

# List of Figures

1.1	A schematic representation of a network with community structure. . . .	2
3.1	Example of $\eta^{1.0}$ ego network. . . . .	43
3.2	Mutual interest in the relationship between two persons. . . . .	45
3.3	MCDM ranking acquired by each algorithm in real world known network with variation of accuracy contribution. Higher scores justify tendency of algorithm's inclination towards accuracy. . . . .	59
3.4	MCDM ranking acquired by each algorithm in synthetic network with variation of accuracy contribution. . . . .	60
3.5	Safe zone predicted with different metrics corresponding to $\alpha$ and $\beta$ ranges in Strike data set. . . . .	62
3.6	Safe zone predicted with different metrics corresponding to $\alpha$ and $\beta$ ranges in Karate data set. . . . .	63
3.7	Safe zone predicted with different metrics corresponding to $\alpha$ and $\beta$ ranges in Football data set. . . . .	64
3.8	Safe zone predicted with different metrics corresponding to $\alpha$ and $\beta$ ranges in Dolphin data set. . . . .	65
4.1	Communities identified with FuzAg algorithm on an example graph. . . .	79
4.2	MCDM ranking acquired by each algorithm in Dolphin, Football, Karate and Strike networks with variation of accuracy contribution. Higher scores indicate tendency of algorithm's inclination towards accuracy. . . . .	96
4.3	Normalized mutual information (NMI) values obtained on LFR benchmark graph disjoint community. . . . .	97
4.4	Characteristics of five quality metrics and four accuracy metrics with variation of parameter $\psi$ on Dolphin, Football and Karate networks. . . . .	98
4.5	Fuzzy modularity resulted (a) by considering constant $\psi = 0.25$ for hardening membership degree to form crisp overlapping communities, and (b) by considering various constant $\psi$ values for hardening membership degree on Dolphin network. . . . .	100
5.1	Effect of cognitive avoidance in PSO . . . . .	107
5.2	Multiple featured network representation of instances with attributes. A person is connected with respect to an attribute to other if both have entries 1 in the table. . . . .	109

5.3	Convergence comparison of PSO-CATV with PSO-TVIW, PSO-TVAC and jDE. . . . .	121
5.4	Comparison of communities predicted with PSOCA and other variants of PSO on three datasets. . . . .	123
6.1	Time required to complete 10-fold cross-validation . . . . .	139
6.2	AUC, Precision, RS and Time on LFR1 networks with 500 nodes. . . . .	140
6.3	AUC, Precision, RS and Time on LFR2 networks with 1000 nodes. . . . .	141
6.4	AUC values of predicted missing links for different sizes of probe set. . . . .	142
6.5	Precision values of predicted missing links for different sizes of probe set. . . . .	143
6.6	RS values of predicted missing links for different sizes of probe set. . . . .	144
7.1	Point dominance in quantile-quantile plot. . . . .	164
7.2	Regression Line dominance with Simple Linear Regression (SLR) analysis on quantile-quantile plot. . . . .	165
7.3	Positive shifting of RL. . . . .	166
7.4	Negative shifting of RL. . . . .	167
7.5	Comparison of effectiveness of AVI, AVU and ANUI with other metrics on LFR benchmark graphs. NMI, ARI, Purity and F-measure are accuracy metrics. . . . .	176
7.6	Comparison of effectiveness of AVI, AVU and ANUI with other metrics on real-world network. . . . .	177
7.7	Characteristics of AVI, AVU and ANUI on LFR benchmark graphs. Characteristics of AVI, AVU and ANUI are shown in (a), (b) and (c) respectively. . . . .	181
7.8	Characteristics of AVI, AVU and ANUI on real-world networks. Characteristics of AVI, AVU and ANUI are shown in (a), (b) and (c) respectively. . . . .	182
7.9	Tendency of algorithms' relative inclination towards accuracy (RITA). . . . .	185
7.10	One-to-one solution quality comparison in CEC2005 functions f1-f4. . . . .	188
7.11	One-to-many solution quality comparison in CEC2005 functions f5-f8. . . . .	189
7.12	Many-to-many solution quality comparison in CEC2005 functions f9-f14. . . . .	190
7.13	One-to-many comparison of community detection algorithms in terms of NMI values. . . . .	191
7.14	One-to-many comparison of community detection algorithms in terms of Coverage values. . . . .	192
A.1	Angular displacement in CPS and CNS. . . . .	224
A.2	Angular displacement in APS and ANS. . . . .	225