

Content

Title	Page No.
Acknowledgement	i
Content	iii
List of Figures	Vi
List of Tables	xi
Preface	xii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.2 DEFINITION OF THE BADLANDS.....	2
1.3 FORMATION OF THE BADLANDS.....	2
1.4 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.....	6
1.5 THE BENEFIT TO THE SOCIETY.....	6
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 PREVIOUS WORK.....	8
2.2 RESEARCH GAP.....	20
3. ABOUT THE STUDY AREA	22
3.1 LOCATION.....	22
3.2 CLIMATIC CONDITIONS.....	22
3.3 GEOMORPHOLOGY OF THE AREA.....	23
3.4 GEOLOGY OF THE AREA.....	24
3.5 LAND USE AND LAND COVER.....	25
4. MATERIALS AND METHODS	29
4.1 MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY.....	29
4.2 FIELD VISIT.....	31
5. MORPHOMETRIC CHARACTERISATION OF THE BADLANDS	35
5.1 INTRODUCTION.....	35
5.2 METHODOLOGY.....	36
5.2.1 Delineation of the Watershed.....	36
5.2.2 Description of the Morphometric Parameters.....	37
5.2.3 Statistical Methods.....	40
5.3 RESULTS.....	41
5.3.1 Determination of the Morphometric Parameters.....	47
5.3.2 Statistical Analysis.....	60
5.3.2a Pearson's Correlation Coefficient.....	60
5.3.2b Hierarchical Clustering Dendrogram.....	64
5.4 DISCUSSIONS.....	67
5.5 CONCLUSIONS.....	70

6. MORPHOTECTONIC SIGNATURES OF THE NEOTECTONIC CONTROL ON THE BADLAND FORMATION.....	73
6.1 INTRODUCTION.....	73
6.2 TECTONIC AND DEPOSITIONAL HISTORY OF THE AREA.....	74
6.3 METHODOLOGY.....	78
6.4 RESULTS.....	79
6.4.1 Tectonic Parameters/Factors.....	79
6.4.1a Elongation Ratio (Re).....	79
6.4.1b Hypsometric Integral (HI).....	80
6.4.1c Channel Sinuosity(S).....	81
6.4.1d Basin Asymmetry Factor (AF - index).....	82
6.4.1e Basin Shape Index (Bs).....	83
6.4.2 Neo-tectonic Features in the Study Area.....	87
6.4.3 Morphotectonic Events Sequencing.....	92
6.4.4 Interpretations.....	94
6.5 DISCUSSIONS.....	96
6.6 CONCLUSIONS.....	97
7. INTERDEPENDENCE AND MUTUAL IMPACT OF THE PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF THE SOILS AND THE BADLAND PROCESSES.....	100
7.1 INTRODUCTION.....	100
7.2 METHODOLOGY.....	100
7.2.1 Sampling.....	100
7.2.2 Description of the Methods.....	101
7.2.3 Statistical Methods.....	102
7.3 RESULTS.....	105
7.3.1 Grain Size Analysis.....	105
7.3.2 Clay Mineralogy.....	109
7.3.3 Bulk Density and Total Porosity.....	111
7.3.4 Atterberg Limits.....	112
7.3.5 Chemical Properties.....	114
7.3.5 a Nutrients.....	117
7.3.6 Overlay Analysis.....	118
7.3.7 Statistical Analysis.....	120
7.3.7 a Principal Component Analysis.....	120
7.3.8 Comparison of Key Parameters of Soils of Different LULC Sites.....	123
7.4 DISCUSSION.....	126
7.5 CONCLUSIONS.....	129
8. MULTIFRACTAL ANALYSIS OF THE BADLAND FORMATION..	131
8.1 INTRODUCTION.....	131

8.2 METHODOLOGY.....	132
8.2.1 Description of the Multifractal Parameters.....	134
8.2.2 Description of the Lacunarity.....	137
8.3 RESULTS.....	138
8.3.1 Multifractal Analysis of the Badlands Sites.....	138
8.3.2 Lacunarity Analysis of the Badlands Sites.....	141
8.4 DISCUSSIONS.....	142
8.5 CONCLUSIONS.....	149
9. ECO-RESTORATION OF THE BADLANDS.....	151
9.1 INTRODUCTION.....	151
9.2 SYSTEMS APPROACH FOR RESTORATION.....	153
9.3 VEGETATIONAL AND BIOLOGICAL APPROACHES.....	155
9.4 ENGINEERING APPROACHES.....	157
9.5 RECLAMATION PLAN.....	158
9.6 GEOTOURISM.....	161
10. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	164
10.1 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS OF THE WORK.....	164
10.2 FUTURE PROSPECTS.....	170
Reference List.....	172
List of Appendix.....	203
List of Publication.....	211

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 3. 1	Location map of the study area.....	23
Figure 3. 2	(a) Digital Elevation Model (b) Geomorphological map (c) Geological map (d) Land use and land cover of the study area.....	26
Figure 3. 3	Field photographs showing network of rill, gully and channels in badland topography	27
Figure 3. 4	Field photographs showing (a) Shallow gully with 1m depth (b) headward erosion showing the advancement of the gully in badland area	27
Figure 3. 5	Field photographs showing piping features in the badland area	28
Figure 4. 1	Flow chart of the methodology of the present study.....	29
Figure 4. 2	Field photographs showing badland topography.....	31
Figure 4. 3	Field photographs showing various stages of Badland formation (a) Shallow gully; (b)Headward erosion; (c) Shallow holes; (d) and (e) Piping	32
Figure 4. 4	Field photographs showing measurement of gully in the area.....	33
Figure 4. 5	Field photography showing eroded road due to the rejuvenation of a gully.....	33
Figure 5. 1	Drainage extraction and watershed delineation methodology.....	37
Figure 5. 2	Map of (a) Third order sub-watershed; (b) Fourth order sub- watershed	37

Figure 5. 3 (a) Semi-log plot of stream order and stream number; (b) Semi-log plot of stream order and total stream length	48
Figure 5. 4 Distribution of bifurcation ratio (R_b 1&2) in the third and fourth-order subwatersheds; f- frequency; rcp- cumulative relative frequency percent.....	49
Figure 5. 5 Distribution of drainage density (D_d) in the third and fourth-order sub-watersheds; f-frequency; rcp- cumulative relative frequency percent	50
Figure 5. 6 Spatial map of the drainage frequency (F_s)	51
Figure 5. 7 Distribution of drainage frequency (F_s) in the third and fourth order sub-watersheds;f- frequency; rcp- cumulative relative frequency percent	52
Figure 5. 8 Distribution of constant of channel maintenance (C) in the third and fourth-order sub-watersheds; f- frequency; rcp- cumulative relative frequency percent	55
Figure 5. 9 Distribution of elongation ratio (R_e) in the third and fourth-order sub-watersheds; f-frequency; rcp- cumulative relative frequency percent	57
Figure 5. 10 Distribution of form factor (R_f) in the third and fourth-order sub-watersheds; f-frequency; rcp- cumulative relative frequency percent	58
Figure 5. 11 Distribution of ruggedness number (R_n) in the third and fourth-order sub-watersheds; f- frequency; rcp- cumulative relative frequency percent	59
Figure 5. 12 Distribution of dissection index (D_i) in the third and fourth-order sub-watersheds; f-frequency; rcp- cumulative relative frequency percent	60
Figure 5. 13 Hierarchical clustering dendrogram of morphometric parameters of third-order sub- watershed of the study area	65
Figure 5. 14 Hierarchical clustering dendrogram of morphometric parameters of fourth-order sub-watershed of the study area	66

Figure 6. 1 (a) Sub-surface ridge, depression in the Gangetic basin and their surface massif (after:Valdiya, 1976); (b) Location of study area over the Faizabad ridge.....	76
Figure 6. 2 Structural map of the Vindhyan Sedimentary basin (after Srivastava et al., 2009)adjoining to the study area	77
Figure 6. 3 Lineament map of the study area	78
Figure 6. 4 Hypsometric curve of the Mandakini River in the study area.....	81
Figure 6. 5 Spatial map of (a) Elongation ratio (Re); (b) Hypsometric Integral (HI); (c) Basin Shapeindex (Bs); (d) Basin Asymmetry Factor (AF); (e) Channel Sinuosity (S) in the study area	86
Figure 6. 6 (a) DEM (Digital elevation model) of the river course; (b) The slope along the river course (width of the river course varies from 300-600m).....	88
Figure 6. 7 Different River profiles along the Mandakini River (master stream) in the study area	89
Figure 6. 8 Field photographs (a) (b) (c) showing high cliff in the study area	90
Figure 6. 9 Field photographs (a) (b) (c) showing undulation in topography (drumlin shapestructure) in the study area.....	91
Figure 6. 10 3-D DEM model of the study area, manifesting undulating topography	91
Figure 6. 11 Spatial map showing assigned classes for overlay analysis of (a) Elongation ratio (Re);	94
Figure 7. 1 Methodological flow chart of spatial mapping.....	105
Figure 7. 2 Soil textural classifications (Soil Survey Staff, 1951, USDA) of the	

soils of the studyarea.....	109
Figure 7. 3 XRD data of (a) soil sample L-1; (b) L-9; (c) L-9.1;FTIR data of (d) L-1 and L-9; (e)L-23 and L-24.....	110
Figure 7. 4 (a) Calcrete nodules; (b) Calcrete horizon; (c) and (d) Microscopic fibre of calcite	111
Figure 7. 5 Spatial distribution map of (a) Bulk density (b) Total porosity (c) Plastic limit (d)Liquid limit and (e) Plasticity index	113
Figure 7. 6 Plasticity chart of the soil samples of the study area (after Casagrande, 1948)	113
Figure 7. 7 Box plot of (a) Electric conductivity (b) Soil organic matter (SOM) (c) pH (d) SAR.....	116
Figure 7. 8 Box plot of nutrient value of soil (a) Ca, Mg; (b) Na, K, N, P	118
Figure 7. 9 Spatial map showing assigned classes for overlay analysis of (a) Liquid limit (b)Plasticity index (c) Bulk density (d) Drainage frequency	119
Figure 7. 10 Spatial map of the badlands prone areas.....	120
Figure 7. 11 Component plots of PC1 and PC2.....	123
Figure 7. 12 Field photographs showing (a) and (b) Levelling of land by cut and fill method; (c)and (d) Rejuvenation of rills and gully in freshly reclaimed land by cut and fill method.....	125
Figure 7. 13 Comparison of (a) Bulk Density; (b) Total Porosity; (c) Available Calcium; (d) pH ofsoil at various land use classes	126
Figure 8. 1 Map of the study area showing the gully sites S1, S2, S3 and S4.....	133
Figure 8. 2 Multifractal and fractal spectral curve of gully site S1, S2, S3, and S4	140

Figure 8. 3 Histogram of $\Delta\alpha$ (a-c), $\Delta f(\alpha)$ (d-f), Dq (g-i) and Δg (j-l).....	142
Figure 8. 4 Precipitation history from 2000 to 2018 of the study area (Source: India MeterologicalDepartment).....	146
Figure 8. 5 Representation of SPI at different time scale of 3, 6, 9 and 12 months	146
Figure 9. 1 Interdependence and influence of the various components of the badland system.....	152
Figure 9. 2 Field photographs showing (a) and (b) Scarp-headed gully, native vegetation, lackingvegetational diversity in terms of variety of plants and trees. (c) and (d) Tall trees with huge canopy are completely missing in most of the badlands in the study area.	157
Figure 9. 3 Identified locations for the constructions of various check-dams in the study area.	160
Figure 9. 4 Eco-restoration plan of the badlands area	163

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table 3. 1	Lithostratigraphy of the Chitrakoot area (after Singh and Pal, 1970; Anbarasu, 2001).....	25
Table 4. 1	Showing different parameters of the soil samples and their reasons for measurements.....	34
Table 5. 1	Table showing primary and derived morphometric parameters	38
Table 5. 2	Primary and derived morphometric parameters of the study area	43
Table 5. 3	Primary and derived parameters of the third-order sub-watershed ...	43
Table 5. 4	Primary and derived parameters of the fourth-order sub-watershed .	46
Table 5. 5	Pearson's Correlation Coefficient ($= r \times 100$) for 55 third-order sub-watersheds of the study area	62
Table 5. 6	Pearson's Correlation Coefficient ($= r \times 100$) for 9 fourth-order sub-watersheds of the study area	63
Table 6. 1	Derived values of morphotectonic parameters of the third order sub-watershed	84
Table 6. 2	Summary of morphotectonic analysis of the study area.....	86
Table 7. 1	Grain size distribution and physico-chemical property of the studied soil samples.....	107
Table 7. 2	Carbon-Oxygen isotopic distribution in the calcrete samples of the study area	116
Table 7. 3	Principal component analysis (PCA) of the physico-chemical parameters of soil	122
Table 8. 1	Multifractal parameters of the multifractal spectra and lacunarity values of the gullysites S1, S2, S3, S4	139