

## Table of Contents

<b>Contents</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
Certificate	ii-iv
Acknowledgment	v-vi
Table of contents	vii-x
List of figures	xi-xvi
List of tables	xvii-xviii
List of symbols	xix-xxiii
Abstract	xxiv-xxvi
<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>1-8</b>
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 Mini/microchannel heat sink (MCHS)	2
1.3 Hybrid nanofluids	3
1.4 Contribution of the study	6
1.5 Objectives	6
1.6 Thesis Structure (methodology)	7
<b>2. Literature Review</b>	<b>9-39</b>
2.1 Preparation and characterization of hybrid nanofluids	9
2.2 Thermo-physical properties of hybrid nanofluids	18
2.2.1 Thermal conductivity of the hybrid nanofluids	18
2.2.2 Viscosity of hybrid nanofluids	22
2.2.3 Density and specific heat of hybrid nanofluids	25

2.2.4	Effect of particle mixing ratio on thermophysical properties	26
2.3	Hydrothermal characteristics of mini/microchannel	28
2.3.1	Experimental studies using mono/hybrid nanofluids	29
2.3.2	Numerical studies using mono/hybrid nanofluids	32
2.4	Highlights	37
2.5	Research gaps and scope	38
<b>3.</b>	<b>Preparation and Characterization</b>	<b>41-60</b>
3.1	Preparation of hybrid nanofluids	41
3.2	Characterization of nanoparticles	44
3.3	Characterization of hybrid nanofluids	48
3.4	Thermo-physical properties of hybrid nanofluids	50
3.4.1	Measurement procedure	51
3.4.2	Results and discussion	53
3.5	Highlights	59
<b>4.</b>	<b>Experimentation on Minichannel Heat Sink</b>	<b>61-122</b>
4.1	Experimental setup and procedure	61
4.2	Hydrothermal modelling	65
4.3	Uncertainty analysis and validation	70
4.4	Results and discussion	72
4.4.1	Comparison of various hybrid nanofluids	76
4.4.2	Effect of nanoparticles concentration	85
4.4.3	Effect of nanoparticle mixing ratio	90
4.4.4	Effect of fluid inlet temperature	98

4.4.5	Effect of heat flux	103
4.4.6	Effect of channel aspect ratio	107
4.4.7	Composite particle dispersed hybrid nanofluid	112
4.5	Highlights	121
<b>5.</b>	<b>CFD Study on Rectangular Minichannel Heat Sink</b>	<b>123-144</b>
5.1	Methodology	123
5.1.1	Two-phase model (multi-phase mixture model)	123
5.1.2	Single phase (Homogenous) model	125
5.1.3	Assumption and boundary conditions	127
5.2	Geometry and meshing	128
5.3	Numerical procedure	129
5.4	Grid independence test	130
5.5	Validation with the experimental result	131
5.6	Results and discussion	133
5.6.1	Comparison of different hybrid nanofluids (0.1 vol%)	133
5.6.2	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> +TiO <sub>2</sub> hybrid nanofluid (0.1 vol%)	138
5.7	Highlights	144
<b>6.</b>	<b>CFD Study on Modified Minichannel Heat Sink</b>	<b>145-164</b>
6.1	Methodology	145
6.2	Geometry and meshing	145
6.3	Numerical procedure	148
6.4	Grid independence test and validation	149
6.5	Results and discussions	149

6.6 Effect of pitch of ribs	163
6.7 Highlights	163
<b>7. Conclusions and Scope for Future Work</b>	<b>165-167</b>
7.1 Conclusions	165
7.2 Future scope	166
<b>References</b>	<b>169-187</b>
<b>Appendix A</b>	<b>189-192</b>
<b>Appendix B</b>	<b>193-195</b>
<b>List of Publications</b>	-----

---

## List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Fig. 1.1</b>	Various base fluids, nanoparticles and additives used in nanofluid preparation	5
<b>Fig. 2.1</b>	Different mechanism responsible for enhancement in thermal conductivity	19
<b>Fig. 3.1</b>	Hybrid nanofluid synthesis methods (a) single-step method (b) two-step method	42
<b>Fig. 3.2</b>	Flow chart for the two-step method in the present investigation	43
<b>Fig. 3.3</b>	SEM image of (a) Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanoparticles (b) Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -TiO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles mixture and (c) Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -MWCNT nanoparticles mixture	45
<b>Fig. 3.4</b>	XRD of rGO-ZnO composite	46
<b>Fig. 3.5</b>	SEM image of rGO-ZnO nanocomposite in increasing magnification	47
<b>Fig. 3.6</b>	Photographs of different mono/hybrid nanofluids of 0.01 vol% at different times	49
<b>Fig. 3.7</b>	Photograph of (a) Digital weighing balance (b) Brookfield DV1 digital viscometer and (c) Hot disk thermal constants analyzer apparatus	52
<b>Fig. 4.1</b>	Layout of experimental work	63
<b>Fig. 4.2</b>	Photograph of experimental setup (1. Constant temperature bath, 2. Flowmeter, 3. Test module, 4. Heater, 5. Wattmeter, 6. Variac, 7. Pressure transducer, 8. NI DAQ module, 9. Computer monitor, 10. Power supply)	63
<b>Fig. 4.3</b>	Minichannel heat sink with schematics	64
<b>Fig. 4.4</b>	Validation of experimental Nusselt number with available correlations	72

<b>Fig. 4.5</b>	Validation of experimental friction factor with available correlations	73
<b>Fig. 4.6</b>	Variation of heat transfer coefficient with flow rate	76
<b>Fig. 4.7</b>	Nusselt number with Reynolds number for different working fluids	77
<b>Fig. 4.8</b>	Changing in thermal effectiveness with flow rate for different working fluids	78
<b>Fig. 4.9</b>	Changing in pressure drop with flow rate for different working fluids	79
<b>Fig. 4.10</b>	Changing of friction factor with Reynolds number for working fluids	80
<b>Fig. 4.11</b>	Comparison factor (Heat transfer coefficient to pressure drop ratio, $h/\Delta p$ ) at different flow rates	81
<b>Fig. 4.12</b>	FOM with flow rate for different hybrid nanofluids	82
<b>Fig. 4.13</b>	PEC with flow rate number for different working fluids	83
<b>Fig. 4.14</b>	COP with flow rate for different working fluids	84
<b>Fig. 4.15</b>	Changing in total entropy generation rate with flow rate for different working fluids	85
<b>Fig. 4.16</b>	Effect of volume concentration on (a) heat transfer coefficient and (b) Nusselt number	86
<b>Fig. 4.17</b>	Effect of volume concentration on (a) pressure drop and (b) friction factor	87
<b>Fig. 4.18</b>	Effect of volume concentration on (a) thermal effectiveness and (b) comparison factor	87
<b>Fig. 4.19</b>	Effect of volume concentration on (a) figure of merit and (b) performance evaluation criteria	88
<b>Fig. 4.20</b>	Effect of volume concentration on (a) coefficient of performance and (b) total entropy generation rate	89
<b>Fig. 4.21</b>	Nanoparticle mixing ratio effect on heat transfer coefficient	91
<b>Fig. 4.22</b>	Nanoparticle mixing ratio effect on Nusselt number	91

<b>Fig. 4.23</b>	Nanoparticle mixing ratio effect on pressure drop	92
<b>Fig. 4.24</b>	Nanoparticle mixing ratio effect on friction factor	93
<b>Fig. 4.25</b>	Nanoparticle mixing ratio effect on thermal effectiveness	94
<b>Fig. 4.26</b>	Nanoparticle mixing ratio effect on comparison factor	95
<b>Fig. 4.27</b>	Nanoparticle mixing ratio effect on figure of merit	96
<b>Fig. 4.28</b>	Nanoparticle mixing ratio effect on performance evaluation criteria	97
<b>Fig. 4.29</b>	Nanoparticle mixing ratio effect on coefficient of performance	97
<b>Fig. 4.30</b>	Nanoparticle mixing ratio effect on total entropy generation rate	98
<b>Fig. 4.31</b>	Variation of (a) heat transfer coefficient and (b) Nusselt number with fluid inlet temperature	100
<b>Fig. 4.32</b>	Variation of (a) pressure drop and (b) friction factor with fluid inlet temperature	100
<b>Fig. 4.33</b>	Variation of (a) thermal effectiveness and (b) comparison factor with fluid inlet temperature	101
<b>Fig. 4.34</b>	Variation of (a) figure of merit and (b) performance evaluation criteria with fluid inlet temperature	102
<b>Fig. 4.35</b>	Variation of (a) coefficient of performance and (b) total entropy generation rate with fluid inlet temperature	102
<b>Fig. 4.36</b>	Changing of (a) heat transfer coefficient and (b) Nusselt number with heat flux	104
<b>Fig. 4.37</b>	Changing of (a) pressure drop and (b) friction factor with heat flux	104
<b>Fig. 4.38</b>	Changing of (a) thermal effectiveness and (b) comparison factor with heat flux	105
<b>Fig. 4.39</b>	Changing of (a) figure of merit and (b) performance evaluation criteria heat with flux	106
<b>Fig. 4.40</b>	Changing of (a) coefficient of performance and (b) total entropy generation rate with heat flux	106
<b>Fig. 4.41</b>	Effect of channel aspect ratio on (a) heat transfer coefficient and (b) Nusselt number	108
<b>Fig. 4.42</b>	Effect of channel aspect ratio on (a) pressure drop and (b) friction	108

	factor	
<b>Fig. 4.43</b>	Effect of channel aspect ratio on (a) thermal effectiveness and (b) comparison factor	109
<b>Fig. 4.44</b>	Effect of channel aspect ratio on (a) figure of merit and (b) performance evaluation criteria	110
<b>Fig. 4.45</b>	Effect of channel aspect ratio on (a) coefficient of performance and (b) total entropy generation rate	111
<b>Fig. 4.46</b>	Effect of channel aspect ratio and heat flux on heat transfer coefficient	113
<b>Fig. 4.47</b>	Effect of channel aspect ratio and heat flux on Nusselt number	113
<b>Fig. 4.48</b>	Effect of channel aspect ratio and heat flux on pressure drop	114
<b>Fig. 4.49</b>	Effect of channel aspect ratio and heat flux on friction factor	115
<b>Fig. 4.50</b>	Effect of channel aspect ratio and heat flux on thermal effectiveness	116
<b>Fig. 4.51</b>	Effect of channel aspect ratio and heat flux on comparison factor	117
<b>Fig. 4.52</b>	Effect of channel aspect ratio and heat flux on figure of merit	118
<b>Fig. 4.53</b>	Effect of channel aspect ratio and heat flux on performance evaluation criteria	119
<b>Fig. 4.54</b>	Effect of channel aspect ratio and heat flux on coefficient of performance	119
<b>Fig. 4.55</b>	Effect of channel aspect ratio and heat flux on total entropy generation rate	120
<b>Fig. 5.1</b>	Schematic of minichannel heat sink with computational domain	128
<b>Fig. 5.2</b>	Meshing of computational domain with boundary conditions	129
<b>Fig. 5.3</b>	Comparison of numerical result with the experimental result (Nusselt number vs. Reynolds number)	132
<b>Fig. 5.4</b>	Comparison of numerical result with the experimental result (Friction factor vs. Reynolds number)	132
<b>Fig. 5.5</b>	Heat transfer coefficient with flow rate for different working fluids	134
<b>Fig. 5.6</b>	Nusselt number with Reynolds number for different working fluids	135



<b>Fig. 5.7</b>	Pressure drop with flow rate for different working fluids	136
<b>Fig. 5.8</b>	Friction factor with Reynolds number for different working fluids	137
<b>Fig. 5.9</b>	Temperature profile of different working fluids along the length of the channel	137
<b>Fig. 5.10</b>	Temperature profile of different working fluids at channel outlet	138
<b>Fig. 5.11</b>	Variation of heat transfer coefficient with flow rate	139
<b>Fig. 5.12</b>	Variation of Nusselt number with Reynolds Number	140
<b>Fig. 5.13</b>	Variation of Pressure drop with the flow rate	141
<b>Fig. 5.14</b>	Variation of friction factor with Reynolds Number	142
<b>Fig. 5.15</b>	Velocity profile of water and 0.1 vol% nanofluid along the length of the channel	142
<b>Fig. 5.16</b>	Temperature profile of water and different hybrid nanofluids at the channel outlet	143
<b>Fig. 6.1</b>	Schematic of minichannel heat sink	146
<b>Fig. 6.2</b>	Configuration of channel (a) Plane channel (b) semi-circular ribs (c) rectangular ribs (d) triangular ribs and (e) trapezoidal ribs	146
<b>Fig. 6.3</b>	Computational domain with boundary conditions and ribs positions in 2D (width is not showing here)	148
<b>Fig. 6.4</b>	Changing of heat transfer coefficient with flow rate	151
<b>Fig. 6.5</b>	Changing of Nusselt number with Reynolds number	152
<b>Fig. 6.6</b>	Effect of hybrid nanofluid over water on Nusselt number	153
<b>Fig. 6.7</b>	Changing of pressure drop with the flow rate	154
<b>Fig. 6.8</b>	Changing of friction factor with Reynolds number	155
<b>Fig. 6.9</b>	Effect of hybrid nanofluid over water on friction factor	156
<b>Fig. 6.10</b>	Comparison factor ( $h/\Delta p$ ) with flow rate	156
<b>Fig. 6.11</b>	Performance evaluation criteria with flow rate	157
<b>Fig. 6.12</b>	Changing of thermal performance factor with flow rate	158
<b>Fig. 6.13</b>	Velocity streamline on the y-z plane for all channels (with and without ribs) with $Al_2O_3+Cu/DI$ water hybrid nanofluid at $Re=268.13$ and $x/L_x=0.5$	159
<b>Fig. 6.14</b>	Velocity contour and vectors on the y-z plane for all channels	160

(with and without ribs) with  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3+\text{Cu}/\text{DI}$  water hybrid nanofluid at  $\text{Re}= 268.13$  and  $x/Lx=0.5$

- Fig. 6.15** Temperature contour on the y-z plane for all channels (with and without ribs) with DI water at  $\text{Re}= 277.08$  and  $x/Lx=0.5$  161
- Fig. 6.16** Temperature contour on the y-z plane for all channels (with and without ribs) with  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3+\text{Cu}/\text{DI}$  water hybrid nanofluid at  $\text{Re}= 268.13$  and  $x/Lx=0.5$  162
-

## List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
<b>Table 1.1</b>	Channel classification based on hydraulic diameter	3
<b>Table 2.1</b>	Summary on the preparation of different hybrid nanofluids	10
<b>Table 2.2</b>	Literature review for particles mixing ratio in hybrid nanofluids	27
<b>Table 2.3</b>	Experimental studies on mini/microchannel using hybrid nanofluids	32
<b>Table 2.4</b>	Numerical literature survey on mini/microchannel using hybrid nanofluids	36
<b>Table 3.1</b>	Different nanoparticles and PCM with their size, properties and isoelectric point	53
<b>Table 3.2</b>	Thermo-physical properties of different hybrid nanofluids (50/50 vol/vol) at total concentration of 0.01 vol% at ambient temperature	54
<b>Table 3.3</b>	Thermo-physical properties of different hybrid nanofluids (50/50 vol/vol) at total concentration of 0.1 vol% at ambient temperature	55
<b>Table 3.4</b>	Thermo-physical properties of Alumina-MWCNT hybrid nanofluid (0.01 vol%) for different proportion at ambient temperature	57
<b>Table 3.5</b>	Thermo-physical properties of Alumina-Titania hybrid nanofluid (0.1 vol%) for different proportion at ambient temperature	57
<b>Table 4.1</b>	Variables with their range and mean value	69
<b>Table 4.2</b>	The uncertainties during the measurements of the experimental parameters	70
<b>Table 4.3</b>	Different parameters at mean conditions ( $T_{in}=30^{\circ}\text{C}$ , $\phi=0.01\text{vol}\%$ , $\dot{V}=0.3\text{ lpm}$ , mixing ratio=2.5:2.5, heat flux=50 W/cm <sup>2</sup> , aspect ratio=3.75)	74
<b>Table 5.1</b>	Effect of grid size on the heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop	130
<b>Table 6.1</b>	Types of the ribbed channel with their enlargement factor	148

**Table 6.2** Comparison of different ribs and working fluids based on various parameters at a flow rate of 0.3 lpm

---