CERTIFICATE

It is certified that the work contained in the thesis titled "MATHEMATICAL ASPECTS OF SOME NON-CONVENTIONAL THERMOELASTIC MODELS" by MANUSHI GUPTA has been carried out under my supervision and that this work has not been submitted elsewhere for a degree.

It is further certified that the student has fulfilled all the requirements of Comprehensive Examination, Candidacy and SOTA for the award of Ph.D. Degree.

(Dr. SANTWANA MUKHOPADHYAY) 16/04/2021

(Dr. SANTWANA WORHOPADHYAY) (6/04/202 (Supervisor) Professor Department of Mathematical Sciences Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi- 221005-पर्यवेक्षक/Supervisor गणितीय विज्ञान विभाग Department of Mathematical Sciences भारतीय प्रीद्यागिकी संस्थान Indian Institute of Technology (काशी हिन्दू विश्वविद्यालय) (Banaras Hindu University)

वाराणसी /Varanasi-221005

DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE

I, MANUSHI GUPTA, certify that the work embodied in this thesis is my own bonafide work and carried out by me under the supervision of **Prof. SANTWANA MUKHOPADHYAY** from July, 2016 to April, 2021 at the **Department of Mathematical Sciences**, **Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University)**, **Varanasi**. The matter embodied in this thesis has not been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma. I.declare that I have faithfully acknowledged and given credits to the research workers wherever their works have been cited in my work in this thesis. I further declare that I have not willfully copied any other's work, paragraphs, text, data, results, etc., reported in journals, books, magazines, reports dissertations, theses, etc., or available at websites and have not included them in this thesis and have not cited as my own work.

(MANUSHI GUPTA)

CERTIFICATE BY THE SUPERVISOR

It is certified that the above statement made by the student is correct to the best of my/our knowledge.

(Dr. SANTWANA MUKHOPADHYAY)

Professor Department of Mathematical Sciences Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi- 221005 पर्यवेशक/Supervisor गणिती: विश्वान विभाग Department of Varies Sciences भारती: विश्वान विभाग Indian and a sciences भारती: विश्वान विभाग (Banaras Hindu University) वाराणसी /Varanasi-221005

(Dr. TANMOY SOM) Professor and Head

Department of Mathematical Sciences Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi- 221005

विभागाध्यक्ष/HEAD गणितीय विज्ञान विभाग Department of Mathematical Sciences भारतीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान Indian Institute of Technology (काशी हिन्दू विश्वविद्यालय) (Banaras Hindu University) बाराणसी/Varanasi-221005

COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE

Title of the Thesis: Mathematical Aspects of Some Non-Conventional Thermoelastic Models

Name of the Student: MANUSHI GUPTA

Copyright Transfer

The undersigned hereby assigns to the Indian Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University), Varanasi all rights under copyright that may exist in and for the above thesis submitted for the award of the *Ph.D. degree*.

Date: 16.04.2021 Place: Varanasi

(MANUSHI GUPTA)

Note: However, the author may reproduce or authorize others to reproduce material extracted verbatim from the thesis or derivative of the thesis for author's personal use provided that the source and the Institute's copyright notice are indicated.

Dedicated

to

My Mother

 \mathbb{M} rs. Surbhi Gupta

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to thank the Almighty God for His blessings during my entire life. His invisible yet constant presence has provided me with the power to overcome all the hurdles in my academic pursuits.

My heartfelt and sincere gratitude goes to my respected, ever supportive and humble supervisor, Dr. Santwana Mukhopadhyay, Professor, Department of Mathematical Sciences, IIT (BHU), Varanasi, for her invaluable guidance throughout my Ph.D. work and beyond. Her encouragement, instructions and contributions have inspired me to do better in my research area. She has always given priority to the research work and has readily helped me at any point of time with her vast knowledge and teaching skills. She has also supported me with other academic tasks, which has helped me to improve my overall personality as a researcher. I could not have imagined a better supervisor than her.

I express my cordial thanks to the present Head of Department, Dr. T. Som (Professor) and the former Head of Department, Dr. L. P. Singh (Professor), for their support during my research work. I acknowledge my deep sense of gratitude to all the faculty members of the department for their time to time assistance and encouragement. I present my special thanks to my RPEC members, Dr. Subir Das, Professor, Department of Mathematical Sciences and Dr. Pabitra Ranjan Maiti, Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering for their persistent moral support and insightful suggestions.

I extend my sincere thanks to my seniors, Dr. Shashikant Prajapati, Dr. Anil Kumar, Dr. Bharti Kumari, Dr. Rakhi Tiwari, and Dr. Seema Mishra for guiding me in various matters during my stay in Varanasi. I especially thank my fellow researchers, Mr. Om Namha Shivay, Mr. Harendra Kumar, Mr. Robin Vikram Singh, Mr. Bhagwan Singh, Ms. Komal Jangid and Mr. Md Arzoo Jamal for the stimulating discussions and cooperation that has immensely helped me in my research work. I thank my colleagues, Dr. Anil Kumar Shukla, Mr. Abhishek Singh, Dr. Anup, Mr. Avinash Dixit, Mr. Pankaj Gautam, Mr. Rahul Maurya, Mr. Rakesh, Mr. Sumit and Dr. Vinita Devi for their moral support and also for keeping me in good spirits. I thank all the research scholars of the department for maintaining friendly and positive work environment. I would further like to present my very special thanks to my close friends, Dr. Neera Singh, Dr. Gargi Srivastava, Dr. Surbhi Saraswat, Dr. Swati Yadav, Ms. Anuwedita Singh, and Ms. Aradhana Narang for their never ending support, encouragement, affection, and honest opinions, which gave me strength of all kinds to achieve my goals.

I gratefully acknowledge my institute, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi, for providing the financial support and all necessary resources throughout my research. Also, I am thankful to all non-teaching staff members for their support.

I express a sincere and cordial gratitude to my mother, Mrs. Surbhi Gupta for being there for me in every situation and for motivating me to keep going no matter what. Her love and sacrifices are the reasons for my success. My immense thanks goes to my aunt, Mrs. Chanda Gupta and my uncle, Mr. Rahul Gupta for their consistent care and all kind of support without which my life would be difficult. A special thanks to my maternal grandparents, Dr. M. L. Gupta and Mrs. Sudha Gupta for their blessings and encouragement to pursue the path of research. I pay my special love to my brother, Mr. Shubham Gupta who has handled all the situations at home in my absence. I further extend my thanks to my other family members for their extreme love and appreciation.

Finally, my thanks go to all the people who have supported me to complete the research work directly or indirectly.

Mangu 2

Manushi Gupta

CONTENTS

\mathbf{T}	ITLE	PAGE		i
C	ERT	IFICATE		iii
D	ECL	ARATION I	BY THE CANDIDATE	v
\mathbf{C}	OPY	RIGHT TR	ANSFER CERTIFICATE	vii
D	EDIO	CATION		ix
A	CKN	OWLEDGE	MENTS	xi
\mathbf{C}	ONT	ENTS		xiii
L]	IST (OF FIGURE	S	xix
L]	IST (OF SYMBO	LS	xxiii
A	BBR	EVIATION	S	xxv
P	REF	ACE	х	xvii
1	INT	TRODUCTI	ON AND LITERATURE REVIEW	1
	1.1	Thermoelast	icity: Definition and Applications	1
	1.2	Classical The	ermoelasticity Theory and Its Drawbacks	2
	1.3	Generalized	Thermoelasticity Theory	5
		1.3.1 Non-l	Fourier Generalized Thermoelasticity Theory	6
		1.3.1.	1 Lord-Shulman Thermoelasticity theory (LS): Thermoe-	
			lasticity with One Thermal Relaxation Parameter	6
		1.3.1.	2 Green-Naghdi Thermoelasticity Theory (GN)	8
		1.3.1.	3 Dual-Phase-Lag Thermoelasticity Theory (DPL)	10
		1.3.1.	4 Three-Phase-Lag Thermoelasticity Theory (TPL)	12

			1.3.1.5 Thermoelasticity Theory Based on Exact Heat	Conduc-	
			tion Law with a Single Delay		14
			1.3.1.6 Non-Local Thermoelasticity Theory		16
		1.3.2	Generalized Thermoelasticity Theory Based on Classical	Fourier's	
			Law		17
			1.3.2.1 Green-Lindsay Thermoelasticity Theory (GL)		17
			1.3.2.2 Modified Green-Lindsay Thermoelasticity The	ory (MGL)	18
		1.3.3	Other Generalized Thermoelasticity Theories		20
	1.4	Litera	ature Review		20
	1.5	Objec ⁻	ctive of the Thesis		33
2	TH	EORE	TICAL ANALYSIS OF THE THERMOELASTIC	TY THE-	
	OR	Y BAS	SED ON EXACT HEAT CONDUCTION LAW	WITH	
	SIN	GLE I	DELAY		35
	2.1	Some	Theorems on Linear Theory of Thermoelasticity based of	on Exact	
		Heat (Conduction Model with Single Delay for Anisotropic Med	ium^1	35
		2.1.1	Introduction		35
		2.1.2	Basic Equations and Problem Formulation		38
		2.1.3	Uniqueness of Solution		40
		2.1.4	Alternative Formulation of Mixed Problem		43
		2.1.5	Variational Theorem		45
		2.1.6	Reciprocity Theorem $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$		47
		2.1.7	Conclusion		50
	2.2	Galer	kin-Type Solution for the Theory of Thermoelasticity under	er an Ex-	
		act He	eat Conduction Law with Single $Delay^2$		51
		2.2.1	Introduction		51
		2.2.2	Governing Equations		52
		2.2.3	Galerkin-Type Solution of Equations of Motion		54
		2.2.4	Galerkin-Type Solution of System of Equations for Stead	dy Oscil-	
			lations		58
		2.2.5	General Solution of System of Equations for Steady Osc	cillations	61
		2.2.6	Conclusion		65
3			REFLECTION OF THERMOELASTIC WAVES U		
	EX.		HEAT CONDUCTION MODEL WITH SINGLE		67
	3.1		$\operatorname{luction}^3$		67
	3.2	Proble	em Formulation and Governing Equations $\ldots \ldots \ldots$		70

	3.3	Solution of the Problem	74
		3.3.1 Case A: Incident Longitudinal Wave	76
		3.3.2 Case B: Incident Transverse Wave	79
	3.4	Numerical Results and Discussion	82
		3.4.1 Case A: Results for Incident Longitudinal Wave	89
		3.4.2 Case B: Results for Incident Transverse Wave	93
		3.4.3 Variations in Phase Velocities	100
	3.5	Conclusion	102
4	\mathbf{TH}	EORETICAL ANALYSIS OF MODIFIED GREEN-LINDSAY	
	TH	THERMOELASTICITY THEORY 10	
	4.1	Introduction ⁴ \ldots	105
	4.2	Governing Equations	107
	4.3	Galerkin-Type Solution of Equations of Motion	109
	4.4	Galerkin-Type Solution of System of Equations for Steady Oscillations	113
	4.5	General Solution of System of Equations for Steady Oscillations \ldots .	116
	4.6	Conclusion	120
5	5 ANALYSIS OF HARMONIC PLANE WAVE PROPAGATION PRE		_
	סות		
	DIC	CTED BY MODIFIED GREEN-LINDSAY THERMOELASTIC-	
		TED BY MODIFIED GREEN-LINDSAY THERMOELASTIC- 7 THEORY	121
			121 121
	ITY	THEORY	
	ITY 5.1	THEORY Introduction ⁵	121
	ITY 5.1 5.2	THEORY Introduction ⁵	121 123
	ITY 5.1 5.2	THEORY Introduction ⁵ Basic Governing Equations Harmonic Plane Wave	121 123 125
	ITY 5.1 5.2 5.3	THEORY Introduction ⁵ Basic Governing Equations Harmonic Plane Wave 5.3.1 Derivation of Dispersion Relation	121 123 125 126
	 ITY 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 	A THEORY Introduction ⁵ Basic Governing Equations Harmonic Plane Wave 5.3.1 Derivation of Dispersion Relation Expressions for Wave Number and Attenuation Coefficient	121 123 125 126 128
	 ITY 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 	THEORY Introduction ⁵ Basic Governing Equations Harmonic Plane Wave 5.3.1 Derivation of Dispersion Relation Expressions for Wave Number and Attenuation Coefficient Numerical Results and Discussion	121 123 125 126 128 130
	 ITY 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 	THEORY Introduction ⁵ Basic Governing Equations Harmonic Plane Wave 5.3.1 Derivation of Dispersion Relation Expressions for Wave Number and Attenuation Coefficient Numerical Results and Discussion 5.5.1 Analysis on Phase Velocity	121 123 125 126 128 130 131
	 ITY 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 	THEORY Introduction ⁵ Basic Governing Equations Harmonic Plane Wave 5.3.1 Derivation of Dispersion Relation Expressions for Wave Number and Attenuation Coefficient Numerical Results and Discussion 5.5.1 Analysis on Phase Velocity 5.5.2	121 123 125 126 128 130 131 134
6	 ITY 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 	THEORY Introduction ⁵ Basic Governing Equations Harmonic Plane Wave 5.3.1 Derivation of Dispersion Relation Supressions for Wave Number and Attenuation Coefficient Numerical Results and Discussion 5.5.1 Analysis on Phase Velocity 5.5.2 Analysis on Penetration Depth	121 123 125 126 128 130 131 134 141
6	 ITY 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 SOI 	A THEORY Introduction ⁵ Basic Governing Equations Harmonic Plane Wave 5.3.1 Derivation of Dispersion Relation 5.3.1 Derivation of Dispersion Relation Expressions for Wave Number and Attenuation Coefficient Numerical Results and Discussion 5.5.1 Analysis on Phase Velocity 5.5.2 Analysis on Specific Loss 5.5.3 Analysis on Penetration Depth Conclusion	121 123 125 126 128 130 131 134 141
6	 ITY 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 SOI 	THEORY Introduction ⁵ Basic Governing Equations Harmonic Plane Wave 5.3.1 Derivation of Dispersion Relation 5.3.1 Derivation of Dispersion Relation Expressions for Wave Number and Attenuation Coefficient Numerical Results and Discussion 5.5.1 Analysis on Phase Velocity 5.5.2 Analysis on Specific Loss 5.5.3 Analysis on Penetration Depth Conclusion	121 123 125 126 128 130 131 134 141 142
6	 ITY 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 SOI WI 	A THEORY Introduction ⁵ Basic Governing Equations Harmonic Plane Wave 5.3.1 Derivation of Dispersion Relation 5.3.1 Derivation of Dispersion Relation Expressions for Wave Number and Attenuation Coefficient Numerical Results and Discussion 5.5.1 Analysis on Phase Velocity 5.5.2 Analysis on Specific Loss 5.5.3 Analysis on Penetration Depth Conclusion KE INVESTIGATIONS ON THERMOELASTICITY THEORY TH DUAL PHASE-LAGS	121 123 125 126 128 130 131 134 141 142

	6.1.1	Introduction	145		
	6.1.2	Basic Equations	148		
	6.1.3	Problem Formulation	149		
	6.1.4	Solution of the Problem in the Laplace Transform Domain \ldots	151		
	6.1.5	Temperature Distribution in Physical (Space-Time) Domain $\ .$.	153		
		6.1.5.1 Deterministic Temperature	153		
		6.1.5.2 Stochastic Temperature	156		
	6.1.6	Stress Distribution	161		
		6.1.6.1 Deterministic Stress	161		
		6.1.6.2 Stochastic Stress	161		
	6.1.7	Displacement Distribution	163		
		6.1.7.1 Deterministic Displacement	163		
		6.1.7.2 Stochastic Displacement	164		
	6.1.8	Numerical Results	166		
	6.1.9	Conclusion	175		
6.2	Domain of Influence Results of Dual-Phase-Lag Thermoelasticity Theory				
	for Na	tural Stress-Heat-Flux Problem 7	177		
	6.2.1	Introduction	177		
	6.2.2	Basic Equations and Problem Formulation	179		
	6.2.3	Preliminaries	181		
	6.2.4	Energy Identity	182		
	6.2.5	Domain of Influence Theorem	185		
	6.2.6	Conclusion	190		
6.3	A Stuc	dy on Generalized Thermoelasticity Theory Based on Non-Local			
	Heat C	Conduction Model with Dual Phase-Lags ⁸ $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	192		
	6.3.1	Introduction	192		
	6.3.2	Basic Governing Equations	193		
	6.3.3	Problem Formulation	195		
	6.3.4	Solution of the Problem in the Laplace Transform Domain \ldots	196		
	6.3.5	Numerical Results and Discussion	198		
		6.3.5.1 Effect of λ_q	198		
		6.3.5.2 Effect of τ_q	199		
		6.3.5.3 Effect of τ_{θ}	204		
	6.3.6	Conclusion	204		

7 SUMMARY OF THE THESIS AND FUTURE SCOPE 207

7.1	Summary	207	
7.2	Future Scope	214	
BIBLIOGRAPHY 21			
PUBLICATIONS AND CONFERENCES 2			

LIST OF FIGURES

3.3.1	Geometry of the problem	77
3.4.1	Distribution of $ Z_1 $ (Case A) for different theories with respect to angle of	
	incident ζ_0 , for $\alpha^* = 0.0002$ and $\omega = 1.5$	83
3.4.2	Distribution of $ Z_2 $ (Case A) for different theories with respect to angle of	
	incident ζ_0 , for $\alpha^* = 0.0002$ and $\omega = 1.5$	83
3.4.3	Distribution of $ Z_3 $ (Case A) for different theories with respect to angle of	
	incident ζ_0 , for $\alpha^* = 0.0002$ and $\omega = 1.5$	84
3.4.4	Distribution of $ Z_1 $ (Case A) for different values of empirical material	
	constant (α^*) with respect to angle of incident ζ_0 with $\omega = 1.5$	84
3.4.5	Distribution of $ Z_2 $ (Case A) for different values of empirical material	
	constant (α^*) with respect to angle of incident ζ_0 with $\omega = 1.5$	85
3.4.6	Distribution of $ Z_3 $ (Case A) for different values of empirical material	
	constant (α^*) with respect to angle of incident ζ_0 with $\omega = 1.5 \dots \ldots$	85
3.4.7	Another distribution of $ Z_2 $ (Case A) for different theories with respect to	
	angle of incident ζ_0 , for $\alpha^* = 0$ and $\omega = 1.5$	86
3.4.8	Distribution of $ Z_4 $ (Case B) for different theories with respect to angle of	
	incident ζ_0 , for $\alpha^* = 0.0002$ and $\omega = 1.5$	86
3.4.9	Distribution of $ Z_5 $ (Case B) for different theories with respect to angle of	
	incident ζ_0 , for $\alpha^* = 0.0002$ and $\omega = 1.5$	87
3.4.10	Distribution of $ Z_6 $ (Case B) for different theories with respect to angle of	
	incident ζ_0 , for $\alpha^* = 0.0002$ and $\omega = 1.5$	87
3.4.11	Distribution of $ Z_4 $ (Case B) for different values of empirical material	
	constant (α^*) with respect to angle of incident ζ_0 with $\omega = 1.5 \dots \ldots$	88
3.4.12	Distribution of $ Z_5 $ (Case B) for different values of empirical material	
	constant (α^*) with respect to angle of incident ζ_0 with $\omega = 1.5 \dots \ldots$	88
3.4.13	Distribution of $ Z_6 $ (Case B) for different values of empirical material	
	constant (α^*) with respect to angle of incident ζ_0 with $\omega = 1.5 \dots \ldots$	89
3.4.14	Distribution of longitudinal elastic-mode wave phase velocity (V_1) for dif-	
	ferent thermoelasticity theories with respect to dimensionless angular fre-	
	quency (ω) with $\alpha^* = 0.0002 \dots \dots$	97

3.4.15	Distribution of thermal-mode wave phase velocity (V_2) for different ther- moelasticity theories with respect to dimensionless angular frequency (ω)
	with $\alpha^* = 0.0002$
3.4.16	Distribution of longitudinal elastic-mode wave phase velocity (V_1) for dif- ferent values of empirical material constant (α^*) with respect to dimen-
	sionless angular frequency (ω)
3.4.17	Distribution of thermal-mode wave phase velocity (V_2) for different values of empirical material constant (α^*) with respect to dimensionless angular
	frequency (ω)
3.4.18	Distribution of transverse elastic-mode wave phase velocity (V_3) for differ- ent values of empirical material constant (α^*) with respect to dimensionless
	angular frequency (ω)
3.4.19	Distribution of longitudinal elastic-modewave phase velocity (V_1) for dif-
	ferent values of delay time (τ) with respect to dimensionless angular fre-
	quency (ω) with $\alpha^* = 0.0002$
3.4.20	Distribution of thermal-mode wave phase velocity (V_2) for different values
	of delay time (τ) with respect to dimensionless angular frequency (ω) with
	$\alpha^* = 0.0002 \dots \dots$
5.5.1	Variation of phase velocity for thermal-mode wave for three different models135
5.5.2	Variation of phase velocity for elastic-mode wave for three different models 136
5.5.3	Variation of phase velocity for thermal-mode wave for different values of
	τ_0 and τ_1 for MGL model
5.5.4	Variation of phase velocity for elastic-mode wave for different values of τ_0
	and τ_1 for MGL model
5.5.5	Variation of specific loss for thermal-mode wave for three different models 137
5.5.6	Variation of specific loss for elastic-mode wave for three different models $.138$
5.5.7	Variation of specific loss for thermal-mode wave for different values of τ_0
	and τ_1 for MGL model
5.5.8	Variation of specific loss for elastic-mode wave for different values of τ_0
	and τ_1 for MGL model
5.5.9	Variation of penetration depth for thermal-mode wave for three different
	models
5.5.10	Variation of penetration depth for elastic-mode wave for three different
	models
5.5.11	Variation of penetration depth for thermal-mode wave for different values
	of τ_0 and τ_1 for MGL model
5.5.12	Variation of penetration depth for elastic wave for different values of τ_0
	and τ_1 for MGL model

6.1.1	(a). Deterministic temperature distribution and stochastic temperature
	distribution along five sample paths and its mean for Case-I (b). De-
	terministic temperature distribution and mean of stochastic temperature
	distribution for Case-I
6.1.2	(a). Deterministic stress distribution and stochastic stress distribution
	along five sample paths and its mean for Case-I (b). Deterministic stress
	distribution and mean of stochastic stress distribution for Case-I $\ \ldots$. 169
6.1.3	(a). Deterministic displacement distribution and stochastic displacement
	distribution along five sample paths and its mean for Case-I (b). De-
	terministic displacement distribution and mean of stochastic displacement
	distribution for Case-I
6.1.4	(a). Deterministic temperature distribution and stochastic temperature
	distribution along five sample paths and its mean for Case-II (b). De-
	terministic temperature distribution and mean of stochastic temperature
	distribution for Case-II
6.1.5	(a). Deterministic stress distribution and stochastic stress distribution
	along five sample paths and its mean for Case-II (b). Deterministic stress
	distribution and mean of stochastic stress distribution for Case-II \ldots 172
6.1.6	(a). Deterministic displacement distribution and stochastic displacement
	distribution along five sample paths and its mean for Case-II (b). De-
	terministic displacement distribution and mean of stochastic displacement
	distribution for Case-II
6.1.7	Variance of temperature distribution
6.1.8	Variance of stress distribution
6.1.9	Variance of displacement distribution
6.3.1	Effect of non-local parameter, λ_q on temperature distribution 200
6.3.2	Effect of non-local parameter, λ_q on stress distribution
6.3.3	Effect of non-local parameter, λ_q on displacement distribution 201
6.3.4	Effect of phase-lag, τ_q on temperature distribution
6.3.5	Effect of phase-lag, τ_q on stress distribution
6.3.6	Effect of phase-lag, τ_q on displacement distribution
6.3.7	Effect of phase-lag, τ_{θ} on temperature distribution
6.3.8	Effect of phase-lag, τ_{θ} on stress distribution
6.3.9	Effect of phase-lag, τ_{θ} on displacement distribution

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = (\sigma_{ij})$	Stress tensor, Pa or N m ⁻² or kg m ⁻¹ s ⁻²
$\boldsymbol{u} = (u_i)$	Displacement vector, m
$\boldsymbol{E} = (e_{ij})$	Strain tensor, dimensionless
$\boldsymbol{q} = (q_i)$	Heat-flux, W m ^{-2}
T_0	Uniform reference temperature, K
θ	Temperature variation from the uniform reference temperature, K
ν	Thermal displacement, K s
$\boldsymbol{H} = H_i$	Body force per unit mass, N kg^{-1}
R	Heat source per unit mass, J $\rm s^{-1}~kg^{-1}$ or N m $\rm s^{-1}~kg^{-1}$
S	Entropy per unit mass, J $K^{-1} kg^{-1}$
ρ	Mass density, kg m ^{-3}
$K_{ij}/\ K$	Thermal conductivity tensor/ Thermal conductivity constant,
	$W m^{-1} K^{-1}$
$K^*_{ij}/\ K^*$	Thermal conductivity rate tensor/ Thermal conductivity rate con-
	stant, W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ s ⁻¹
C_{ijkl}	Elasticity tensor, kg $m^{-1}s^{-2}$

λ,μ	Lame's constants of material, kg $\mathrm{m}^{-1}\mathrm{s}^{-2}$
eta_{ij}	Thermoelasticity tensor, kg m ⁻¹ s ⁻² K ⁻¹
$\beta = (3\lambda + 2\mu)\beta_{\theta}$	Thermoelasticity constant, kg m ⁻¹ s ⁻² K ⁻¹
$eta_{ heta}$	Linear thermal expansion of the material, ${\rm K}^{-1}$
c_E	Specific heat at constant strain, J $\rm kg^{-1}~K^{-1}$
$ au_{ heta}$	Phase-lag of temperature gradient, s
$ au_q$	Phase-lag of heat-flux vector, s
$ au_{ u}$	Phase-lag of thermal displacement, s
$\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)/(x, y, z)$	Cartesian coordinates, m
t	Physical time, s
abla	Gradient operator
$ abla^2$	Laplacian operator
δ_{ij}	Kronecker delta, dimensionless

Note: Throughout the thesis, the subscripted comma notations are used to denote the partial derivatives with respect to the space variables. The over-headed dots denote partial derivatives with respect to time variable, t. The bold notation is used for vector or tensor quantities. Subscripts i, j, k, l take the values 1, 2, 3 and summation is implied by index repetition.

ABBREVIATIONS

BPN	${\bf B} oussine {\rm sq-} {\bf P} a p kovitch-{\bf N} euber$
BSG	${\bf B} oussine {\bf s} q \textbf{-} {\bf S} omigliana \textbf{-} {\bf G} alerkin$
CKS	$\mathbf{C} auchy\textbf{-}\mathbf{K} ovlevski\textbf{-}\mathbf{S} omigliana$
DOI	Domain Of Influence
DPL	\mathbf{D} ual- \mathbf{P} hase- \mathbf{L} ag Thermoelasticity Theory
ETE	E xtended Thermoelasticity Theory
GLa	\mathbf{G} reen- $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{a}$ me
GL	${\bf G} {\bf reen-L} {\bf inds} {\bf ay} \ {\bf Thermoelasticity} \ {\bf Theory}$
GN	${f G}$ reen-Naghdi Thermoelasticity Theory
\mathbf{LS}	\mathbf{L} ord- \mathbf{S} hulman Thermoelasticity Theory
MGL	$\mathbf{M} \mathrm{odified}~\mathbf{G} \mathrm{reen}\text{-}\mathbf{L} \mathrm{indsay}~\mathrm{Thermoelasticity}~\mathrm{Theory}$
TPL	Three-Phase-Lag Thermoelasticity Theory

PREFACE

Thermoelasticity is a branch of science that deals with the interaction of the mechanical field with the thermal field and vice versa. In comparison to the theory of elasticity, which has been developed in the early seventeenth century, the thermoelasticity theory is relatively younger as it was introduced by Duhamel in 1837. With the development in technology, especially in the field of aeronautics, nuclear science, steam turbines, etc., where the rising temperature caused due to high speed has significant effects on the mechanical nature of the material used, demands the thorough knowledge of thermal stresses. Moreover, the advancement in thermal processes involving ultrafast laser heating, where the study of transient behavior occurred at an extremely short time is necessary, requires the understanding of the modified theory of generalized thermoelasticity. The equation of motion, the compatibility equations, and the constitutive laws are the fundamental laws on which the thermoelasticity theory has been developed. With the progress in time, profound changes have been gradually implemented on the conventional notion of theory. The first comprehensive documentation on thermoelasticity theory has been given by Biot (1956), which comprises of Fourier's law as one of the constitutive laws. This theory is generally referred to as the classical theory of thermoelasticity. Due to the presence of Fourier's law, the classical thermoelasticity theory contains a parabolic-hyperbolic combination of partial differential equations. This combination results in infinite speed of thermal wave propagation

which is a physically unrealistic behavior. Although, Biot's theory has been widely and successfully applied to study the conventional thermoelastic problems that involve large spatial dimension and when the focus is on long time behavior, but it yields unacceptable results in situations involving temperature near absolute zero, extreme thermal gradients, high heat flux conduction and short time behavior, such as laser-material interactions. Furthermore, due to the advancement of modern technology of material processing by pulsed sources, this conventional theory has been shown to be inadequate in modeling laser processing of materials and high frequency response of materials. Intense efforts are therefore put forth since last few decades to better understand the limitations of Fourier's law and conventional thermoelasticity theory. Various generalized thermoelasticity theories, which are apparently the alternative and better version of the classical theory, have continuously been proposed by researchers over time.

The remodeling of the classical thermoelasticity theory is broadly carried out on two bases. Firstly, by modifying Fourier's law and the second one is by altering the other constitutive laws, generally keeping the Fourier's law intact. The generalized theories given by Lord and Shulman (LS) (1967) and Green and Lindsay (GL) (1972) are among the most investigated approaches. In the first theory, Fourier's law is replaced by the heat conduction law consisting of one time-lag, τ_q concerning heat flux. However, the second model by Green and Lindsay (1972) alters the conventional theory by incorporating the temperature-rate term in constitutive laws. Later, in the early 1990s, Green and Naghdi (GN) (1991, 1992, 1993) have extended the idea of classical theory by incorporating the new constitutive variable, ν , called as thermal displacement. The authors have divided the model into a set of three and have discussed the various aspects of the models. These theories developed by Green and Naghdi are subsequently being called as theories of GN I, GN II, and GN III. Further, dual-phase-lag thermoelasticity theory (DPL) has been developed by Chandrasekharaiah (1998), which is based on heat conduction law with two phase-lags, τ_q and τ_{θ} , proposed by Tzou (1992). Eventually, Roychoudhuri (2007) applied the idea of Tzou (1992) to the GN III theory to develop the three-phase-lag thermoelastic model (TPL) having additional time-lag, τ_{ν} with respect to the gradient of thermal displacement. Furthermore, to remove the ill-posedness found in the phase-lag theories, Quintanilla (2011) has treated the TPL heat conduction model differently and has presented a heat conduction model with a single delay term. Based on this model, the author has further proposed thermoelasticity theory and has tested the system's stability in that respect. Recently, to remove the discontinuity in the displacement field under the GL model, Yu et al. (2018) have proposed a modified Green-Lindsay (MGL) thermoelastic model by fusing strain-rate term along with temperature-rate term. Researchers have developed many other generalized thermoelasticity theories also by combining the concept of fractional calculus, non-local phenomenon, micro-structures etc., which can be found out in the literature.

The subject of the present thesis is to investigate various aspects of some recently developed thermoelastic models mathematically. The thesis mainly talks about three generalized thermoelasticity theories, namely, Quintanilla's theory, modified Green-Lindsay theory (MGL), and dual-phase-lag thermoelasticity theory (DPL). Hence, it is divided into three parts on this basis. The first part discusses Quintanilla's model, which includes Chapter 2 and Chapter 3, whereas the second part comprises of Chapter 4 and Chapter 5, which elaborates MGL thermoelasticity theory. Further, Chapter 6, the last part of the thesis, analyses the dual-phase-lag thermoelastic model in different scenarios. Therefore, this work arrangement aims to understand the nature of physical fields when the conventional theory is altered using either modified heat conduction law or other reformed constitutive relations.

The outline of the thesis is as follows:

Chapter 1 introduces the topic of the thesis. It discusses the brief history of the development in the thermoelasticity theory followed by a detailed literature review. Finally, it ends with the objective of the thesis.

Chapter 2 comprises of two subchapters that deal with the Quintanilla's thermoelastic model (2011). Subchapter 2.1 discusses the considered theory in terms of mixed type boundary and initial value problem for a homogeneous and anisotropic medium. Firstly, the uniqueness theorem is proved using a specific internal energy function. Then, an alternative formulation of the problem using convolution is established to prove the convolution type variational theorem. A reciprocal relation is also established. In continuation, Subchapter 2.2 elaborates on the Galerkin-type representation of the solution to the system of equations of motion for an isotropic elastic homogeneous medium in presence of body force and heat source. Analogous working is followed next to establish the Galerkin-type representation of the solution in case of steady oscillation. Further, a general solution is established in terms of metaharmonic functions for steady oscillation case in the absence of body force and external heat source.

Chapter 3 aims to describe the reflection of thermoelastic waves under Quintanilla's theory for medium with variable material parameters. The mathematical model is analyzed along with other three thermoelastic theories, i.e., classical theory, LS theory, and GN III theory, to highlight the nature of thermoelastic interactions under Quintanilla's model. The propagation of elastic longitudinal and transverse wave and the thermal wave, is analyzed in case of incident longitudinal and incident transverse wave. The amplitude ratio and phase velocity for various waves are obtained and are presented graphically for various angles of incidence for the theories. The significant effect of variable material parameters on wave characteristics and other important observations are highlighted.

Chapter 4 starts the second part of the thesis, which addresses the modified Green-Lindsay thermoelastic model in the context of the Galerkin-type representation of the solution. Similar to Subchapter 2.1, Galerkin-type representation of the solution to the equation of motion followed by representation for the case of steady oscillation is given. Following these theorems, a theorem is established which expresses the general solution of the system of homogeneous equations of steady oscillation in terms of metaharmonic functions.

Chapter 5 completes the discussion on MGL thermoelastic model by analyzing the propagation of plane harmonic waves in an unbounded isotropic homogeneous elastic medium. In order to investigate the effects of additional strain-rate term, the unified governing equations related to three thermoelastic models, namely, the classical thermoelastic model, the GL model, and the MGL model, have been considered. The behavior of different wave components for longitudinal waves is examined through graphical representation to investigate the effects of temperature-rate and strain-rate terms on the plane wave propagation. Significant differences in predictions by the new model as compared to the classical and GL models are observed.

Chapter 6 is devoted to the last part of the thesis, which elaborates behavior of physical fields under different scenarios in the context of dual-phase-lag (DPL) thermoelasticity theory. It comprises of three subchapters to discuss three different problems in the context of DPL model. **Subchapter 6.1** discusses the thermoelastic interactions in an isotropic homogeneous half-space caused due to stochastic conditions applied at the boundary. One-dimensional problem with traction free boundary subjected to two types of time-dependent thermal distributions is considered. The stochastic case solution has been obtained by using the concept of the Wiener process and stochastic simulation. Numerical analysis based on stochastic simulation is carried out for copper material along different sample paths. Comparative analysis between the deterministic and the stochastic distributions of field variables is presented. Special attention is paid to highlight the effects of considering randomness added to the boundary conditions. On the other hand, **Subchapter 6.2** deals with the natural stress-heat-flux problem in the context of dual-phase-lag thermoelasticity theory. The main aim of this subchapter is to establish the domain of influence theorem for the considered problem. In this theorem, a bounded domain, D_t is obtained such that outside this domain, no thermoelastic disturbance can be observed due to the thermomechanical loading caused by heat-flux and stress field applied at the boundary of the medium. The finite speed of propagation is shown to be dependent on material parameters and phase-lags. Lastly, **Subchapter 6.3** is concerned with the generalized thermoelasticity theory based on the recently introduced non-local heat conduction model with dual-phase-lag effects by Tzou and Guo (2010). The generalized governing equations for this thermoelasticity theory are formulated, and a one-dimensional thermal shock half-space problem is considered. Special attention is paid to investigate the effects of the non-local length parameter, λ_q , which is the characteristic of this model. The results are further compared with the corresponding results predicted by other existing models. The impacts of phase-lag parameters, τ_q and τ_{θ} in presence of non-local parameter are also investigated in a detailed manner.

Chapter 7 incorporates the summary of the present work as well as scopes for future work in the relevant areas.