

Contents

List of Figures	xvii
List of Tables	xxi
Abbreviations	xxiii
Preface	xxvii
1 Introduction and Literature Survey	1
1.1 The Historical Background	1
1.1.1 The Etymology of Word 'Gas'	1
1.1.2 The Evolution of Gas Detectors	2
1.1.3 Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS)	3
1.2 Introduction	4
1.2.1 Gas Sensors	5
1.2.2 Gas Sensor Array	9
1.2.3 Electronic Noses (e-Noses)	10
1.2.4 Applications of e-Noses	10
1.3 Literature Survey	13
1.4 Pattern Recognition Techniques Used in e-Nose Systems	20
1.4.1 Principal Component Analysis	20
1.4.2 Probability-Based Techniques	21
1.4.3 Cluster Analysis-Based Techniques	22
1.4.4 Discriminant Analysis-Based Techniques	22
1.4.5 Nearest Neighbor-Based Techniques	22
1.4.6 Genetic Algorithms	23
1.4.7 Decision Tree-Based Techniques	23
1.4.8 Support Vector Machine (SVM)	24
1.4.9 Ensemble Techniques	24
1.4.10 Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs)	25

1.5	Observed Research Gaps from Literature	
	Survey	26
1.6	Problem Statements	27
1.7	Outline of the Thesis	29
1.8	Conclusion	31
2	Materials, Methods, and Technology Background	33
2.1	Materials	33
2.1.1	Metal Oxide Semiconductors	34
2.1.2	Working of MOX Gas Sensors	34
2.1.3	Responses of MOX Gas Sensors	35
2.1.4	Steady-State and Sampled Dynamic/Transient Responses	36
2.1.5	Dataset-1	38
2.1.6	Dataset-2	39
2.1.7	Dataset-3	39
2.1.8	Dataset-4	41
2.2	Methods	42
2.2.1	Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)	42
2.2.2	ANN Compatibility with Array Response Modalities	45
2.3	Technology Background	46
2.3.1	A General Architecture of 2D-CNN	48
2.3.2	Motivation for Using 2D-CNN for Gas Classification	49
2.4	Conclusion	51
3	Multidimensional Multiconvolution-Based Feature Extraction Approach for Drift Tolerant Robust Classifier for Gases/Odors	53
3.1	Abstract	53
3.2	Introduction	54
3.3	Corresponding Gas Sensor Array and Data	60
3.4	Design & Training of DTRC	63
3.5	Results	67
3.6	Discussion	71
3.7	Conclusion	73
4	A Novel Principal Component-Based Virtual Sensor Approach for Efficient Classification of Gases/Odors	75
4.1	Abstract	75
4.2	Introduction	76
4.3	Methodology	80
4.3.1	Characteristics of Gas Sensor Array Responses	80
4.3.2	Upscaling Through Virtual Sensor Responses	82
4.3.3	Techniques for Virtual Sensor Responses	83

4.3.4	Zero and Non-Zero Padding	84
4.3.5	Mathematical Ground of Principal Component Analysis	86
4.3.6	Mirror Mosaicking	87
4.3.7	Architecture of Used 2D Convolutional Neural Network	88
4.4	Gas Sensor Array Responses	90
4.4.1	Dataset-1	91
4.4.2	Dataset-2	92
4.4.3	PCA Visualization for Dataset-1 and Dataset-2	93
4.4.4	Step-wise Description of Approach Implementation	95
4.5	Results and Discussion	95
4.6	Conclusion	99
5	Zero-Padding and Spatial Augmentation-Based Gas Sensor Node Optimization Approach in Resource-Constrained 6G-IoT Paradigm	101
5.1	Abstract	101
5.2	Introduction	102
5.2.1	Motivation and Utilities for Presented Work	107
5.3	Description of Used Dataset	109
5.4	Gas Sensor Systems for Resource-Constrained 6G-IoT Applications	110
5.5	About Simpler 2D-CNN	113
5.6	Virtual Gas Sensors and Zero-Padding	115
5.7	Contextual Outline of Spatial Augmentation	116
5.8	Experimental Cases for Baseline, Scenario 1, and Scenario 2	119
5.9	Results	121
5.9.1	Experiment 1: Baseline (While Classifying and Quantifying the Considered Gases/Odors Using Responses of All Four Physical Gas Sensor Elements)	121
5.9.2	Experiment 2: Scenario 1 (While Classifying and Quantifying the Considered Gases/Odors Using Responses of Three Physical Gas Sensor Elements & One Virtual Sensor Element)	123
5.9.3	Experiment 3: Scenario 2 (While Classifying and Quantifying the Considered Gases/Odors Using Responses of Two Physical Gas Sensor Elements & Two Virtual Sensor Elements)	125
5.10	Discussion	126
5.11	Conclusion	128
6	Conclusions and Future Scopes	131
6.1	Conclusions	131

6.2 Future Scopes	133
References	135
Author's Publications	165

List of Figures

1.1	Jean-Baptiste Van Helmont: The Etymologist of Word “Gas” (Source: CurioKids - Jean-Baptiste Van Helmont)	2
1.2	Early Means for Gas Detection in Coal Mines (Credits: Wikipedia)	3
1.3	Human Sensory Panels for Olfactory Assessment	4
1.4	Schematic Blocks of GC–MS Instrument	5
1.5	Schematic Circuit for Catalytic Gas Sensor	6
1.6	Circuitry of Electrochemical Gas Sensor	7
1.7	Schematic of NDIR (Non-Dispersive Infrared) Gas Sensor	8
1.8	Mass Sensitive QCM Gas Sensor	8
1.9	Schematic of Metal Oxide Semiconductor (MOX) Gas Sensor	9
1.10	A Schematic Diagram of Gas Sensor Array	10
1.11	An Analogous Schematic Representation of Electronic Nose Principle	11
1.12	A Schematic Picture of Gas Sensing Applications	12
1.13	Advanced Gas Sensing Materials	14
1.14	Gas Sensor Technologies	15
1.15	MOX Gas Sensor Circuit	16
1.16	Three Basic Building Blocks of e-Nose	17
2.1	Response of a MOX (TGS2610) Gas Sensor for Ethylene at 125 ppm	36
2.2	Steady-State and Transient Regions of Sensor Array Responses Captured for Carbon Monoxide and Ethylene	37
2.3	A General Architecture of Artificial Neural Network	43
2.4	Perceptron Architecture	44
2.5	A Simplest Architecture of 2D-CNN	48
2.6	2D Convolution Operation	49
2.7	2D Max-Pooling Operation	49
2.8	2D-CNN for Image Classification	50
3.1	Three Stages of A Model Nose	55
3.2	The End-to-End Hybrid Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) Architecture of Drift Tolerant Robust Classifier (DTRC)	59

3.3	A Pictorial Representation of Extracted Salient Features from Raw Data → (a) Raw response of MOX gas sensor for Acetaldehyde at 30ppmv concentration. The graph shows three phases: baseline, injection phase, and recovery phase. (b)-(d) exponential moving average of injection phase for $\alpha = 0.1, 0.01, 0.001$. (e)-(g) exponential moving average of recovery phase for $\alpha = 0.1, 0.01, 0.001$.	62
3.4	Differential Performance of DTRC <i>w.r.t.</i> Vergara et al. (2012)	69
3.5	Performance Comparison of DTRC <i>w.r.t.</i> State-of-The-Art Methods Under Setting-1	70
3.6	Performance Comparison of DTRC <i>w.r.t.</i> State-of-The-Art Methods Under Setting-2	71
4.1	(a) AI-Based Intelligent Gas-Sensing (IGS) System, (b) AI-Based IGS System Utilizing Hybrid Analysis Space	78
4.2	Response Characteristics of MOX-Based Gas Sensing Element During Exposure of Analyte (Gas/Odor)	81
4.3	The Explained Role of Virtual Sensor Responses	83
4.4	Graphical Notion of Principal Component Analysis: PCA orthonormalizes the data along with rotated axes.	87
4.5	Mirror Mosaicking Utilizing: (a) Zero-Padding Based Virtual Sensor Responses, (b) Non-Zero Virtual Sensor Responses	89
4.6	2D-CNN Architectures (a) A General Representation, (b) Simplified for Classification of Gases/Odors	90
4.7	3D Cluster Representation for: (a) UPPER : Dataset-1, (b) LOWER Dataset-2.	94
4.8	Step-wise Flowchart for Approach Implementation	96
5.1	A schematic block diagrams of an electronic nose; (a) using traditional ANN which are too complex due to usage of only fully connected layers resulting in a large number of trainable parameters; (b) using the proposed sensor array optimization approach suitable for resource-constrained 6G-IoT scenarios incorporating a simpler 2D-CNN which results in less trainable parameters due to usage of convolutional layers than traditional ANN.	111
5.2	Percentage of Change in Resistance of Gas Sensor Element Vs. Percent Concentration of Considered Gas/Odor \forall the characteristics of four gas sensing elements of gas sensor array <i>w.r.t.</i> four hazardous gases/odors, viz., acetone, carbon tetrachloride, ethyl methyl ketone, and xylene.	112
5.3	(a) The general architecture of 2D-CNN consisting of convolution and pooling layers, (b) Customized 2D-CNN for gas sensor node optimization well-suited for resource-constrained 6G-IoT applications.	114

5.4	Augmentation procedure of four-element data vectors for (a) Baseline: Unoptimized gas sensor array, (b) Scenario 1: The removal of most redundant physical gas sensor element, (c) Scenario 2: The removal of two most redundant physical gas sensor elements.	118
5.5	Sample-wise quantification performance for test samples in terms of actual vs predicted percent concentration during best observation of Baseline, Scenario 1, and Scenario 2 experiments.	123
5.6	Sample-wise classification performance for test samples in terms of actual vs predicted targets during Baseline, Scenario 1, and Scenario 2 experiments.	124
5.7	Quantification MSEs in Baseline, Scenario 1 / Case 3, and Scenario 2 / Case 6 experiments.	127
5.8	Approximated power requirement distribution in gas sensor node: (a) UPPER : before optimization, (b) LOWER after optimization. . . .	129

List of Tables

1.1	Pre-processing Functions on Sensor Data.	18
2.1	Related Content of Dataset-1.	40
2.2	Related Content of Dataset-2 (1/2).	41
2.3	Related Content of Dataset-2 (2/2).	41
3.1	Batch-wise Distribution of Samples for Considered Gases/Odors	61
3.2	Concentration Ranges for Considered Gases/Odors	61
3.3	Month IDs Corresponding to Batch ID	61
3.4	Types of Gas Sensor Elements Used in Gas Sensor Array	63
3.5	Input and Output Shapes Through 1D, 2D, and 3D Blocks	66
3.6	Batch-wise Classification Accuracy Obtained by DTRC for 20% Samples of Individual Batches	68
3.7	Batch-wise Classification Accuracy Obtained by DTRC for Subsequent Batches	68
3.8	A Layer-wise Comparison of Some State-of-The-Art CNN Architectures Used for Gas Classification	72
4.1	Intermediate Steps in Data Vector Conversion Process	80
4.2	Parameters Used by Simpler 2D-CNN	91
4.3	Details of 16 Gas Sensor Elements <i>w.r.t.</i> Dataset-2	93
4.4	Gases/Odors' Classification Performances While Using Zero and Non-Zero Virtual Sensor Responses	97
5.1	Performance Metrics for Baseline, Scenario 1, and Scenario 2 Experiments	122