List of Figures

- 5.10 *In vitro* drug release profile of the optimized DSB-PVP-Ch-GNPs nanoformulation in pH 7.4 phosphate buffer 90
- 5.11 Pareto chart showing the influence of factors on responses; (a) influence of input factors on PS, (b) influence of input factors on %EE and (c) influence of input factors on ZP 92
- 5.12 Graphical illustration representing the effect of factors on responses. (A & C) represents 3-D response surface plots of PS, $(B \& D)$ are its contour plots; $(E \& G)$ represents 3-D response surface plots of % EE, $(F \& H)$ are its contour plots; $(I \& K)$ represents 3-D response surface plots of ZP , $(\text{J} \& \text{L})$ are its contour plots 97
- 5.13 Optimization plot to prepare the formulation with desired PS, % EE and ZP 98
- 5.14 Fourier transform infrared overlay spectrum (A) and x-ray diffraction overlay spectrum (B) 100
- 5.15 High resolution scanning electron microscopy micrographs showing the morphology of optimized DSB-PEG-Ch-GNPs 101
- 5.16 Energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy spectrum of the optimized DSB-PEG-Ch-GNPs (A) and color mapping report of the relative distribution of Au in the optimized DSB-PEG-Ch-GNPs (B) 102
- 5.17 Transmission electron microscopy micrographs illustrating the shape and morphology of optimized DSB-PEG-Ch-GNPs 103
- 5.18 Selected area electron diffraction pattern of optimized DSB-PEG-Ch-GNPs 104
- 5.19 2D atomic force microscopy micrograph of optimized DSB-PEG-Ch-GNPs (A) and 3D atomic force microscopy micrograph of optimized DSB-PEG-Ch-GNPs (B) 104
- 5.20 Stability study profiles of particle size (A), % entrapment efficiency (B) and zeta potential (C) of optimized DSB-PEG-Ch-GNPs at different storage conditions and different time intervals 106
- 5.21 *In vitro* drug release profile of the optimized DSB-PEG-Ch-GNPs nanoformulation in pH 7.4 phosphate buffer 107
- 5.22 Pareto charts showing the influence of significant factors on responses (particle size, % entrapment efficiency and zeta potential) of DSB-GNPs 111
- 5.23 The 3D response surface plots showing the effect of PLGA concentration, stirring time and sonication time on particle size (A $\&$ B), % entrapment efficiency (C) and zeta potential (D) 115

5.24 Graphs of (A) intensity distribution (particle size) and (B) mobility distribution (zeta potential) of optimized DSB-PLGA-Ch-GNPs nanoformulation 117

- 5.26 FTIR overlay spectrum of DSB-PLGA-Ch-GNPs 120
- 5.27 HR-SEM micrographs (A & B), EDS spectrum (C), and Colour mapping report of the spatial distribution of gold in the optimized DSB-PLGA-Ch-GNPs nanoformulation (D) 121
- 5.28 TEM micrographs (A, B) and SAED pattern (C) of the optimized DSB-PLGA-Ch-GNPs nanoformulation 122
- 5.29 2D AFM micrograph (A) and 3D AFM micrograph (B) of the optimized DSB-PLGA-Ch-GNPs nanoformulation 123
- 5.30 Stability study profiles of optimized DSB-PLGA-Ch-GNPs nanoformulation for particle size, % entrapment efficiency and zeta potential at different storage conditions and different time intervals 125
- 5.31 *In vitro* drug release profile of the optimized DSB-PLGA-Ch-GNPs nanoformulation in pH 7.4 phosphate buffer 126
- 5.32 Comparative *in vitro* drug release profiles of all the three nanoformulations 127
- 5.33 Confocal fluorescence micrographs of DSB (A, B) and optimized DSB-PLGA-Ch-GNPs nanoformulation (C, D) in K562 cell line 129
- 5.34 Quantitative fluorescence intensity curves of DSB and optimized DSB-PLGA-Ch-GNPs nanoformulation for 4 hours (A) and 6 hours (B) 129
- 5.35 Percentage control growth versus drug concentration (μg/mL) profiles 130
- 5.36 Dot plot of apoptosis in K562 cell line detected by flow cytometry 131
- 5.37 Bar graph displaying the percentage of apoptotic cells at different concentrations of optimized DSB-PLGA-Ch-GNPs nanoformulation and exposure times 132
- 5.38 Plasma drug concentration Vs. time profile of pure DSB and optimized DSB-PLGA-Ch-GNPs nanoformulation in rats 133

List of Tables

List of Abbreviations and Symbols

Preface

In recent years, the development of various nanoparticulate drug delivery systems has gained significant interests for cancer theranostics. These nanocarriers are targeted specifically to the tumor cells either actively or passively based upon their. mode of action. In this targeted drug delivery system, the drug gets confine. and delivers to the targeted site in a greater amount without affecting the surrounding healthy cells. The idea to work for the present thesis was conceived from. drug and dose-related problems. associated with the available antineoplastic drugs. Dasatinib (DSB) is an antineoplastic drug approved as a first-line drug for the treatment of chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML). During chemotherapy, large doses are recommended for treatment, which may induce adverse effects to normal cells and the surrounding healthy organs. Thus, the objective of this study was to design and develop. a new targeted delivery system comprising of polymer stabilized chitosan capped gold nanoparticles (Ch-GNPs) loaded with DSB with the aim of restricting high dose administration and reducing the dose related adverse side effects and also the frequency of dosing. For a drug to be clinically effective, it needs to be suitably protected. in the biodegradable and biocompatible polymeric vesicles till its delivery to the targeted site. The novelty of the present research work lies in the synthesis and stabilization of potent, non-toxic, costeffective, eco-friendly, selectively targeted, sustained release drug loaded gold nanocarriers by green reduction method utilizing minimum raw materials and time, and preserving its stability and bioactivity during fabrication and release.

The design, development, and optimization of nanoformulations were done by employing. systematic design of experiments (DOE), which has. attracted attention in the pharmaceutical. sector to simultaneously attain. multiple objectives with minimal. consumption of time and resources. DOE involves stepwise assessment of critical quality attributes, factor screening, experimental design and optimization. BBD (Box-Behnken design) was employed to evaluate the effect of independent factors on the dependent responses. The effect of independent variables on the responses was illustrated by 3D response surface methodology. A graphical. and numerical optimization procedure was. carried out to obtain the predicted. value of various factors and responses. The final optimized batch of the nanoformulation was evaluated and validated.

Further, the nanoformulations were subjected to detailed evaluations for solid state characterization, physicochemical characterization, stability studies, *in vitro* drug release, drug release kinetic studies, hemocompatibility study, cellular uptake study by confocal fluorescence microscopy, *in vitro* cytotoxicity assay in K562 cell lines, cell apoptosis assay and *in vivo* pharmacokinetic study in Sprague Dawley rats and the results have been discussed in detail. These results indicate that the newly developed nanoparticulate system could prove to be a promising drug delivery system for prolonging the drug release and achieving the desired drug concentration at the tumor site for longer duration resulting in improved therapeutic efficacy of the drug in the treatment of CML.

�®ৰ্ক