Abstract

Software reliability is one of the most important software quality attributes. It is an external quality attribute, which relates internally to the notion of program faults or defects. In order to assess software reliability, one of methods is to apply Software Reliability Growth Models (SGRM). More than 200 SGRM exist. However these approaches are black-box based i.e., the software system is considered as a whole and only its interactions with the outside world are modeled, without looking into its internal structure. These are mostly employed at the later stage of the software development life cycle, before which many critical design decisions are made. Identification of significant problems during implementation or operation can lead to re-engineering of large parts of the system, which has been shown to be prohibitively costly. Further they are based on unrealistic assumptions. Therefore, several recent approaches have begun to quantify software reliability at the level of architectural models, or at least in terms of high-level system structure. Some of the existing approaches are based on Markov Model. It has been experienced that mostly software fails because of ambiguity in the requirements or defect in the design. Ambiguous requirements may also lead to the design defect. So, a model should be explainable to all the stakeholders to understand all the functional requirements. Further it will give an assurance to the client that all the functional requirements of software have been taken care of. UML has proved to be a general-purpose modeling language in the field of software engineering that can be understood by all the stakeholders. UML has an ability to model the scenarios of the system.

The possibility to extend the UML to convert into a probabilistic reliability model is shown. The converted reliability model is a Markov Model, which can be easily plugged into the existing approaches of reliability prediction based on Markov Model.

The limitations of the Markov reliability Model for early prediction are also concluded. They are incapable to model the concurrency and typically limited to modeling the probability of changes in the system with the exponential distribution. Further, in

these models, the reliability is the function of transition probabilities in between the states of the Markov Chain, which are either assumed or computed based on the operational profile. Analytical approach of predicting transition probabilities does not give accurate prediction. On the other hand, operational profile can be collected in the last stage of the software development life cycle or during the software operational time. Hence transition probabilities, based on operational profile does not provide early estimate of reliability.

It is shown that these limitations can be addressed by modeling a system through Petri net. We use the tool TimeNET for steady state distribution of the transition rates in between the states of Markov model, through which the transition probabilities have been derived.

Additionally, the reliability requirements of each component of a software system may not be necessarily same. Also during the system operation, its dynamics changes, which may affect the reliability of the components and hence of the overall system. Therefore, there is a requirement to have a methodology for updating the reliabilities of each component and system as well for corrective action. This motivates us to propose an approach for reliability updation of the components. Bayesian approach is used to address these issues.

All the above proposed approaches are validated with the real time safety critical system of Indian Nuclear Power Plant along with some noticeable findings.

Acknowledgements

Foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my research supervisors Prof. Anil Kumar Tripathi and Dr. Gopika Vinod for the continuous support of my Ph.D study and research, for his patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge. Their guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. Without their support and active participation in every step of the process, this thesis may never have been completed.

Besides my advisor, I would like to thank all the members of Research Program Committee and Department Post Graduate Committee for their encouragement, insightful comments, and conceptual questions.

My sincere thanks also goes to Prakash Tamboli, Scientist in NPCIL, Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India and PhD student of IIT Bombay for sparing his precious time in discussion to solve the complex mathematical problems, related to my work. I also thank Dr. Manoj Kumar, Scientist/F, Reactor Control Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India; for suggesting me good literature and helping me through his invaluable suggestions.

I would like to dedicate this thesis to the women in my life, my wife Pooja Singh and my son; Shaival Singh for without their love and understanding this effort could not have been accomplished. Pooja has inspired me at different times and in different ways. Pooja's constant support and motivation; her prayers for me, Shaival's good morning hugs and smiles have all helped me to persevere.

Last but not the least; I would like to thank my family: my parents Prof. Himmat Singh and Mrs. Maheshwari Singh, for giving birth to me at the first place and supporting me spiritually throughout my life; my younger brother and his wife for their love and affection; my in-laws Mr. D.R. Singh and Mrs. Bhanukumari who had taken care untirelessly to my son during my and Pooja's research period.

Contents

1	Introduction			
	1.1	Need for early prediction of reliability for software systems	5	
	1.2	Motivation of Research	6	
	1.3	Objectives of the present work	8	
		1.3.1 Uncertainty in Markov reliability models	8	
		1.3.2 Uncertainty in input parameters in Markov reliability models and		
		their limitations	9	
		1.3.3 Impact analysis of component reliability on the reliability of a soft-		
		ware system	9	
	1.4	Scope of the Research	10	
	1.5	Thesis Outline	10	
2	$\operatorname{Lit}_{\epsilon}$	erature Survey	12	
	2.1	Introduction	12	
	2.2	Dependability	12	
	2.3	Classification of Computer Based Systems	16	
	2.4	Hardware and Software Reliability	17	
	2.5	Fundamentals of Software Reliability	18	
		2.5.1 Time to failure	19	
		2.5.2 Reliability function	19	
		2.5.3 Hazard Rate	20	
	2.6	Probability Distributions and Reliability Functions	23	

CONTENTS vi

		2.6.1	Exponer	ntial Distribution	23
		2.6.2	Weibull	Distribution	23
		2.6.3	Rayleigh	Distribution	24
	2.7	Classit	fication of	f Software Reliability Models	25
		2.7.1	Data-do	main models	26
			2.7.1.1	Fault-seeding models	26
			2.7.1.2	Input-domain models	26
			2.7.1.3	Time-domain models	27
			2.7.1.4	Bayesian software reliability growth models	27
			2.7.1.5	Other models	27
	2.8	An Ov	verview of	Software Reliability Early Prediction	27
		2.8.1	Limitati	ons of existing approaches	30
			2.8.1.1	Modeling Limitations	31
			2.8.1.2	Analysis Limitations	31
			2.8.1.3	Parameter Estimation Limitations	32
			2.8.1.4	Validation Limitations	32
			2.8.1.5	Optimization Limitations	32
3	Pro	blem I	Formulat	cion and Solution Strategies	33
	3.1	Introd	uction .		33
	3.2	Proble	em Formu	lation	35
		3.2.1	Uncerta	inty in Markov reliability models	35
		3.2.2	Uncerta	inty in input parameters in Markov reliability models and	
			their lim	nitations	35
		3.2.3	Impact a	analysis of component reliability on the reliability of a soft-	
			ware sys	stem for preventive action	36
		3.2.4	Updatio	n of components reliability during testing / operational	
			phase fo	r corrective action	36
	3.3	Solution	on Strateg	gies	37
		3.3.1	Strategy	for dealing with the uncertainty in Markov reliability models	37

CONTENTS vii

		3.3.2	Strategy for dealing with the uncertainty in Markov reliability mod-	
			els and their limitations	38
		3.3.3	Strategy for dealing with impact analysis of component reliability	
			on the reliability of a software system for preventive action	38
		3.3.4	Strategy for updation of components reliability during testing/operation	onal
			phase for corrective action	39
4	UM	IL App	proach to Markov Reliability Modeling	41
	4.1	Introd	luction	41
	4.2	A Cas	se Study	43
		4.2.1	Short description of ECCS	43
		4.2.2	ECCS Instrumentation	44
		4.2.3	Control logic module	45
	4.3	Defini	ng attributes into scenario specifications	46
		4.3.1	Basic Message sequence Chart (BMSC)	47
		4.3.2	High-level Message Sequence Charts (HMSC)	48
		4.3.3	Labeled Transition Systems (LTS)	48
	4.4	A Tec	hnique for Early Software Reliability Prediction	49
		4.4.1	Phase1: Scenario Specification	50
		4.4.2	Phase2: LTS components identification	51
		4.4.3	Phase3: Reliability model creation	57
		4.4.4	Phase4: Reliability prediction	58
	4.5	Sensit	ivity Analysis	61
	4.6	Exper	imental Validation	63
	4.7	Concl	usion	65
5	Tra	nsition	Probability Prediction in MC	66
	5.1	Introd	luction	66
	5.2	Relate	ed work	67
	5.3	The p	roposed method for transition probability prediction	68

CONTENTS viii

	5.3.1	Phase1: Petri net model creation	69
	5.3.2	Phase2: Model Parameter assignment	69
	5.3.3	Phase3: Reachability graph creation	69
	5.3.4	Phase4: Markov Chain creation	70
	5.3.5	Phase5: Transition Probability estimation	71
5.4	A Cas	e Study	72
	5.4.1	ECCS Design Requirements	72
	5.4.2	Test Facility	74
	5.4.3	Test Facility Architecture	75
	5.4.4	Communication Module in TF	76
5.5	Applic	cation of proposed framework for prediction of transition probabilities	
	in Ma	rkov reliability model	77
	5.5.1	Phase 1: Petri net model creation	77
	5.5.2	Phase2: Model Parameter assignment	78
	5.5.3	Phase3: Reachability graph creation	79
	5.5.4	Phase4: Markov Chain creation	79
	5.5.5	Phase5: Transition Probability estimation	81
5.6	Valida	tion of our approach	82
	5.6.1	Reliability estimation, based on the predicted transition probabilities	82
	5.6.2	Reliability estimation, based on the operational profile data $$	85
		5.6.2.1 Phase 1: Data Collection	85
		5.6.2.2 Phase 2: Data Analysis	86
		5.6.2.3 Phase 3: Reliability Computation	86
		5.6.2.4 Phase 4: Reliability Comparison	87
5.7	Sensit	ivity Analysis of Parameter assignment	89
5.8	Perfor	mance estimation	89
5.9	Conclu	ısion	91
Dyr	namics	in Modeling for Reliability Prediction	97
6 1	Introd	uction	07

6

CONTENTS ix

	6.2	Infere	nce via Bayesian Networks	100
	6.3	A Cas	e Study	101
	6.4	Reliab	ility Estimate Updation	101
	6.5	Exper	mental Validation	105
	6.6	Conclu	sion	108
7	Con	clusio	and Future Research	109
	7.1	Uncer	ainty in Markov reliability models	110
	7.2	Uncer	cainty in input parameters in Markov reliability models and their	
	7.3	Impac	t analysis of component reliability on the reliability of a software	110
			for preventive action	111
	7.4	•	ion of components reliability during testing/	
		operat	ional phase for corrective action	112
	7.5	Future	Work	112
\mathbf{A}	ppen	dices		114
\mathbf{A}	Soft	ware I	Reliability Growth Models	115
	A.1	Data I	Domain Models	115
		A.1.1	Fault-seeding models	115
			A.1.1.1 Mills's Hypergeometric Model	115
		A.1.2	Input-domain models	117
			A.1.2.1 Nelson Input Domain Model	117
			A.1.2.2 Brown and Lipow Input Domain Model	117
			A.1.2.3 Ramamoorthy and Bastani Input Domain Model	118
	A.2	Time-	domain models	120
		A.2.1	Homogeneous Markov Models	121
		A.2.2	Non-Homogeneous Markov Models	121
		A.2.3	Semi-Markov Models	122
		A.2.4	Jelinski-Moranda de-eutrophication model	122

CONTENTS

		A.2.5 Goel-Okumoto Imperfect Debugging Model	24
		A.2.6 Schick and Wolverton Model	25
		A.2.7 Finite Failure NHPP Models	25
		A.2.8 State-space view of NHPP	27
		A.2.9 Infinite Failure Models	31
		A.2.9.1 Musa-Okumoto Logarithmic Poisson Execution Time Model 13	32
		A.2.9.2 Duane Model	32
		A.2.9.3 Log-Power NHPP Model	33
	A.3	Bayesian software reliability growth models	33
	A.4	Other Models	36
		A.4.1 Error Complexity Model	36
		A.4.2 Littlewood-Verall (LV) Bayesian Model	37
		A.4.3 Littlewood and Keiller (LK) Bayesian Model	38
В	Tim	eNET TOOL 13	39
	B.1	Introduction	39
	B.2	System Requirements	10
	В.3	Downloading TimeNET	40
	B.4	How to Install the Tool	11
	B.5	Configure a multi-user installation	12
	B.6	Starting the Tool	12
	B.7	Configuring the User Interface	12
	B.8	Upgrading to TimeNET 4.0	12
		B.8.1 Conversion of old Model Files	43

List of Figures

2.1	The dependability tree	13
2.2	Three-universe model	14
2.3	Bathtub curve for hardware reliability	17
2.4	Bathtub curve for software reliability	18
2.5	Classification of software reliability models	25
2.6	Probabilistic model for reliability analysis	28
2.7	DTMC model of an example	29
2.8	Probabilistic control flow graph of example application software	30
2.9	Classification of architecture-based software reliability models	30
3.1	Issues and proposed solutions for uncertainty in probabilistic models of software reliability and its estimates	40
4.1	Mimic diagram of ECCS	45
4.2	Architecture of valve control logic	46
4.3	Activity diagram for valve actuation	47
4.4	Sequence diagram for valve actuation	47
4.5	Framework for reliability prediction	50
4.6	Modified Activity diagram for valve actuation with transition probabilities	51
4.7	Modified Sequence diagram for valve actuation	52
4.8	Probabilistic-LTS for control logic	56
4.9	probabilistic-LTS for control logic with transitions	58
4.10	System Reliability as a function of components reliability	62

LIST OF FIGURES xii

4.11	System Reliability as a function of transition reliability	62
5.1	Transition Probability prediction framework	69
5.2	Architecture of Test Facility System	75
5.3	SPN of Embedded Unit	78
5.4	Reachability graph	79
5.5	Markov chain creation	81
5.6	Reliability Computation framework	85
5.7	Throughput change for t_{com1}	90
5.8	Transition probability change for t_{com1}	90
6.1	BN representation of IC	101
6.2	Failure probability of A, B and C	108
A.1	Non-Homogenous Markov chain	122
A.2	Semi-Markov chain	122
A.3	Non-Homogenous Markov chain	128
A.4	Comparison of analytical and numerical mean value functions	131

List of Tables

4.1	Failure classification of system	42
4.2	Transition probabilities between activities of HMSC	51
4.3	Reliabilities of components of BMSC	51
4.4	Operational profile of 1 year for valve operation logic	65
5.1	Failures in NPP	73
5.2	Components of EU	75
5.3	EU places and transitions	93
5.4	EU transitions with delay	93
5.5	Throughput of the transitions	94
5.6	Markings of EU GSPN model	94
5.7	Command Messages and acknowledgement messages of Communication $$.	95
5.8	Operational profile Data	96
5.9	Accuracy of predicted unreliability figure of communication module for	
	given seven stations	96
6.1	Statistical Parameters of S_A, S_B and W	106
6.2	Failure Probability of components A and B as a function of system C	107

List of Abbreviations

AIM Analog Input Module

BMSC Basic Message Sequence Chart

BN Bayesian network

CBS Computer-Based System

DCC Digital Control Computer

DIM Digital Input Module

DTMC Discrete Time Markov Chain

DU Display Unit

ECCS Emergency Core Cooling System

EPROM Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory

EU Embedded Unit

FSP Finite State Process

HMSC High-level Message Sequence Chart

LAN Local Area Network

LOCA Loss of coolant accident

LT Level Transmitter

LTS Labeled Transition Systems

MC Markov Chain

MCS Monte Carlo simulation

NPP Nuclear Power Plant

NT Nitrogen Tank

RD Rupture Disc

ROM Relay Input Module

RT-20 Real Time-20

RTD Resistance Temperature Detector

SDLC Software Development Life Cycle

SRE Software Reliability Engineering

SRGM Software Reliability Growth Models

TF Test Facility (System)

List of Symbols

 X_i node i in BN

X Set of nodes in BN

P(X) Joint probability

 S_N Strength of software component N

W Applied load on the software component

 $P(X_i)$ Marginal probability of X_i

P(X = F) Probability that X fails

f(x) probability density function of x

 p_{ij} Probability of transition from state i to state j

 q_{ij} Transition rate from state i to state j

 $p_i(t)$ Probability that a component is in state i

 R_{com}^{est} Estimated Reliability of communication module using MC

 R_{com}^{act} Actual Reliability of communication module using operational profile

 R_{com}^{diff} Difference in R_{com}^{est} and R_{com}^{act}

 UR_{com}^{est} Estimated Unreliability of communication module using MC

 UR_{com}^{act} Actual Unreliability of communication module using operational profile

 UR_{com}^{diff} Difference in UR_{com}^{est} and UR_{com}^{act}