134
6.12	Frictional Force vs. Load for specimens sintered for 1h	135
6.13	Frictional Force vs. Load for specimens sintered for 2h	137
6.14	Frictional Force vs. Load for specimens sintered for 3h	137
6.15	SEM micrographs of specimen 10AFe900(1) at (a) 200X (b) 500X and (c) 10000X magnification after measurement at 2.0 Kg load	139

6.16	SEM micrographs of specimen 10AFe900(2) at (a) 200X (b) 500X and (c) 10000X magnification after measurement at 2.0 Kg load	140
6.17	SEM micrographs of specimen 10AFe900(3) at (a) 200X (b) 500X and (c) 10000X magnification after measurement at 2.0 Kg load	141
6.18	SEM micrographs of specimen 10AFe1000(3) at (a) 200X (b) 500X and (c) 10000X magnification after measurement at 2.0 Kg load	143
6.19	SEM micrographs of specimen 10AFe1100(1) at (a) 200X (b) 500X and (c) 10000X magnification after measurement at 2.0 Kg load	144
6.20	X-Ray diffraction pattern of representative nanocomposite specimen	148
6.21	(a) % Increase in specimen diameter for dry, solid lubricant and liquid lubricant conditions with different h/d ratios (b) % decrease in specimen height for dry, solid lubricating and liquid lubricating conditions with different h/d ratios	149
6.22	Undeformed Fe-Al ₂ O ₃ nanocomposite specimens (a) h/d<1 and (b) h/d>1	150
6.23	Deformed Fe-Al ₂ O ₃ nanocomposite specimens tested under different interfacial conditions (a) h/d<1 and (b) h/d>1	150
6.24	Bulge Profile of specimens having (a) h/d<1 (b) h/d=1 and (c) h/d>1 tested under dry condition (All Dimensions are in mm)	151
6.25	Bulge Profile of specimens having (a) h/d<1 (b) h/d=1 and (c) h/d>1 tested under solid lubricating condition (All Dimensions are in mm)	152
6.26	Bulge Profile of specimens having (a) h/d<1 (b) h/d=1 and (c) h/d>1 tested under liquid lubricating condition (All Dimensions are in mm)	153
6.27	Density vs. different h/d ratio specimens showing Green, Sintered and Deformed Conditions	155
6.28	% Densification vs. h/d Ratios for Dry, Solid Lubricating and Liquid Lubricating Conditions	156
6.29	Hardness vs. h/d Ratios for before and after deformation conditions	157
6.30	Scanning Electron Micrograph of etched surface of specimen 5AFe1100(1) at (a) 100X (b) 500X (c) 2000X and (d) 5000X magnifications respectively	159
6.32	Scanning Electron Micrograph of non-etched surface of specimen 5AFe1100(1) at (a) 1000X (b) 2000 X (c) 5000X and (d) 10000X magnifications respectively	161
6.33	EDAX and Compositional Analysis of non-etched surface of specimen 5AFe1100(1) (a) Full Frame (b) At a point and (c) On a particle	162

6.34	SEM of deformed specimen with $h/d < 1$ in dry state at (a) 100X (b) 500X (c) 2000 X (d) 5000X (e) 10000X and (f) 15000X	164
6.35	SEM of deformed specimen with $h/d < 1$ in solid lubricating (Graphite Powder) at (a) 100X (b) 500X (c) 2000 X (d) 5000X (e) 10000X and (f) 15000X	165
6.36	SEM of deformed specimen with $h/d < 1$ in liquid lubricating (Oil) at (a) 100X (b) 500X (c) 2000 X (d) 5000X (e) 10000X and (f) 15000X	166
6.37	SEM of deformed specimen with $h/d = 1$ in dry state at (a) 100X (b) 500X (c) 2000 X (d) 5000X (e) 10000X and (f) 30000X	169
6.38	SEM of deformed specimen with $h/d = 1$ in solid lubricating (Graphite Powder) state at (a) 100X (b) 500X (c) 2000 X (d) 5000X (e) 10000X and (f) 30000X	170
6.39	SEM of deformed specimen with $h/d > 1$ in dry state at (a) 100X (b) 500X (c) 1000 X (d) 5000X (e) 10000X and (f) 15000X	172
6.40	SEM of deformed specimen with $h/d > 1$ in solid lubricating (Graphite Powder) state at (a) 100X (b) 500X (c) 1000 X (d) 5000X (e) 10000X and (f) 15000X	173
Chapter 7	Studies on Structural and Mechanical Characterization of CoO and CeO₂ Doped Fe-Al₂O₃ Metal Matrix Nanocomposites	179
7.1	XRD plot of (a) 10AFe0.5Co1100(1) (b) 10AFe1.0Co1100(1) (c) Pure Fe+0.5% CoO	180
7.2	SEM micrograph of 10AFe0.5Co1100(1) (a) 1000X magnification of the test specimen (b) 2500X magnification of circle portion in (a) (c) 5000X magnification of circle portion in (b) and (d) 15000X magnification of circled portion in (c); the current micrograph also shows nanosize particles	181
7.3	SEM micrograph of 10AFe1.0Co1100(1) (a) 1000X magnification of the test specimen (b) 2500X magnification of circle portion in (a) (c) 5000X magnification of circle portion in (b) and (d) 15000X magnification of circled portion in (c); the current micrograph also shows nanosize particles	182
7.4	SEM micrograph of Pure Fe + 0.5% CoO (a) 1000X magnification of the test specimen (b) 2500X magnification of circle portion in (a) (c) 5000X magnification of circle portion in (b) and (d) 15000X magnification of circled portion in (c); the current micrograph also shows nanosize particles	183
7.5	Wear Rate vs. Load plot for specimen (a) 10AFe0.5Co1100(1) (b) 10AFe1.0Co1100(1) and (c) Pure Fe+0.5% CoO	186
7.6	SEM of specimen 10AFe0.5Co1100(1) after wear test (a) 500X (b) 5000X and (c) 20000X	188
7.7	SEM of specimen 10AFe1.0Co1100(1) after wear test (a) 500X (b) 5000X and (c) 20000X	189

7.8	SEM of specimen Pure Fe+0.5% CoO after wear test (a) 500X (b) 5000X and (c) 20000X	190
7.9	XRD pattern of specimen (a) 10AFe0.5Ce1100(1) (b) 10AFe1.0Ce1100(1) and (c) Pure Fe+0.5% CeO ₂	192
7.10	SEM of 10AFe0.5Ce1100(1) at (a) 200X (b) 1000X (c) 5000X and (d) 10000X respectively	194
7.11	SEM of 10AFe1.0Ce1100(1) at (a) 200X (b) 1000X (c) 5000X and (d) 10000X respectively	195
7.12	SEM of Pure Fe+0.5% CeO ₂ at (a) 200X (b) 1000X (c) 5000X and (b) 10000X respectively	196
7.13	Full frame microscopic investigation of specimen 10AFe0.5Ce1100(1) (a) SEM image (b) full frame EDAX (c) elemental profile	197
7.14	Regional microscopic investigation of specimen 10AFe0.5Ce1100(1) (a) SEM image (b) full frame EDAX (c) elemental profile	198
7.15	Full frame microscopic investigation of specimen 10AFe1.0Ce1100(1) (a) SEM image (b) full frame EDAX (c) elemental profile	199
7.16	Regional microscopic investigation of specimen 10AFe1.0Ce1100(1) (a) SEM image (b) full frame EDAX (c) elemental profile	200
7.17	Full frame microscopic investigation of specimen Pure Fe+0.5% CeO ₂ (a) SEM image (b) full frame EDAX (c) elemental profile	201
7.18	Regional microscopic investigation of specimen Pure Fe+0.5% CeO ₂ (a) SEM image (b) full frame EDAX (c) elemental profile	202
7.19	Wear Rate vs. Load Plots (a) 10AFe0.5Ce1100(1) (b) 10AFe1.0Ce1100(1) and (c) Pure Fe+0.5% CeO ₂	205
7.20	SEM of worn specimen 10AFe0.5Ce1100(1) after wear test (a) 200X (b) 2500X and (c) 10000X	206
7.21	SEM of worn specimen 10AFe1.0Ce1100(1) after wear test (a) 200X (b) 2500X and (c) 10000X	207
7.22	SEM of specimen Pure Iron doped with 0.5% CeO ₂ after wear test (a) 200X (b) 2500X and (c) 10000X	208
Chapter 8	Studies on Structural and Mechanical Characterization of Fe-Al₂O₃-ZrO₂ Hybrid Metal Matrix Nanocomposites	211
8.1	XRD plots of specimens (a) 2.5Zr2.5AFe900(1) (b) 2.5Zr2.5AFe900(2) and (c) 2.5Zr2.5AFe900(3)	213
8.2	XRD plots of specimen (a) 2.5Zr2.5AFe1000(1) (b) 2.5Zr2.5AFe1000(2) and (c) 2.5Zr2.5AFe1000(3)	214
8.3	XRD plots of specimen (a) 2.5Zr2.5AFe1100(1) (b) 2.5Zr2.5AFe1100(2) and (c) 2.5Zr2.5AFe1100(3)	215

8.4	SEM micrograph of specimen 2.5Zr2.5AFe900(1) at (a) 1000X (b) 5000X (c) 10000X and (d) 25000X respectively	216
8.5	SEM micrograph of specimen 2.5Zr2.5AFe900(2) at (a) 1000X (b) 5000X (c) 10000X and (d) 25000X respectively	217
8.6	SEM micrograph of specimen 2.5Zr2.5AFe900(3) at (a) 1000X (b) 5000X (c) 10000X and (d) 25000X respectively	218
8.7	SEM micrograph of specimen 2.5Zr2.5AFe1000(1) at (a) 1000X (b) 5000X (c) 10000X and (d) 25000X respectively	220
8.8	Full Frame EDS and elemental profile of specimen 2.5Zr2.5AFe1000(1) at (a) 5000X and (b) 25000X respectively	221
8.9	SEM micrograph of specimen 2.5Zr2.5AFe1100(1) at (a) 1000X (b) 5000X (c) 10000X and (d) 25000X respectively	222
8.10	Full Frame EDS and elemental profile of specimen 2.5Zr2.5AFe1100(1) at (a) 5000X and (b) 25000X respectively	223
8.11	SEM micrograph of specimen 2.5Zr2.5AFe1100(2) at (a) 1000X (b) 5000X (c) 10000X and (d) 25000X respectively	224
8.12	Full Frame EDS and elemental profile of specimen 2.5Zr2.5AFe1100(2) at (a) 5000X and (b) 25000X respectively	225
8.13	SEM micrograph of specimen 2.5Zr2.5AFe1100(3) at (a) 1000X (b) 5000X (c) 10000X and (d) 25000X respectively	226
8.14	Full Frame EDS and elemental profile of specimen 2.5Zr2.5AFe1100(3) at (a) 5000X and (b) 25000X respectively	227
8.15	Density vs. Sintering Temperature plot of various 2.5Zr2.5AFe specimens	229
8.16	Hardness vs. Sintering Temperature plot of various 2.5Zr2.5AFe specimens	230
8.17	Wear Rate vs. Load plot for specimen sintered at 1000°C	232
8.18	Wear Rate vs. Load plot for specimen sintered at 1100°C	233
8.19	Worn SEM micrograph of specimen 2.5Zr2.5AFe1000(1) at (a) 500X (b) 2000X (c) 5000X and (d) 25000X respectively	234
8.20	Worn SEM micrograph of specimen 2.5Zr2.5AFe1100(1) at (a) 500X (b) 2000X (c) 5000X and (d) 25000X respectively	235
8.21	Worn SEM micrograph of specimen 2.5Zr2.5AFe1100(2) at (a) 500X (b) 2000X (c) 5000X and (d) 25000X respectively	236
8.22	XRD plots of specimen (a) 3.5Zr1.5AFe900(1) (b) 3.5Zr1.5AFe900(2) and (c) 3.5Zr1.5AFe900(3)	238
8.23	XRD plots of specimen (a) 3.5Zr1.5AFe1000(1) (b) 3.5Zr1.5AFe1000(2) and (c) 3.5Zr1.5AFe1000(3)	239
8.24	XRD plots of specimen (a) 3.5Zr1.5AFe1100(1) (b) 3.5Zr1.5AFe1100(2) and (c) 3.5Zr1.5AFe1100(3)	240
8.25	SEM micrograph of specimen 3.5Zr1.5AFe900(1) at (a) 500X (b) 1000X (c) 5000X and (d) 20000X respectively	241

8.26	SEM micrograph of specimen 3.5Zr1.5AlFe900(2) at (a) 500X (b) 1000X (c) 5000X and (d) 20000X respectively	242
8.27	SEM micrograph of specimen 3.5Zr1.5AlFe900(3) at (a) 500X (b) 1000X (c) 5000X and (d) 20000X respectively	243
8.28	SEM micrograph of specimen 3.5Zr1.5AlFe1000(1) at (a) 500X (b) 1000X (c) 5000X and (d) 20000X respectively	244
8.29	SEM micrograph of specimen 3.5Zr1.5AlFe1100(1) at (a) 500X (b) 1000X (c) 5000X and (d) 20000X respectively	246
8.30	SEM micrograph of specimen 3.5Zr1.5AlFe1100(2) at (a) 500X (b) 1000X (c) 5000X and (d) 20000X respectively	247
8.31	SEM micrograph of specimen 3.5Zr1.5AlFe1100(3) at (a) 500X (b) 1000X (c) 5000X and (d) 25000X respectively	248
8.32	Density vs. Sintering Temperature plot of various 3.5Zr1.5AlFe specimens	249
8.33	Hardness vs. Sintering Temperature plot of various 3.5Zr1.5AlFe specimens	250
8.34	Wear Rate vs. Load plots for specimen sintered at 1000°C	252
8.35	Wear Rate vs. Load plots for specimen sintered at 1100°C	253
8.36	Microstructure of worn specimen 3.5Zr1.5AlFe1000(1) after 2.0 Kg load at (a) 500X (b) 1000X and (c) 2000 X magnification respectively	255
8.37	Microstructure of worn specimen 3.5Zr1.5AlFe1000(2) after 2.0 Kg load at (a) 500X (b) 1000X and (c) 2000X magnification respectively	256
8.38	Microstructure of worn specimen 3.5Zr1.5AlFe1100(2) after 2.0 Kg load at (a) 500X (b) 1000X and (c) 2000X magnification respectively	257
8.39	Microstructure of worn specimen 3.5Zr1.5AlFe1100(3) after 2.0 Kg load at (a) 500X (b) 1000X and (c) 2000X magnification respectively	258
Chapter 9	Corrosion Behavior of Fe-Al₂O₃ Metal Matrix Nanocomposites	261
9.1	Tafel plots of the specimens 5AlFe900(1), 5AlFe1000(1) and 5AlFe1100(1) sintered for 1h at different temperatures	262
9.2	Tafel plots of the specimens 5AlFe1100(1) , 5AlFe1100(2) and 5AlFe1100(3) sintered at same temperature for different times of sintering	263
9.3	Corrosion Rate vs. Sintering Temperature of Fe-5% Al ₂ O ₃ nanocomposite specimens	265
9.4	Corrosion Rate vs. Sintering Time of Fe-5% Al ₂ O ₃ nanocomposite specimens	266
9.5	XRD plots of Specimens (a) 5AlFe1000(3) and (b) 5AlFe1100(1)	267
9.6	SEM of specimen 5AlFe1000(3) (a) 3000X and (b) 10000X magnification after corrosion in 1N HCl	268

9.7	SEM of specimen 5AFe1100(1) (a) 3000X and (b) 10000X magnification after corrosion in 1N HCl	268
9.8	Tafel polarization plots of specimens 10AFe900(2), 10AFe1000(2) and 10AFe1100(2) sintered for 2h at different sintering temperatures	271
9.9	Tafel polarization plots of specimens 10AFe1000(1), 10AFe1000(2) and 10AFe1000(3) sintered at 1000°C for different sintering times	272
9.10	Tafel polarization plots of specimens 10AFe1100(1), 10AFe1100(2) and 10AFe1100(3) sintered at 1100°C for different sintering times	273
9.11	E_{corr} , I_{corr} and Corrosion Rate vs. Sintering Temperature plot for different sintering time intervals	273
9.12	XRD plots of specimen 10AFe900(1) and 10AFe900(2) after corrosion in 1N HCl solution	277
9.13	XRD plots of specimen 10AFe1000(1) and 10AFe1100(2) after corrosion in 1N HCl solution	277
9.14	SEM of specimen 10AFe900(1) at (a) 500X (b) 2000X (c) 5000 X and (d) 15000 X magnifications after corrosion in 1N HCl	279
9.15	SEM of specimen 10AFe900(2) at (a) 500X (b) 2000X (c) 5000X and (d) 15000 X magnifications after corrosion in 1N HCl	280
9.16	SEM of specimen 10AFe1000(1) at (a) 500X (b) 2000X (c) 5000 X and (d) 15000 X magnifications after corrosion in 1N HCl	281
9.17	SEM of specimen 10AFe1100(2) at (a) 500X (b) 2000X (c) 5000 X and (d) 15000 X magnifications after corrosion in 1N HCl	283
9.18	Tafel Polarization plots of cobalt oxide doped specimens	285
9.19	XRD pattern of corroded surfaces of (a) Pure Fe (b) 10AFe0.5Co1100(1) (c) 10AFe1.0Co1100(1) and (d) Pure Fe+0.5% CoO specimens respectively	287
9.20	SEM micrograph of Pure Iron specimen after corrosion in 1N HCl at (a) 1000X (b) 2000X and (c) 5000X magnification respectively	288
9.21	SEM and EDAX of different regions (a, b, and c) for pure iron specimen after corrosion in 1N HCl at 10000X magnification	289
9.22	SEM of the specimen 10AFe0.5Co1100(1) after corrosion in 1N HCl at (a) 1000X (b) 2000X and (c) 5000X magnification respectively	291

9.23	SEM and EDAX of different regions (a, b, c and d) of the specimen 10AFe0.5Co1100(1) after corrosion in 1N HCl at 2000X magnification	292
9.24	SEM of the specimen 10AFe1.0Co1100(1) after corrosion in 1N HCl at (a) 1000X (b) 2000X (c) 5000X and (d) 20000X magnification respectively	293
9.25	SEM and EDAX of full frame view for specimen 10AFe1.0Co1100(1) after corrosion in 1N HCl at 2000X magnification at 20000X magnification	294
9.26	SEM and EDAX of full frame of the specimen 10AFe1.0Co1100(1) showing nano size particles after corrosion in 1N HCl	295
9.27	SEM and EDAX of different regions (a, b, and c) for specimen 10AFe1.0Co1100(1) after corrosion in 1N HCl at 2000X magnification	297
9.28	SEM of pure iron specimen with 0.5% CoO after corrosion in 1N HCl at (a) 1000X (b) 2000X (c) 5000X and (d) 50000X magnification respectively	298
9.29	SEM and EDAX of full frame of pure iron specimen with 0.5% CoO after corrosion in 1N HCl at 5000X magnification	299
9.30	Tafel Polarization plots of cerium oxide doped specimens	301
9.31	XRD pattern of corroded surfaces of (a) 10AFe0.5Ce1100(1) (b) 10AFe1.0Ce1100(1) and (c) Pure Fe+0.5% CeO ₂ specimens respectively	304
9.32	SEM of specimen Pure Fe+0.5% CeO ₂ after corrosion in 1N HCl at (a) 100X (b) 500X (c) 1000X and (d) 4000X magnification respectively	305
9.33	SEM of specimen 10AFe0.5Ce1100(1) after corrosion in 1N HCl at (a) 100X (b) 500X and (c) 1000X magnification respectively	306
9.34	SEM of specimen 10AFe1.0Ce1100(1) after corrosion in 1N HCl at (a) 100X (b) 1000X and (c) 4000X magnification respectively	307