

---



---

## *List of Figures*

---



---

<b>Fig. No.</b>	<b>Caption</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
<b>Fig. 1.1</b>	Different types of HPM pulses: The upper figures show the time domain and the lower the frequency domain. (a) Narrow band and (b) Wide band.	3
<b>Fig. 1.2</b>	Block diagram of an HPM system.	4
<b>Fig. 1.3</b>	Comparison of HPM sources and conventional microwave sources with respect to optimal impedance and voltage [Benford <i>et al.</i> (1991)].	5
<b>Fig. 1.4</b>	Microwave devices Evolution: BWO (backward wave oscillator), FEL (free electron laser), and RDG (relativistic diffraction generator) [Barker and Schamiloglu (2001)].	11
<b>Fig. 1.5</b>	Schematic of Relativistic Magnetron [Palevski <i>et al.</i> (1979)].	13
<b>Fig. 1.6</b>	(a) Schematic of a Backward Wave Oscillator and (b) Dispersion characteristics of $TM_{01}$ mode in an infinitely long periodic structure [Levush <i>et al.</i> (1992)].	15
<b>Fig. 1.7</b>	Schematic of a Relativistic Klystron [Gold and Nusinovich, (1982)].	17
<b>Fig. 1.8</b>	Schematic of a FEL with planer wiggler.	19
<b>Fig. 1.9</b>	All types of Gyro devices [Gold and Nusinovich, (1982)].	20
<b>Fig. 1.10</b>	Schematic of Virtual cathode Oscillator.	24
<b>Fig. 1.11</b>	Schematic of conventional MILO.	27
<b>Fig. 1.12</b>	Process of electron emission from a velvet surface.	28
<b>Fig. 1.13</b>	Spoke formation in MILO.	32
<b>Fig. 1.14</b>	Self- magnetic insulation theory.	33
<b>Fig. 1.15</b>	Schematic of current flow in MILO device.	34
<b>Fig. 2.1</b>	Electron trajectories in crossed field (a) in the planer geometry (b) in the cylindrical geometry of the magnetron type [Cousin (2005)].	58
<b>Fig. 2.2</b>	Electron trajectories in all possible types of curvature [Slater (1950)].	60
<b>Fig. 2.3</b>	Geometry of the crossed field type magnetron (a) Linear magnetron (b) Cylindrical magnetron (c) MILO .	63
<b>Fig. 2.4</b>	Schematic of distributed emission in the MILO structure.	64
<b>Fig. 2.5</b>	Diagram showing the oscillation condition for the crossed field device.	67

<b>Fig. 2.6</b>	Equivalent circuit for currents in MILO when leakage current $I_{\text{escape}}$ of the partial currents $I$ is the sum of all currents from $I_1, \dots, I_N$ , characterizing the interaction mode of the beam with the RF field and $I_{\text{charge}}$ is electric charging currents.	72
<b>Fig. 2.7</b>	Critical current versus self-insulated critical magnetic field for $r_c=25\text{mm}$ , $r_a=40\text{mm}$ , $L=13\text{mm}$ for various beam voltages.	76
<b>Fig. 2.8</b>	Explosive emission from cathode surface [Miller (1998)].	77
<b>Fig. 2.9</b>	Microscopic view of the local electric field enhancement at the tip of a whisker-like protrusion on the cathode surface [Miller (1998)].	78
<b>Fig. 2.10</b>	Variation of parapotential current $I_p$ versus $V_m$ (maximum developed potential). Here $V_m = V_0$ and $I_0 = I_p$ .	85
<b>Fig. 2.11</b>	Schematic of RF interaction structure.	88
<b>Fig. 2.12</b>	Variation of self-azimuthal magnetic field with critical magnetic field.	94
<b>Fig. 2.13</b>	Graph showing region of oscillation in MILO.	98
<b>Fig. 2.14</b>	Operating voltage versus current.	99
<b>Fig. 2.15</b>	Schematic of charging current under collector, with nominal voltage of 500 kV, $L_c = L_{\text{cmin}}$ . Length of covering of the collector on cathode is designed such that $L_{\text{col}} > L_{\text{cmin}}$ .	102
<b>Fig. 3.1</b>	Schematic of MILO.	108
<b>Fig. 3.2</b>	Schematic of MILO with all parameters.	116
<b>Fig. 3.3</b>	Region of operation of the MILO for $r_c=25\text{ mm}$ $r_i = 40\text{ mm}$ , $s=13\text{ mm}$ , $2d = 42.8\text{mm}$	121
<b>Fig. 3.4</b>	Dispersion characteristics of the four cavity SWS.	121
<b>Fig. 3.5</b>	3D model of the proposed MILO in CST environment.	121
<b>Fig. 3.6</b>	(a) $\text{TM}_{01}$ field pattern, and (b) $\pi$ -mode in SWS of MILO.	122
<b>Fig. 3.7</b>	(a) Particle View at 1.5ns (no self-magnetic established). (b) Particle View at 2.37ns(no self-magnetic established). (c) Particle View at 8.4ns(self-magnetic established) (d) Particle View at 47.8ns (spokes formation) (e) Particle View at 48.5ns(spokes formation) (f) Particle View at 100ns (after RF power extracted from beam power at the end of simulation).	124
<b>Fig. 3.8</b>	Distribution of electrons at 65.72 ns.	126
<b>Fig. 3.9</b>	Instantaneous E-field amplitude.	127
<b>Fig. 3.10</b>	Peak output power of improved MILO.	127
<b>Fig. 3.11</b>	Build-up of current with time.	127
<b>Fig. 3.12</b>	Build-up of voltage with time.	128

<b>Fig. 3.13</b>	Fourier transform of the electric field at the output port.	128
<b>Fig. 3.14</b>	The $TM_{01}$ magnetic field distribution at the output port.	128
<b>Fig. 3.15</b>	Energy distribution against interaction length at 95 ns.	129
<b>Fig. 3.16</b>	Peak output power of the MILO [[Cousin <i>et al.</i> (2007)] obtained through CST simulation.	129
<b>Fig. 3.17</b>	Fourier transform of the electric field at the output port of the MILO [Cousin <i>et al.</i> (2007)] obtained through CST simulation.	130
<b>Fig. 4.1</b>	Schematic of RF interaction structure of MILO.	142
<b>Fig. 4.2</b>	Cathode radius versus output power.	148
<b>Fig. 4.3</b>	SWS vane inner radius versus output power.	148
<b>Fig. 4.4</b>	Thickness of SWS vane versus output power.	149
<b>Fig. 4.5</b>	Circuit periodicity versus output power.	149
<b>Fig. 4.6</b>	Build-up of voltage with time.	151
<b>Fig. 4.7</b>	Build-up of current with time.	151
<b>Fig. 4.8</b>	Peak output power of optimized S-band MILO using PSO.	152
<b>Fig. 4.9</b>	Fourier transform of the electric field at the output port.	152
<b>Fig. 5.1</b>	Schematic of RF interaction structures.	156
<b>Fig. 5.2</b>	Assembly and piece parts drawings of RF interaction structure (a) Final Assembly of interaction structure (b) Cavity (c) End plates (d) Cathode	157
<b>Fig. 5.3</b>	(a) Fabricated piece parts of RF Interaction Structure and (b) Assembly of interaction structure	158
<b>Fig. 5.4</b>	Block Diagram of Scalar Network Analyzer(SNA).	165
<b>Fig. 5.5</b>	Schematic of cold test measurement set-up includes the DUT (SWS of S-band MILO)	167
<b>Fig. 5.6</b>	Photograph of DUT connected with Scalar Network Analyzer on test bench	168
<b>Fig. 5.7</b>	Measured $S_{11}$ performance of the RF interaction structure.	169
<b>Fig. 5.8</b>	Cut view of the RF interaction structure along with the cathode and the coupling probes	169
<b>Fig. 5.9</b>	Reflection Coefficient ( $S_{11}$ ) plot of the RF interaction structure against frequency	170
<b>Fig. 5.10</b>	Simulated dispersion characteristics of the RF interaction structure	170
<b>Fig. 5.11</b>	Comparison of simulation and cold-test measurement	171
<b>Fig. 6.1</b>	Schematic representation of magnetic insulation ref.[1] (a) No Magnetic Insulation ( $I < I_{critical}$ ) (b) Self-Magnetic Insulation ( $I > I_{critical}$ ) [Bluhm, (2006)]	175
<b>Fig. 6.2</b>	Electron trajectory plots for (a) Emission current 8 kA for 200kV and (b) Emission current of 12 kA for 250kV	178

<b>Fig. 6.3</b>	Schematic of experimental set-up of MITL	179
<b>Fig. 6.4</b>	Experimental set-up of MITL	180
<b>Fig. 6.5</b>	Measured (a) voltage pulse (b) Current pulse	180
<b>Fig. 6.6</b>	Diagnostic setup for MILO (Ref: M D Haworth et al.,1998)	181
<b>Fig. 6.7</b>	Schematic of Rogowski coil	183
<b>Fig. 6.8</b>	Schematic of Bevel-cut Vlasov antenna	185
<b>Fig. 6.9</b>	(a ) 3D model of Vlasov antenna with window and (b) Bevel cut 3D gain pattern using CST	187
<b>Fig. 6.10</b>	Bevel-cut simulated gain patterns using CST at $\phi=90^\circ$	188
<b>Fig. 6.11</b>	VSWR plot computed using CST studio	188
<b>Fig. 6.12</b>	Piece parts drawings of MILO (a) Conical Flange (b) Vlasov antenna (c) Beam dump (d) Cathode (e) Window (f) Stub (g) Choke vane (h) SWS vane	190
<b>Fig. 6.13</b>	Fabricated piece parts of MILO (a) Conical Flange (b) Vanes support (c) Cathode (d) Window (e ) Vanes (f) Bell jar (g) Vlasov antenna (h) Beam dump (i) Final assembly of MILO	191
<b>Fig. 6.14</b>	Experimental set up of MILO at MTRDC	192
<b>Fig. 6.15</b>	Measured voltage and current Waveform of MILO	194
<b>Fig. 6.16</b>	RF power spectrum of MILO	195
<b>Fig. 6.17</b>	RF signal frequency spectrum of MILO	195