

Table of Contents

Title page	i
Dedication.....	ii
Certificate.....	iii
Declaration by the candidate.....	iv
Copyright transfer certificate	v
Acknowledgement	vi
Abstract.....	xvii
1 Introduction	17
1.1 General	17
1.2 Design philosophy.....	18
1.3 Structural safety.....	20
1.4 Aim and objectives of the study.....	21
1.5 Organization of the thesis report	22
2 Literature review.....	24
2.1 General	24
2.2 Structural analysis of tensile membranes	24
2.3 Current design problems of TMS.....	27
2.4 Simulation approaches of membranes.....	29
2.5 A probabilistic approach to the analysis of fabric structures	30
2.5.1 ASCE Standard	31
2.5.2 IASS references	32
2.5.3 EUROCODE 0.....	33
2.5.4 French Design Code:.....	34
2.5.5 Japan Design Guide :	34
2.5.6 German design:	35
2.5.7 Italian design:.....	35
2.6 The reliability approach	35
2.6.1 General uncertainties involved in a structural reliability analysis	36
2.6.2 A review of general reliability theories and methods	39
2.6.3 Monte-Carlo Method (MCM).....	43

2.6.4	Finite element probability approach	44
2.7	Research Gap.....	48
2.8	Scope of study	49
2.9	Summary	49
3	Mathematical formulations.....	51
3.1	General	51
3.2	Finite element formulations for TMS.....	51
3.3	Newton-Raphson Method – application on TMS	55
3.4	First order reliability method and limit state functions.....	57
3.5	Summary	65
4	Reliability Analysis of Hyperbolic Paraboloid Shaped TMS.....	67
4.1	General	67
4.2	Description and visualization of the Hypar TMS.....	68
4.3	The Design Philosophy	70
4.4	Identification of the Limit State Functions	72
4.5	Results and Discussions	73
4.5.1	Form-finding analysis bound for different height of hypar shaped TMS in the isotropic pre-stressing scenario.....	73
4.5.2	Form-finding analysis bound for different height of hypar shaped TMS in the Anisotropic pre-stressing scenario.....	75
4.5.3	Stress-deformation analysis for various loads and load combinations for isotropic pre-stress Hypar TMS.....	78
4.5.4	Stress-deformation analysis for various loads and load combinations for Anisotropic pre-stress Hypar TMS.....	90
4.5.5	Reliability Analysis of hypar TMS	97
4.6	Summary	105
5	Applicability of reliability concept in square-base conic shaped Tensile Membrane Structure.....	107
5.1	General	107
5.2	Description of the TMS.....	109
5.3	Current design philosophy	111
5.4	Identification of the Limit State Functions	113
5.5	Results and Discussions	114
5.5.1	Form-finding analysis bound for different height of square base conic shaped TMS in the isotropic pre-stressing scenario.....	115

5.5.2	Form-finding analysis bound for different height of square base conic shaped TMS in the Anisotropic pre-stressing scenario.	118
5.5.3	Stress-deformation analysis of square-base conic TMS for various loads and load combinations with isotropic pre-stressing	121
5.5.4	Stress-deformation analysis of square-base conic TMS for various loads and load combinations with anisotropic pre-stressing.....	128
5.5.5	Reliability Analysis of conic TMS	133
5.6	Summary	142
6	Conclusions	143
6.1	General	143
6.2	Concluding remarks	143
6.3	Future scope of work.....	145

List of Tables

Table 1: Target Reliabilities for different building categories given by Euro code 0.33	
Table 2 Target reliabilities of RC2 building type by limit states, reproduced from “Eurocode - Basis for Structural Design” Table C2 Target reliability index β for Class RC2 structural members [(Caner & Hsu, 1999)]	34
Table 3: Classification of general uncertainties.....	36
Table 4: Classification based on the source of uncertainty	38
Table 5: Definition of statistical variables.....	58
Table 6 Descripton of deformation and stresses for form-finding of Hypar TMS ...	75
Table 7 The description of deformation and stresses as an outcome of form-finding procedure for anisotropic pre-stressing of Hypar TMS.....	77
Table 8 The maximum warp stress responses for various load cases and different height for isotropic pre-stressing of Hypar TMS.....	79
Table 9 The minimum fill/weft stress responses for various load cases and different height for isotropic pre-stressing of Hypar TMS.....	79
Table 10 The z-axis maximum deformations for each load case corresponding to height variation of Hypar TMS.....	82
Table 11 The maximum warp stress description of stress-deformation analysis of Hypar TMS (anisotropic stress).....	90
Table 12 The minimum fill/weft stress description of stress-deformation analysis of Hypar TMS (anisotropic stress).....	91
Table 13 The maximum z-axis deformation for various load cases with change in height of Hypar TMS (anisotropic pre-stressing).....	93
Table 14 Reliability indices for the fabric failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.10 corresponding to different height of Hypar TMS (Isotropic pre-stress).	98
Table 15 Reliability indices for the fabric failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.15 corresponding to different height of Hypar TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	99
Table 16 Reliability indices for the fabric failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.20 corresponding to different height of Hypar TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	99
Table 17 Reliability indices for the fabric failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.25 corresponding to different height of Hypar TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	99
Table 18 Reliability indices for the wrinkling failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.10 corresponding to different height of Hypar TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	100

Table 19 Reliability indices for the wrinkling failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.15 corresponding to different height of Hypar TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	100
Table 20 Reliability indices for the wrinkling failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.20 corresponding to different height of Hypar TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	101
Table 21 Reliability indices for the wrinkling failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.25 corresponding to different height of Hypar TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	101
Table 22 Reliability indices for the fabric failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.10 corresponding to different height of Hypar TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress)	102
Table 23 Reliability indices for the fabric failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.15 corresponding to different height of Hypar TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress)	102
Table 24 Reliability indices for the fabric failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.20 corresponding to different height of Hypar TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress)	103
Table 25 Reliability indices for the fabric failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.25 corresponding to different height of Hypar TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress)	103
Table 26 Reliability indices for the wrinkling failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.10 corresponding to different height of Hypar TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress)	104
Table 27 Reliability indices for the wrinkling failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.15 corresponding to different height of Hypar TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress)	104
Table 28 Reliability indices for the wrinkling failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.20 corresponding to different height of Hypar TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress)	104
Table 29 Reliability indices for the wrinkling failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.25 corresponding to different height of Hypar TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress)	105
Table 30 Descripton of deformation and stresses for form-finding of Hypar TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	115
Table 31 Description of deformation and stresses for form-finding of Hypar TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress).....	118
Table 32 The maximum warp stress responses for various load cases and different height for isotropic pre-stressing of Conic TMS.	121

Table 33 The minimum fill stress responses for various load cases and different height for isotropic pre-stressing of Conic TMS.	122
Table 34 The maximum deformation results various loads corresponding to Isotropic pre-stressing of Conic TMS.....	124
Table 35 The maximum warp stress responses for various load cases and different height for Anisotropic pre-stressing of Conic TMS	128
Table 36 The minimum fill stress responses for various load cases and different height for Anisotropic pre-stressing of Conic TMS	129
Table 37 Deformation results for conic TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress).....	131
Table 38 Reliability indices for the fabric failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.10 corresponding to different height of Conic TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	135
Table 39 Reliability indices for the fabric failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.15 corresponding to different height of Conic TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	135
Table 40 Reliability indices for the fabric failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.20 corresponding to different height of Conic TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	135
Table 41 Reliability indices for the fabric failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.25 corresponding to different height of Conic TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	136
Table 42 Reliability indices for the wrinkling failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.10 corresponding to different height of Conic TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	136
Table 43 Reliability indices for the wrinkling failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.15 corresponding to different height of Conic TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	137
Table 44 Reliability indices for the wrinkling failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.20 corresponding to different height of Conic TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	137
Table 45 Reliability indices for the wrinkling failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.25 corresponding to different height of Conic TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	137
Table 46 Reliability indices for the fabric failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.10 corresponding to different height of Conic TMS (Ansotropic pre-stress)	138
Table 47 Reliability indices for the fabric failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.15 corresponding to different height of Conic TMS (Ansotropic pre-stress)	139
Table 48 Reliability indices for the fabric failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.20 corresponding to different height of Conic TMS (Ansotropic pre-stress)	139
Table 49 Reliability indices for the fabric failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.10 corresponding to different height of Conic TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress)	139

Table 50 Reliability indices for the wrinkling failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.10 corresponding to different height of Conic TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress)	140
Table 51 Reliability indices for the wrinkling failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.15 corresponding to different height of Conic TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress)	140
Table 52 Reliability indices for the wrinkling failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.20 corresponding to different height of Conic TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress)	141
Table 53 Reliability indices for the wrinkling failure limit state for each load case with COV = 0.25 corresponding to different height of Conic TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress)	141

List of Figures

Figure 1 The schematic diagram of reliability	42
Figure 2 A typical CST element in local coordinate system	52
Figure 3 A general representation of Newton-Raphson solution procedure.....	56
Figure 4 Representation of limit state function, safe and unsafe regions	60
Figure 5 Hasofer-Lind reliability index: nonlinear limit state function.....	63
Figure 6 Basic FORM algorithm for reliability index calculation.....	64
Figure 7 Isometric view of Hyperbolic Paraboloid TMS	69
Figure 8 Plan view profile of the Hypar TMS	69
Figure 9 The height variation profiles of Hypar TMS	71
Figure 10 The form-found simulation models displaying maximum deformation contours for Isotropic pre-stressing of Hypar TMS.....	74
Figure 11 warp stress contours (a) and fill/weft stress contours (b) for height 3.5m of Hypar TMS	75
Figure 12 Deformation along z-axis for form-finding of Hypar TMS (anisotropic).....	76
Figure 13 Deformation contour models of anisotropic pre-stressing of Hypar TMS.....	77
Figure 14 The warp stress (a) and fill stress (b) distribution contours for form-finding process of anisotropic pre-stressing of Hypar TMS.	78
Figure 15 The warp and fill stresses development contours after stress-deformation analysis for isotropic pre-stressing of Hypar TMS	81
Figure 16 The deformation contour models for wind-uplift load case of Hypar TMS (isotropic pre-stress).....	84
Figure 17 The deformation span ratio under wind-uplift for deflection along z-axis for Hypar TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	84
Figure 18 The deformation contour models for snow load case of Hypar TMS (isotropic pre-stress).....	85
Figure 19 The deformation span ratio under snow-load for deflection along z-axis for Hypar TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	86
Figure 20 The deformation contour models for CO1 load case of Hypar TMS (isotropic pre-stress).....	87
Figure 21 The deformation span ratio under CO1(1.6*snow-load) for deflection along z- axis for Hypar TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	88
Figure 22 The deformation contour models for CO2 load case of Hypar TMS (isotropic pre-stress).....	89
Figure 23 The deformation span ratio under $CO2(0.5*wind-uplift + 1.6snow load)$ for deflection along z-axis for Hypar TMS (Isotropic pre-stress).....	89
Figure 24 The stress development contours for height 3.5m for both warp and fill direction stresses for Hypar TMS (anisotropic pre-stress)	92
Figure 25 The deformation contour models for wind-uplift load case of Hypar TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress).....	94

Figure 26 The deformation contour models for snow load case of Hypar TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress).....	96
Figure 27 Deformation profile of load case CO1 for Hypar TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress)	96
Figure 28 Deformation profile of load case CO2 for Hypar TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress)	97
Figure 29 An isometric view of a Square-Base Conic Shaped TMS.....	107
Figure 30 The elevation plan view of Square-Base Conic TMS	110
Figure 31 The height variation profiles for Conic TMS adopted in this case study.....	112
Figure 32 Basic form-found curvature profile of Square-base conic TMS after application of initial Pre-stressing.	113
Figure 33 Deformation-span ratio for deformation along z-axis for form-finding of conic TMS (Isotropic pre-stress)	116
Figure 34 Deformation contours of form-finding process for conic TMS (Isotropic pre- stress)	117
Figure 35 The Warp stress (a) and fill stress (b) obtained after form-finding of the conic TMS(Isotropic pre-stress) with height $H = 5.0$ m.....	118
Figure 36 Deformation contours of form-finding process for conic TMS (Anisotropic pre- stress)	120
Figure 37 Deformation-span ratio for deflection along z-axis for form-finding of conic TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress)	120
Figure 38 The Warp stress (a) and fill stress (b) obtained after form-finding of the conic TMS(Anisotropic pre-stress) with height $H = 5.0$ m.	121
Figure 39 The warp and fill stresses development contours after stress-deformation analysis for isotropic pre-stressing of Conic TMS	124
Figure 40 The deformation span ratio under wind-uplift for deflection along z-axis for Conic TMS (Isotropic pre-stress).....	125
Figure 41 The deformation contour models for wind uplift load for Conic TMS (Isotropic pre-stress).....	126
Figure 42 The deformation span ratio under snow load for deflection along z-axis for Conic TMS (Isotropic pre-stress).....	126
Figure 43 Deformation contour results of Conic TMS (Isotropic Pre-stress) for load case CO1	127
Figure 44 The deformation span ratio under CO2 load for deflection along z-axis for Conic TMS (Isotropic pre-stress).....	128
Figure 45 The warp and fill stresses development contours after stress-deformation analysis for Anisotropic pre-stressing of Conic TMS.....	131
Figure 46 The deformation span ratio under snow load for deflection along z-axis for Conic TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress).....	132
Figure 47 Deformation contours of Conic TMS (Anisotropic pre-stress) for load case CO1	133