

## **Certificate**

It is certified that the work contained in the thesis titled **Dynamics and phases of active nematics, active Brownian particles with inhomogeneity and particle in Lorentz lattice gas** by **Mr. Sameer Kumar**, Roll Number **16171501**, has been carried out under my/our supervision and that this work has not been submitted elsewhere for a degree.

It is further certified that the student has fulfilled all the requirements of Comprehensive Examination, Candidacy and SOTA for the award of **Ph. D. Degree in Physics**.

**Dr. Shradha Mishra**  
(Supervisor)



## **Declaration**

I, **Sameer Kumar**, certify that the work embodied in this thesis is my own bona-fide work and carried out by me under the supervision of **Dr. Shradha Mishra** from December 2016 to August 2021 at the **Department of Physics**, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi. The matter embodied in this thesis has not been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma. I declare that I have faithfully acknowledged and given credits to the research workers whenever and wherever their works have been cited in my work in this thesis. I further declare that I have not wilfully copied any others' work, paragraphs, text, data, results, etc., reported in journals, books, magazines, reports dissertations, theses, etc., or available at websites and have not included them in this thesis and have not cited as my own work.

Date

Place

Sameer Kumar

## **Certificate by the Supervisor**

It is certified that the above statement made by the student is correct to the best of my knowledge.

**Dr. Shradha Mishra**

**(Supervisor)**

**( Head of the Department )**



# Copyright Transfer Certificate

**Title of the Thesis :** Dynamics and phases of active nematics, active Brownian particles with inhomogeneity and particle in Lorentz lattice gas

**Name of the Student :** Sameer Kumar

## Copyright Transfer

The undersigned hereby assigns to the Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi all rights under copyright that may exist in and for the above thesis submitted for the award of the **Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D)** in **Physics**.

Date

Place

Sameer Kumar

**Note: However, the author may reproduce or authorize others to reproduce material extracted verbatim from the thesis or derivative of the thesis for author's personal use provided that the source and the Institute's copyright notice are indicated.**



## Acknowledgements

I would like to dedicate a few lines to express my gratitude to all those who have contributed in some way to complete this thesis. I express my most profound appreciation and gratitude to Dr. Shradha Mishra, whose friendly help, care, and supervision led to completing my Ph.D. thesis. Her constant encouragement, guidance, and discussions helped me moving on a path to become an independent researcher. I wish to thank the RPEC members, Prof. Debaprasad Giri and Dr. Tanima Dutta, for their constructive comments on several presentations during my Ph.D. program. I am also grateful to Prof. Sanjay Kumar for his encouragement and introduction to the field during my M.Sc. at the Department of Physics, BHU. I thank all the faculty members of the Department for their support. I am also thankful to all the non-teaching staff of the Department for their assistance when needed.

I am pleased to acknowledge the Department of Science and Technology, Science and Engineering Research Board (DST-SERB) for the financial support (project code: ECR/2017/000659). I thank the support and the resources provided by PARAM Shivay Facility under the National Supercomputing Mission, Government of India at the Indian Institute of Technology, Varanasi. Also, the computing facility at Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi is gratefully acknowledged.

I also thank my co-authors, from whom I learned many things. I am grateful to my colleagues (Jay Prakash Singh, Vivek Semwal, Shambhavi Dikshit, Pawan Kumar Mishra, Pranay Bimal Sampat) of the Department's Soft and active matter group for their help support.

I wish to thank my parents (Shri Ram Dev Ram Prajapati and Smt. Munni Devi), my sisters (Sunita Prajapati, Varsha Prajapati), and my wife Hina for their love and care.

Finally, I wish to thank one and all for extending their cooperation directly or indirectly.

Department of Physics, IIT (BHU)

Sameer Kumar



# Table of contents

<b>List of figures</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>List of tables</b>	<b>xxv</b>
<b>Nomenclature</b>	<b>xxv</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Classical systems and the thermodynamic averages . . . . .	2
1.2 Active Matter: an out of equilibrium system . . . . .	3
1.3 Details of Active matter . . . . .	6
1.4 Categories of active matter systems . . . . .	8
1.4.1 Polar and Apolar active matter . . . . .	8
1.4.2 Dry and Wet active matter . . . . .	9
1.5 Methods to study active matter . . . . .	10
1.5.1 Agent based models: Vicsek Model . . . . .	11
1.5.2 Agent based model : Langevin's dynamics . . . . .	13

---

1.5.3	Hydrodynamics equations of motion . . . . .	14
1.6	Systems with nematic interactions on a substrate : Active nematics . . . . .	24
1.7	Domain growth and the length scale . . . . .	27
1.8	Active matter with inhomogeneity . . . . .	28
1.9	The Lorentz lattice gas . . . . .	29
1.10	Summary of the coming chapters . . . . .	35
<b>2</b>	<b>Active nematics with quenched disorder</b>	<b>37</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	37
2.2	Model and Numerical details . . . . .	40
2.3	Steady state properties . . . . .	42
2.4	Kinetics . . . . .	46
2.4.1	Defect dynamics . . . . .	46
2.4.2	Growth law and scaling properties . . . . .	48
2.4.3	Morphology of ordered domains . . . . .	49
2.5	Linearized hydrodynamic calculation of two-point correlation functions . . . . .	49
2.6	Snapshots for $\Delta\theta$ and NOP . . . . .	58
2.6.1	Snapshots for $\Delta\theta$ . . . . .	58
2.6.2	Fixed $a_0$ and varying $h_0$ . . . . .	58
2.6.3	Fixed disorder $h_0$ and varying activity $a_0$ . . . . .	59
2.7	Summary . . . . .	59

---

<b>3</b>	<b>Active nematic gel with quenched disorder</b>	<b>63</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	63
3.2	Model and Numerical details . . . . .	64
3.3	Results . . . . .	67
3.3.1	Sapshots . . . . .	67
3.3.2	Kinetics . . . . .	69
3.4	Discussions . . . . .	74
<b>4</b>	<b>Effect of polydispersity on the dynamics of active Brownian particles</b>	<b>75</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	75
4.2	Model and numerical details . . . . .	78
4.3	Results . . . . .	80
4.3.1	Enhanced Diffusivity for finite Polydispersity . . . . .	80
4.3.2	Mobility order parameter . . . . .	85
4.3.3	Phase diagram . . . . .	86
4.4	System size independence . . . . .	90
4.5	Discussion . . . . .	90
<b>5</b>	<b>Dynamics of particle moving in one dimensional Lorentz lattice gas</b>	<b>93</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	93
5.2	Model and numerical details . . . . .	96
5.3	Definitions: Ballistic, Anomalous diffusion and Confined motion . . . . .	99

---

5.4	Results . . . . .	99
5.4.1	Anomalous diffusion . . . . .	103
5.5	Discussion . . . . .	106
<b>6</b>	<b>Dynamics of particle moving in a two dimensional Lorentz lattice gas</b>	<b>109</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	109
6.2	Model and numerical details . . . . .	111
6.3	Deterministic nature and closed trajectories . . . . .	114
6.4	Results . . . . .	116
6.4.1	Mean Square Displacement . . . . .	116
6.4.2	Open and closed trajectories . . . . .	118
6.4.3	Properties of Open Trajectories . . . . .	119
6.5	Discussion . . . . .	122
<b>7</b>	<b>Summary and future scope</b>	<b>125</b>
7.1	Summary . . . . .	125
7.2	Future prospects . . . . .	126
	<b>References</b>	<b>127</b>

# List of figures

1.1	Schematic diagram of polar and apolar particles and their ordering states. Arrow shows the direction of motion, double arrow represents the head and tail symmetry. . . . .	9
1.2	Examples of the three types of configurations considered in [Mishra et al. (2016)] are shown. In (a) a completely random configuration is shown in which $r \simeq 1$ . In (b) and (c), the entire lattice is divided in periodic blocks of size $l \times l$ , where $l = 3$ . The configuration in (b) is randomly periodic (RP) in that each block contains a single scatterer that is assigned to be a left rotator (open circle). The configuration in (c) is a completely periodic (CP) configuration, where the single left rotator is in the same relative position in each block. In both (b) and (c) the initial ratio of rotators is $r = 1/(l \times l - 1) = 1/8$ .	34
2.1	(Color online) (a) NOP $\mathbf{Q}$ vs. system size $N = K \times K$ for different $h_0$ (symbols) and $\sqrt{C_{\mathcal{Q}}}$ , Eq. (2.3) (solid lines), (b) Scaled NOP, $\bar{Q} = Q \times N^{\mathcal{B}'/2}$ vs. $\bar{x} = N \times h_0^4$ . (c) Probability distribution function $P(\Delta\theta)$ of angle fluctuations of angular orientation for different values of $(h_0, a_0)$ i.e. $(0.0, 0.2)$ , $(0.05, 0.2)$ and $(0.05, 0.3)$ . Data for $h_0 = 0.05$ , $a_0 = 0.3$ (data points) fitted with Gaussian for two distinct peaks (solid lines). . . . .	39

- 
- 2.2 (Color online) Number fluctuation  $\sigma$  vs.  $\langle N_s \rangle$  plot for different disorder strengths, and  $K = 300$ . . . . . 42
- 2.3 (Color online) (a) Snapshots of local NOP  $\mathcal{Q}$ : upper panel (P:1) is for clean-AN (i.e.  $h_0 = 0.0$ ) and bottom panel (P:2) is for RFAN ( $h_0 = 0.075$ ) and the number along the white arrow is the relative separation ( $\Delta r(t)$ ) between the  $+$  and  $-1/2$  defects. (b)  $\Delta r(t)$  vs.  $t$  plot for  $h_0 = 0.0, 0.075$ .  $u$  is the relative speed of defects defined as  $u = |\frac{d}{dt}\Delta r(t)| \times 10^{-3}$  and plotted in the inset of (b). (c) Snapshot of density current near the defects for clean-AN,  $h_0 = 0.0$  and (d) RFAN,  $h_0 = 0.075$ . Intensity of colors shows the magnitude of the density current. Data is generated for system size  $N = 512^2$ . . . . . 44
- 2.4 (Color online) Two-point correlation function  $C_{\mathcal{Q},\rho}$  vs. scaled distance  $r/L_{\mathcal{Q},\rho}(t)$ . (a-b) Two-point correlation function for RFAN i.e.  $h_0 = 0.1$  at different simulation time ( $t$ ). (c-d) Two-point correlation function for different  $h_0$  and at fixed simulation time  $t = 10^5$ . . . . . 46
- 2.5 (Color online) Plots of dynamic growth exponent  $z_{eff}(h_0)$  vs. disorder strength  $h_0$  (a),  $\Delta z$  vs.  $h_0$  on log – log scale (b). . . . . 47
- 2.6 (Color online) Cusp's exponent  $\alpha$  (slope of the plot) for  $Q$  and  $\rho$  field. Different symbols used for different values of disorder strength:  $h_0 = 0.0$  (circle),  $h_0 = 0.05$  (square),  $h_0 = 0.075$  (diamond),  $h_0 = 0.10$  (triangle). . . . . 50
- 2.7 (Color online) Snapshots of  $\Delta\theta$  for  $h_0 = 0.0, a_0 = 0.2$  (i),  $h_0 = 0.05, a_0 = 0.2$  (ii) and  $h_0 = 0.05, a_0 = 0.3$  (iii). . . . . 59

- 2.8 (Color online) Snapshots of local NOP,  $\mathcal{Q}$  for  $a_0 = 0.2$  and for different disorder strength in the system. From top to bottom panel (P:1 to P:3)  $h_0 = 0.0, 0.05$  and  $0.1$  respectively. Snapshots are generated at equal interval i.e.  $t = 150000, 300000, 450000,$  and  $600000$  from left to right respectively. Notice the numbers on the color bars. . . . . 60
- 2.9 (Color online) Snapshots of local NOP,  $\mathcal{Q}$  for  $h_0 = 0.05$  and for different activity in the system. From top to bottom panel (P:1 to P:3)  $a_0 = 0.1, 0.2$  and  $0.3$  respectively. Snapshots are generated at equal interval i.e.  $t = 150000, 300000, 450000,$  and  $600000$  from left to right respectively. Notice the numbers on the color bars. . . . . 60
- 2.10 (Color online) (a) Relative separation  $\Delta(r)$  between  $+1/2$  and  $-1/2$  defects pair vs. time plot and (b) relative speed,  $u = \left| \frac{d}{dt} \Delta r(t) \right| \times 10^{-3}$ , of  $\pm 1/2$  defects, for different value of  $a_0$  and fixed  $h_0 = 0.1$ .  $t$  is the simulation time. . . . . 61
- 3.1 Snapshots at different time for  $\alpha_2 < 0$  and  $h_0 = 0.0$ . Different snapshots are for (NOP) field  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  (top panel), local density field  $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$  (middle panel), and flow field  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  (bottom panel). A pair of  $+1/2$ -defect and  $-1/2$ -defect are indicated by the arrows in the first picture (top left). The range of color bars for NOP, density and flow fields are in range  $(0.0, 0.5), (0.0, 0.82)$  and  $(0.0, 0.016)$ , respectively. . . . . 68
- 3.2 Snapshots of NOP  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  at different time for  $\alpha_2 < 0$ ; for different disorder strengths  $h_0 = 0.0$  (top panel) and  $h_0 = 0.2$  (bottom panel). Range of the color bars are same as given for fig. 3.1. . . . . 68

- 
- 3.3 Two point correlation function,  $C_{\varrho}$  vs.  $r$  (top-left and top-right) and  $C_{\rho}$  vs.  $r$  ( bottom-left and bottom-right ) for different  $h_0$ . All the data shown are at fixed simulation time  $t = 50000$ . Plot in left panel plots are for contractile case with  $\alpha_2 = 0.4$ , whereas right panel shows the plots for extensile case with  $\alpha_2 = -0.4$ . . . . . 70
- 3.4 Two point correlation function,  $C_{\varrho}$  vs.  $r$  for  $h_0 = 0.05$  (top-left and top-right), and  $h_0 = 0.2$  ( bottom-left and bottom-right ) for different  $t$ . Plot in left panel plots are for contractile case with  $\alpha_2 = 0.4$ , whereas right panel shows the plots for extensile case with  $\alpha_2 = -0.4$ . . . . . 70
- 3.5 Two point correlation function,  $C_{\rho}$  vs.  $r$  for  $h_0 = 0.05$  (top-left and top-right), and  $h_0 = 0.2$  ( bottom-left and bottom-right ) for different  $t$ . Plot in left panel plots are for contractile case with  $\alpha_2 = 0.4$ , whereas right panel shows the plots for extensile case with  $\alpha_2 = -0.4$ . . . . . 71
- 3.6 Correlation length,  $L_{\varrho}$  vs.  $t$  (top-left and top-right) and  $L_{\rho}$  vs.  $t$  ( bottom-left and bottom-right ) for different  $h_0$ . Plot in left panel plots are for contractile case with  $\alpha_2 = 0.4$ , whereas right panel shows the plots for extensile case with  $\alpha_2 = -0.4$ . . . . . 71
- 3.7 Correlation length,  $L_{\varrho}$  vs.  $t/\ln(t)$  (top-left and top-right) and  $L_{\rho}$  vs.  $t$  ( bottom-left and bottom-right ) for different  $h_0$  (log-log scale). Plot in left panel plots are for contractile case with  $\alpha_2 = 0.4$ , whereas right panel shows the plots for extensile case with  $\alpha_2 = -0.4$ . Dashed line corresponds to correlation length in equilibrium *xy - model* . . . . . 72



- 3.8 Scaled two point correlation function,  $C_{\varrho}$  vs.  $r$  (top-left and top-right) and  $C_{\rho}$  vs.  $r$  ( bottom-left and bottom-right ) for different  $h_0$ . x-axis is scaled as  $r \rightarrow r/L_{\varrho,\rho}(t)$ . All the data shown are at fixed simulation time  $t = 50000$ . Plot in left panel plots are for contractile case with  $\alpha_2 = 0.4$ , whereas right panel shows the plots for extensile case with  $\alpha_2 = -0.4$ . . . . . 72
- 3.9 Scaled two point correlation function,  $C_{\varrho}$  vs.  $r$  for  $h_0 = 0.05$  (top-left and top-right), and  $h_0 = 0.2$  ( bottom-left and bottom-right ) for different  $t$ . x-axis is scaled as  $r \rightarrow r/L_{\varrho,\rho}(t)$ . Plot in left panel plots are for contractile case with  $\alpha_2 = 0.4$ , whereas right panel shows the plots for extensile case with  $\alpha_2 = -0.4$ . . . . . 73
- 3.10 Scaled two point correlation function,  $C_{\rho}$  vs.  $r$  for  $h_0 = 0.05$  (top-left and top-right), and  $h_0 = 0.2$  ( bottom-left and bottom-right ) for different  $t$ . x-axis is scaled as  $r \rightarrow r/L_{\varrho,\rho}(t)$ . Plot in left panel plots are for contractile case with  $\alpha_2 = 0.4$ , whereas right panel shows the plots for extensile case with  $\alpha_2 = -0.4$ . . . . . 73
- 4.1 (Color online) Snapshot of the system for a non-zero polydispersity i.e.  $\varepsilon = 0.5$ . Disks resembles the active Brownian particles with their radius represented by color bar, and the arrows shows their velocity direction. . . . . 78
- 4.2 (Color online) (a) Mean squared displacement,  $\Delta(t)$  vs.  $t$  for different activity ( $v$ ) and fixed polydispersity index,  $\varepsilon = 8.33 \times 10^{-1}$ . (b)  $\Delta(t)$  vs.  $t$  for different  $\varepsilon$  and fixed activity,  $v = 3.33 \times 10^{-2}$ . (c) Diffusion coefficient,  $D(t)$  vs.  $t$  for different activity ( $v$ ) and fixed polydispersity index,  $\varepsilon = 8.33 \times 10^{-1}$ . (d)  $D(t)$  vs.  $t$  for different  $\varepsilon$  and fixed activity,  $v = 3.33 \times 10^{-2}$ . . . . . 81

- 4.3 (Color online) Effective diffusion coefficient,  $D_{eff}$  vs. activity  $v$  for different polydispersity index ( $\varepsilon$ ), for  $\phi = 0.65$  (a),  $0.75$  (b),  $0.85$  (c). Effective diffusion coefficient  $D_{eff}$  vs. polydispersity index,  $\varepsilon$  for different activity ( $v$ ). Symbols are from the simulation and the solid lines are the fit (Eq. 4.4) to the data points. Different plots are for different values of  $\phi = 0.65, 0.75, 0.85$  (d-f), respectively. Error bars are smaller than the size of the symbols. . . . . 81
- 4.4 (Color online) Scaled diffusivity,  $D_{eff}/v_0^\beta$  vs. scaled PDI,  $\varepsilon v^{-\alpha}$  for  $\phi = 0.65, 0.75, 0.85$  (a-c), respectively; where  $\alpha = -0.2$  and  $\beta = 2.0$  . . . . . 83
- 4.5 (Color online) Percentage change in the effective diffusion coefficient,  $\Delta D_{eff}$  vs. PDI,  $\varepsilon$  for different activity ( $v$ ), for  $\phi = 0.65$  (a),  $0.75$  (b),  $0.85$  (c). . . . . 84
- 4.6 (Color online) Snapshots at equal time for  $\varepsilon = 1.66 \times 10^{-1}$  (a), and  $\varepsilon = 6.66 \times 10^{-1}$  (b), for fixed activity,  $v = 3.33 \times 10^{-2}$ , and  $\phi = 0.65$ . Rattlers are represented by blue disks whereas non-rattlers are in red. Arrows on the disks shows their velocity direction. . . . . 84
- 4.7 (Color online) (a) Probability distribution function,  $P(\psi)$  vs  $\psi$  for different activity ( $v$ ) and fixed polydispersity index,  $\varepsilon = 8.33 \times 10^{-1}$ . (b)  $P(\psi)$  vs  $\psi$  for different  $\varepsilon$  and fixed activity,  $v = 3.33 \times 10^{-2}$ . (c)  $P(\psi_{bs})$  vs  $\psi_{bs}$  for different activity ( $v$ ) and fixed polydispersity index,  $\varepsilon = 8.33 \times 10^{-1}$ . (d)  $P(\psi_{bs})$  vs  $\psi_{bs}$  for different  $\varepsilon$  and fixed activity,  $v = 3.33 \times 10^{-2}$ . . . . . 85

- 4.8 (Color online) (a) Plot for the radial distribution function  $g(r)$  for different PDI,  $\varepsilon$  on semi-log scale (main), inset: plot on linear scale. We keep the activity fixed in this plot. (b) Number Fluctuation plot for  $(v, \varepsilon) = (3.33 \times 10^{-3}, 1.66 \times 10^{-1})$  circles: solid-jammed phase,  $(3.33 \times 10^{-3}, 6.66 \times 10^{-1})$  squares: liquid-jammed phase,  $(2.00 \times 10^{-2}, 1.66 \times 10^{-1})$  diamonds: MIPS-liquid phase,  $(3.33 \times 10^{-2}, 0.0)$  triangle up: MIPS-liquid phase,  $(3.33 \times 10^{-2}, 8.33 \times 10^{-1})$  triangle left: pure liquid phase. The dashed line corresponds to  $\Delta N/\sqrt{N} = 1$ . Both the plots are for  $\phi = 0.85$  and the system size  $L = 40$ . . . . . 87
- 4.9 (Color online) Phase diagram for  $\phi = 0.65$  (a),  $\phi = 0.75$  (b) and  $\phi = 0.85$  (c): circles, squares, triangles and diamonds represents the solid-jammed phase, liquid-jammed phase, MIPS-liquid phase and *pure liquid* phase, respectively. Color bar shows the value of  $D_{eff}$  for a given  $(\varepsilon, v)$ . . . . . 88
- 4.10 (Color online) (a) Effective diffusion coefficient  $D_{eff}$  vs. polydispersity  $\varepsilon$  for different  $v$ . (b) Scaled diffusivity,  $D_{eff}/v_0^\beta$  vs. scaled PDI,  $\varepsilon v^{-\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha = -0.2$  and  $\beta = 2.0$ . Error bars are of the size of the symbols. (c) Phase diagram: circles, squares, triangles and diamonds represents the solid-jammed phase, liquid-jammed phase, MIPS-liquid phase and *pure liquid* phase, respectively. Color bar shows the value of  $D_{eff}$  for a given  $(\varepsilon, v)$ . All the data are generated for  $\phi = 0.85$  and system size  $L = 30$ . . . . . 91
- 5.1 A cartoon of one dimensional Lorentz lattice Gas : filled circles:- reflector, empty circles:- transmitters. Arrow shows the direction of particle's velocity. (a) Part of a typical initial configuration. Interaction of particle with a scatterer and state of scatterer one-step after interaction when (b)  $\alpha = 0$  i.e. pure flipping and (c)  $\alpha = 1$  i.e. pure fixed. . . . . 96

- 5.2 (Color online) MSD ( $\Delta(\tau)$ ) vs. time ( $\tau$ ) (upto  $10^4$  before the asymptotic behaviour observed) Plot:  $r = 0.0$  (a),  $r = 0.15$  (b),  $r = 0.5$  (c) and  $r = 1.0$  (d) for  $\alpha = 0.0$  (circle), 0.1 (square), 0.2 (diamond), 0.3 (triangle up), 0.4 (triangle left), 0.5 (triangle down), 0.6 (triangle right), 0.7 (plus), 0.8 (x), 0.9 (star), 1.0 (open circle). Black and Red dotted lines have slope = 1 and 2 respectively. Data is averaged over 1000 ensembles. . . . . 98
- 5.3 Three different trajectory when particle motion is confined (or periodic): (a), (b) and (c) shows the trajectory for system parameter set  $(\alpha, r)=(1.0,0.1)$ ,  $(1.0,0.5)$  and  $(1.0,0.9)$  respectively. Figure (d),(e) and (f) shows the RoG of the particle for system parameter set  $(\alpha, r)=(1.0,0.1)$ ,  $(1.0,0.5)$  and  $(1.0,0.9)$  respectively. Data for  $R_g = \sqrt{\Delta(t)}$  is averaged over 100 realisations. . . . . 100
- 5.4 MSD ( $\Delta(\tau)$ ) vs. time ( $\tau$ ) plot when (a)  $\alpha = 0.003$  and  $r = 0.9$  and 0.6, (b)  $\alpha = 0.999$  and  $r = 0.3$ , (c) different set of  $\alpha$  and  $r$ . . . . . 104
- 5.5  $F(t) = \frac{d}{dt}N(t)$  vs.  $G(t) = \frac{1}{N(t)}$  plot when (a)  $\alpha = 0.3$  and  $r = 0.3$ , (b)  $\alpha = 0.003$  and  $r = 0.6$ , (c)  $\alpha = 0.003$  and  $r = 0.9$ . Data (black solid line) is fitted linearly (red dashed line) which give sthe slope  $c$ . . . . . 104
- 5.6 Plot of  $r_{visited}$  vs time for  $\alpha = 0.01$  and  $r = 0.6$ . . . . . 104
- 5.7 Probability distribution of particles position (bars) and fitted with Gaussian distribution (solid line) when (a)  $r = 0.5$  and  $\alpha = 0.5$ , (b)  $r = 0.5$  and  $\alpha = 0.9$ , (c)  $r = 0.9$  and  $\alpha = 0.5$ , (d)  $r = 0.9$  and  $\alpha = 0.9$ . . . . . 106
- 5.8 Phase diagram in the plane of  $(r, \alpha)$ . Data points shows the asymptotic phases of the system, based on the values of MSD exponent ( $\beta$ ) for different set of  $(r, \alpha)$ , when the system reaches the steady state. . . . . 107

- 6.1 Schematic diagram of two dimensional Lorentz Lattice Gas : (a) Initial configuration of LLG with left-rotators (filled circles), right-rotators (open circles) and vacancies (empty sites). (b) State of the particle before ( $t$ ) and after ( $t + 1$ ) interaction with rotator; the arrow shows the direction of particle's velocity. . . . . 112
- 6.2 (a) Variation of MSD  $\Delta(t)$  with time ( $t$ ) for different vacancy densities  $\rho_V$  (Main). Inset plot shows the crossover from diffusion to subdiffusion. (b) Zoomed in image of plot (a) for  $t < 1000$ . (c) Effective diffusion coefficient  $D_{eff}$  vs  $t$  for different values of  $\rho_V$ . (d)  $P(r,t)$  vs  $r(t)$  at different times for a fully occupied lattice. . . . . 114
- 6.3 (a) Variation of probability of being in an open trajectory  $P_o(\rho_V, t)$  with time ( $t$ ) on a log-log plot for different values of  $\rho_V$  in the first phase, when  $P_o(\rho_V, t)$  varies as a power law. The straight lines represent the fitted power law functions for each value of  $\rho_V$ . (b) Variation of  $P_o(\rho_V, t)$  with time on a semi-log(y) plot during the second phase of motion, when  $P_o(\rho_V, t)$  decays exponentially with time. As the particles transition from the first phase of motion to the second,  $P_o(\rho_V, t)$  decays exponentially with  $t$  and deviates from the fitted function. . . . . 118
- 6.4 Exponent  $\gamma$  vs  $\rho_V$  plot. Value of  $\gamma$  is extracted by fitting power law to  $P_0(\rho_V, t)$  vs  $t$  plot in diffusive regime i.e. simulation time  $\mathcal{O}(10^4)$ . . . . . 120
- 6.5 Variation of  $\Delta_o(t)$  with time for different values of  $\rho_V$ . The dashed line represents a straight line with slope 1. (b) Fractal dimension and (c) hyperscaling condition  $(2/d_f - \gamma)$  of trajectories for different values of vacancy density in the first phase of motion. . . . . 120



# List of tables

- 1.1 Different cases in a two dimensional Lotentz lattice gas model.  $C = C_L + C_R$ ,  
where,  $C_L$  and  $C_L$  are the left and right rotators respectively. . . . . 31
  
- 5.1 List of the values of  $D_{eff}$  and  $D_{est}$  for different values of  $r$  when  $\alpha = 0.5$ . . . 105

## Preface

Living organisms like birds flock, fish school, bacteria, cytoskeletal filaments inside an animal cell, etc., share various complex features. They show collective motion and collision, unusual structural pattern formation, robust mechanical properties, etc. One of the characteristic properties of these organisms is that each object take the energy from its surroundings and use it for motion. We generally call these objects “active objects,” and such systems are called “active matter”. Almost every living organism can be called “active matter”, making it an important research area to explore. The study of active matter can unearth many exciting and essential properties that can help design similar systems in the lab. The knowledge of active matter can be extended to solve many biological complexities like targeted drug delivery inside the human body, understanding the complex and vital phenomenologies of living organisms, different rheological properties of cells in a tissue, etc. There has been significant progress in understanding different physical phenomena like collective behavior in various active systems. The active systems have many exciting properties, e.g., long-range order, giant density fluctuation, etc. When quenched from a disordered state where active objects (or particles) are randomly oriented to an ordered state, the ordering occurs via some *specified interaction rule* among active objects, leading to the ordered domain’s formation. These ordered domains grow with time, and the system achieves a state where all the particles orient themselves in one direction. For example, a flock of birds travel thousands of miles without deviating from their path, which is possible only because of the long-range ordering in the flock. Further, the ordered state in an active system can be affected by the presence of intrinsic/extrinsic inhomogeneity. Inhomogeneity in an active system can appear in two ways: one, obstacles in the path of active particles, called extrinsic inhomogeneity; second, intrinsic inhomogeneity when the active objects can differ in their size, self-propulsion speed etc. The study of active matter has been an emerging field of research in recent years that



requires a vast understanding of statistical physics. Estimating the exact amount of energy taken for motion and collision in an active system is nearly impossible. Due to a non-zero flow of particles current in active matter, system never reaches a *true* equilibrium. Hence, these systems do not follow the usual formalism of equilibrium statistical mechanics, known as the nonequilibrium systems. Understanding the broad range of nonequilibrium phenomena in terms of their statistical and thermodynamic framework of active matter is an emerging area of current research.

Many other systems show complex features like diffusion of a particle in a complex environment, transport of particles through a narrow channel, growth of forest, etc. such problems can be studied without worrying about the particle-to-particle interactions among the individual objects. Also, these problems can be solved using the standard tools of equilibrium statistical mechanics. Hence, the Lorentz lattice gas model, where particles move along the bonds of a lattice, is one way to study such a system with noninteracting particles.

This thesis addresses many fundamental problems related to active matter with inhomogeneity, where the particles have some specified interaction. Further, we study the behavior of noninteracting particles in a complex environment using the Lorentz lattice gas model. We divide this thesis into two parts; the first part covers active matter, where particles are self motile and interact via some fixed interaction rule. In the second part, we address the problem of the Lorentz lattice gas, where particles are noninteracting. We organize the thesis according to the following chapters:

**Chapter 1** is dedicated to the introduction to the active matter system and the Lorentz lattice gas. We give a detailed introduction about the existing literature, motivation, and importance of the work presented in this thesis. This chapter provides a thorough understanding of the active matter system and methods to study them. Further, we offer a detailed description of the Lorentz lattice gas model and the motion of noninteracting particles in it.

In **Chapter 2**, we introduce a *two* dimensional active nematics with quenched disorder. We write the coarse-grained hydrodynamic equations of motion for slow variables, *viz.* density and orientation. Disorder strength is tuned from zero to large values. Results from the numerical solution of equations of motion as well as the calculation of two-point orientation correlation function using linear approximation show that the ordered steady state follows a disorder-dependent crossover from quasi long-range order (QLRO) to short-range order (SRO). Such crossover is due to the pinning of  $\pm 1/2$  topological defects in the presence of finite disorder, which breaks the system in uncorrelated domains. Finite disorder slows the dynamics of  $+1/2$  defect, and it leads to slower growth dynamics. The two-point correlation functions for the density and orientation fields show good dynamic scaling but no static scaling for the different disorder strengths. Our findings can motivate experimentalists to verify the results and find applications in living and artificial apolar systems in the presence of a quenched disorder.

In **Chapter 3**, we introduce *two* dimensional active nematics suspended in an incompressible fluid, with quenched disorder. We write the coarse-grained hydrodynamic equations of motion for slow variables, *viz.* density field, flow field, and orientation field. The disorder is introduced in the orientation field, which interacts with the local orientation at every point with some strength. Disorder strength is tuned from zero to large values. We numerically study the system's kinetics and find that the finite disorder slows the ordering kinetics. For all disorders, the system shows the dynamic scaling, whereas no static scaling is found for different disorders. Disorder slows the kinetics of the growing domains, but the effect of disorder on the density growth is relatively smaller than that of the orientation field. The effect of disorder is almost the same for both contractile and extensile cases.

In **Chapter 4**, we numerically study the dynamics and the phases of self-propelled disk-shaped particles of different sizes with soft repulsive potential in two dimensions. Size

diversity is introduced by the polydispersity index (PDI)  $\varepsilon$ , which is the width of the uniform distribution of the particle's radius. The self-propulsion speed of the particles controls the activity  $v$ . We observe enhanced dynamics for large size diversity among the particles. We calculate the effective diffusion coefficient  $D_{eff}$  in the steady-state. The system exhibits four distinct phases, jammed phase with small  $D_{eff}$  for small activity and liquid phase with enhanced  $D_{eff}$  for large activity. The number fluctuation is larger and smaller than the equilibrium limit in the liquid and jammed phase, respectively. Further, the jammed phase is of two types: solid-jammed and liquid jammed for small and large PDI. Whereas the liquid phase is called motility induced phase separation (MIPS)-liquid for small PDI and for large PDI, we find enhanced diffusivity and call it the *pure liquid* phase. The system is studied for three packing densities  $\phi$ , and the response of the system for polydispersity is the same for all  $\phi$ 's. Our study can help understand the behavior of cells of various sizes in a tissue, artificial self-driven granular particles, or living organisms of different sizes in a dense environment.

In **chapter 5**, we study the dynamics of a particle moving on one-dimensional Lorentz lattice-gas where particle performs mainly three different kinds of motion *viz* ballistic motion, normal diffusion, and confinement. There are two different types of scatterers, *viz* reflector and transmitters, randomly placed on the lattice. Reflectors are such that they reverse the particle's velocity direction, and the transmitters let it pass through. Scatterers also change their character with flipping probability  $1 - \alpha$  once particles pass through. Hence the system is defined by two sets of parameters  $r$ , which is the initial density of reflector/transmitter and  $\alpha$ , the probability of flipping. For  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha = 1$ , dynamics of the particle are purely deterministic; else, it is probabilistic. In the pure deterministic case, the dynamics of the particle is either propagation in one direction or confined between two nearby reflectors present. For the probabilistic case  $\alpha \neq 1$  and  $\neq 0$ , although the dynamics of particles show anomalous diffusion where dynamics is faster, slower, and comparable to normal diffusion on the variation of system parameters

$(\alpha, r)$ , but the asymptotic behavior of the particle is normal diffusion. We plot the phase diagram for the asymptotic behavior in the plane of  $\alpha$  and  $r$  and estimate phase boundaries.

In **chapter 6**, we study the dynamics of a particle moving in a square two-dimensional Lorentz lattice-gas. The underlying lattice-gas is occupied by two kinds of rotators, “right-rotator (R)” and “left-rotator (L)” and some of the sites are empty viz. vacancy “V”. The density of  $R$  and  $L$  are the same and density of  $V$  is one of the key parameters of our model. The rotators deterministically rotate the direction of a particle’s velocity to the right or left and vacancies leave it unchanged. We characterise the dynamics of particle motion for different densities of vacancies. Since the system is deterministic, the particle forms a closed trajectory asymptotically. The probability of the particle being in a closed or open trajectory at time  $t$  is a function of the density of vacancies. The motion of the particle is uniform throughout in a fully occupied lattice. However, it is divided in two distinct phases in partially vacant lattices: The first phase of the motion, which is the focus of this study, is characterised by anomalous diffusion and a power-law decay of the probability of being in an open trajectory. The second phase of the motion is characterised by subdiffusive motion and an exponential decay of the probability of being in an open trajectory. For lattices with a non-zero density of vacancies, the first phase of motion lasts for a longer period of time as the density of vacancies increases.

**Chapter 7** contains a summary of the entire scientific work of this thesis. And, we discuss the future prospects of the problems and their extensions to have further practical application.