

## List of Figures

Figure 1. 1 Different solid waste generation and utilization in ISP.....	4
Figure 1. 2 Typical iron ore beneficiation circuit.....	6
Figure 1. 3 Fly and bottom ash generation process (Earth and Industry, 2015).....	10
Figure 1. 4 SEM micrograph of (a) iron ore slime and (b) bottom ash.....	11
Figure 1. 5 Particle size analysis graph of (a) iron ore slime and (b) bottom ash.....	13
Figure 1. 6 Bottom ash utilization status in the world.....	18
Figure 2. 1 Photographs and SEM images of (a,b) Iron ore slime; (c,d) FBC bottom ash; (e,f) PCC.....	29
Figure 2. 2 XRD pattern for iron ore slime, FBC and PCC bottom ash.....	30
Figure 2. 3 Particle size graph of iron ore slime and both bottom ashes.....	31
Figure 2. 4 Proctor test graph of (a) PCC bottom ash, (b) FBC bottom ash.....	33
Figure 2. 5 Stress-Strain Diagram for bottom ash of different sources.....	34
Figure 2. 6 Comparison of shear strength evolves for both FBC and PCC bottom ash.....	34
Figure 2. 7 CBR Test graph of FBC and PCC bottom ash.....	35
Figure 2. 8 Void ratio variation with pressure.....	38
Figure 2. 9 Void ratio variation with pressure.....	39
Figure 2. 10 Particle size graph of different bottom ash, pond ash, and sand, tested in Laboratory.....	39
Figure 2. 11 Particle size graph of different pond ash of India reported in literature.....	40
Figure 3. 1 Steps of geopolymerization reactions.....	47
Figure 3. 2(a) Hydraulic press and (b) Mould for sample preparation.....	50
Figure 3. 3 Flow chart for production and evaluation of geopolymer samples.....	50
Figure 3. 4 Cured samples of different composition for both bottom ash.....	51
Figure 3. 5 Effect of various parameters on Cold Crushing Strength.....	53
Figure 3. 6 Effect of various parameters on water absorption.....	55
Figure 3. 7 Effect of various parameters on apparent density.....	57
Figure 3. 8 XRD analysis of different samples.....	58
Figure 3. 9 FT-IR analysis of geopolymer samples.....	59
Figure 3. 10 SEM micrograph of bricks samples.....	60
Figure 3. 11 Tentative mechanism of geopolymerization in different types of bottom ash..	61
Figure 4. 1 Flow chart for preparation and characterization of brick samples.....	68
Figure 4. 2 Photograph of brick samples after firing at different temperature.....	68
Figure 4. 3 Arrangement of samples for thermal conductivity measurement.....	69
Figure 4. 4 Variation in compressive strength with different firing temperature, fineness of bottom ash and iron ore slime content.....	70
Figure 4. 5 Variation in apparent porosity with different firing temperature, fineness of bottom ash and iron ore slime content.....	72
Figure 4. 6 Variation in bulk density with different firing temperature, fineness of bottom ash and iron ore slime content.....	74
Figure 4.7 Variation in thermal conductivity with different firing temperature, fineness of bottom ash and iron ore slime content.....	75
Figure 4.8 SEM micrograph of different samples.....	77
Figure 4. 9 XRD analysis of fired samples at different temperature and iron ore slime Content.....	78

Figure 4.10 Green brick samples characteristics.....	85
Figure 4.11 Effect of ageing on oven dried brick samples.....	85
Figure 4.12 Photographs of brick samples at different conditions.....	86
Figure 4.13 Effect of firing temperature on cold crushing strength.....	88
Figure 4.14 Effect of firing temperature and slime content on apparent porosity.....	89
Figure 4.15 Effect of firing temperature and slime content on water absorption.....	90
Figure 4.16 Effect of firing temperature and iron ore slime content on bulk density.....	91
Figure 4.17 Effect of firing temperature and iron ore slime content on PLC.....	92
Figure 4.18 Comparison in properties of standard brick samples for thermal conductivity measurement with brick samples.....	93
Figure 4.19 Effect of hardening temperature and slime content thermal conductivity measured at different temperature for FBC bottom ash samples.....	94
Figure 4.20 Effect of hardening temperature and slime content thermal conductivity measured at different temperature for PCC bottom ash samples.....	94
Figure 4.21 XRD analysis of fired FBC and PCC brick samples at different firing temperature and iron ore slime content.....	95
Figure 4.22 SEM analysis of different bottom ash samples at different firing temperature and iron ore slime content.....	96
Figure 4.23 Tentative bond formation mechanism for different bottom ash samples at different firing temperature and iron ore slime content.....	97
Figure 5. 1 Different parts of the fabricated Furnace : a) Solid and hollow graphite electrodes, b) electrode holder with cooling and moving arrangement, c) Furnace body and roof, d) Electrical connector block with nails and SS rod..	105
Figure 5. 2 Cross-section view of plasma arc furnace (a-graphite crucible; b- magnesite lining).....	107
Figure 5. 3 Particle size analysis of the magnesite ramming mass.....	108
Figure 5. 4 Steps of making magnesite crucible.....	109
Figure 5. 5 Gas flow system with purification train.....	110
Figure 5. 6 Molten metal Sample collection tube (a) silica pipette) and (b) graphite mould	110
Figure 5. 7 Fabricated plasma arc furnace (a-Photographs of isometric view; b- Isometric view).....	111
Figure 5. 8 Flow chart of scrap melting procedure.....	113
Figure 5. 9 Effect of arc current on the melt temperature and meltdown time under normal arc.....	114
Figure 5. 10 Effect of plasma gas on the melt temperature when exposed to different plasma.....	115
Figure 5. 11 Effect of arc current on the melt temperature when exposed to different Plasma.....	116
Figure 5. 12 Effect of number of heat per campaign on melt temperature and meltdown time under normal arc.....	116
Figure 5. 13 Variation in arc length with power rating under normal and plasma arcs.....	118
Figure 5. 14 Variation in arc conductivity with current under normal and plasma arc.....	119
Figure 5.15 Effect of gas flow rate on arc length and its conductance.....	119
Figure 5.16 Effect of arc current on energy consumption rate under normal and plasma....	121
Figure 5.17 Variations of sound level, arc current and power rating during melting under the normal arc.....	123

Figure 5. 18 Effect of arc current on sound level while exposing arc to liquid melt.....	124
Figure 5. 19 Graphite electrode erosion as a function of arc current.....	125
Figure 5. 20 Erosion trends of graphite electrode in different arc plasma condition, a) Photograph of electrodes, b) Sketch of electrodes.....	126
Figure 5. 21 Erosion patterns of magnesite crucible in different arcing condition.....	127
Figure 5. 22 Photograph shows the condition of sintered crucible after using 7 heats in nitrogen plasma.....	128
Figure 6. 1 Photographs of raw material used in the present study.....	135
Figure 6. 2 Melting procedure flow chart.....	137
Figure 6. 3 Photographs of a) Melting operation b) Pouring operation c) Cast ingot.....	137
Figure 6. 4 Effect of exposure time on recovery of elements in metal.....	141
Figure 6. 5 Effect of reductant reactivity on recovery of elements in metal.....	142
Figure 6. 6 Effect of arc on recovery of elements in metal.....	143
Figure 6. 7 Effect of stoichiometry of carbon content on recovery of elements in metal.....	143
Figure 6. 8 Effect of form of charges on recovery of elements in metal.....	144
Figure 6. 9 Effect of charge layer thickness on recovery of elements in metal.....	145
Figure 6. 10 Effect of crucible lining material on recovery of elements in metal.....	146
Figure 6. 11 Effect of reducing gas on recovery of elements in metal.....	146
Figure 6. 12 Ellingham's diagram of major oxides present in the charge materials.....	148
Figure 6. 13 Tentative mechanism of smelting reduction a) effect of charge chemistry, b) effect of charge forms, c) effect of lining material.....	150
Figure 7. 1 Dust collection assembly.....	156
Figure 7. 2 Effect of arcing type on (a) energy and (b) graphite electrode consumption.....	159
Figure 7. 3 Effect of crucible lining material on (a) energy and (b) graphite electrode consumption.....	159
Figure 7. 4 Effect of charge form on (a) energy and (b) graphite electrode consumption...	160
Figure 7. 5 Effect of reductant reactivity on (a) energy and (b) graphite electrode consumption.....	161
Figure 7. 6 Effect of stoichiometry on (a) energy and (b) graphite electrode consumption..	162
Figure 7. 7 Effect of charge layer thickness on (a) energy and (b) graphite electrode consumption.....	162
Figure 7. 8 Effect of reducing agents on (a) energy and (b) graphite electrode consumption.....	163
Figure 7. 9 Effect of arcing type on sound level.....	164
Figure 7. 10 Effect of crucible lining material on sound level.....	165
Figure 7. 11 Effect of charge form on sound level.....	166
Figure 7. 12 Effect of charge layer thickness on sound level.....	166
Figure 7. 13 Effect of plasma type on flue dust composition.....	167
Figure 7. 14 Effect of reductant presence on flue dust composition.....	168
Figure 7. 15 Effect of reductant reactivity on flue dust composition.....	169
Figure 7. 16 Effect of charge materials form and composition on flue dust composition....	169
Figure 7. 17 Effect of arc type on partitioning of metals.....	171
Figure 7. 18 Effect of crucible lining material on partitioning of metals.....	171
Figure 7. 19 Effect of charge form on partitioning of metals.....	173
Figure 7. 20 Effect of reductant reactivity on partitioning of metals.....	173
Figure 7. 21 Effect of stoichiometry carbon on partitioning of metals .....	174