List of Figures

Fig. 1.1 Foam cement insulation board 3

Fig. 1.2 Kiln furnitures made of various materials 4

Fig. 1.3 Porous ceramics for combustion application 4

Fig. 1.4 Light weight space mirrors with porous SiC used as a support for dense polished SiC reflecting layer 5

Fig. 1.5 Ceramic catalyst substrate for catalyst and adsorbent supports 7

Fig. 1.6 Ceramic foam filters for metal filtration 8

Fig. 1.7 Ceramic foam filters for fluid filtration 9

Fig. 1.8 Engineering porous materials for fuel cell applications 10

Fig. 1.9 Porous ceramics for humidity sensor 11

Fig. 1.10 Porous HA eyeball implant and its microstructure 12

Fig. 2.1 Representive fabrication process of porous ceramics 15

Fig. 2.2 Replica processing routes used for the production of porous ceramics 17

Fig. 2.3 Porous hydroxyapatite obtained from the coral structure 19

Fig. 2.4 Transversal view of a highly oriented SiC porous ceramic obtained after infiltration of a wood template with Si gas 20

Fig. 2.5 Sacrificial template processing route used for the production of porous ceramics 22

Fig. 2.6 Direct foaming processing routes used for the production of porous ceramics 24

Fig. 4.1 Effect of sucrose binder content on green density of as pressed and dried alumina compacts 36

Fig. 4.2 Variation in flexural strength of as pressed and dried alumina compacts as a function of sucrose binder content 38

Fig. 4.3 Fractured surface of fine alumina samples with 4.8, 7.2 (optimum) and 9.6 wt% sucrose binder 39

Fig. 4.4 Drilled green alumina samples prepared with sucrose content 1.8, 2.4, 3, wt% (left) and 3.6, 4.8, 6, 7.2 wt% (right) 40

Fig. 4.5 SEM micrograph of the drilled surface of green alumina sample fabricated with 7.2 wt% (optimum) sucrose content 40

Fig. 4.6 IR spectrum of sucrose binder 41

Fig. 4.7 (a) Thermogravimetric analysis and (b) Differential thermal analysis of sucrose binder in air 41

Fig. 4.8 Variation of porosity of sintered alumina compacts prepared with fine and coarse alumina powder as a function of sucrose binder content 42

Fig. 5.1 Schematic diagram showing organic burnout schedule during heat treatment of samples 52

Fig. 5.2 Schematic diagram showing firing schedule of alumina samples 52

Fig. 5.3 Flow chart for fabrication of porous alumina 53

Fig. 5.4 Sintered samples fabricated with 10, 20 and 30 wt% rice husk 53

Fig. 5.5 TG analysis of RH powder and sucrose in air atmosphere 55

Fig. 5.6 X-ray diffraction pattern of residue (RHA) left after heat treatment of RH powder at 1000˚C 56

Fig. 5.7 (a) Cracking and bending in samples with 30 and 40 wt%, (b) bursting of 30 wt% RH sample in to powder after drying at 100 ˚C/24 h and (c) alumina samples with 10 and 20 wt% RH heated at 5 ˚C/min 58

Fig. 5.8 Flexural strength of green samples 60

Fig. 5.9 (a) Drilling of green samples and (b) fracture surface of samples after strength testing 61

Fig. 5.10 Sample Al_$^{420\text{-}600}$ RH_{10} before and after firing 61

Fig. 5.11 Density of sintered samples as a function of size of rice husk in the composition 62

Fig. 5.12 XRD patterns of a porous alumina compacts Al_$^{<75}RH_{20}$_SS_{20} and Al_$^{180\text{-}355}RH_{40}$_SS_{20} fabricated using RH and sucrose and sintered at 1700˚C 64

Fig. 5.13 (a) Fracture and (b) cut surface of sandwich structure 65

Fig. 6.1 Effect of RH content for various sizes of RH powder on porosity of porous alumina compacts 69

Fig. 6.2 SEM micrograph of sintered porous alumina compacts fabricated using (a) 10, (b) 20, (c) 30 and (d) 40 wt% RH (75-180 µm average size) powder 71

Fig. 6.3 SEM micrograph of a porous alumina compacts showing large elongated pores and fine pores 71

Fig. 6.4 Effect of RH content on average pore size of porous alumina compacts 73

Fig. 6.5 SEM micrograph of sintered porous alumina compacts fabricated using 20 wt% RH powder with (a) <75, (b) 75-180, (c) 180-355, (d) 355-420 and (e) 420-600 µm size 74

Fig. 6.6 Normalized vol (cc/g) histogram in µm for samples with compositions (a) Al_$^{75\text{-}180}RH_{10}$_SS_{20} (b) Al_$^{75\text{-}180}RH_{20}$_SS_{20} (c) Al_$^{75\text{-}180}RH_{30}$_SS_{20} and (d) Al_$^{75\text{-}180}RH_{40}$_SS_{20} 76

Fig. 6.7 Effect of (a) porosity and (b) pore size on flexural strength of porous alumina compacts 78

Fig. 6.8 Effect of (a) porosity and (b) pore size on compressive strength of porous alumina compacts 80

Fig. 6.9 Effect of (a) porosity and (b) pore size on elastic modulus of porous alumina compacts 80

Fig. 6.10 Effect of (a) porosity and (b) pore size on hardness of porous alumina samples 81

Fig. 7.1 Effect of RH content and RH size on porosity and thermal conductivity of porous alumina compacts 91

Fig. 7.2 Variation of RH size and RH content on pore size and thermal conductivity of porous alumina compacts 91

Fig. 7.3 Schematic representation of three different types of pore connectivity for developed porous ceramics (a) 3-0 connectivity (b) (i) and (ii) 3-3 connectivity with varying extent of interconnection & (c) 0-3 connectivity 94

Fig. 7.4 Effective thermal conductivity as a function of porosity for analytical prediction and experimental measurements 95

Fig. 7.5 Effective thermal conductivity as a function of pore size for analytical prediction and experimental measurements 96

Fig. 7.6 Retained flexural strength as a function of severity of quench (temperature difference in quenching) for porous alumina with varying porosity 98

Fig. 7.7 Effect of composition on flexural strength before and after thermal quenching 99

Fig. 8.1 Variation of dielectric constant of porous alumina samples as a function of frequency at different temperatures with porosities (a) 2% (b) 39% (c) 61% 111

Fig. 8.2 Variation of dielectric constant of porous alumina samples as a function of frequency with increasing in porosity at (a) room temperature(b) 200˚C and (c) 500˚C 115

Fig. 8.3 Variation of dielectric constant of porous alumina samples as a function of frequency for different pore size at (a) room temperature (b) 200˚C and (c) 500˚C 117

Fig. 8.4 Variation of loss tangent of porous alumina samples as a function of frequency at different temperatures with porosities (a) 2% (b) 39% 118

Fig. 8.5 Variation of loss tangent of porous alumina samples as a function of frequency with increasing in porosity at (a) room temperature(b) 200˚C and (c) 500˚C 121

Fig. 8.6 Variation of loss tangent of porous alumina samples as a function of frequency for different pore size at (a) room temperature (b) 200˚C and (c) 500˚C 122

Fig. 8.7 Comparison of dielectric constant between the experimental results and Maxwell-Garnet model of the porous alumina ceramics with different amount and pore size of rice husk at room temperatures 124

Fig. 8.8 Experimental results for individual samples and fits to the models discussed in section 8.2.2 (Eqn 8.12) 125

Fig. 9.1 Schematic of the set-up used for measuring gas permeability 132

Fig. 9.2 Set up for measurement of gas permeability of porous ceramics 133

Fig. 9.3 Variation of pressure drop with nitrogen gas flow at fixed pressure across porous alumina compacts 135

Fig. 9.4 Pressure drop as a function of flow rate for predicted results and experimental results 135

Fig. 9.5 Variation of flow rate with total porosity for various nitrogen gas at different pressure across porous alumina compacts 136

Fig. 9.6 Variation of (a) Darcian permeability k_1 and (b) non-Darcian permeability k_2 with porosity of porous alumina compacts 137

Fig. 9.7 Variation of (a) Darcian permeability k_1 and (b) non-Darcian permeability k_2 with pore size of porous alumina compacts 137