## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS BASED ON THESIS

- 1. Estimation of rock load height during development operation in bord and pillar coal mine using numerical simulation method (2018). *Modelling C, Vol. 79, (2) pp 24-34.*
- Support Design during Depillaring Operation in Bord and Pillar Panel Using Numerical Simulation Method (2018), Modelling C, Vol. 78, (3) pp 351-363.
- Analysis if laminated roof with rock bolt using Numerical Simulation method (2017).
   The Indian mining & engineering journal Vol. 56No. 10, pp 10-24.
- Numerical simulation of roof bolt system during depillaring operation in bord and pillar panel (2016). International conference on Recent Advances in Rock Engineering, RARE – 2016, published in Atlantic Press pp 69-73.

- Agioutantis, Z., Stiakakis, C., Stiakakis, N., &Karmis, M. (2001). An interactive roof bolting selection and performance system.
- Aksoy, C. O., Kantarci, O., &Ozacar, V. (2010). An example of estimating rock mass deformation around an underground opening using numerical modeling. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 47(2), 272-278.
- Barton, N., Lien, R., &Lunde, J. (1974). Engineering classification of rock masses for the design of tunnel support. Rock mechanics, 6(4), 189-236.
- Bieniawski, Z. T. (1984). Rock mechanics design in mining and tunnelling (No. Monograph).
- Bieniawski, Z. T. (1988). Rock mass classification as a design aid in tunnelling. Tunnels &tunnelling, 20(7), 19-22.
- Bieniawski, Z. T. (1989). Engineering rock mass classifications: a complete manual for engineers and geologists in mining, civil, and petroleum engineering. John Wiley & Sons.
- Bieniawski, Z. T. (1990). Tunnel design by rock mass classifications. Pennsylvania State Univ University Park Dept of Mineral Engineering.
- Chase, F. C., Mark, C., & Heasley, K. A. (2002). Deep cover pillar extraction in the USA In: Proceedings of the 21st international conference ground control in mining. Morgantown WV USA, 28-29.
- CMRI Report, "Geo-mechanical classification of roof rocks vis-à-vis roof supports," S and T Project Report, 1987.

- Crouch, S. L. (1976). Solution of plane elasticity problems by the displacement discontinuity method. I. Infinite body solution. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 10(2), 301-343.
- Dasgupta, B. (2000). Numerical Modeling of Large Underground Caverns for Hydro Power Projects.In Trends in Rock Mechanics (pp. 50-64).
- Deere, D. U., Peck, R. B., Parker, H. W., Monsees, J. E., & Schmidt, B. (1970). Design of tunnel support systems. Highway Research Record, (339).

DGMS annual report, 2014.

- Fang, Z. (2001). A local degradation approach to the numerical analysis of brittle fractures in heterogeneous rocks (Doctoral dissertation, Imperial College London (University of London).
- Goodman, R. E., Taylor, R. L., & Brekke, T. L. (1968). A model for the mechanics of jointed rock. Journal of Soil Mechanics & Foundations Div.
- Hasim, R., Jaiswal, A., &Shrivastva, B. K. (2018). Modelling, Measurement and Control C. Journal homepage: http://iieta.org/Journals/MMC/MMC\_C, 79(2), 24-34.
- Hasim, R., Jaiswal, A., & Shrivastva, B. K. Simulation Method.
- Hasim, R., Jaiswal, A., & Shrivastva, B. K. Support Design during Depillaring Operation in Bord and Pillar Panel Using Numerical Simulation Method.
- Hematian, J., Porter, I., & Singh, R. N. (2001). Application of the conventional and a new failure criterion in the stability analysis of underground structures. Journal of Rock Mechanics and Tunnelling Technology, 7(3), 12.
- Jaiswal, A., &Shrivastva, B. K. (2009). Numerical simulation of coal pillar strength. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 46(4), 779-788.

- Jaiswal, A., Sharma, S. K., & Shrivastva, B. K. (2004). Numerical modeling study of asymmetry in the induced stresses over coal mine pillars with advancement of the goaf line. International journal of rock mechanics and mining sciences (1997), 41(5), 859-864.
- Jing, L., & Hudson, J. A. (2002). Numerical methods in rock mechanics. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 39(4), 409-427.
- Jingyi Cheng, Wenfeng Li &Zhijun Wan Development and Calibration of a 3D Numerical Modeling of Roof Bolts - A Case Study
- K. Terzaghi, Rock tunneling with steel support.in: Proctor rv, white tl, editors, 1946, Rock Defects and loads on tunnel supports, 1. Youngstown: Ohio, pp. 17–99.
- Karabin, G. J., & Debevec, W. J. (1976). Comparative evaluation of conventional and resin bolting systems (Vol. 1033).US Department of the Interior, Mining Enforcement and Safety Administration.
- Karabin, G. J., & Hoch, M. T. (1980). Contemporary roof support systems provide a diversified approach to modern ground control. Proceedings of VPI Annual Institute on Coal Mine Health & Safety, 249-267.
- Kumar, P. (1998). Shear failure envelope of Hoek-Brown criterion for rockmass. Tunnelling and underground space technology, 13(4), 453-458.
- Kuriyama, K., Mizuta, Y., Mozumi, H., & Watanabe, T. (1995). Three-dimensional elastic analysis by the boundary element method with analytical integrations over triangular leaf elements. In International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences and Geomechanics Abstracts (Vol. 7, No. 32, p. 320A).

- Kushwaha, A., Singh, S. K., Tewari, S., & Sinha, A. (2010). Empirical approach for designing of support system in mechanized coal pillar mining. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 47(7), 1063-1078.
- Li, G., Mizuta, Y., Ishida, T., Li, H., Nakama, S., & Sato, T. (2009). Stress field determination from local stress measurements by numerical modelling. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 46(1), 138-147.
- Li, S., Gao, M., Yang, X., Zhang, R., Ren, L., Zhang, Z., & Xie, J. (2018). Numerical simulation of spatial distributions of mining-induced stress and fracture fields for three coal mining layouts. Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, 10(5), 907-913.
- Mandal, A. and Sengupta, D. (1999). Fatal accidents in Indian coal mines. Technical Report No. ASD/99/33, Applied Statistics Unit, ISI, Calcutta 700 035.
- Mandal, P. K., Singh, R., Maiti, J., Singh, A. K., Kumar, R., & Sinha, A. (2008). Underpinning-based simultaneous extraction of contiguous sections of a thick coal seam under weak and laminated parting. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 45(1), 11-28.
- Mark C (2000). Design of roof bolt systems. In: New Technology for Coal Mine Roof
  Support. Pittsburgh, PA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public
  Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for
  Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2000-151, IC
  9453.
- Mark, C. (1991). Horizontal stress and its effects on longwall ground control. TRANSACTIONS-SOCIETY OF MINING ENGINEERS OF AIME, 1356-1356.

111

Mark, C. (1998). Application of the coal mine roof rating (CMRR) to extended cuts.

- Mark, C., & Molinda, G. M. (1995). Evaluating roof control in underground coal mines with the coal mine roof rating.In International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences and Geomechanics Abstracts (Vol. 8, No. 32, p. 417A).
- Mark, C., & Molinda, G. M. (1996). Rating Coal Mine Roof strength from expoloratory drill core (No.CONF-9608121-). Colorado School of Mines, Golden, CO (United States).
- Mark, C., & Zelanko, J. C. (2001, August). Sizing of final stumps for safer pillar extraction. In Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Ground Control in Mining (pp. 59-66).
- Mark, C., Chase, F. E., & Molinda, G. M. (1993). Design of longwall gate entry systems using roof classification (No. CONF-930646-). Maclean Hunter Presentations, Inc., Aurora, CO (United States).
- Mishra, D. P., Sugla, M., &Singha, P. (2013). Productivity improvement in underground coal mines-a case study. Journal of Sustainable Mining, 12(3), 48-53.
- Molinda, G. M. (1991). The effect of surface topography on the stability of coal mine openings. AAPG Bulletin (American Association of Petroleum Geologists); (United States), 75(CONF-9109238).
- Molinda, G. M., Mark, C., & Dolinar, D. (2000). Assessing Coal Mine Roof Stability Through Roof Fall Analysis; Proceedings: New Technology for Coal Mine Roof Support.
- N. Barton, R. Lien, J. Lunde, Engineering classification of rock masses for the design of tunnel support, 1974, Rock mechanics, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 189–239.

- Oldroyd, C., Bigby, D., Leeming, J., & Meyer, L. (2006, August). Successful application of rock bolt support and mechanised depillaring in difficult roof conditions at Tandsi Mine, Western Coalfields, India. In Proceedings of 25 th International Conference on Ground Control in Mining. Morgantown WV USA (pp. 396-00).
- Oraee, K., & Navid, H. (2007). Estimating coal pillar strength using finite element model.In Proceedings of First International conference on mining and mining consequence, Mashhad, Iran (pp. 13-21).
- Pan, E., Amadei, B., & Kim, Y. I. (1998).2-D BEM analysis of anisotropic half-plane problems—application to rock mechanics. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 35(1), 69-74.
- Paul, A., Singh, A. K., Kumar, N., & Rao, D. G. (2009). Empirical approach for estimation of rock load in development workings of room and pillar mining.
- Peng, S. S. (1998). Roof bolting adds stability to weak strata. Coal Age Magazine, 11, 32-38.
- POLIAKOV, A. B. (1999). Modeling of tectonic problems with PAROVOZ: examples of lithospheric rifting and buckling. In FLAC and Numerical modeling in geomechanics (pp. 165-172).
- Rajaram, V., & Bolstad, D. D. (1987, January). Role of rock mechanics in increasing mining productivity.In The 28th US Symposium on Rock Mechanics (USRMS).American Rock Mechanics Association.
- Reddish, D. J., Stace, L. R., Vanichkobchinda, P., & Whittles, D. N. (2005). Numerical simulation of the dynamic impact breakage testing of rock. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 42(2), 167-176.

- Rizwan, H., Ashok, J., Shrivastva, B. K., & Satyabdi, J. (2016, November). Numerical simulation of roof bolt system during depillaring operations in bord and pillar panel.In Recent Advances in Rock Engineering (RARE 2016). Atlantis Press.
- Rusnak, J., & Mark, C. (2000).Using the point load test to determine the uniaxial compressive strength of coal measure rock.
- Scott, J. J. (1989). Roof Bolting-A Sophisticated Art. Coal Age, August.
- Sheorey, P. R., Loui, J. P., Singh, K. B., & Singh, S. K. (2000). Ground subsidence observations and a modified influence function method for complete subsidence prediction. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 37(5), 801-818.
- Singh, A. K., Singh, R., Maiti, J., Kumar, R., & Mandal, P. K. (2011). Assessment of mining induced stress development over coal pillars during depillaring. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 48(5), 805-818.
- Singh, A. K., Sinha, A., & Paul, A. (2005).Geotechnical investigation for support design in depillaring panels in Indian coalmines.Journal of scientific & industrial research, 64(5), 358-363.
- Singh, R., Mandal, P. K., Singh, A. K., & Singh, T. N. (2001). Cable-bolting-based semimechanised depillaring of a thick coal seam. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 38(2), 245-257.
- Singh, R., Mandal, P. K., Singh, A. K., Kumar, R., & Sinha, A. (2011). Coal pillar extraction at deep cover: with special reference to Indian coalfields. International Journal of Coal Geology, 86(2-3), 276-288.

- Sitharam, T. G., & Latha, G. M. (2002). Simulation of excavations in jointed rock masses using a practical equivalent continuum approach. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 39(4), 517-525.
- Sridevi, J., & Sitharam, T. G. (2000). Analysis of strength and moduli of jointed rocks. Geotechnical & Geological Engineering, 18(1), 3-21.
- Tadolini, S. C. (1998, August). The effects of reduced annulus in roof bolting performance.In Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Ground Control in Mining.Morgantown, WV: West Virginia University (pp. 230-236).
- Terzaghi, K. (1946). Rock defects and loads on tunnel supports (No. BOOK). Harvard Univ.
- Thomas E (1954). The application of rock bolting as a means of ground support is limited only by the resourcefulness and ingenuity of the mining engineer. Min Eng, November.
- Thomas, E. (1950). Roof bolting in the United States (Vol. 7583).US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines.
- Thomas, E., Barry, A. J., & Metcalfe, A. (1949). Suspension Roof Support: Progress Report 1 (Vol. 7533). US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines.
- Luo, J. (1999). A New Rock Bolt Design Criterion and Knowledge-Based Expert System for Stratified Roof (Doctoral dissertation, Virginia Tech).
- Venkateswarlu, V., Ghose, A. K., & Raju, N. M. (1989). Rock-mass classification for design of roof supports—a statistical evaluation of parameters. Mining Science and Technology, 8(2), 97-107.
- Weaver, J. (1977). Three-dimensional crack analysis. International Journal of Solids and Structures, 13(4), 321-330.

- Weigel, W. 1943, "Channel Iron for Roof Control," Engineering and Mining Journal, v. 144, May, pp. 70-72.
- Yasitli, N. E., & Unver, B. (2003).3-D estimation of stresses around a longwall face by using finite difference method.In 18th International Mining Congress of Turkey (pp. 83-88).
- Z.T. Bieniawski, Engineering rock mass classifications: A complete manual for Engineers and geologists in mining, 1989, Civil, and Petroleum Engineering, John Wiley & Sons.
- Z.T. Bieniawski, Exploration for rock engineering: Rock mass classification in rock engineering.

## APPENDIX

Observed results in terms of RLH and axial load at two locations have been tabulated below for varying geo mining parameters.

	Toom	ut Davamatan		Out	put observed	from simula	ation
	Inp	ut Parameter		RLH	in m	Axial Loa	d In tonne
Depth (m)	RM R	Gallery Size (m)	Bolt Density (m2/bolt )	Location 1	Location 2	Location 1	Location 2
100	40	5.5	4	1.47	1.18	3.81	3.72
100	50	5.5	4	1.37	1.17	3.40	3.32
100	60	5.5	4	1.29	1.16	3.10	3.02
100	40	6	4	1.52	1.22	4.23	4.13
100	50	6	4	1.42	1.21	3.78	3.68
100	60	6	4	1.34	1.20	3.44	3.36
100	40	6.5	4	1.57	1.26	4.66	4.54
100	50	6.5	4	1.47	1.25	4.16	4.06
100	60	6.5	4	1.38	1.24	3.79	3.69

• At Depth of Cover 100 m

		Inn	ut Danamatan		Output observed from simulation				
		mp	ut rarameter		RLH	in m	Axial Load In tonne		
	Depth (m)	RM R	Gallery Size (m)	Bolt Density (m2/bolt )	Location 1	Location 2	Location 1	Location 2	
	100	40	5.5	2.25	1.33	0.99	3.12	2.95	
	100	50	5.5	2.25	1.24	0.98	2.78	2.64	
	100	60	5.5	2.25	1.17	0.98	2.53	2.40	
	100	40	6	2.25	1.37	1.02	3.46	3.28	
ſ	100	50	6	2.25	1.28	1.02	3.09	2.93	
ſ	100	60	6	2.25	1.21	1.01	2.81	2.67	
Ī	100	40	6.5	2.25	1.42	1.06	3.81	3.61	
Ī	100	50	6.5	2.25	1.32	1.05	3.40	3.22	
ſ	100	60	6.5	2.25	1.25	1.04	3.10	2.94	

	Innut	Domomotor		Ou	tput observed	from simulat	ion	
	mput	rarameter		RLH	in m	Axial Load In tonne		
Depth (m)	RMR	Gallery Size (m)	Bolt Density (m2/bolt)	Location 1 Location 2		Location 1	Location 2	
100	40	5.5	1.44	1.22	0.86	2.67	2.47	
100	50	5.5	1.44	1.14	0.86	2.38	2.21	
100	60	5.5	1.44	1.08	0.85	2.17	2.01	
100	40	6	1.44	1.27	0.90	2.96	2.74	
100	50	6	1.44	1.18	0.89	2.64	2.45	
100	60	6	1.44	1.11	0.88	2.41	2.23	
100	40	6.5	1.44	1.31	0.92	3.26	3.02	
100	50	6.5	1.44	1.22	0.92	2.91	2.69	
100	60	6.5	1.44	1.15	0.91	2.65	2.46	

• At Depth of Cover 200 m

	Innut	t Donomoton		Output observed from simulation				
	mpu	r ar anneter		RLH	in m	Axial Loa	Axial Load In tonne	
Depth (m)	RMR	Gallery Size (m)	Bolt Density (m2/bolt )	Location 1	Location 2	Location 1	Location 2	
200	40	5.5	4	1.79	1.45	6.64	6.21	
200	50	5.5	4	1.66	1.44	5.92	5.54	
200	60	5.5	4	1.57	1.43	5.40	5.05	
200	40	6	4	1.85	1.50	7.37	6.89	
200	50	6	4	1.72	1.49	6.57	6.15	
200	60	6	4	1.63	1.48	5.99	5.61	
200	40	6.5	4	1.91	1.55	8.11	7.59	
200	50	6.5	4	1.78	1.54	7.24	6.77	
200	60	6.5	4	1.68	1.53	6.59	6.17	

	Inn	ut Donomotor		Output observed from simulation				
	mp	ut Parameter		RLH	in m	Axial Load In tonne		
Depth(m )	RM R	Gallery Size (m)	Bolt Density (m2/bolt )	Location 1	Location 2	Location 1	Location 2	
200	40	5.5	2.25	1.61	1.22	5.43	4.93	
200	50	5.5	2.25	1.50	1.21	4.84	4.40	
200	60	5.5	2.25	1.42	1.20	4.41	4.01	
200	40	6	2.25	1.67	1.26	6.02	5.48	
200	50	6	2.25	1.55	1.25	5.37	4.89	
200	60	6	2.25	1.47	1.25	4.90	4.45	
200	40	6.5	2.25	1.72	1.30	6.63	6.03	
200	50	6.5	2.25	1.60	1.29	5.92	5.38	
200	60	6.5	2.25	1.51	1.29	5.39	4.90	

	In	nut Donomotor		Output observed from simulation				
	III	put Parameter		RLH	in m	Axial Loa	d In tonne	
Depth (m)	RMR	Gallery Size (m)	Bolt Density (m2/bolt)	Location 1	Location 2	Location 1	Location 2	
200	40	5.5	1.44	1.49	1.06	4.64	4.13	
200	50	5.5	1.44	1.38	1.06	4.14	3.68	
200	60	5.5	1.44	1.31	1.05	3.77	3.36	
200	40	6	1.44	1.54	1.10	5.15	4.58	
200	50	6	1.44	1.43	1.10	4.60	4.09	
200	60	6	1.44	1.35	1.09	4.19	3.73	
200	40	6.5	1.44	1.59	1.14	5.67	5.04	
200	50	6.5	1.44	1.48	1.13	5.06	4.50	
200	60	6.5	1.44	1.40	1.12	4.61	4.10	

## • At Depth of Cover 300 m

	Innu	t Donomotor		Output observed from simulation				
	mpu	t Parameter		RLH in m Axial Lo			d In tonne	
Depth (m)	RMR	Gallery Size (m)	Bolt Density (m2/bolt )	Location 1	Location 2	Location 1	Location 2	
300	40	5.5	4	2.00	1.63	9.18	8.38	
300	50	5.5	4	1.86	1.62	8.19	7.48	
300	60	5.5	4	1.76	1.61	7.46	6.82	
300	40	6	4	2.07	1.69	10.19	9.31	
300	50	6	4	1.93	1.68	9.09	8.31	
300	60	6	4	1.82	1.67	8.29	7.57	
300	40	6.5	4	2.14	1.75	11.22	10.24	
300	50	6.5	4	1.99	1.74	10.01	9.14	
300	60	6.5	4	1.88	1.73	9.12	8.33	

	T	4 Domono of on		Output observed from simulation				
	Inpu	i Parameter		RLH	in m	Axial Load In tonne		
Depth (m)	RMR	Gallery Size (m)	Bolt Density (m2/bolt )	Location 1	Location 2	Location 1	Location 2	
300	40	5.5	2.25	1.80	1.37	7.50	6.66	
300	50	5.5	2.25	1.68	1.37	6.70	5.94	
300	60	5.5	2.25	1.59	1.36	6.10	5.42	
300	40	6	2.25	1.87	1.42	8.33	7.39	
300	50	6	2.25	1.74	1.41	7.43	6.60	
300	60	6	2.25	1.64	1.41	6.77	6.01	
300	40	6.5	2.25	1.93	1.47	9.17	8.14	
300	50	6.5	2.25	1.80	1.46	8.18	7.26	
300	60	6.5	2.25	1.69	1.45	7.46	6.62	

	In	nut Davamatar		Out	Output observed from simulation				
	111	put Parameter		RLH	in m	Axial Loa	Axial Load In tonne		
Depth (m)	RMR	Gallery Size (m)	Bolt Density (m2/bolt)	Location 1	Location 2	Location 1	Location 2		
300	40	5.5	1.44	1.67	1.20	6.42	5.57		
300	50	5.5	1.44	1.55	1.19	5.73	4.97		
300	60	5.5	1.44	1.46	1.19	5.22	4.53		
300	40	6	1.44	1.72	1.25	7.13	6.18		
300	50	6	1.44	1.61	1.24	6.36	5.52		
300	60	6	1.44	1.51	1.23	5.79	5.03		
300	40	6.5	1.44	1.78	1.29	7.84	6.81		
300	50	6.5	1.44	1.66	1.28	7.00	6.08		
300	60	6.5	1.44	1.56	1.27	6.38	5.54		

## • At Depth of Cover 400 m

	Innu	t Donomoton		Output observed from simulation				
	mpu	t r ar anneter		RLH	in m	Axial Load In tonne		
Depth (m)	RMR	Gallery Size (m)	Bolt Density (m2/bolt )	Location 1	Location 2	Location 1	Location 2	
400	40	5.5	4	2.17	1.78	11.55	10.37	
400	50	5.5	4	2.02	1.77	10.31	9.26	
400	60	5.5	4	1.91	1.76	9.40	8.43	
400	40	6	4	2.25	1.84	12.83	11.51	
400	50	6	4	2.09	1.83	11.45	10.28	
400	60	6	4	1.97	1.82	10.43	9.36	
400	40	6.5	4	2.32	1.90	14.12	12.67	
400	50	6.5	4	2.16	1.89	12.60	11.31	
400	60	6.5	4	2.04	1.88	11.48	10.31	

	Incom	t Devene et ev		Out	put observed	from simula	ition
	inpu	t Parameter		RLH	in m	Axial Load	d In tonne
Depth (m)	RMR	Gallery Size (m)	Bolt Density (m2/bolt )	Location 1	Location 2	Location 1	Location 2
400	40	5.5	2.25	1.96	1.50	9.45	8.24
400	50	5.5	2.25	1.82	1.49	8.43	7.35
400	60	5.5	2.25	1.72	1.48	7.68	6.70
400	40	6	2.25	2.03	1.55	10.49	9.15
400	50	6	2.25	1.89	1.54	9.36	8.16
400	60	6	2.25	1.78	1.53	8.53	7.44
400	40	6.5	2.25	2.09	1.60	11.54	10.07
400	50	6.5	2.25	1.95	1.59	10.30	8.99
400	60	6.5	2.25	1.84	1.58	9.39	8.19

	Inn	ut Daramatar		Output observed from simulation				
	mp	ut Parameter		RLH	in m	Axial Load	d In tonne	
Depth(m)	RMR	Gallery Size (m)	Bolt Density (m2/bolt)	Location 1	Location 2	Location 1	Location 2	
400	40	5.5	1.44	1.81	1.31	8.08	6.89	
400	50	5.5	1.44	1.68	1.30	7.21	6.15	
400	60	5.5	1.44	1.59	1.30	6.57	5.61	
400	40	6	1.44	1.87	1.36	8.97	7.65	
400	50	6	1.44	1.74	1.35	8.00	6.83	
400	60	6	1.44	1.64	1.34	7.29	6.22	
400	40	6.5	1.44	1.93	1.40	9.87	8.42	
400	50	6.5	1.44	1.80	1.39	8.81	7.52	
400	60	6.5	1.44	1.70	1.38	8.03	6.85	