



# Hybrid quantum–classical chaotic NEMS

A.K. Singh<sup>a,1,\*</sup>, L. Chotorlishvili<sup>b,1</sup>, Z. Toklikishvili<sup>c,1</sup>, I. Tralle<sup>b,1</sup>, S.K. Mishra<sup>a,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University), Varanasi - 221005, India

<sup>b</sup> Department of Physics and Medical Engineering, Rzeszow University of Technology, 35-959 Rzeszow, Poland

<sup>c</sup> Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Tbilisi State University, Chavchavadze av.3, 0128 Tbilisi, Georgia

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 15 August 2021

Received in revised form 8 May 2022

Accepted 8 June 2022

Available online 18 June 2022

### Keywords:

chaos

NEMS

NV center spin

## ABSTRACT

We present an exactly solvable model of a hybrid quantum–classical system of a Nitrogen-Vacancy (NV) center spin (quantum spin) coupled to a nanocantilever (classical) and analyze the enforcement of the regular or chaotic classical dynamics onto the quantum spin dynamics. The main problem we focus in this paper is whether the classical dynamical chaos may induce chaotic effects in the quantum spin dynamics or not. We explore several characteristic criteria of the quantum chaos, such as quantum Poincaré recurrences, generation of coherence and energy level distribution and observe interesting chaotic effects in the spin dynamics. Dynamical chaos imposed in the cantilever dynamics through the kicking pulses induces the stochastic dynamics on the quantum subsystem. We consider a quantum system of two and three levels and show that in a two-level case, type of stochasticity is not conforming all the characteristic features of the quantum chaos and is distinct from it. We also explore the effect of quantum feedback on dynamics of the cantilever and the entire system.

© 2022 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Classical or quantum finite systems may show non-deterministic behavior when coupled to a stochastic bath (or other external randomness sources), or nonlinearity [1,2]. In such hybrid systems any small perturbation destroys the regular motion and leads to unpredictable evolution of the system. In the first case, the stochasticity appears to be external, and in the second case, it is an intrinsic property of the system [3]. For example, in the case of a kicked rotator in classical regime, when the strength of kicking is increased, the regular periodic motion is destroyed and chaotic motion is observed. The chaotic behavior can be validated by a diffusive growth in the kinetic energy [4,5] of the kicked rotator. The quantum delta kicked rotators play an important role in understanding quantum chaos and other related effects [6]. The existence of quantum resonance can be seen using the quantum kicked rotators [7]. Experimentally the quantum kicked rotators and quantum chaos can be studied using ultracold atoms which are driven by periodically kicked by optical pulses [8]. The effect of the nonlinearity on a two-level system coupled with kicked rotor is already studied [9]. Dynamical chaos refers to the phenomenon of extreme sensitivity of phase trajectories to a tiny disturbance. It is worth to note that in the quantum case, we do not have phase trajectories and the chaos is manifested in the

Gaussian statistics of the energy spectrum [10]. The remarkable feature of quantum chaos is the termination of classically allowed diffusive processes leading to destruction of quantum coherence [11,12]. In this paper our interest is in a hybrid system under the constraint such that part of the system is classical, and the rest is quantum. In such a quantum–classical hybrid system when the classical part exhibits dynamical chaos, we analyze the spread of chaos to the quantum part.

In the last few years the hybrid systems consisting of the spin and mechanical parts named as Nano-electromechanical systems (NEMS) generated a lot of interest [13–39]. In these systems the spin subsystem is always described quantum-mechanically and the mechanical subsystem (*i.e.*, the oscillator) can be considered either in quantum or classical (linear or nonlinear) regimes. All these cases need special mathematical description and show the realization of a physical features. Our interest here concerns the case when cantilever coupled to the NV center performs nonlinear oscillations. For more details about the model in question, we refer to the earlier works [31,39,40]. In particular, we assume that kicks of the external driving field force the classical motion of the cantilever and the overlapping of nonlinear resonances may induce the chaotic motion of the cantilever. The chaoticity of the motion of the cantilever extends to the quantum spin dynamics via the cantilever-spin coupling term. The spin of the NV center is described by spin triplet  $S = 1$ , with  $m_s = -1, 0, \text{ and } 1$ . States  $| -1 \rangle$  and  $| 1 \rangle$  are separated by potential barrier  $D\hat{S}_z^2 \approx \hbar\omega_0$ , where  $\omega_0 = 2.88\text{GHz}$ . In what follows we set  $\hbar = 1$ . Hamiltonian of the

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [abhishekrsingh.rs.phy17@itbhu.ac.in](mailto:abhishekrsingh.rs.phy17@itbhu.ac.in) (A.K. Singh).

<sup>1</sup> All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.

NV center has the form [37]:

$$\hat{H}_{NV} = \sum_{i=\pm 1} \left( -\delta_i |i\rangle \langle i| + \frac{\Omega_i}{2} (|0\rangle \langle i| + |i\rangle \langle 0|) \right), \quad (1)$$

where  $\delta_i$  and  $\Omega_i$  are detunings and Rabi frequencies of the two microwave (MW) transitions. In the limit of single MW field and zero external magnetic field  $B_z \rightarrow 0$ , Hamiltonian equation (1) couples the ground state  $|0\rangle$  with bright superposition of the excited states  $|b\rangle = (|-1\rangle + |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ , while dark state  $|d\rangle = (|-1\rangle - |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$  is decoupled and NV center reduces to an effective two-level model. In what follows we consider both two- and three-level problems. In the case of coupling with the bright state the Hamiltonian of the hybrid system of NV center and a driven nonlinear oscillator is given as [38]

$$H(x, p, t) = H_S + H_0(x, p) + H_{NL} + \varepsilon V(x, t) + g \hat{V}_{c,NV}. \quad (2)$$

Here  $\hat{H}_S = \frac{1}{2} \omega_0 \hat{\sigma}_z$  is the Hamiltonian of the NV center, The splitting frequency is given by  $\omega_0 = (\omega_R^2 + \delta^2)^{1/2}$ , where  $\omega_R$  is the Rabi frequency, and  $\delta$  is the detuning. The spin operator  $\hat{S}_{z,NV}$  can be written in the basis of NV center as [38]:  $\hat{S}_{z,NV} = \frac{1}{2} (\cos(\alpha) \hat{\sigma}_z + \sin(\alpha) (\hat{\sigma}_+ + \hat{\sigma}_-))$  with  $\tan(\alpha) = -\omega_R/\delta$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_z = |e\rangle \langle e| - |g\rangle \langle g|$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}_+ = |e\rangle \langle g|$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}_- = |g\rangle \langle e|$ . The linear part of the oscillator is given by term  $H_0 = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{\omega_r^2 m x^2}{2}$  and the nonlinear part is given by  $H_{NL} = \beta x^3 + \mu x^4$ , where  $\omega_r$  is the frequency of the oscillations,  $\beta$  and  $\mu$  are constants of the nonlinear terms. The term

$$V(x, t) = V_0 x T \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - nT), \quad (3)$$

$$\varepsilon V_0 = f_0, \quad \varepsilon \ll 1,$$

describes the driven motion of the cantilever in the microwave field of delta pulses with frequency  $\omega = 2\pi/T$ . The key issue is the last term  $\hat{V}_{c,NV} = x(t) \hat{S}_{z,NV}$  in Eq. (2) which describes the coupling between the classical cantilever and the quantum NV spin. The distance and the coupling strength between the magnetic tip and NV spin depend on the magnetostriction effect [39]. Subject to the cantilever's oscillations,  $x(t)$  can be either chaotic or regular. In what follows, we show that classical dynamical chaos leads to the stochastic phenomenon in spin dynamics. We consider two and three level models of the NV center and show that in both cases chaotic dynamics of the cantilever leads to the chaotic spin dynamics. However, being stochastic, two-level model does not manifest all the characteristic features of quantum chaos. In the present manuscript our main focus is a mathematical formulation of the problem. Nevertheless, we specify the values of the parameters relevant to the NV centers [37]:  $\frac{\omega_r}{2\pi} = 5$  MHz,  $\frac{\omega_R}{2\pi} = 0.1 - 10$  MHz,  $\delta = 1$  kHz, mass of the cantilever  $m = 6 \times 10^{-17}$  kg, the coupling constant  $\frac{g}{2\pi} = 100$  kHz, the amplitude of the zero point fluctuations  $a_0 = \sqrt{\hbar/2m\omega_r} \approx 5 \times 10^{-3}$  m. The nonlinear constants are order of  $\beta \approx \frac{\omega_r^2 m}{2a_0}$ ,  $\mu \approx \frac{\omega_r^2 m}{2a_0^2}$ . The energy scale of the problem is defined by  $\varepsilon V \approx \omega_r^2 m a_0^2 \approx 10^{-9}$  J, and the time scale is of order of microsecond scale  $t \approx \frac{\pi}{2g}$  microseconds. In what follows, we explore the spreading of classical dynamical chaos on the quantum system. In the quantum part of the NEMS, spin dynamics manifest some characteristic features of the quantum chaos [41–44], but not all of them. Therefore, we term this phenomenon as a hybrid quantum–classical chaos. The work is organized as follows: In Section 2, we discuss the classical chaotic dynamics of the cantilever. In Section 3, we present analytical results for spin dynamics of NV center spin attached to the cantilever and discuss different aspects of the quantum chaos, namely, quantum coherence, Poincaré recurrences and level statistics. Subsequently

in Section 4 we study dynamics of a three-level NV system. Later, in Section 5 we explore statistical average over various  $I_0$  and  $\theta_0$ . In Section 6 we study about feedback effect and finally summarize the manuscript in Section 7.

## 2. Dynamics of the cantilever

The experimentally feasible NEMS consists of the spin of the NV center interacting with a magnetic tip (attached to the end of the nano-cantilever). The oscillations performed by the cantilever can be viewed as classical or quantum, depending on the simple criteria: At temperatures  $T \ll 2\pi \hbar \omega_r / k_B$ , where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant and  $\omega_r$  is the oscillation frequency, dynamics of a cantilever is quantum, and it exerts quantum feedback effect on a spin dynamics. Typically for  $\omega_r = 1$  kHz,  $T < 50$  nK. At higher temperatures, or when the cantilever is controlled externally by a classical field, dynamics is classical. Large-amplitude nonlinear oscillations are entirely classical. Therefore in what follows, we neglect the quantum feedback effect.

With the purpose of simplicity, the cantilever part of the Hamiltonian  $H_{p,q} = H_0 + H_{NL} + V(x, t)$  can be rewritten in the action–angle canonical variables through the transformation  $\Phi = F + I\theta$ :

$$d\Phi = pdq + \theta dl + (H_{I,\theta} - H_{p,q}) dt. \quad (4)$$

The canonical equations in the new variables are given as

$$\frac{dl}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H_{I,\theta}}{\partial \theta} = -\varepsilon \frac{\partial V(I, \theta)}{\partial \theta} T \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - nT),$$

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = \frac{\partial H_{I,\theta}}{\partial I} = \omega(I) + \varepsilon \frac{\partial V(I, \theta)}{\partial I} T \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - nT). \quad (5)$$

The presence of the delta function allows us to introduce the Floquet map  $(I_{n+1}, \theta_{n+1}) = \mathcal{F}(I_n, \theta_n)$  and integrate Eq. (5) exactly as

$$I_{n+1} = I_n - \varepsilon T \frac{\partial V(I_n, \theta_n)}{\partial \theta_n},$$

$$\theta_{n+1} = \theta_n + \omega(I_{n+1})T + \varepsilon T \frac{\partial V(I_n, \theta_n)}{\partial I}. \quad (6)$$

From the above equation we deduce the criteria of the dynamical chaos:


$$K = \varepsilon I_0 T \left| \frac{d\omega(I)}{dI} \right|, \quad (7)$$

Using action–angle variable to transform the cantilever part of the Hamiltonian  $H_{p,q} = H_0 + H_{NL} + V(x, t)$ , and taking average with respect to the fast phase  $\theta$ , the cubic part of  $H_{NL}$  will be zero if we take average with respect to fast phase  $\theta$  but the quartic term in  $H_{NL}$  will survive, so we obtain, from here  $\omega(I) = \partial(H_0 + H_{NL})/\partial I$ ,  $H_0(I) = \omega_r I + H_{NL}$ ,  $H_{NL} = 3\pi\mu \left(\frac{I}{m\omega_r}\right)^2$ , and  $V = V_0(I) \cos \theta$ ,  $V_0(I) = V_0 \sqrt{2I_0/\omega_r}$ . Using the system specific parameters we define the criterion for dynamical chaos as:

$$K = \varepsilon I_0 T \left( \frac{6\pi\mu}{m^2 \omega_r^2} \right). \quad (8)$$

$K$  is also known as a criterion for overlapping of the resonance. If  $K < 1$  the phase trajectories are distinguishable from each other and if  $K > 1$  phase trajectories correspond to chaotic behavior [42].

After the formation of dynamical chaos, the dynamical description of the problem loses the sense. The dynamics of the cantilever can be explored using a standard map  $I_{n+1} = I_n - K \sin \theta_n$ ,  $\theta_{n+1} = \theta_n + I_{n+1}$ , where  $K$  quantifies the criterion for the dynamical chaos. For further analytic insights, we utilize the



**Fig. 1.** The Phase space plot of cantilever's dynamics constructed through the recurrence relations equation (6) in (a) the regular regime  $K = 0.5$  (Blue) where the phase space is covered by two different phase trajectories: open hyperbolic and some part of closed elliptic, and (b) the chaotic regime at  $K = 10$  (Gray) where the entire phase space is covered by a chaotic sea. Topologically different phase trajectories are bordered by separatrix line. The values of parameters are:  $K = \epsilon I_0 T \frac{6\pi\mu}{m^2\omega_r^2}$ ,  $\mu = \frac{\omega_r^2 m}{2a_0^2}$ ,  $I_0 = \frac{m}{2}\omega_0^2 x_0$ ,  $m = 6 \times 10^{-17}$  Kg,  $x_0 = a_0 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$  m,  $T = 10$   $\mu$ s,  $\omega_r = \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 5 \times 10^6$  Hz, for chaotic case  $\epsilon = 0.003$  and for the regular case  $\epsilon = 0.0003$ .

methods of non-equilibrium statistical physics and introduce the distribution function  $f(\theta, I)$  such that  $i\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = (\hat{L}_0 + \epsilon\hat{L}_1)$ , where Liouville operators  $\hat{L}_0$  and  $\hat{L}_1$  are defined as follows (see [42] for more details)  $\hat{L}_0 = -i\omega\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}$ , and  $\hat{L}_1 = -i(\frac{\partial V}{\partial I}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial I})$ . The critical issue is the difference between the time scales of the slow action  $I$  and fast angle  $\theta$  variables. The correlation time scale in the system is defined via  $\langle\langle\theta(t)\theta(0)\rangle\rangle = \exp(-t/\tau_c)$ . Typically  $\tau_c < T < \tau_D$ , where  $\tau_D$  is the time spent on the substantial change of the action variable. As we see from Eq. (5), during the interval between the kicks  $T$ , the change of the action variable is small and is proportional to  $\epsilon$ . Our interest here concerns the distribution function for the action variable, and the Fokker-Planck equation averaged over the fast angular variable  $F(I) = \langle\langle f(I, \theta) \rangle\rangle_\theta$ . The general structure of the Fokker-Planck equation reads [42]:

$$\frac{\partial F(I)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial I}(A(I)F(I)) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial I^2}(B(I)F(I)), \quad (9)$$

where  $A = \frac{1}{T}\langle\langle\Delta I\rangle\rangle_\theta$ , and  $B = \frac{1}{T}\langle\langle(\Delta I)^2\rangle\rangle_\theta$ . After calculating coefficients explicitly, we deduce the kinetic equation as

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial I}D(I)\frac{\partial F}{\partial I}, \quad (10)$$

where  $D(I) = \pi\epsilon^2\sum_{m,p}m^2|V_m|^2\delta(m\omega - p\Omega)$ ,  $\Omega = 2\pi/T$ ,  $V_m$  is the Fourier component of the interaction term and  $m, p$  indices take into account the multiple internal resonances. The solution of the kinetic equation reads as

$$\langle I(t) \rangle = I_0^2 + Dt, \quad D = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon V^2 T, \quad (11)$$

where  $D$  is the diffusion coefficient. The dynamics of the cantilever is chaotic for  $K > 1$  and otherwise  $K < 1$  is regular (see Fig. 1). In the chaotic regime we observe a sea of phase points uniformly distributed over the phase space. In the regular regime, two different phase space trajectories cover the entire space. For the standard map described above, if the parameter  $K > K_c = 0.9716$ , the stochastic layers start merging; thus, it will create a domain of chaotic motion that covers whole phase space. As  $K$  increases, the islands' size decreases, and only the largest of them can be found in the chaotic sea. Thus solution for cantilever can be written in the discrete form  $x_n = \sqrt{2I_n/m\omega_r}\cos\theta_n$ ,  $p_n = -\sqrt{2I_n\omega_r m}\sin\theta_n$ .

### 3. Spin-1/2 system attached to the cantilever

#### 3.1. Evolved in time wave function

Let us consider a hybrid system of Quantum NV spin attached to a classical cantilever whose dynamics is calculated from a standard map. From the Hamiltonian given by Eq. (2) we see that the effect of the cantilever in the NV spin is due the interaction term  $\hat{V}_{c,NV}$ . Therefore, the effective Hamiltonian of the NV center attached to the cantilever can be written as:

$$\hat{H}_n = \frac{1}{2}\omega_0\hat{\sigma}_z + g\hat{V}_{c,NV}, \quad (12)$$

where  $\hat{V}_{c,NV} = \sqrt{2I_n/m\omega_r}\cos\theta_n\hat{S}_{z,NV}$ . It is important to note here that  $I_n$  and  $\theta_n$  follow the Floquet map given by Eq. (6). By varying the parameter  $K$  we change the characteristic of the term  $V_{c,NV}$  from the regular to the chaotic dynamics of the cantilever and explore the spin dynamics in both cases. Exploiting the Floquet theory [45] we solve the Schrödinger equation analytically and get the state after time  $t = NT$  as

$$|\psi(t = NT)\rangle = \hat{U}^N |\psi(0)\rangle, \quad (13)$$

where  $\hat{U}^N$  is the time evolution operator evolving the system after  $N$  kicks and  $|\psi(0)\rangle$  is the initial state of the system. The Floquet map  $\hat{F}_n$  after the  $n$ th kick is  $\hat{F}_n = \exp(-i\hat{H}_n T)$  and the evolution operator  $\hat{U}^N$  is a time-ordered product of  $\hat{F}_n^s$  given as  $\hat{U}^N = \hat{F}_N \cdots \hat{F}_{n+1}\hat{F}_n\hat{F}_{n-1}\cdots\hat{F}_3\hat{F}_2\hat{F}_1$ . The exact wave function after time  $t = NT$  can be written in the form


$$|\psi(t = NT)\rangle = \sum_{\{\alpha_n\}=\pm} \left\{ \prod_{n=2}^N e^{-i\alpha_n\varphi_n} \langle \varphi_n^{\alpha_n} | \varphi_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} \rangle \right\} e^{-i\alpha_1\varphi_1} \langle \varphi_1^{\alpha_1} | \psi(0) \rangle | \varphi_N^{\alpha_N} \rangle. \quad (14)$$

Here  $\alpha_n\varphi_n$  and  $|\varphi_n^{\alpha_n}\rangle$  ( $\alpha_n = \pm$ ) are the eigenvalues and eigenstates, respectively of the  $n$ th Floquet operator  $\hat{F}_n$ .

The general form of the eigenstates is quite involved (not shown). However, in the resonant limit  $\tan(\alpha) = -\omega_R/\delta \gg 1$ , we can simplify the Floquet map  $\hat{F}_n$ . The spectral decomposition of  $\hat{F}_n$  is given as

$$\hat{F}_n = \exp\{-i\varphi_n\} |\varphi_n^+\rangle \langle \varphi_n^+| + \exp\{i\varphi_n\} |\varphi_n^-\rangle \langle \varphi_n^-|. \quad (15)$$

Here the quasienergy  $\varphi_n$  is given by  $\varphi_n = \frac{(\sqrt{\chi_n^2 + \omega_0^2})T}{2}$ , where we introduced the notation  $\chi_n = g\sqrt{2I_n/m\omega_r}\cos\theta_n$ . The normalized



**Fig. 2.** Poincaré sections for  $(\langle \sigma_x \rangle, \langle \sigma_z \rangle)$  and  $(\langle \sigma_y \rangle, \langle \sigma_z \rangle)$  in the regular regime at  $K = 0.5$  ((a) and (b)) and chaotic regime at  $K = 10$  ((c) and (d)). The parameters are  $m = 1$ ,  $g = 1$ ,  $\omega_0 = 1$ ,  $\omega_r = 0.2$ ,  $T = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \pi/2$ . The values of the parameters in the real units are  $K = \epsilon I_0 T \frac{6\pi\mu}{m^2\omega_r^2}$ ,  $\mu = \frac{\omega_r^2 m}{2\omega_0^2}$ ,  $I_0 = \frac{m}{2}\chi_0^2\omega_r$ ,  $m = 6 \times 10^{-17}$  Kg,  $x_0 = a_0 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$  m,  $T = 10\mu\text{s}$ ,  $\omega_r = \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 5 \times 10^6$  Hz, for chaotic case  $\epsilon = 0.003$  and for the regular case  $\epsilon = 0.0003$ .

eigenstates are  $|\varphi_n^+\rangle = \eta_n |0\rangle + \xi_n |1\rangle$ , and  $|\varphi_n^-\rangle = \xi_n |0\rangle - \eta_n |1\rangle$ ,

where  $\eta_n = \frac{k_n}{\sqrt{1+k_n^2}}$ ,  $\xi_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+k_n^2}}$ , and  $k_n = \frac{\omega_0 + \sqrt{\chi_n^2 + \omega_0^2}}{\chi_n}$ .

Now let us consider that initially the system is prepared in the state  $|\psi(0)\rangle = |0\rangle$ . Therefore, the explicit form of the evolved wave function is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\psi(t = NT)\rangle &= \mathcal{A}_{11}\{\eta_1 \exp(-i\varphi_1)(\eta_N |0\rangle + \xi_N |1\rangle)\} + \mathcal{A}_{12}\{\eta_1 \exp(-i\varphi_1)(\xi_N |0\rangle \\
 &\quad - \eta_N |1\rangle)\} + \mathcal{A}_{21}\{\xi_1 \exp(i\varphi_1)(\eta_N |0\rangle + \xi_N |1\rangle)\} \\
 &\quad + \mathcal{A}_{22}\{\xi_1 \exp(i\varphi_1)(\xi_N |0\rangle - \eta_N |1\rangle)\}, \\
 \mathcal{A} &= \prod_{n=2}^N G_n\{\varphi\}, \\
 G_n\{\varphi\} &= \begin{bmatrix} \exp(-i\varphi_n)(\eta_n \eta_{n-1} + \xi_n \xi_{n-1}) & \exp(-i\varphi_n)(\eta_n \xi_{n-1} - \xi_n \eta_{n-1}) \\ \exp(i\varphi_n)(\xi_n \eta_{n-1} - \eta_n \xi_{n-1}) & \exp(i\varphi_n)(\eta_n \eta_{n-1} + \xi_n \xi_{n-1}) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (16)
 \end{aligned}$$

For more details of the analytical solution and normalization of the wave function, we refer to [Appendices A](#) and [B](#). Taking into account Eq. (14)-Eq. (16) we calculate the expectation values of the spin components  $\langle \sigma_\alpha \rangle$ ,  $\alpha = x, y, z$ . The explicit formulas are given in the [Appendix C](#).

We note that  $\varphi_n = \frac{(\sqrt{\chi_n^2 + \omega_0^2})T}{2}$ , where  $\chi_n = g\sqrt{2I_n/m\omega_r} \cos \theta_n$  and  $(I_n, \theta_n)$  is described by the map equation (6). Therefore, depending on the parameter of stochasticity  $K$ , the phase  $\varphi_n$  can be either non-commensurate and random or smooth and regular. In the spirit of the work [46] we explore the interplay between the chaotic classical (cantilever) and quantum (NV spin) dynamics in the next section.

### 3.2. Expectation values of the NV spin components

We see from Fig. 1 that the Poincaré sections for  $(I_n, \theta_n)$  clearly distinguish the motion of cantilever in the regular and chaotic regime. Now if we attach a NV center spin to the cantilever, we need to check whether the Poincaré sections of the spin dynamics show a contrast in the regular and chaotic regimes of the cantilever or not. For this purpose we plot the Poincaré section of  $(\langle \sigma_x \rangle, \langle \sigma_z \rangle)$  and  $(\langle \sigma_y \rangle, \langle \sigma_z \rangle)$  in Fig. 2(a) and (b) when cantilever performs motion in regular regime and (c) and (d) when cantilever performs motion in chaotic regime. We fail to distinguish the effects due to regular and chaotic regions in the Poincaré sections of the spin dynamics of the NV center.

The Poincaré sections of the spin dynamics evolve more or less in the same manner for both the regular and the chaotic cases (see Fig. 2). In order to delve deeper to identify the differences, we calculate the Fourier power spectrum for observances defined as

$I_{x,y,z} = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \sigma_{x,y,z} \rangle \exp(-i\omega t) dt \right|^2$ . The Fourier power spectrum as shown in Fig. 3 displays differences in the regular and chaotic regime. We see that when stochasticity parameter varies from  $K = 0.5$  (regular) to  $K = 10$  (chaotic), the broadness of power spectrum increases. It is much broader in the chaotic case as compared to the regular case. The broadening of spectrum is a signature of chaos which sets in our system for  $K > 1$ . We see that the Fourier spectra of all spin components  $\langle \sigma_{x,y,z} \rangle$ , are broadened. To see the behavior of spin dynamics, we plot the time dependence of different spin components. While  $\langle \sigma_{x,y,z} \rangle$  components perform fast, chaotic oscillations in chaotic regime (see Figs. 4 (b), (d) and (f)), they show quasi-periodic oscillation in the regular regime (see Figs. 4 (a), (c) and (e)).

### 3.3. Quantum coherence

Quantum coherence is the resource for performing vast number of quantum information protocols. In many-body system the quantum coherence is the essence of entanglement and plays an important role in understanding some physical phenomena of quantum information and quantum optics. Relative entropy and l1-norm measures are also a monotone of coherence [47]. By incoherent operations one can generate coherence that quantifies maximal entanglement [48]. The loss of coherence in a quantum system may happen due to two different reasons: In one case when the system is in contact with the environment or a thermal bath, the coupling to the environment may cause decoherence, which is a stochastic phenomenon. In the other case, the coupling of a quantum system with a classical chaotic system, may lead to a loss of coherence. We focus on the second case where dynamical chaos due to the non-linearity in the classical system [49] may result a loss of coherence. Here we explore the problem of generation of coherence for the NV spin coupled to a nanocantilever in a regular or a chaotic regime.

In particular, we prepare the NV center initially in a mixed state:


$$\hat{\rho}(0) = p_1 |0\rangle \langle 0| + p_2 |1\rangle \langle 1|. \quad (17)$$

The time evolved density matrix is given by evolution operator Eq. (13) as:

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = (\hat{\mathcal{U}}^N)^{-1} \hat{\rho}(0) \hat{\mathcal{U}}^N, \quad (18)$$

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = \rho_{11} |0\rangle \langle 0| + \rho_{12} |0\rangle \langle 1| + \rho_{21} |1\rangle \langle 0| + \rho_{22} |1\rangle \langle 1|. \quad (19)$$

The elements of the time-evolved density matrix are given in the [Appendix D](#), where all the elements of  $\hat{\rho}(t)$  are time-dependent.



**Fig. 3.** Fourier Power spectrum density for expectation values of  $\sigma_{x,y,z}$  in the regular regime ((a), (c) and (e)) at  $K = 0.5$  (Blue), and in the chaotic regime ((b), (d) and (f)) at  $K = 10$  (Gray). The parameters used for the plot are  $m = 1$ ,  $g = 1$ ,  $\omega_0 = 1$ ,  $\omega_r = 0.2$ ,  $T = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \pi/2$ . The values of the parameters in the real units:  $K = \epsilon I_0 T \frac{6\pi\mu}{m^2\omega_r^2}$ ,  $\mu = \frac{\omega_r^2 m}{2u_0^2}$ ,  $I_0 = \frac{m}{2} x_0^2 \omega_r$ ,  $m = 6 \times 10^{-17}$  Kg,  $x_0 = a_0 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$  m,  $T = 10$   $\mu$ s,  $\omega_r = \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 5 \times 10^6$  Hz, for chaotic case  $\epsilon = 0.003$  and for the regular case  $\epsilon = 0.0003$ .

We quantify the quantum coherence in terms of the relative entropy as

$$\mathcal{D}(\hat{\rho}(t)|\hat{\rho}_d(t)) = \text{Tr}\{\hat{\rho}(t) \ln \hat{\rho}(t) - \hat{\rho}(t) \ln \hat{\rho}_d(t)\}. \quad (20)$$

Here  $\hat{\rho}_d(t)$  is the diagonal part of  $\hat{\rho}(t)$ . The eigenvalues of the density matrix  $\rho(t)$  are:


$$E_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \rho_{11} + \rho_{22} \pm \sqrt{\rho_{11}^2 + \rho_{22}^2 + 4\rho_{21}\rho_{12} - 2\rho_{11}\rho_{22}} \right). \text{ Now, taking into account Eq. (16), we calculate quantum coherence in terms of relative entropy as:}$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\hat{\rho}(t)|\hat{\rho}_d(t)) = E_+ \ln E_+ + E_- \ln E_- - \rho_{11} \ln \rho_{11} - \rho_{22} \ln \rho_{22}. \quad (21)$$

The stochasticity parameter  $K$  appears in the expression of  $\rho(t)$  as  $\eta_N$ ,  $\xi_N$  which contain  $I_n$  and  $\theta_n$ . The relative entropy  $\mathcal{D}$  for regular and chaotic cases is plotted in Fig. 5. We see that quantum coherence in regular case is doing quasi-periodic oscillation while in chaotic regime coherence varies abruptly. This observation supports the fact that the chaos destroys the quantum coherence.

### 3.4. Quantum Poincaré recurrence

“Any phase-space configuration  $(I, \theta)$  of a system enclosed in a finite volume will be repeated as accurately as one wishes after a finite interval of time”. This statement is the essence of the Poincaré recurrence theorem and holds in the quantum case also [50]. Any time-dependent periodic Hamiltonian would reunite itself infinitely often over time. Suppose the system has a continuous energy spectrum corresponding to the classical systems, then the quantum recurrence theorem does not hold. A quantum system that is bounded defined by a Hamiltonian  $H_0$  has a discrete spectrum when subjected to a nonresonant time-dependent periodic potential  $V$  with  $V(t) = V(t + \tau)$  for an arbitrary period  $\tau$ . For any initial configuration of the system, both the wave function and the energy reunite itself over time [51]. The time passed off during the recurrence is known as Poincaré recurrence time. The Quantum Poincaré recurrence means that the distance between the initial and evolved states can become smaller than the characteristic  $\epsilon$ :  $\|\phi(t) - \phi(0)\| < \epsilon$ . Taking Eq. (16) into account the explicit expression for the distance of the time evolved state from



**Fig. 4.** Spin dynamics for  $\langle \sigma_x \rangle$ ,  $\langle \sigma_y \rangle$  and  $\langle \sigma_z \rangle$  in the regular regime at  $K = 0.5$  (see (a), (c) and (e)) and  $\langle \sigma_x \rangle$ ,  $\langle \sigma_y \rangle$  and  $\langle \sigma_z \rangle$  in the chaotic regime at  $K = 10$  (see (b), (d) and (f)). The parameters are  $m = 1$ ,  $g = 1$ ,  $\omega_0 = 1$ ,  $\omega_r = 0.2$ ,  $T = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \pi/2$ . The values of the parameters in the real units:  $K = \epsilon I_0 T \frac{6\pi\mu}{m^2\omega_r^2}$ ,  $\mu = \frac{\omega_r^2 m}{2\alpha_0^2}$ ,  $I_0 = \frac{m}{2}\chi_0^2\omega_r$ ,  $m = 6 \times 10^{-17}$  Kg,  $x_0 = a_0 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$  m,  $T = 10\mu\text{s}$ ,  $\omega_r = \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 5 \times 10^6$  Hz, for chaotic  $\epsilon = 0.003$  and for regular  $\epsilon = 0.0003$ .


the initial state is:

$$\|\phi(t) - \phi(0)\| = 2 - \left( A_{11}^* \eta_1^* \exp(i\varphi_1) \eta_N^* + A_{12}^* \eta_1^* \exp(i\varphi_1) \xi_N^* + A_{21}^* \xi_1^* \exp(-i\varphi_1) \eta_N^* + A_{22}^* \xi_1^* \exp(-i\varphi_1) \xi_N^* + A_{11} \eta_1 \exp(-i\varphi_1) \eta_N + A_{12} \eta_1 \exp(-i\varphi_1) \xi_N + A_{21} \xi_1 \exp(i\varphi_1) \eta_N + A_{22} \xi_1 \exp(i\varphi_1) \xi_N \right). \quad (22)$$


The above expression of quantum Poincaré recurrences is plotted for the regular  $K < 1$  and chaotic cases  $K > 1$  separately in Fig. 6(a) and (b), respectively. From these figures we see a slight difference in behavior of the system in two regimes. In the regular case Fig. 6(a) we see a trend of quasiperiodic modulation of the amplitude, while in the chaotic case Fig. 6(b), the distance measure between the wave functions is the essence of a noise. Analyses of the recurrence show the absence of the exponential decay of Poincaré recurrence, while the exponential decay is a hallmark of quantum chaos [52]. The effect we observe in our system is non-conventional for quantum chaos. The reason for

the absence of the conventional quantum chaos phenomenon is the low dimensionality of the spin space. On the other hand, chaotic dynamics of cantilever plays the role of external noise for NV spin and has a stochastic character rather than a dynamical. It destroys the nature of quasiperiodic revivals in spin dynamics, and quantum recurrence becomes a random event. The dynamics of the quantum system is distinct from the behavior of the regular systems. Therefore, we term this effect as hybrid quantum-classical chaos.

One of the interesting characteristics of the hybrid quantum-classical chaos is the time-translation symmetry breaking (TTSB). The Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_n$  equation (12), taken at different times form a set of noncommuting Hamiltonians:  $\hat{H}_n$ . The integer  $n$  defines discrete moment of time  $t_n = nT$ , where  $T$  is the period between the pulses applied to the cantilever. Therefore,  $\hat{H}_n$  is a set of elements repeated in time  $\hat{H}_n(I_n, \theta_n) \equiv \hat{H}_{n+k}(I_{n+k}, \theta_{n+k})$ , when canonical variables repeat their values  $(I_{n+k}, \theta_{n+k}) = (I_n, \theta_n)$



**Fig. 5.** The quantum coherence in (a) the regular regime at  $K = 0.5$  (Blue) and (b) the chaotic regime at  $K = 10$  (Gray), for the following values of the parameters  $m = 1, g = 1, \omega_0 = 1, \omega_r = 0.2, T = 1, \alpha = \pi/2$ . The values of parameters are  $K = \epsilon I_0 T \frac{6\pi\mu}{m^2\omega_r^2}, \mu = \frac{\omega_r^2 m}{2a_0^2}, I_0 = \frac{m}{2} x_0^2 \omega_r, m = 6 \times 10^{-17}$  Kg,  $x_0 = a_0 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$  m,  $T=10$   $\mu$ s,  $\omega_r = \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 5 \times 10^6$  Hz, for chaotic  $\epsilon = 0.003$  and for regular  $\epsilon = 0.0003$ .



**Fig. 6.** Quantum Poincaré recurrence as a function of time (i.e. number of kicks) in (a) the regular regime at  $K = 0.5$  (Blue) and (b) the chaotic regime at  $K = 10$  (Gray). The parameters are  $m = 1, g = 1, \omega_0 = 1, \omega_r = 0.2, T = 1, \alpha = \pi/2$ . The values of parameters:  $K = \epsilon I_0 T \frac{6\pi\mu}{m^2\omega_r^2}, \mu = \frac{\omega_r^2 m}{2a_0^2}, I_0 = \frac{m}{2} x_0^2 \omega_r, m = 6 \times 10^{-17}$  Kg,  $x_0 = a_0 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$  m,  $T=10$   $\mu$ s,  $\omega_r = \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 5 \times 10^6$  Hz, for chaotic  $\epsilon = 0.003$  and for regular  $\epsilon = 0.0003$ .

i.e., the Floquet time crystal [53,54]. On the other hand the quantum Poincaré recurrence occurs if the distance between state vectors is small  $\|\phi((n+k)T) - \phi(nT)\| < \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon$  is the characteristic small parameter of the recurrence. The time-translation symmetry underlies conservation of energy, reproducibility of the wave function and Hamiltonian. TTSB occurs if for each  $t_n$  and for every state  $|\phi(nT)\rangle$  there exists an operator  $\mathcal{A}$  for which at least one of the two conditions  $\hat{H}_n(I_n, \theta_n) \equiv \hat{H}_{n+k}(I_{n+k}, \theta_{n+k})$  and  $\langle \phi(nT) | \mathcal{A} | \phi(nT) \rangle = \langle \phi((n+k)T) | \mathcal{A} | \phi((n+k)T) \rangle$  is violated. In our case operator  $\mathcal{A}$  corresponds to the spin operator  $\hat{A} \equiv \hat{S}$ . We note that the conditions  $\hat{H}_n(I_n, \theta_n) \equiv \hat{H}_{n+k}(I_{n+k}, \theta_{n+k})$ , and  $(I_{n+k}, \theta_{n+k}) = (I_n, \theta_n)$  hold only in the regular case (elliptic trajectories) and are violated in the chaotic case when invariant torus is destroyed and dynamics is not periodic in the phase space. TTSB occurs due to the hybrid character of quantum classical chaos, meaning that Quantum Poincaré recurrence of the wave function holds while the periodicity of the Hamiltonian not.

### 3.5. Level statistics for spin-1/2 case

The eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_n$  (Eq. (12)) are given by:  $E_{1,2}^{(n)} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\chi_n^2 + \omega_0^2}$ , where  $\chi_n = g \sqrt{\frac{2I_n}{m\omega_0}} \cos \theta_n$ . Each Hamiltonian from the set  $\{\hat{H}_n\}$  has two energy levels. We explore

the distances between the levels:

$$S_n = E_1^{(n)} - E_2^{(n)} = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 + g^2 \left( \frac{2I_n}{m\omega_0} \right) \cos^2 \theta_n}, \quad (23)$$


for each Hamiltonian and construct the distribution functions  $P(S_n - S_0)$  for regular  $K < 1$  and chaotic  $K > 1$  cases. Here  $S_n$  is the separation between two energy levels and  $S_0$  corresponds to the maximum of  $P(S_0)$ . We see that the level statistics is Poissonian in the both regular  $K < 1$  and chaotic  $K < 1$  cases. Comparing results of spin dynamics Figs. 3 and 4, with level statistics Fig. 7 we see that in the both cases level statistics is of Poissonian type, while we expect it to be Gaussian in chaotic case [55]. Thus for spin 1/2 case, in spite of the chaotic quantum spin dynamics we do not observe statistical characteristics of quantum chaos.

## 4. Dynamics of a three-level NV system

We proceed to analyze a more general case and consider a three-level NV center. The effective Hamiltonian of the NV center for spin  $S = 1$  attached to the cantilever can be written as:

$$\hat{H}_n = \hat{H}_{NV} + g \hat{V}_{c,NV}, \quad (24)$$

where  $\hat{H}_{NV} = \sum_{i=\pm 1} (-\delta_i |i\rangle \langle i| + \frac{\omega_2}{2} (|0\rangle \langle i| + |i\rangle \langle 0|))$  is the Hamiltonian of the NV center [37] and  $\hat{V}_{c,NV} = \sqrt{2I_n/m\omega_r}$



**Fig. 7.** Histogram plot of level statistics of Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_n$  in the regular regime at  $K = 0.5$  (Blue) and in the chaotic regime  $K = 10$  (Gray). A reference plot for Poissonian statistics (Orange) is also shown. For this plot we have taken up to 1000 kicks to get the ensemble. The parameters are  $m = 1$ ,  $g = 1$ ,  $\omega_0 = 1$ ,  $\omega_r = 0.2$ ,  $T=1$ ,  $\alpha = \pi/2$ . The values of parameters:  $K = \epsilon I_0 T \frac{6\pi\mu}{m^2\omega_0^2}$ ,  $\mu = \frac{\omega_r^2 m}{2a_0^2}$ ,  $I_0 = \frac{m}{2}\chi_0^2\omega_r$ ,  $m = 6 \times 10^{-17}$  Kg,  $x_0 = a_0 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$  m,  $T=10$   $\mu$ s,  $\omega_r = \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 5 \times 10^6$  Hz, for chaotic case  $\epsilon = 0.003$  and for the regular case  $\epsilon = 0.0003$ .

$\cos \theta_n \hat{S}_{z,NV}$  is the coupling term with the nonlinear cantilever. For spin  $S = 1$ ,  $S_{z,NV} = \frac{1}{2}(\cos \alpha S_z + \sin \alpha S_x)$ , where  $S_{x,z} = \sigma_{x,z}(1)$  is spin components for  $S = 1$  case. For numerical calculations we consider  $\delta_{\pm 1} = \delta = 1$  and  $\Omega_{\pm} = \Omega = 1$ . Similar to the analysis of spin-1/2 case discussed in Section 3, we calculate time-dependent wave function for the system equation (24) using  $\delta_{\pm} = \delta$  and  $\Omega_{\pm} = \Omega$  can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t = NT)\rangle &= \sum_{\{\alpha_n\}=1,2,3} \left\{ \prod_{n=2}^N e^{-i\alpha_n \varphi_n} \langle \varphi_n^{\alpha_n} | \varphi_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}} \rangle \right\} e^{-i\alpha_1 \varphi_1} \langle \varphi_1^{\alpha_1} | \psi(0) \rangle | \varphi_N^{\alpha_N} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Here  $\alpha_n \varphi_n$  and  $|\varphi_n^{\alpha_n}\rangle$  ( $\alpha_n = 1, 2, 3$ ) are the eigenvalues and eigenstates of the  $n$ th Floquet operator  $\hat{F}_n$ , respectively. The spectral decomposition of  $\hat{F}_n$  is given as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F}_n &= \exp\{-i\varphi_n^1\} |\varphi_n^1\rangle \langle \varphi_n^1| \\ &+ \exp\{-i\varphi_n^2\} |\varphi_n^2\rangle \langle \varphi_n^2| + \exp\{-i\varphi_n^3\} |\varphi_n^3\rangle \langle \varphi_n^3|. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

In the above equation, the quasienergy  $\varphi_n$  is given by  $\varphi_n^{1,2,3} = \delta, \frac{1}{2}(-\delta \pm \sqrt{\delta^2 + (2\chi_n + \sqrt{2}\Omega)^2})T$ , where the notation  $\chi_n = g\sqrt{2I_n/m\omega_r} \cos \theta_n$  is already defined in Section 3. In this case the normalized eigenstates are  $|\varphi_n^1\rangle = -\eta_n |0\rangle + \xi_n |1\rangle + \zeta_n |2\rangle$ , and  $|\varphi_n^2\rangle = x_n |0\rangle + y_n |1\rangle + z_n |2\rangle$  and  $|\varphi_n^3\rangle = u_n |0\rangle + v_n |1\rangle + w_n |2\rangle$ . The normalization constants of the eigenstates are defined in Appendix E.

We prepare the system initially in the state  $|\psi(0)\rangle = |0\rangle$ . The explicit form of the evolved wave function from Eq. (25) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t = NT)\rangle &= \mathcal{A}_{11}\{-\eta_1 \exp(-i\varphi_1^1)(-\eta_N |0\rangle + \xi_N |1\rangle + \eta_N |2\rangle)\} \\ &+ \mathcal{A}_{12}\{-\eta_1 \exp(-i\varphi_1^1)(x_N |0\rangle + y_N |1\rangle + z_N |2\rangle)\} \\ &+ \mathcal{A}_{13}\{-\eta_1 \exp(-i\varphi_1^1)(u_N |0\rangle \\ &+ v_N |1\rangle + w_N |2\rangle)\} + \mathcal{A}_{21}\{x_1 \exp(i\varphi_1^2)(-\eta_N |0\rangle + \xi_N |1\rangle + \eta_N |2\rangle)\} \\ &+ \mathcal{A}_{22}\{x_1 \exp(i\varphi_1^2)(x_N |0\rangle \\ &+ y_N |1\rangle + z_N |2\rangle)\} + \mathcal{A}_{23}\{x_1 \exp(i\varphi_1^2)(u_N |0\rangle + v_N |1\rangle + w_N |2\rangle)\} \\ &+ \mathcal{A}_{31}\{u_1 \exp(i\varphi_1^3)(-\eta_N |0\rangle \\ &+ \xi_N |1\rangle + \eta_N |2\rangle)\} + \mathcal{A}_{32}\{u_1 \exp(i\varphi_1^3)(x_N |0\rangle + y_N |1\rangle + z_N |2\rangle)\} \\ &+ \mathcal{A}_{33}\{u_1 \exp(i\varphi_1^3)(u_N |0\rangle + v_N |1\rangle + w_N |2\rangle)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where the coefficients  $A_{ij}$  are calculated from

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \prod_{n=2}^N G_n\{\varphi\}, \\ G_n\{\varphi\} &= \begin{pmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} & G_{13} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} & G_{23} \\ G_{31} & G_{32} & G_{33} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The matrix elements of Eq. (27) is defined in Appendix E.

Again, for spin-1 case we study spin dynamics and analyze the Fourier power spectrum of operators defined as follows  $I_{S_x, S_y, S_z} = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle S_{x,y,z} \rangle \exp(-i\omega t) dt \right|^2$ , where  $S_{x,y,z}$  are spin components for  $S = 1$  case. The Fourier power spectrum for  $S_x$  and  $S_z$  components is plotted in Figs. 8 for the regular  $K = 0.5$  and chaotic  $K = 10$  regimes. We clearly see from Figs. 8 that in the regular regime we get a few sharp peaks but in the chaotic regime we see broadening of the spectrum and many peaks which is a signature of chaos. The continuously filled lower band manifests the essence of the chaos in the spin dynamics. Spin dynamics for  $S_x$  and  $S_z$  components for regular and chaotic cases are plotted in Figs. 9 (a)–(d). The spin dynamics clearly differentiates between regular and chaotic case. A quasi periodic oscillation is visible when the oscillator is in the regular regime and a chaotic oscillation for the oscillator in the chaotic regime. Transition from the quasi periodic to the chaotic spin dynamics while changing the stochasticity parameter  $K$  from 0.5 to 10 is a signature of chaos. Following the recipes used for spin-1/2 case in Section 3 we analyze the nearest-neighbor level statistics for spin-1 case. In the three-level system, two nearest-neighbor spacings at  $n$ th kick are given as

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^1 &= E_2^{(n)} - E_3^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2}(-\delta + \sqrt{(2\chi_n + \sqrt{2}\Omega)^2 + \delta^2}), \\ S_n^2 &= E_1^{(n)} - E_2^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta + \sqrt{(2\chi_n + \sqrt{2}\Omega)^2 + \delta^2}), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$


We calculate the nearest-neighbor spacing for a few kicks and plot the distribution functions. For the calculation of level-spacing distribution of the Hamiltonians at different kicks, we notice that the off-diagonal entries of the Hamiltonians containing  $I_n$  and  $\theta_n$  having range  $[0, 2\pi]$  with (*mean*  $\sim 3.132$  and *variance*  $\sim 3.382$ ) are stochastic. In the chaotic case of  $S = 1$ , the distribution of the off-diagonal entries form a Gaussian ensemble with a mean of 0.49 and a variance 5.7 and level-spacing distribution is not the same as that of Gaussian orthogonal ensemble [45] but the effect of level repulsion is visible in this larger Hilbert space which was absent in the spin-1/2. In Fig. 10 we show the level-spacing distribution of regular and chaotic regimes for  $S = 1$  case with a reference Poissonian  $P(S) \propto \exp(-S)$  and Wigner-Dyson distributions  $P(S) \propto (\pi S/2) \exp(-\pi(S/2)^2)$ . We see that the maxima of distribution functions  $P(S_n)$  in the regular case are shifted to the area of small  $S_n$  and in the chaotic case to the finite  $S_n$ . Although distribution functions are not strictly Poissonian or Wigner-Dyson type, the effect of the level repulsion is attributed to the quantum chaotic phenomena observed.

## 5. Statistical average over various $I_0$ and $\theta_0$

One of the principle differences between classical and quantum chaos is the sensitivity of the classical nonlinear dynamics with respect to the slight change of the initial conditions. Typically chaotic classical phase trajectories diverge in time when starting from the vicinity of the same region.

We want to know if classical chaos imposes certain effects on the quantum subsystem in the case of hybrid quantum-classical chaos. For this aim, we considered the statistical average over many initial values  $I_0$  and  $\theta_0$ .





**Fig. 8.** Fourier Power spectrum density for the components  $S_{x,z}$  in the regular regime ((a) and (c)) at  $K = 0.5$  (Blue), and in the chaotic regime ((b) and (d)) at  $K = 10$  (Gray). The parameters used for the plot are  $m = 1$ ,  $g = 1$ ,  $\Omega = 1$ ,  $\delta = 1$ ,  $\omega_r = 0.2$ ,  $T = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \pi/2$ . The values of the parameters in the real units:  $K = \epsilon I_0 T \frac{6\pi\mu}{m^2\omega_r^2}$ ,  $\mu = \frac{\omega_r^2 m}{2a_0^2}$ ,  $I_0 = \frac{m}{2} x_0^2 \omega_r$ ,  $m = 6 \times 10^{-17}$  Kg,  $x_0 = a_0 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$  m,  $T = 10\mu\text{s}$ ,  $\omega_r = \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 5 \times 10^6$  Hz, for chaotic case  $\epsilon = 0.003$  and for the regular case  $\epsilon = 0.0003$ .

Results of numeric calculations are presented in Figs. 11 and 12.

As we see from the plots, quantum dynamics is less sensitive to the averaging performed on the classical part. Chaotic  $K > 1$  and regular  $K < 1$  characteristics of quantum dynamics are preserved after averaging done over the classical cantilever.

## 6. Feedback effect

An interesting question is the feedback of the quantum subsystem on the classical dynamics. For studying this problem one needs to solve recurrent relations self-consistently together with the Schrödinger equation. After transforming into the action-angle variables we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d|\psi\rangle}{dt} &= -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left( \hat{H}_s + g\sqrt{2I/m\omega_r} \cos\theta \hat{S}_z \right) |\psi\rangle, \\ \frac{dI}{dt} &= -\frac{\partial H_{I,\theta}}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial \hat{V}_{c,NV}}{\partial \theta} = g\sqrt{2I/m\omega_r} \sin\theta \langle \psi | \hat{S}_z | \psi \rangle \\ &\quad - \epsilon \frac{\partial V(I,\theta)}{\partial \theta} T \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t-nT), \\ \frac{d\theta}{dt} &= \frac{\partial H_{I,\theta}}{\partial I} - \frac{\partial \hat{V}_{c,NV}}{\partial I} = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2m\omega_r I}} \cos\theta \langle \psi | \hat{S}_z | \psi \rangle + \omega(I) + \\ &\quad \epsilon \frac{\partial V(I,\theta)}{\partial I} T \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t-nT). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The standard procedure for solving Eq. (30) consists of two steps: free propagation and kick. During the free propagation, the effect of kicks is absent and vice versa. We note that our system


is inherently nonlinear, and nonlinearity is a part of the main Hamiltonian. The nonlinearity in our case is not weak, and the model is non-perturbative. While action  $I$  is an adiabatic variable, angle  $\theta$  is a fast oscillating variable such that  $T\theta > 2\pi$ . The formal solution of the recurrent relations has a form of morphism  $\mathcal{M} = I_n, \theta_n \rightarrow I_{n+1}, \theta_{n+1}$ , where  $n, n+1$  corresponds to the values after  $n$ th and  $(n+1)$ th kick, respectively. We have two time scales in the problem, fast and slow. The time unit for the evolution of  $I$  and  $\theta$  is  $T$ . Meaning that on the times shorter than  $t < T$  variables  $I_n, \theta_n$  are constants. To go from  $I_n, \theta_n$  to  $I_{n+1}, \theta_{n+1}$  we need at least time  $t = T$ . On the other hand we have fast time oscillations in the Schrödinger equation because  $\omega_0 \gg g$ . However, these fast phase oscillations of the wave function are distinct from the evolution of the wave function that occurs on the larger time scale  $t > T$  due to the evolution of  $I_n, \theta_n$ . Existence of fast and slow time scales in the system allows us to tackle the feedback problem in the following scheme: In order to obtain fast time evolution of the wave function valid for  $t < T$ , we solve the first equation in Eq. (30) for a constant  $I_n, \theta_n$  (for  $t < T$ , variables  $I_n$  and  $\theta_n$  are constant). We solve Schrödinger equation analytically:

$$\frac{d|\psi\rangle}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left( \hat{H}_s + g\sqrt{2I/m\omega_r} \cos\theta \hat{S}_z \right) |\psi\rangle, \quad (31)$$


where  $\hat{S}_z = \frac{1}{2}(\cos\alpha\sigma_z + \sin\alpha(\sigma^+ + \sigma^-))$ . When  $I_n$  and  $\theta_n$  are constants,  $a_n = \sqrt{2I_n/m\omega_r} \cos\theta_n = V_0(I_n) \cos\theta_n$  is also a constant.

After solving Schrödinger's equation analytically, we get the evolved wave function as:

$$|\psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}_1 \\ \mathcal{E}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (32)$$



**Fig. 9.** Spin dynamics for the components  $S_x$  and  $S_z$  for Spin-1 case in the regular regime at  $K = 0.5$  ((a) and (c)) and in the chaotic regime at  $K = 10$  ((b) and (d)). The parameters are  $m = 1$ ,  $g = 1$ ,  $\Omega = 1$ ,  $\delta = 1$ ,  $\omega_r = 0.2$ ,  $T = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \pi/2$ . The values of the parameters in the real units:  $K = \epsilon I_0 T \frac{6\pi\mu}{m^2\omega_0^2}$ ,  $\mu = \frac{\omega_r^2 m}{2a_0^2}$ ,  $I_0 = \frac{m}{2} x_0^2 \omega_r$ ,  $m = 6 \times 10^{-17}$  Kg,  $x_0 = a_0 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$  m,  $T = 10\mu\text{s}$ ,  $\omega_r = \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 5 \times 10^6$  Hz, for chaotic  $\epsilon = 0.003$  and for regular  $\epsilon = 0.0003$ .



**Fig. 10.** Histogram plot of level statistics of Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_n = \hat{H}_{NV} + g\hat{V}_{c,NV}$  for spin-1 system (a) in the regular regime at  $K = 0.5$  (Blue) and (b) in the chaotic regime  $K = 10$  (Gray). A reference plot for Poissonian statistics (Orange) and Gaussian statistics (Red) is also shown. 1000 kicks are considered. The parameters are  $m = 1$ ,  $g = 1$ ,  $\Omega = 1$ ,  $\delta = 1$ ,  $\omega_r = 0.2$ ,  $T=1$ ,  $\alpha = \pi/2$ . The values of parameters:  $K = \epsilon I_0 T \frac{6\pi\mu}{m^2\omega_0^2}$ ,  $\mu = \frac{\omega_r^2 m}{2a_0^2}$ ,  $I_0 = \frac{m}{2} x_0^2 \omega_r$ ,  $m = 6 \times 10^{-17}$  Kg,  $x_0 = a_0 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$  m,  $T=10 \mu\text{s}$ ,  $\omega_r = \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 5 \times 10^6$  Hz, for chaotic case  $\epsilon = 0.003$  and for the regular case  $\epsilon = 0.0003$ .

where

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \frac{-ia_n g \sin \frac{1}{2} t \sqrt{a_n^2 g^2 + \omega_0^2}}{\sqrt{a_n^2 g^2 + \omega_0^2}}, \quad (33)$$


and

$$\mathcal{E}_2 = \cos \frac{1}{2} t \sqrt{a_n^2 g^2 + \omega_0^2} + \frac{i\omega_0 \sin \frac{1}{2} t \sqrt{a_n^2 g^2 + \omega_0^2}}{\sqrt{a_n^2 g^2 + \omega_0^2}}. \quad (34)$$

Here we introduced shorthand notation  $\Omega_n = \sqrt{a_n^2 g^2 + \omega_0^2}$ . We note that  $\omega_0$  is a large parameter of the proposed theoretical model and this assumption is based on the value of  $\omega_0 = 2.88\text{GHz}$  for NV centers. Therefore  $\omega_0 \gg a_n g$  and  $\sqrt{a_n^2 g^2 + \omega_0^2} \approx \omega_0$ .

To obtain the feedback term in the explicit form we calculate  $\langle \psi(t) | \hat{S}_z | \psi(t) \rangle$  and deduce:

$$\int_0^T \langle \psi(t) | \hat{S}_z | \psi(t) \rangle dt = \frac{-a_n g \omega_0 T}{2\Omega_n^2} = \frac{-V_0(I_n) \cos \theta_n g T}{2\omega_0}. \quad (35)$$



**Fig. 11.** Statistical average of spin dynamics (Spin-1/2 system) for  $\langle\langle\sigma_x\rangle\rangle$ ,  $\langle\langle\sigma_y\rangle\rangle$  and  $\langle\langle\sigma_z\rangle\rangle$  in the regular regime at  $K = 0.5$  ((a), (c) and (e)) and in the chaotic regime at  $K = 10$  ((b), (d) and (f)). For calculating statistical average of spin dynamics (Spin-1/2 system) we have taken 15 different sets of  $(I_0, \theta_0)$ . The parameters are  $m = 1$ ,  $g = 1$ ,  $\omega_0 = 1$ ,  $\omega_r = 0.2$ ,  $T = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \pi/2$ . The values of the parameters in the real units:  $K = \epsilon I_0 T \frac{6\pi\mu}{m^2\omega_r^2}$ ,  $\mu = \frac{\omega_0^2 m}{2a_0^2}$ ,  $I_0 = \frac{m}{2} x_0^2 \omega_r$ ,  $m = 6 \times 10^{-17}$  Kg,  $x_0 = a_0 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$  m,  $T = 10\mu\text{s}$ ,  $\omega_r = \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 5 \times 10^6$  Hz, for chaotic  $\epsilon = 0.003$  and for regular  $\epsilon = 0.0003$ .

Consequently Eq. (30) takes the form:

$$I_{n+1} = I_n + g\sqrt{2I_n/m\omega_r} \sin\theta_n \int_0^T \langle\psi(t)|\hat{S}_z|\psi(t)\rangle dt - K \sin\theta,$$

$$\theta_{n+1} = \theta_n + I_{n+1} - g \frac{\cos\theta_n}{\sqrt{2m\omega_r I_n}} \int_0^T \langle\psi(t)|\hat{S}_z|\psi(t)\rangle dt. \quad (36)$$

The explicit integrated feedback term Eq. (35) is plugged in Eq. (36) and the generalized standard map is deduced in the form:

$$I_{n+1} = I_n - \frac{g^2 T V_0^2 (I_n)}{4\omega_0} \sin 2\theta_n - K \sin\theta,$$


$$\theta_{n+1} = \theta_n + I_{n+1} + g^2 T \frac{\cos^2 \theta_n}{2m\omega_r \omega_0}. \quad (37)$$

In Fig. 13 dynamics of cantilever with feedback effects in regular regime for  $K = 0.5$  is shown. We see two cases:  $g = 0.1$  and

$g = 0.01$ . When the interaction strength between NV spin and cantilever is moderate ( $g = 0.1$ ), we see in Fig. 13(a) a small deviation from regular dynamics in presence of feedback. For small interaction between NV spin and cantilever, as shown in Fig. 13(b), feedback does not effect the dynamics of cantilever. In Fig. 14, we compare the spin dynamics with and without feedback effects. We see a minor change in the amplitude of oscillations in regular and chaotic cases due to the feedback term. In case of the regular regime, the feedback not much affect the magnetization as compared to the dynamics without feedback term. Similarly, the switching pattern is hardly affected in the chaotic regime.

## 7. Conclusions


In the present work, we studied hybrid quantum–classical NEMS systems. The classical part comprised of a nanocantilever,




**Fig. 12.** Statistical average of Fourier Power spectrum density (Spin-1/2 system) for  $\langle\langle\sigma_x\rangle\rangle$ ,  $\langle\langle\sigma_y\rangle\rangle$  and  $\langle\langle\sigma_z\rangle\rangle$  in the regular regime ((a), (c) and (e)) at  $K = 0.5$  (Blue), and in the chaotic regime ((b), (d) and (f)) at  $K = 10$  (Gray). For calculating Statistical average of Spin dynamics(Spin-1/2 system) we have taken 15 different sets of  $(I_0, \theta_0)$ . The parameters used for the plot are  $m = 1$ ,  $g = 1$ ,  $\omega_0 = 1$ ,  $\omega_r = 0.2$ ,  $T = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \pi/2$ . The values of the parameters in the real units:  $K = \epsilon I_0 T \frac{6\pi\mu}{m^2\omega_r^2}$ ,  $\mu = \frac{\omega_r^2 m}{2\alpha_0^2}$ ,  $I_0 = \frac{m}{2}\alpha_0^2\omega_r$ ,  $m = 6 \times 10^{-17}$  Kg,  $\alpha_0 = a_0 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$  m,  $T = 10\mu\text{s}$ ,  $\omega_r = \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 5 \times 10^6$  Hz, for chaotic case  $\epsilon = 0.003$  and for the regular case  $\epsilon = 0.0003$ .

and the quantum part is the NV spin. Nanocantilever performs nonlinear oscillations in the chaotic and regular regimes. Due to the spin-cantilever coupling, the effects of the oscillations of the cantilever are transmitted to the spin dynamics. The problem in question was whether the classical dynamical chaos may induce quantum chaos or other effects of quantum stochasticity in the quantum dynamics of the NV spin. We studied the Poincaré section of spin-dynamics and explored the Fourier power spectral density of the quantum dynamical observables in the chaotic and regular regimes. We investigated the generation of quantum coherence for the NV center coupled to nanocantilever in the chaotic and regular regime. We also investigated the quantum Poincaré recurrence in the chaotic and regular regime. While the Fourier spectrum analysis clearly indicates the presence of

stochasticity in the dynamics of quantum observables, some characteristics of quantum chaos are absent. The dynamical chaos imposed to the cantilever dynamics through the kicking induces the stochastic dynamics on the quantum subsystem. However, this stochastic dynamics of the classical cantilever does not manifest all the features of quantum chaos. We also investigated a three-level system for the quantum part considering NV spin as spin-1 particle. We see that the Fourier power spectrum and spin dynamics evince the effects of chaos. For spin-1 case we see a quasi-Gaussian distribution of nearest-neighbor level spacing for the oscillator in chaotic regime and quasi-Poissonian level statistics for the oscillator in regular regime. We also explore the effect of quantum feedback on classical cantilever in both cases regular and chaotic and also see the effect on spin dynamics. Feedback effect is negligible in the chaotic regime of the system.



**Fig. 13.** The Phase space plot of cantilever's dynamics constructed through the recurrence relations equation (37) with feedback in (a) and (b) the regular regime  $K = 0.5$  (Blue) where the phase space is covered by two different phase trajectories: open hyperbolic and some part of closed ellipse. The parameters for Fig. 13(a) are  $m = 1, g = 0.1, \omega_0 = 10, \omega_r = 0.2, T = 1$ . The parameters for Fig. 13(b) are  $m = 1, g = 0.01, \omega_0 = 1, \omega_r = 0.2, T = 1$ . The values of the parameters in the real units:  $K = \epsilon I_0 T \frac{6\pi\mu}{m^2\omega_r^2}, \mu = \frac{\omega_r^2 m}{2a_0^2}, I_0 = \frac{m}{2} x_0^2 \omega_r, m = 6 \times 10^{-17}$  Kg,  $x_0 = a_0 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$  m,  $T = 10\mu\text{s}, \omega_r = \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 5 \times 10^6$  Hz, for the regular case  $\epsilon = 0.0003$ .



**Fig. 14.** Spin dynamics with feedback (Solid) and without feedback (Dashed) for  $\langle \sigma_z \rangle$  in the regular regime at  $K = 0.5$  (see (a)) and  $\langle \sigma_z \rangle$  in the chaotic regime at  $K = 10$  (see (b)). The parameters are  $m = 1, g = 1, \omega_0 = 1, \omega_r = 0.2, T = 1, \alpha = \pi/2$ . The values of the parameters in the real units:  $K = \epsilon I_0 T \frac{6\pi\mu}{m^2\omega_r^2}, \mu = \frac{\omega_r^2 m}{2a_0^2}, I_0 = \frac{m}{2} x_0^2 \omega_r, m = 6 \times 10^{-17}$  Kg,  $x_0 = a_0 = 5 \times 10^{-3}$  m,  $T = 10\mu\text{s}, \omega_r = \omega_0 = 2\pi \times 5 \times 10^6$  Hz, for chaotic  $\epsilon = 0.003$  and for regular  $\epsilon = 0.0003$ .

### CRediT authorship contribution statement

**A.K. Singh:** Presented idea, Developed the theory, Performed analysis, Performed numerical calculations. **L. Chotorlishvili:** Presented idea, Developed the theory, Performed analysis. **Z. Toklikishvili:** Presented idea, Developed the theory, Performed analysis. **I. Tralle:** Presented idea, Developed the theory, Performed analysis. **S.K. Mishra:** Presented idea, Developed the theory, Performed analysis.

### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Acknowledgments

AKS would like to thank D.V. Khomitsky and Rohit Kumar Shukla for his valuable suggestions.

### Appendix A. Normalization condition for the wave function represented in Eq. (16) when $G_n\{\varphi\}$ is diagonal

The eigenstates and eigenvalues of the  $n$ th Floquet operator have the form:

$$|\varphi_n^+\rangle = \eta_n |0\rangle + \xi_n |1\rangle,$$

$$|\varphi_n^-\rangle = \xi_n |0\rangle - \eta_n |1\rangle, \quad (38)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_n &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+k_n^2}}, \\ \xi_n &= -\frac{k_n}{\sqrt{1+k_n^2}}, \\ k_n &= \frac{\omega_0 + \sqrt{\chi_n^2 + \omega_0^2}}{\chi_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

$k_n = k_n^*$ , so  $\eta_n = \eta_n^*$  and  $\xi_n = \xi_n^*$ . The normalization condition of the wave function after  $N$  kicks, i.e., at  $t = NT$  has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \psi(t = TN) | \psi(t = TN) \rangle \\ &= |A_{11}|^2 \{ |\eta_1|^2 (|\eta_N|^2 + |\xi_N|^2) \} + A_{11}^* A_{12} \{ |\eta_1|^2 (\xi_N \eta_N^* - \xi_N^* \eta_N) \} + A_{11}^* A_{21} \{ \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) (|\eta_N|^2 + |\xi_N|^2) \} \\ &+ A_{11}^* A_{22} \{ \eta_1^* \xi_1 (\xi_N \eta_N^* - \xi_N^* \eta_N) \} + A_{11} A_{12}^* \{ |\eta_1|^2 (-\xi_N \eta_N^* + \xi_N^* \eta_N) \} \\ &+ |A_{12}|^2 \{ |\eta_1|^2 (|\eta_N|^2 + |\xi_N|^2) \} \\ &+ A_{12}^* A_{21} \{ \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) (-\xi_N \eta_N^* + \xi_N^* \eta_N) \} \\ &+ A_{12}^* A_{22} \{ \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) (|\xi_N|^2 + |\eta_N|^2) \} \\ &+ A_{21}^* A_{11} \{ \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) (|\xi_N|^2 + |\eta_N|^2) \} \\ &+ |\eta_N|^2 \} + A_{21}^* A_{12} \{ \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) (\xi_N \eta_N^* - \eta_N \xi_N^*) \} \\ &+ |A_{21}|^2 \{ |\xi_1|^2 (|\eta_N|^2 + |\xi_N|^2) \} + A_{21}^* A_{22} \{ |\xi_1|^2 (\eta_N^* \xi_N - \xi_N^* \eta_N) \} \\ &+ A_{11} A_{22}^* \{ \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) (\eta_N \xi_N^* - \eta_N^* \xi_N) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$+A_{12}A_{22}^*\{\eta_1\xi_1^*\exp(-2i\varphi_1)(|\xi_N|^2+|\eta_N|^2)\} \\ +A_{21}A_{22}^*|\xi_1|^2(\eta_N\xi_N^*-\eta_N^*\xi_N)+|A_{22}|^2\{|\xi_1|^2(|\eta_N|^2+|\xi_N|^2)\}.$$

In the particular case,  $A_{11} = A_{22}^*$  and  $A_{12} = A_{21}^*$  after simplification one can get the form:

$$\langle\psi(t = TN)|\psi(t = TN)\rangle \\ = |A_{11}|^2 + |A_{12}|^2 + A_{11}^*A_{21}\{\eta_1^*\xi_1\exp(2i\varphi_1)\} \\ + A_{12}^*A_{22}\{\eta_1^*\xi_1\exp(2i\varphi_1)\} + A_{21}^*A_{11}\{\eta_1\xi_1^*\exp(-2i\varphi_1)\} \\ + A_{12}A_{22}^*\{\eta_1\xi_1^*\exp(2i\varphi_1)\}. \quad (40)$$

If all kicks are identical, the off-diagonal elements of the matrix  $\mathcal{A}$  are zero. Therefore:  $|A_{11}|^2 = 1$ ,  $|A_{12}|^2 = 0$ ,  $|A_{21}|^2 = 0$ ,  $|A_{22}|^2 = 1$

$$\langle\psi(t = TN)|\psi(t = TN)\rangle = 1. \quad (41)$$

### Appendix B. Normalization condition for the wave function represented in Eq. (16) when $G_n\{\varphi\}$ is non-diagonal

We prove the normalization of the evolved wave function in the general case of three  $N = 3$  different kicks. The elements of the  $G$  matrix in Eq. have the form:

$$G_2\{\varphi\} = \begin{bmatrix} \exp(-i\varphi_2)(\eta_2\eta_1 + \xi_2\xi_1) & \exp(-i\varphi_2)(\eta_2\xi_1 - \xi_2\eta_1) \\ \exp(i\varphi_2)(\xi_2\eta_1 - \eta_2\xi_1) & \exp(i\varphi_2)(\eta_2\eta_1 + \xi_2\xi_1) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (42)$$

$$G_3\{\varphi\} = \begin{bmatrix} \exp(-i\varphi_3)(\eta_3\eta_2 + \xi_3\xi_2) & \exp(-i\varphi_3)(\eta_3\xi_2 - \xi_3\eta_2) \\ \exp(i\varphi_3)(\xi_3\eta_2 - \eta_3\xi_2) & \exp(i\varphi_3)(\eta_3\eta_2 + \xi_3\xi_2) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (43)$$

Therefore for  $\mathcal{A}$  matrix we deduce

$$\mathcal{A} = \prod_{n=2}^3 G_n\{\varphi\}, \quad (44)$$

$$A_{11} = \exp(-i\varphi_2 - i\varphi_3)(\eta_2\eta_1 + \xi_2\xi_1)(\eta_3\eta_2 + \xi_3\xi_2) \\ + \exp(-i\varphi_2 + i\varphi_3)(\eta_2\xi_1 - \xi_2\eta_1)(\xi_3\eta_2 - \eta_3\xi_2), \quad (45)$$

$$A_{12} = \exp(-i\varphi_2 - i\varphi_3)(\eta_2\eta_1 + \xi_2\xi_1)(\eta_3\xi_2 - \xi_3\eta_2) \\ + \exp(-i\varphi_2 + i\varphi_3)(\eta_2\xi_1 - \xi_2\eta_1)(\eta_3\eta_2 + \xi_3\xi_2), \quad (46)$$

$$A_{21} = \exp(i\varphi_2 - i\varphi_3)(\eta_3\eta_2 + \xi_2\xi_3)(\eta_1\xi_2 - \xi_1\eta_2) \\ + \exp(i\varphi_2 + i\varphi_3)(\eta_2\eta_1 + \xi_2\xi_1)(\xi_3\eta_2 - \eta_3\xi_2), \quad (47)$$

$$A_{22} = \exp(i\varphi_2 - i\varphi_3)(\xi_2\eta_1 + \eta_2\xi_1)(\eta_3\xi_2 - \xi_3\eta_2) \\ + \exp(i\varphi_2 + i\varphi_3)(\eta_2\eta_1 + \xi_2\xi_1)(\eta_3\eta_2 + \xi_3\xi_2), \quad (48)$$

$$\langle\psi(t = TN)|\psi(t = TN)\rangle = |A_{11}|^2|\eta_1|^2 + |A_{12}|^2|\eta_1|^2 \\ + |A_{21}|^2|\xi_1|^2 + A_{11}^*A_{21}\{\eta_1^*\xi_1\exp(2i\varphi_1)\} + A_{12}^*A_{22}\{\eta_1^*\xi_1\exp(2i\varphi_1)\} \\ + |A_{22}|^2|\xi_1|^2 + A_{21}^*A_{11}\{\eta_1\xi_1^*\exp(-2i\varphi_1)\} \\ + A_{12}A_{22}^*\{\eta_1\xi_1^*\exp(2i\varphi_1)\}, \quad (49)$$

$$|A_{11}|^2 \\ = ((\eta_2\xi_1 - \eta_1\xi_2)(-\eta_3\xi_2 + \eta_2\xi_3) \cos[\varphi_2 - \varphi_3] \\ + (\eta_1\eta_2 + \xi_1\xi_2)(\eta_2\eta_3 \\ + \xi_2\xi_3) \cos[\varphi_2 + \varphi_3])^2 \\ + ((\eta_2\xi_1 - \eta_1\xi_2)(-\eta_3\xi_2 + \eta_2\xi_3) \sin[\varphi_2 - \varphi_3] \\ + (\eta_1\eta_2 + \xi_1\xi_2)(\eta_2\eta_3 + \xi_2\xi_3) \sin[\varphi_2 + \varphi_3])^2, \quad (50)$$

$$|A_{12}|^2$$

$$= ((\eta_2\xi_1 - \eta_1\xi_2)(\eta_2\eta_3 + \xi_2\xi_3) \cos[\varphi_2 - \varphi_3] \\ + (\eta_1\eta_2 + \xi_1\xi_2)(\eta_3\xi_2 \\ - \eta_2\xi_3) \cos[\varphi_2 + \varphi_3])^2 \\ + ((\eta_2\xi_1 - \eta_1\xi_2)(\eta_2\eta_3 + \xi_2\xi_3) \sin[\varphi_2 - \varphi_3] \\ - (\eta_1\eta_2 + \xi_1\xi_2)(-\eta_3\xi_2 + \eta_2\xi_3) \sin[\varphi_2 + \varphi_3])^2, \quad (51)$$

$$|A_{21}|^2 = ((-\eta_2\xi_1 + \eta_1\xi_2)(\eta_2\eta_3 + \xi_2\xi_3) \cos[\varphi_2 - \varphi_3] \\ + (\eta_1\eta_2 + \xi_1\xi_2)(-\eta_3\xi_2 \\ + \eta_2\xi_3) \cos[\varphi_2 + \varphi_3])^2 \\ + ((-\eta_2\xi_1 + \eta_1\xi_2)(\eta_2\eta_3 + \xi_2\xi_3) \sin[\varphi_2 - \varphi_3] \\ + (\eta_1\eta_2 + \xi_1\xi_2)(-\eta_3\xi_2 + \eta_2\xi_3) \sin[\varphi_2 + \varphi_3])^2, \quad (52)$$

$$|A_{22}|^2 = ((\eta_2\xi_1 - \eta_1\xi_2)(-\eta_3\xi_2 + \eta_2\xi_3) \cos[\varphi_2 - \varphi_3] \\ + (\eta_1\eta_2 + \xi_1\xi_2)(\eta_2\eta_3 \\ + \xi_2\xi_3) \cos[\varphi_2 + \varphi_3])^2 \\ + ((\eta_2\xi_1 - \eta_1\xi_2)(-\eta_3\xi_2 + \eta_2\xi_3) \sin[\varphi_2 - \varphi_3] \\ + (\eta_1\eta_2 + \xi_1\xi_2)(\eta_2\eta_3 + \xi_2\xi_3) \sin[\varphi_2 + \varphi_3])^2, \quad (53)$$

$$A_{21}A_{11}^* \\ = -\exp(2i\varphi_2)((\eta_2^2 + \xi_2^2)(\eta_3\xi_1 - \eta_1\xi_3) \cos[\varphi_3] - i(\eta_2^2(\eta_3\xi_1 + \eta_1\xi_3) \\ - \xi_2^2(\eta_3\xi_1 + \eta_1\xi_3) + 2\eta_2\xi_2(-\eta_1\eta_3 + \xi_1\xi_3)) \sin[\varphi_3])((\eta_2^2 + \xi_2^2)(\eta_1\eta_3 \\ + \xi_1\xi_3) \cos[\varphi_3] + i(\eta_1(\eta_2^2\eta_3 - \eta_3\xi_2^2 + 2\eta_2\xi_2\xi_3) \\ + \xi_1(2\eta_2\eta_3\xi_2 - \eta_2^2\xi_3 \\ + \xi_2^2\xi_3)) \sin[\varphi_3]), \quad (54)$$

$$A_{21}^*A_{11} \\ = \exp(-2i\varphi_2)((-\eta_2\xi_1 + \eta_1\xi_2)(\eta_1\eta_2 + \xi_1\xi_2)(\xi_2(-\eta_3 + \xi_3) \\ + \eta_2(\eta_3 + \xi_3))( \eta_2(\eta_3 - \xi_3) + \xi_2(\eta_3 + \xi_3)) \\ + (\eta_3\xi_2 - \eta_2\xi_3)(\eta_2\eta_3 + \xi_2\xi_3) \\ - (\xi_1(-\eta_2 + \xi_2) + \eta_1(\eta_2 + \xi_2))(\eta_1(\eta_2 - \xi_2) \\ + \xi_1(\eta_2 + \xi_2)) \cos[2\varphi_3] \\ + i(\eta_1^2 + \xi_1^2)(\eta_2^2 + \xi_2^2) \sin[2\varphi_3]), \quad (55)$$

$$A_{12}^*A_{22} \\ = \exp(2i\varphi_2)((\eta_2^2 + \xi_2^2)(\eta_3\xi_1 - \eta_1\xi_3) \cos[\varphi_3] - i(\eta_2^2(\eta_3\xi_1 + \eta_1\xi_3) \\ - \xi_2^2(\eta_3\xi_1 + \eta_1\xi_3) + 2\eta_2\xi_2(-\eta_1\eta_3 + \xi_1\xi_3)) \sin[\varphi_3])((\eta_2^2 + \xi_2^2)(\eta_1\eta_3 \\ + \xi_1\xi_3) \cos[\varphi_3] + i(\eta_1(\eta_2^2\eta_3 - \eta_3\xi_2^2 + 2\eta_2\xi_2\xi_3) \\ + \xi_1(2\eta_2\eta_3\xi_2 - \eta_2^2\xi_3 \\ + \xi_2^2\xi_3)) \sin[\varphi_3]), \quad (56)$$

$$A_{22}^*A_{12} = \exp(-2i\varphi_2)((\eta_2\xi_1 - \eta_1\xi_2)(\eta_1\eta_2 + \xi_1\xi_2)(\xi_2(-\eta_3 + \xi_3) \\ + \eta_2(\eta_3 + \xi_3))( \eta_2(\eta_3 - \xi_3) + \xi_2(\eta_3 + \xi_3)) + (\eta_3\xi_2 - \eta_2\xi_3)(\eta_2\eta_3 \\ + \xi_2\xi_3)(\xi_1(-\eta_2 + \xi_2) + \eta_1(\eta_2 + \xi_2))(\eta_1(\eta_2 - \xi_2) \\ + \xi_1(\eta_2 + \xi_2)) \cos[2\varphi_3] \\ - i(\eta_1^2 + \xi_1^2)(\eta_2^2 + \xi_2^2) \sin[2\varphi_3]). \quad (57)$$

The normalization equation takes the form:

$$\langle\psi(t = TN)|\psi(t = TN)\rangle = (\eta_1^2 + \xi_1^2)^2(\eta_2^2 + \xi_2^2)^2(\eta_3^2 + \xi_3^2). \quad (58)$$

The normalization condition holds

$$\langle\psi(t = TN)|\psi(t = TN)\rangle = 1. \quad (59)$$

### Appendix C. Expectation value of $\langle \sigma_\alpha \rangle$ , $\alpha = x, y, z$

The analytical expressions for expectation values of the spin components used in calculations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \sigma_x \rangle &= |A_{11}|^2 |\eta_1|^2 (\eta_N^* \xi_N + \xi_N^* \eta_N) + A_{11}^* A_{12} |\eta_1|^2 (-|\eta_N|^2 + |\xi_N|^2) \\
&+ A_{11}^* A_{21} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) (\eta_N^* \xi_N + \eta_N \xi_N^*) \\
&+ A_{11}^* A_{22} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) (-|\eta_N|^2 \\
&+ |\xi_N|^2) + A_{12}^* A_{11} |\eta_1|^2 (-|\eta_N|^2 + |\xi_N|^2) \\
&+ |A_{12}|^2 |\eta_1|^2 (-\eta_N^* \xi_N - \xi_N^* \eta_N) \\
&+ A_{12}^* A_{21} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) (-|\eta_N|^2 + |\xi_N|^2) \\
&+ A_{12}^* A_{22} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) (-\eta_N^* \xi_N - \eta_N \xi_N^*) \\
&+ A_{21}^* A_{11} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) (\eta_N^* \xi_N + \xi_N^* \eta_N) \\
&+ A_{21}^* A_{12} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) (-|\eta_N|^2 \\
&+ |\xi_N|^2) + |A_{21}|^2 |\xi_1|^2 (\eta_N \xi_N^* + \xi_N \eta_N^*) + A_{21}^* A_{22} |\xi_1|^2 (-|\eta_N|^2 + |\xi_N|^2) \\
&+ A_{22}^* A_{11} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) (-|\eta_N|^2 + |\xi_N|^2) \\
&+ A_{22}^* A_{12} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) (-\eta_N^* \xi_N \\
&- \eta_N \xi_N^*) + A_{21} A_{22} |\xi_1|^2 (-|\eta_N|^2 + |\xi_N|^2) \\
&+ |A_{22}|^2 |\xi_1|^2 (-\eta_N \xi_N^* - \xi_N \eta_N^*), \quad (60)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \sigma_y \rangle &= |A_{11}|^2 |\eta_1|^2 (-i\eta_N^* \xi_N + i\xi_N^* \eta_N) + A_{11}^* A_{12} |\eta_1|^2 (i|\eta_N|^2 + i|\xi_N|^2) \\
&+ A_{11}^* A_{21} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) (-i\eta_N^* \xi_N + i\eta_N \xi_N^*) \\
&+ A_{11}^* A_{22} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) (i|\eta_N|^2 \\
&+ i|\xi_N|^2) + A_{12}^* A_{11} |\eta_1|^2 (-i|\eta_N|^2 - i|\xi_N|^2) \\
&+ |A_{12}|^2 |\eta_1|^2 (-i\eta_N^* \xi_N + i\xi_N^* \eta_N) \\
&+ A_{12}^* A_{21} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) (-i|\eta_N|^2 - i|\xi_N|^2) \\
&+ A_{12}^* A_{22} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) (-i\eta_N^* \xi_N + i\eta_N \xi_N^*) \\
&+ A_{21}^* A_{11} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) (-i\eta_N^* \xi_N + i\xi_N^* \eta_N) \\
&+ A_{21}^* A_{12} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) (i|\eta_N|^2 + i|\xi_N|^2) \\
&+ |A_{21}|^2 |\xi_1|^2 (i\eta_N \xi_N^* - i\xi_N \eta_N^*) \\
&+ A_{21}^* A_{22} |\xi_1|^2 (i|\eta_N|^2 + i|\xi_N|^2) \\
&+ A_{22}^* A_{11} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) (-i|\eta_N|^2 - i|\xi_N|^2) \\
&+ A_{22}^* A_{12} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) (-i\eta_N^* \xi_N + i\eta_N \xi_N^*) \\
&+ A_{21} A_{22} |\xi_1|^2 (-i|\eta_N|^2 - i|\xi_N|^2) + |A_{22}|^2 |\xi_1|^2 (i\eta_N \xi_N^* - i\xi_N \eta_N^*), \quad (61)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \sigma_z \rangle &= |A_{11}|^2 |\eta_1|^2 (|\eta_N|^2 - |\xi_N|^2) \\
&+ A_{11}^* A_{12} |\eta_1|^2 (\xi_N \eta_N^* + \xi_N^* \eta_N) \\
&+ A_{11}^* A_{21} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) (|\eta_N|^2 - |\xi_N|^2) \\
&+ A_{11}^* A_{22} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) (\xi_N \eta_N^* + \xi_N^* \eta_N) \\
&+ A_{12}^* A_{11} |\eta_1|^2 (\xi_N \eta_N^* + \xi_N^* \eta_N) + |A_{12}|^2 |\eta_1|^2 (|\xi_N|^2 - |\eta_N|^2) \\
&+ A_{12}^* A_{21} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) (\xi_N^* \eta_N + \eta_N^* \xi_N) \\
&+ A_{12}^* A_{22} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) (|\xi_N|^2 - |\eta_N|^2) \\
&+ A_{21}^* A_{11} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) (|\eta_N|^2 - |\xi_N|^2) \\
&+ A_{21}^* A_{12} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) (\eta_N^* \xi_N + \xi_N \eta_N) \\
&+ |A_{21}|^2 |\xi_1|^2 (|\eta_N|^2 - |\xi_N|^2) \\
&+ A_{21}^* A_{22} |\xi_1|^2 (\eta_N^* \xi_N + \xi_N^* \eta_N) \\
&+ A_{22}^* A_{11} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) (\eta_N \xi_N^* + \eta_N^* \xi_N) \\
&+ A_{22}^* A_{12} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) (|\xi_N|^2 - |\eta_N|^2) \\
&+ A_{21} A_{22} |\xi_1|^2 (\xi_N^* \eta_N + \eta_N^* \xi_N) + |A_{22}|^2 |\xi_1|^2 (|\xi_N|^2 - |\eta_N|^2). \quad (62)
\end{aligned}$$

### Appendix D. Elements of density matrix for Eq. (19)

The elements of the reduced density matrix, analytical expressions used for calculation of the coherence.

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{11} &= |A_{11}|^2 |\eta_1|^2 |\eta_N|^2 + A_{11}^* A_{12} |\eta_1|^2 \eta_N^* \xi_N + A_{11}^* A_{21} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) |\eta_N|^2 \\
&+ A_{11}^* A_{22} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) \eta_N^* \xi_N + A_{12}^* A_{11} |\eta_1|^2 \eta_N \xi_N^* + |A_{12}|^2 |\eta_1|^2 |\xi_N|^2 \\
&+ A_{12}^* A_{21} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) \eta_N \xi_N^* + A_{12}^* A_{22} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) |\xi_N|^2 \\
&+ A_{21}^* A_{11} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) |\eta_N|^2 + A_{21}^* A_{12} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) \eta_N^* \xi_N \\
&+ |A_{21}|^2 |\xi_1|^2 |\eta_N|^2 + A_{21}^* A_{22} |\xi_1|^2 \xi_N \eta_N^* + A_{11} A_{22}^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) \eta_N \xi_N^* \\
&+ A_{12} A_{22}^* \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) |\xi_N|^2 \\
&+ A_{21} A_{22}^* |\xi_1|^2 \eta_N \xi_N^* + |A_{22}|^2 |\xi_1|^2 |\xi_N|^2, \quad (63)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{12} &= |A_{11}|^2 |\eta_1|^2 \eta_N \xi_N^* + A_{11}^* A_{12} |\eta_1|^2 |\xi_N|^2 + A_{11}^* A_{21} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) \eta_N \xi_N^* \\
&+ A_{11}^* A_{22} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) |\xi_N|^2 - A_{12}^* A_{11} |\eta_1|^2 |\eta_N|^2 - |A_{12}|^2 |\eta_1|^2 \xi_N \eta_N^* \\
&- A_{12}^* A_{21} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) |\eta_N|^2 - A_{12}^* A_{22} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) \eta_N^* \xi_N \\
&+ A_{21}^* A_{11} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) \xi_N^* \eta_N + A_{21}^* A_{12} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) |\xi_N|^2 \\
&+ |A_{21}|^2 |\xi_1|^2 \eta_N \xi_N^* + A_{21}^* A_{22} |\xi_1|^2 |\xi_N|^2 \\
&- A_{11} A_{22}^* \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) |\eta_N|^2 \\
&- A_{12} A_{22}^* \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) \eta_N^* \xi_N - A_{21} A_{22}^* |\xi_1|^2 |\eta_N|^2 \\
&- |A_{22}|^2 |\xi_1|^2 \xi_N \eta_N^*, \quad (64)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{21} &= |A_{11}|^2 |\eta_1|^2 \eta_N^* \xi_N - A_{11}^* A_{12} |\eta_1|^2 |\eta_N|^2 \\
&+ A_{11}^* A_{21} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) \eta_N^* \xi_N \\
&- A_{11}^* A_{22} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) |\eta_N|^2 + A_{12}^* A_{11} |\eta_1|^2 |\xi_N|^2 - |A_{12}|^2 |\eta_1|^2 \xi_N^* \eta_N \\
&+ A_{12}^* A_{21} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) |\xi_N|^2 - A_{12}^* A_{22} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) \eta_N \xi_N^* \\
&+ A_{21}^* A_{11} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) \xi_N \eta_N^* - A_{21}^* A_{12} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) |\eta_N|^2 \\
&+ |A_{21}|^2 |\xi_1|^2 \eta_N^* \xi_N - A_{21}^* A_{22} |\xi_1|^2 |\eta_N|^2 \\
&+ A_{11} A_{22}^* \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) |\xi_N|^2 \\
&- A_{12} A_{22}^* \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) \eta_N \xi_N^* + A_{21} A_{22}^* |\xi_1|^2 |\xi_N|^2 \\
&- |A_{22}|^2 |\xi_1|^2 \xi_N^* \eta_N, \quad (65)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{22} &= |A_{11}|^2 |\eta_1|^2 |\xi_N|^2 - A_{11}^* A_{12} |\eta_1|^2 \eta_N \xi_N^* + A_{11}^* A_{21} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) |\xi_N|^2 \\
&- A_{11}^* A_{22} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) \eta_N \xi_N^* - A_{12}^* A_{11} |\eta_1|^2 \eta_N^* \xi_N + |A_{12}|^2 |\eta_1|^2 |\eta_N|^2 \\
&- A_{12}^* A_{21} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) \eta_N^* \xi_N + A_{12}^* A_{22} \eta_1^* \xi_1 \exp(2i\varphi_1) |\eta_N|^2 \\
&+ A_{21}^* A_{11} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) |\xi_N|^2 - A_{21}^* A_{12} \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) \eta_N \xi_N^* \\
&+ |A_{21}|^2 |\xi_1|^2 |\xi_N|^2 - A_{21}^* A_{22} |\xi_1|^2 \xi_N^* \eta_N - A_{11} A_{22}^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) \eta_N^* \xi_N \\
&+ A_{12} A_{22}^* \eta_1 \xi_1^* \exp(-2i\varphi_1) |\eta_N|^2 \\
&- A_{21} A_{22}^* |\xi_1|^2 \eta_N^* \xi_N + |A_{22}|^2 |\xi_1|^2 |\eta_N|^2. \quad (66)
\end{aligned}$$

### Appendix E. Normalization constants for eigenstates of Floquet operator for Eq. (26) and matrix elements for Eq. (28)

The eigenstates of Floquet operator for spin-1 are defined as:  $|\varphi_n^1\rangle = -\eta_n |0\rangle + \xi_n |1\rangle + \zeta_n |2\rangle$ , and  $|\varphi_n^2\rangle = x_n |0\rangle + y_n |1\rangle + z_n |2\rangle$  and  $|\varphi_n^3\rangle = u_n |0\rangle + v_n |1\rangle + w_n |2\rangle$ , where the normalization constants for above eigenstates are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_n &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = -\zeta_n, \quad \xi_n = 0, \quad x_n = \frac{a_n}{\sqrt{a_n^2 + b_n^2 + c_n^2}}, \quad y_n = \frac{b_n}{\sqrt{a_n^2 + b_n^2 + c_n^2}}, \\
z_n &= \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{a_n^2 + b_n^2 + c_n^2}}, \quad u_n = \frac{d_n}{\sqrt{d_n^2 + e_n^2 + f_n^2}}, \quad v_n = \frac{e_n}{\sqrt{d_n^2 + e_n^2 + f_n^2}}, \quad w_n = \frac{f_n}{\sqrt{d_n^2 + e_n^2 + f_n^2}}, \\
a_n &= \frac{2\chi_n^2 + 2\sqrt{2}\chi_n\Omega + \Omega^2}{(\sqrt{2}\chi_n + \Omega)^2}, \quad b_n = \frac{\delta - \sqrt{4\chi_n^2 + \delta^2 + 4\sqrt{2}\chi_n\Omega + 2\Omega^2}}{\sqrt{2}\chi_n + \Omega},
\end{aligned}$$

$$c_n = 1, d_n = \frac{2\chi_n^2 + 2\sqrt{2}\chi_n\Omega + \Omega^2}{(\sqrt{2}\chi_n + \Omega)^2}, e_n = \frac{\delta + \sqrt{4\chi_n^2 + \delta^2 + 4\sqrt{2}\chi_n\Omega + 2\Omega^2}}{\sqrt{2}\chi_n + \Omega}, f_n = 1.$$

Matrix elements of Eq. (28) are given as.

$$G_{11} = \exp(-i\varphi_n^1)(\eta_n\eta_{n-1} + \xi_n\xi_{n-1} + \eta_n\eta_{n-1}), \quad (67)$$

$$G_{12} = \exp(-i\varphi_n^1)(-\eta_nx_{n-1} + \xi_ny_{n-1} + \eta_nz_{n-1}), \quad (68)$$

$$G_{13} = \exp(-i\varphi_n^1)(-\eta_nu_{n-1} + \xi_nv_{n-1} + \eta_nw_{n-1}), \quad (69)$$

$$G_{21} = \exp(-i\varphi_n^2)(-x_n\eta_{n-1} + y_n\xi_{n-1} + z_n\eta_{n-1}), \quad (70)$$

$$G_{22} = \exp(i\varphi_n^2)(x_nx_{n-1} + y_ny_{n-1} + z_nz_{n-1}), \quad (71)$$

$$G_{23} = \exp(i\varphi_n^2)(x_nu_{n-1} + y_nv_{n-1} + z_nw_{n-1}), \quad (72)$$

$$G_{31} = \exp(-i\varphi_n^3)(-u_n\eta_{n-1} + v_n\xi_{n-1} + w_n\eta_{n-1}), \quad (73)$$

$$G_{32} = \exp(i\varphi_n^3)(u_nx_{n-1} + v_ny_{n-1} + w_nz_{n-1}), \quad (74)$$

$$G_{33} = \exp(i\varphi_n^3)(u_nu_{n-1} + v_nv_{n-1} + w_nw_{n-1}). \quad (75)$$

## References

- [1] M. El Naschie, Deterministic quantum mechanics versus classical mechanical indeterminism, *Int. J. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 8 (1) (2007) 5–10.
- [2] F. Del Santo, N. Gisin, Physics without determinism: Alternative interpretations of classical physics, *Phys. Rev. A* 100 (2019) 062107.
- [3] J. Cresson, Y. Kheloufi, K. Nachi, F. Pierret, Selection of a stochastic Landau-Lifshitz equation and the stochastic persistence problem, *J. Math. Phys.* 60 (8) (2019) 083512.
- [4] B.V. Chirikov, et al., A universal instability of many-dimensional oscillator systems, *Phys. Rep.* 52 (5) (1979) 263–379.
- [5] G. Casati, B. Chirikov, F. Izraelev, J. Ford, Stochastic behavior of a quantum pendulum under a periodic perturbation, in: *Stochastic Behavior in Classical and Quantum Hamiltonian Systems*, Springer, 1979, pp. 334–352.
- [6] A.J. Lichtenberg, M.A. Leiberman, *Regular and Chaotic Dynamics*, vol. 38, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [7] F. Moore, J. Robinson, C. Bharucha, B. Sundaram, M. Raizen, Atom optics realization of the quantum  $\delta$ -kicked rotor, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 75 (25) (1995) 4598.
- [8] M.B. d'Arcy, R.M. Godun, M.K. Oberthaler, D. Cassettari, G.S. Summy, Quantum enhancement of momentum diffusion in the delta-kicked rotor, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87 (2001) 074102, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.074102>.
- [9] A. Tanaka, Quantum mechanical entanglements with chaotic dynamics, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 29 (17) (1996) 5475–5497, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/29/17/020>.
- [10] G. Casati, B. Chirikov, I. Guarneri, Energy-level statistics of integrable quantum systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 54 (13) (1985) 1350.
- [11] D. Shepelyansky, Localization of diffusive excitation in multi-level systems, *Physica D* 28 (1–2) (1987) 103–114.
- [12] G.J. Milburn, C.A. Holmes, Quantum coherence and classical chaos in a pulsed parametric oscillator with a Kerr nonlinearity, *Phys. Rev. A* 44 (1991) 4704–4711, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.44.4704>.
- [13] A.K. Naik, M.S. Hanay, W.K. Hiebert, X.L. Feng, M.L. Roukes, Towards single-molecule nanomechanical mass spectrometry, *Nature Nanotechnol.* 4 (7) (2009) 445, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2009.152>.
- [14] A.D.O. Connell, M. Hofheinz, M. Ansmann, R.C. Bialczak, M. Lenander, E. Lucero, M. Neeley, D. Sank, H. Wang, M. Weides, J. Wenner, J.M. Martinis, A.N. Cleland, Quantum ground state and single-phonon control of a mechanical resonator, *Nature* 464 (7289) (2010) 697, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08967>.
- [15] T.P.M. Alegre, J. Chan, M. Eichenfield, M. Winger, Q. Lin, J.T. Hill, D.E. Chang, O. Painter, Electromagnetically induced transparency and slow light with optomechanics, *Nature* 472 (7341) (2011) 69, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09933>.
- [16] K. Stannigel, P. Rabl, A.S. Sørensen, P. Zoller, M.D. Lukin, Optomechanical transducers for long-distance quantum communication, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105 (22) (2010) 220501, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.220501>.
- [17] A.H. Safavi-Naeini, O. Painter, Proposal for an optomechanical traveling wave phonon-photon translator, *New J. Phys.* 13 (1) (2011) 013017.
- [18] S. Camerer, M. Korppi, A. Jöckel, D. Hunger, T.W. Hänsch, P. Treutlein, Realization of an optomechanical interface between ultracold atoms and a membrane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 107 (22) (2011) 223001, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.223001>.
- [19] M. Eichenfield, J. Chan, R.M. Camacho, K.J. Vahala, O. Painter, Optomechanical crystals, *Nature* 462 (7269) (2009) 78–82, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08524>.
- [20] A.H. Safavi-Naeini, J. Chan, J.T. Hill, T.P.M. Alegre, A. Krause, O. Painter, Observation of quantum motion of a nanomechanical resonator, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 108 (3) (2012) 033602, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.033602>.
- [21] N. Brahm, T. Botter, S. Schreppler, D.W.C. Brooks, D.M. Stamper-Kurn, Optical detection of the quantization of collective atomic motion, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 108 (13) (2012) 133601, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.133601>.
- [22] A. Nunnenkamp, K. Børkje, S.M. Girvin, Cooling in the single-photon strong-coupling regime of cavity optomechanics, *Phys. Rev. A* 85 (5) (2012) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.051803>, 051803(R).
- [23] F.Y. Khalili, H. Miao, H. Yang, A.H. Safavi-Naeini, O. Painter, Y. Chen, Quantum back-action in measurements of zero-point mechanical oscillations, *Phys. Rev. A* 86 (3) (2012) 033602, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.033602>.
- [24] C.P. Meaney, R.H. McKenzie, G.J. Milburn, Quantum entanglement between a nonlinear nanomechanical resonator and a microwave field, *Phys. Rev. E* 83 (5) (2011) 056202, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.83.056202>.
- [25] J. Atalaya, A. Isacsson, M.I. Dykman, Diffusion-induced dephasing in nanomechanical resonators, *Phys. Rev. B* 83 (4) (2011) 045419, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.045419>.
- [26] P. Rabl, Cooling of mechanical motion with a two-level system: The high-temperature regime, *Phys. Rev. B* 82 (16) (2010) 165320, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.165320>.
- [27] S.V. Prants, A group-theoretical approach to study atomic motion in a laser field, *J. Phys. A* 44 (26) (2011) 265101, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/44/26/265101>.
- [28] M. Ludwig, K. Hammerer, F. Marquardt, Entanglement of mechanical oscillators coupled to a nonequilibrium environment, *Phys. Rev. A* 82 (1) (2010) 012333, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.012333>.
- [29] T.L. Schmidt, K. Børkje, C. Bruder, B. Trauzettel, Detection of qubit-oscillator entanglement in nanoelectromechanical systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 104 (17) (2010) 177205, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.177205>.
- [30] R.B. Karabalin, M.C. Cross, M.L. Roukes, Nonlinear dynamics and chaos in two coupled nanomechanical resonators, *Phys. Rev. B* 79 (16) (2009) 165309, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.165309>.
- [31] L. Chotorlishvili, A. Ugulava, G. Mchedlishvili, A. Komnik, S. Wimberger, J. Berakdar, Nonlinear dynamics of two coupled nano-electromechanical resonators, *J. Phys. B* 44 (21) (2011) 215402, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/44/21/215402>.
- [32] S.N. Shevchenko, A.N. Omelyanchouk, E. Il'ichev, Multiphoton transitions in Josephson-junction qubits (review article), *Low Temp. Phys.* 38 (4) (2012) 283–300, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3701717>.
- [33] Y.X. Liu, A. Miranowicz, Y.B. Gao, J.R. Bajaj, C.P. Sun, F. Nori, Qubit-induced phonon blockade as a signature of quantum behavior in nanomechanical resonators, *Phys. Rev. A* 82 (3) (2010) 032101, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.032101>.
- [34] S. Shevchenko, S. Ashhab, F. Nori, Landau-Zener-Stückelberg interferometry, *Phys. Rep.* 492 (1) (2010) 1–30, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2010.03.002>.
- [35] D. Zueco, G.M. Reuther, S. Kohler, P. Hänggi, Qubit-oscillator dynamics in the dispersive regime: Analytical theory beyond the rotating-wave approximation, *Phys. Rev. A* 80 (3) (2009) 033846, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.80.033846>.
- [36] G.Z. Cohen, M. Di Ventra, Reading, writing, and squeezing the entangled states of two nanomechanical resonators coupled to a SQUID, *Phys. Rev. B* 87 (1) (2013) 014513, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.014513>.
- [37] P. Rabl, P. Cappellaro, M.V.G. Dutt, L. Jiang, J.R. Maze, M.D. Lukin, Strong magnetic coupling between an electronic spin qubit and a mechanical resonator, *Phys. Rev. B* 79 (2009) 041302, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.041302>.
- [38] L.G. Zhou, L.F. Wei, M. Gao, X.B. Wang, Strong coupling between two distant electronic spins via a nanomechanical resonator, *Phys. Rev. A* 81 (4) (2010) 042323, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.042323>.
- [39] L. Chotorlishvili, D. Sander, A. Sukhov, V. Dugaev, V.R. Vieira, A. Komnik, J. Berakdar, Entanglement between nitrogen vacancy spins in diamond controlled by a nanomechanical resonator, *Phys. Rev. B* 88 (8) (2013) 085201, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.085201>.



- [40] Z. Toklikishvili, L. Chotorlishvili, S.K. Mishra, S. Stagraczynski, M. Schüler, A.R.P. Rau, J. Berakdar, Entanglement dynamics of two nitrogen vacancy centers coupled by a nanomechanical resonator, *J. Phys. B* 50 (5) (2017) 055007, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6455/aa5a69>.
- [41] L. Chotorlishvili, A. Ugulava, Quantum chaos and its kinetic stage of evolution, *Physica D* 239 (3–4) (2010) 103–122, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2009.08.017>.
- [42] G.M. Zaslavsky, *The Physics of Chaos in Hamiltonian Systems*, world scientific, 2007.
- [43] L. Chotorlishvili, P. Zięba, I. Tralle, A. Ugulava, Zitterbewegung and symmetry switching in Klein's four-group, *J. Phys. A* 51 (3) (2018) 035004, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/aa9a2c>.
- [44] A. Ugulava, L. Chotorlishvili, K. Nickoladze, Irreversible evolution of quantum chaos, *Phys. Rev. E* 71 (2005) 056211, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.71.056211>.
- [45] F. Haake, Quantum signatures of chaos, in: *Quantum Coherence in Mesoscopic Systems*, Springer, 1991, pp. 583–595.
- [46] D. Khomitsky, A. Malyshev, E.Y. Sherman, M. Di Ventura, Spin chaos manifestation in a driven quantum billiard with spin-orbit coupling, *Phys. Rev. B* 88 (19) (2013) 195407.
- [47] A. Streltsov, G. Adesso, M.B. Plenio, Colloquium: Quantum coherence as a resource, *Rev. Modern Phys.* 89 (4) (2017) 041003.
- [48] A. Streltsov, U. Singh, H.S. Dhar, M.N. Bera, G. Adesso, Measuring quantum coherence with entanglement, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 115 (2015) 020403, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.020403>.
- [49] Y. Zou, R.V. Donner, M. Wickramasinghe, I.Z. Kiss, M. Small, J. Kurths, Phase coherence and attractor geometry of chaotic electrochemical oscillators, *Chaos* 22 (3) (2012) 033130.
- [50] P. Bocchieri, A. Loinger, Quantum recurrence theorem, *Phys. Rev.* 107 (2) (1957) 337.
- [51] T. Hogg, B.A. Huberman, Recurrence phenomena in quantum dynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 48 (1982) 711–714, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.711>.
- [52] G. Casati, G. Maspero, D.L. Shepelyansky, Quantum poincaré recurrences, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 82 (3) (1999) 524.
- [53] F. Wilczek, Quantum time crystals, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109 (2012) 160401, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.160401>.
- [54] D.V. Else, B. Bauer, C. Nayak, Floquet time crystals, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117 (2016) 090402, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.090402>.
- [55] D. Khomitsky, A. Chubanov, A. Konakov, Regular and irregular dynamics of spin-polarized wavepackets in a mesoscopic quantum dot at the edge of topological insulator, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* 123 (6) (2016) 1043–1059.