
Figures

- Figure 1.1** *The idealized unit cell of spinel structure of $MgAl_2O_4$.*
- Figure 1.2** *The energy levels of the orbital in the d-electron system. The energy levels split into t_{2g} and e_g where these levels are inverted for both fields, also the energy gap in the tetrahedral field is smaller than the energy gap in the octahedral field.*
- Figure 1.3** *The orbitals of tetrahedral field, the energy levels split into triply degenerate t_{2g} and doubly degenerate e_g where the energy level of t_{2g} orbitals is higher than the energy level of e_g orbitals.*
- Figure 1.4** *The orbitals of the octahedral field, the energy levels split into doubly degenerate e_g and triply degenerate t_{2g} . Unlike the tetrahedral field, the energy level of e_g orbitals in octahedral field is higher than the energy level of t_{2g} orbitals.*
- Figure 1.5** *(a) Schematic depicting the interaction between an e_g orbital and its ligands represented by the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and p_x and p_y orbitals. The direct overlap between these orbitals indicates strong interactions and σ bonding. (b) Schematic depicting the interaction between a t_{2g} orbital and a ligand represented by the d_{xz} and p_z orbitals. The indirect overlap between these orbitals results in weaker interactions and π bonding.*
- Figure 1.6** *Schematic of the d orbital occupancy for a d^5 configuration with octahedral symmetry for the weak field and strong field cases.*
- Figure 1.7** *Long-range magnetic moment ordering for a (a) ferromagnet, (b) antiferromagnet, and (c) ferrimagnet. These magnetic classes exhibit spontaneous ordering of the moments below a critical temperature.*
- Figure 1.8** *Spin arrangement for the three magnetic cations in a spinel formula unit under the exchange interaction conditions for the collinear Néel configuration or conventional ferrimagnet.*
- Figure 1.9** *Spin arrangement for the three magnetic cations in a spinel formula unit under the exchange interaction conditions for frustrated magnetism. (a) Without a dominant exchange interaction, it is impossible to simultaneously satisfy all three exchange interactions as the third moment cannot order. (b) Instead the moments cant to achieve the lowest energy state possible.*
- Figure 1.10** *Examples of unusual spin configurations that arise from varying degrees of magnetic frustration.*
- Figure 1.11** *Schematic picture that shows the two basic processes of the magnetocaloric effect when a magnetic field is applied or removed in a magnetic system: the isothermal process, which leads to an entropy change, and the adiabatic process, which yields a variation in temperature.*
- Figure 1.12** *S-T diagram showing the MCE. Solid lines represent the total entropy in two different magnetic fields ($H_0 = 0$ and $H_1 > 0$), dotted line shows the electronic and lattice contributions to the entropy (non-magnetic), and dashed lines show*

the magnetic entropy in the two fields. The horizontal arrow shows ΔT_{ad} and the vertical arrow shows ΔS_m , when the magnetic field is changed from H_0 to H_1 .

- Figure 1.13** *(a) $\ln\rho$ versus $(1/T)^{0.25}$ from VRH model, the barrier energy parameter T_0 is calculated from the slope of the curve. (b) The localization length in the localized wave function.*
- Figure 1.14** *(a) $\ln\rho$ versus $(1/T)$ from Arrhenius model. (b) The activation energy and the band gap between valence and conduction bands.*
- Figure 2.1** *Schematic diagram of X-ray diffraction.*
- Figure 2.2** *Josephson junction.*
- Figure 2.3** *Layout of optical components in a basic TEM.*
- Figure 2.4** *Tecnai G^2 S-Twin (FEI, The Netherlands) Transmission Electron Microscope.*
- Figure 2.5** *Selected area electron diffraction pattern of single crystal, polycrystalline and amorphous materials.*
- Figure 2.6** *The photoelectric effect, in which an X-ray is absorbed and a core level electron is promoted out of the atom.*
- Figure 2.7** *X-ray absorption measurements: An incident beam of monochromatic X-rays of intensity I_0 passes through a sample of thickness t , and the transmitted beam has intensity I .*
- Figure 2.8** *Decay of the excited state: X-ray fluorescence (left) and the Auger effect. In both cases, the probability of emission (X-ray or electron) is directly proportional to the absorption probability.*
- Figure 2.9** *XAFS $\mu(E)$ for FeO. On top, the measured XAFS spectrum is shown with the XANES and EXAFS regions identified. On the bottom, $\mu(E)$ is shown with smooth background function $\mu_0(E)$ and the edge-step $\Delta\mu_0(E_0)$.*
- Figure 2.10** *The centre burst of a sample interferogram from an FT-IR spectrometer.*
- Figure 2.11** *Schematic diagram of a Michelson interferometer, configured for FT-IR.*
- Figure 2.12** *Fourier transmissions Infrared spectrometer(spectrum 65-FTIR spectrum- eter Perkin Elmer Instrument, USA).*
- Figure 2.13** *Schematic diagram of test circuit for measuring resistivity with the four-point probe method.*
- Figure 2.14** *Schematic diagram of X-ray photoemission spectroscopy.*
- Figure 2.15** *Block diagram of Thermoelectric measurement.*
- Figure 3.1** *Block diagram of solid state reaction technique to synthesize $Mn_{1-x}Z_xV_2O_4$ and $Mn(V_{1-x}Cr_x)_2O_4$.*

- Figure 3.2** *Temperature variation of magnetization of $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ (with $x=0, 0.05, 0.1$) measured at $H=100$ Oe. Inset shows the plot of dM/dT vs. T indicating two transitions.*
- Figure 3.3** *Temperature variation of magnetization of $Mn(V_{1-x}Cr_x)_2O_4$ (with $x = 0.05, 0.1$) measured at $H=500$ Oe. Inset shows the plot of dM/dT vs. T indicating two transitions.*
- Figure 3.4** *Integrated intensity of (220) for $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ (with $x=0, 0.05, 0.1$).*
- Figure 3.5** *(a) Splitting of $400 \rightarrow (220)_T + (004)_T$ of $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ (with $x=0, 0.05, 0.1$) obtained from XRD and (b) shows systematic evolution of Tetragonal distortion for $x=0.1$ sample.*
- Figure 3.6** *Neutron diffraction pattern of MnV_2O_4 at 300K and 6K.*
- Figure 3.7** *Temperature dependent (a) Electrical resistivity of $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ (with $x=0, 0.05, 0.1$) and $Mn(V_{1-x}Cr_x)_2O_4$ (with $x = 0.05, 0.1$) (b) $\ln(\rho)$ vs $1000/T$ for $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ (with $x=0, 0.05, 0.1$) and $Mn(V_{1-x}Cr_x)_2O_4$ (with $x = 0.05, 0.1$).*
- Figure 3.8** *Seebeck coefficient as a function of (a) Temperature and (b) $1000/T$ for $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ (with $x=0, 0.05, 0.1$) and $Mn(V_{1-x}Cr_x)_2O_4$ (with $x = 0.05, 0.1$).*
- Figure 4.1** *The X-ray diffraction pattern for the $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ (with $x=0.0, 0.05, 0.1$).*
- Figure 4.2** *Normalised XANES spectra of $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ for $x = 0, 0.05$ & 0.1 at Mn K-edge with along with reference Mn metal, MnO and Mn_2O_3 sample.*
- Figure 4.3** *Normalised XANES spectra of $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ for $x = 0.05$ & 0.1 at Zn K-edge with along with Zn metal and ZnO standard sample.*
- Figure 4.4** *Normalised XANES spectra of $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ for $x = 0, 0.05$ & 0.1 at V K-edge with along with V metal and VO_2 standard sample.*
- Figure 4.5** *The temperature variation of magnetization of $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ in a 100 Oe magnetic field. Inset is showing evolution of T_c with $V-V$ distance.*
- Figure 4.6** *Magnetization as a function of applied magnetic field for the $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ (with $x=0.0, 0.05, 0.1$) at different temperatures (Isotherms have been measured every 2 K interval around Curie temperature).*
- Figure 4.7** *Final results for critical constants of $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ (with $x=0, 0.05, 0.1$).*
- Figure 4.8** *Universal curves and inset shows the log-log plot of universal curves of $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ (with $x=0, 0.05, 0.1$).*
- Figure 4.9** *Magneto caloric effect of $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ at 2T and 4T magnetic fields. Inset shows the fitting of ΔS (entropy change) vs. magnetic field (H) curve of $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ (with $x=0, 0.05, 0.1$).*
- Figure 5.1** *Block diagram of solid state reaction technique to synthesize $Mn_xZn_{1-x}V_2O_4$, $Co_xZn_{1-x}V_2O_4$ and $Zn(V_{1-y}Ti_y)_2O_4$.*

- Figure 5.2** *X-ray diffraction pattern with Rietveld refinement for Mn, Co and Ti doped ZnV_2O_4 samples at (a) 300K and (b) 10K. (c) X-ray diffraction pattern in very narrow 2θ (61.5° – 63°) range at 300K (above the structural transition) and at 10K. Inset shown the variation of Manganese concentration with lattice parameter.*
- Figure 5.3** *TEM images of (a) ZnV_2O_4 , (b) $Zn_{0.9}Mn_{0.1}V_2O_4$, (c) $ZnV_{1.8}Ti_{0.2}O_4$ and (d) $Zn_{0.9}Co_{0.1}V_2O_4$.*
- Figure 5.4** *Temperature variation of magnetization for $Zn_{1-x}A_xV_2O_4$ [where $x=0.05$ and 0.1 for $A=Mn$ and $x=0.01$ for $A=Co$] spinels at $H=500$ Oe. Inset: Variation of T_N with the inverse $V-V$ distance, $1/R_{V-V}$ for the $Zn_{1-x}A_xV_2O_4$ [where $x=0.05$ and 0.1 for $A=Mn$ and $x=0.01$ for $A=Co$] spinels.*
- Figure 5.5** *Upper panel: Temperature dependent Resistivity curves for Mn and Co doped ZnV_2O_4 . Lower panel: Temperature dependent of thermoelectric power for $Zn_{1-x}A_xV_2O_4$ [where $x=0.05$ for $A=Mn$ and $x=0.10$ for $A=Co$].*
- Figure 5.6** *$d\chi/dT$ as a function of Temperature for Mn and Co doped ZnV_2O_4 .*
- Figure 5.7** *Room temperature Absorbance Spectra of $Zn_{1-x}A_xV_2O_4$ [where $x=0.05$ and 0.1 for $A=Mn$ and $x=0.1$ for $A=Co$] and $Zn(V_{1-x}Ti_x)_2O_4$ [where $x=0.05$ and 0.1].*
- Figure 5.8** *Charge gap and activation energy variation as a function of inverse $V-V$ distance for $Zn_{1-x}A_xV_2O_4$ [where $x=0.05$ and 0.1 for $A=Mn$ and $x=0.01$ for $A=Co$].*
- Figure 5.9** *Variation of Volume as a function of $1/R_{V-V}$ for $Zn_{1-x}A_xV_2O_4$ [where $x=0.05$ and 0.1 for $A=Mn$ and $x=0.01$ for $A=Co$].*
- Figure 5.10** *V 2p XPS core-level spectra of ZnV_2O_4 (a), $Zn_{0.9}Mn_{0.1}V_2O_4$ (b) and $Zn_{0.9}Co_{0.1}V_2O_4$ (c); Zn 2p XPS core-level spectra of ZnV_2O_4 (d), $Zn_{0.9}Mn_{0.1}V_2O_4$ (e) and $Zn_{0.9}Co_{0.1}V_2O_4$ (f); Mn 2p XPS core-level spectra of $Zn_{0.9}Mn_{0.1}V_2O_4$ (g); Co 2p XPS core-level spectra of $Zn_{0.9}Co_{0.1}V_2O_4$ (h).*
- Figure 5.11** *Valance-band XPS spectra of ZnV_2O_4 , $Zn_{0.9}Mn_{0.1}V_2O_4$ and $Zn_{0.9}Co_{0.1}V_2O_4$.*
- Figure 5.12** *Temperature variation of magnetization measured at $H=500$ Oe for $Zn(V_{1-x}Ti_x)_2O_4$ [where $x=0.05$ and 0.1].*
- Figure 5.13** *Temperature dependent Resistivity and Seebeck coefficient for $Zn(V_{1-x}Ti_x)_2O_4$ [where $x=0.05$ and 0.1].*
- Figure 5.14** *Variation of Charge gap and Activation energy as a function of $1/R_{V-V}$ for $Zn(V_{1-x}Ti_x)_2O_4$ [where $x=0.05$ and 0.1].*
- Figure 6.1** *Block diagram of solid state reaction technique to synthesize $Li_xFe_{1-x}V_2O_4$ and $Fe(Cr_yV_{1-y})O_4$.*
- Figure 6.2** *X-ray diffraction pattern with Rietveld refinement for Li and Cr doped FeV_2O_4 samples at 300K. The inset shows the variation of lattice parameters with Li and Cr concentration.*
- Figure 6.3** *Temperature variation of magnetization for $Fe_{1-x}Li_xV_2O_4$ [where $x=0, 0.05$, and 0.1 spinels at $H=5000$ Oe] and $Fe(Cr_{0.05}V_{0.95})_2O_4$ [where $x=0$ and 0.05 spinels at $H=5000$ Oe] and Inset shows the plot of dM/dT vs. T indicating transitions.*

- Figure 6.4** *Temperature dependence of AC magnetization measured in fields with 100 Hz frequency for $Fe_{1-x}Li_xV_2O_4$ [where $x=0, 0.05,$ and 0.1] around T_C . Inset shows the variation of T_C with respect to $1/R_{V-V}$.*
- Figure 6.5** *The isothermal field dependence of the magnetization at 2K for $Fe_{1-x}Li_xV_2O_4$ [where $x=0$ and 0.01] and $Fe(Cr_{0.05}V_{0.95})_2O_4$ [where $x=0.05$].*
- Figure 6.6** *The temperature dependence of ferroelectric polarizaiton of Li and Cr doped FeV_2O_4 .*
- Figure 6.7** *(a) The temperature dependences of resistivity for $Fe_{1-x}Li_xV_2O_4$ [where $x=0$ and 0.01] and $Fe(Cr_{0.05}V_{0.95})_2O_4$ [where $x=0.05$] (b) $\ln \rho$ vs $1000/T$ for $Fe_{1-x}Li_xV_2O_4$ [where $x=0$ and 0.01] and $Fe(Cr_{0.05}V_{0.95})_2O_4$ [where $x=0.05$].*

Tables

- Table 3.1** *Structural parameters (lattice parameters, bond lengths) of $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ (with $x=0, 0.05, 0.1$) samples obtained from neutron and X-Ray Diffraction data refinement. The structural data have been refined with Space group $I41/a$ at 6K and $Fd-3 m$ at 300K.*
- Table 3.2** *Structural parameters (lattice parameters, bond lengths) of $Mn(V_{1-x}Cr_x)_2O_4$ (with $x=0.05, 0.1$) samples obtained from Rietveld refinement of X-ray diffraction data. The structural data have been refined with Space group $I41/a$ at 10K and $Fd-3 m$ at 300K.*
- Table 3.3** *Transport parameters E_a (obtained from resistivity data using small polaron hopping model), E_s (obtained from thermo-electric power data) and T_o (obtained from resistivity data using Variable range hopping model) for the spinels $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ (with $x=0, 0.05, 0.1$) and $Mn(V_{1-x}Cr_x)_2O_4$ (with $x = 0.05, 0.1$).*
- Table 4.1** *Structural parameters (lattice parameters, V-V bond lengths) of $Mn_{1-x}Zn_xV_2O_4$ (with $x=0, 0.05, 0.1$) samples obtained X-ray diffraction data refinement. The structural data have been refined with Space group $Fd-3m$ at 300K.*
- Table 4.2** *Vanadium I^{st} derivative spectra peak energies.*
- Table 5.1** *Structural parameters (lattice parameters, bond lengths) of $Zn_{1-x}A_xV_2O_4$ (with $x=0, 0.05, 0.1$ and $A=Mn, Co, Ti$) samples obtained from Rietveld refinement. The structural data have been refined with Space group $I41/a$ at 10K and $Fd-3m$ at 300K.*
- Table 5.2** *Tight-binding parameters for $ZnV_2O_4, Zn_{0.9}Mn_{0.1}V_2O_4$ and $Zn_{0.9}Co_{0.1}V_2O_4$.*
- Table 6.1** *Structural parameters (lattice parameters, bond lengths) of $Fe_{1-x}Li_xV_2O_4$ ($0 < x < 0.1$) samples obtained from Rietveld refinement of X-ray diffraction data.*