

## CERTIFICATE

It is certified that the work contained in the thesis titled “*Extending the Capabilities of Smartphone Sensors for Applications in Smart Transport*” by *Rahul Mishra* has been carried out under my supervision and that this work has not been submitted elsewhere for a degree.

It is further certified that the student has fulfilled all requirements of Comprehensive Examination, Candidacy, and SOTA for the award of Ph.D. Degree.

### Supervisor

**Dr. Hari Prabhat Gupta**

Assistant Professor,

Department of Computer Science and Engineering,

Indian Institute of Technology (BHU) Varanasi,

Uttar Pradesh, INDIA 221005.



## DECLARATION BY THE CANDIDATE

I, *Rahul Mishra*, certify that the work embodied in this Ph.D. thesis is my own bonafide work carried out by me under the supervision of *Dr. Hari Prabhat Gupta* from *July 2017* to *February 2022* at *Department of Computer Science and Engineering*, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU) Varanasi. The matter embodied in this thesis has not been submitted for the award of any other degree/diploma. I declare that I have faithfully acknowledged and given credits to the research workers wherever their works have been cited in my work in this thesis. I further declare that I have not willfully copied any other's work, paragraphs, text, data, results, *etc.* reported in journals, books, magazines, reports, dissertations, theses, *etc.*, or available at websites and have not included them in this thesis and have not cited as my own work.


Date: 09/02/2022

Place: Varanasi

*Rahul Mishra*  
(Rahul Mishra)

## CERTIFICATE BY THE SUPERVISOR

This is to certify that the above statement made by the candidate is correct to the best of my knowledge.

  
(Dr. Hari Prabhat Gupta)

Assistant Professor,  
Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering,  
Indian Institute of Technology (BHU) Varanasi

  
Signature of Head of Department

आचार्य व विभागाध्यक्ष  
Professor & Head  
समगक विज्ञान एवं अभियांत्रिकी विभाग  
Department of Computer Sc. & Engg  
भारतीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान  
Indian Institute of Technology  
(बनारस हिन्दू यूनिवर्सिटी)  
(Banaras Hindu University)  
वाराणसी-221005 / Varanasi-221005



## COPYRIGHT TRANSFER CERTIFICATE

**Title of the Thesis:** Extending the Capabilities of Smartphone Sensors for Applications in Smart Transport

**Name of the Student:** Rahul Mishra

### Copyright Transfer

The undersigned hereby assigns to the Institute of Technology (Banaras Hindu University) Varanasi all rights under copyright that may exist in and for the above thesis submitted for the award of the *Doctor of Philosophy*.

**Date:** 07/02/2022

**Place:** Varanasi

(Rahul Mishra)

**Note:** However, the author may reproduce or authorize others to reproduce material extracted verbatim from the thesis or derivative of the thesis for author's personal use provided that the source and the Institute's copyright notice are indicated.



Dedicated to my parents,  
Mrs. Malti Mishra  
and  
Mr. Radhe Shyam Mishra





## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr. Hari Prabhat Gupta, for his invaluable support and assistance. I feel immense pleasure in expressing my profound sense of gratitude and sincere regard for his constant feedback and expertise during all these years. I am eternally grateful to have had the opportunity to work on my thesis under his supervision. This thesis is the outcome of his insights, expertise, and especially his boundless vision throughout the process.

My gratitude extends to the other members of my Doctoral committee, Dr. Bhaskar Biwas, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, and Dr. Shyam Kamal, Department of Electrical Engineering, for their advice and constant feedback on the progress of my research work. Special thanks to Dr. Tanima Dutta for her invaluable assistance and help as a guide and mother. I would also like to express my deep gratitude to Prof. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Head of Department of Computer Science and Engineering, and faculty members for their endorsement to this work.

I also owe an outstanding debt to my colleagues, Dr. Surbhi Saraswat, Dr. Randheer Bagi, Dr. Preti Kumari, Ramakant Kumar, Aishwariya Soni, and Nitika Nigam, for helping me think through some of the issues in the work. I don't have words to thank my friend, colleague, brother, and mentor, Dr. Ashish Gupta, for his brilliant support and helping me to overcome the challenges I have faced in the development of this work.

I express my heartfelt gratitude to my parents Mrs. Malti Mishra and Mr. Radhe Shayam Mishra, my brother Mr. Sunil Kumar Mishra, my sister-in-law Mrs. Renu Mishra, and my sister Mrs. Preeti Mishra, for their immense support and encouragement. My best friend, Diksha Singh, also deserves a great thanks for her motivation and emotional support on various fronts. I am also thankful to my nephew, Parth, for cheering me up in difficult moments.

Finally, with limitless humility, I would like to praise and thank the "*Baba Kashi Vishwanath Ji*". The almighty, the Merciful compassionate who bestowed me with all the favorable circumstances to achieve the desired goal of life.

**(Rahul Mishra)**



# Contents

List of Figures	xv
List of Tables	xviii
List of Symbols	xx
List of Abbreviations	xxii
Preface	xxiv
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Motivation of the research work . . . . .	5
1.2 Contributions and organization of the thesis . . . . .	7
<b>2 Preliminaries and related work</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Preliminaries . . . . .	13
2.1.1 Overview of Fog computing . . . . .	13
2.1.2 Overview of learning techniques . . . . .	15
2.2 Related work on task offloading in Fog computing . . . . .	16
2.3 Related work on seen and unseen classes in dataset . . . . .	18
2.4 Related work on noisy labels in dataset . . . . .	21
2.5 Related work on federated learning with heterogeneous participants . . . . .	23
<b>3 An unseen locomotion mode identification model using multiple semantic matrices</b>	<b>27</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	27
3.1.1 Motivation of this work . . . . .	29
3.1.2 Major contributions . . . . .	30
3.2 Preliminary . . . . .	31
3.2.1 Problem statement and overview of solution . . . . .	31

---

3.3	DeepZero model . . . . .	32
3.3.1	Construction of attribute matrix . . . . .	33
3.3.2	Feature extraction . . . . .	36
3.3.3	Classifier construction . . . . .	37
3.3.4	Prediction of class label . . . . .	41
3.4	Feature extraction using deep learning . . . . .	42
3.4.1	Convolution layer . . . . .	45
3.4.2	LSTM unit . . . . .	45
3.5	Evaluation of DeepZero model . . . . .	46
3.5.1	Data collection . . . . .	46
3.5.2	SHL dataset . . . . .	48
3.5.3	Parameter settings during implementation . . . . .	48
3.5.4	Experimental results . . . . .	48
3.5.5	Comparison with existing approaches . . . . .	53
3.6	Conclusion . . . . .	54
<b>4</b>	<b>A locomotion mode recognition approach with noisy labels</b>	<b>57</b>
4.1	Introduction and major contributions . . . . .	57
4.2	Preliminaries . . . . .	60
4.3	LRNL approach . . . . .	61
4.3.1	Conventional model . . . . .	62
4.3.2	Noise adaptive model . . . . .	64
4.3.3	Noise corrective model . . . . .	67
4.3.4	Ensemble model . . . . .	72
4.4	Experimental evaluation of LRNL approach . . . . .	74
4.4.1	Data collection . . . . .	74
4.4.2	Existing dataset . . . . .	74
4.4.3	Parameter settings during implementation . . . . .	75
4.4.4	Experimental results . . . . .	76
4.4.5	Comparison with existing approaches . . . . .	82
4.5	Conclusion . . . . .	83
<b>5</b>	<b>A game theory-based passenger assistance system using Fog computing</b>	<b>85</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	86
5.2	Preliminary and network topology . . . . .	89
5.2.1	Network Topology . . . . .	89

5.2.2	Transmission and computation delays . . . . .	89
5.2.3	Reputation model . . . . .	91
5.3	TSF system . . . . .	92
5.3.1	Phase 1: Selection of secondary Fog devices . . . . .	92
5.3.2	Phase II: Task offloading . . . . .	93
5.4	Competitive game model for task offloading . . . . .	95
5.4.1	Utility of primary Fog device . . . . .	95
5.4.2	Utility of secondary Fog device . . . . .	98
5.4.3	Near Nash Equilibrium (NE) between s-FDs: . . . . .	103
5.5	Prototype setup and performance evaluation . . . . .	105
5.5.1	Impact of data size . . . . .	107
5.5.2	Impact of secondary Fog devices . . . . .	108
5.5.3	Impact of deadline . . . . .	109
5.5.4	Impact of game parameters . . . . .	109
5.5.5	Comparison with existing work . . . . .	110
5.6	Conclusion . . . . .	112
<b>6</b>	<b>A federated learning technique with heterogeneous devices and networking resources</b>	<b>113</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	113
6.2	FFL technique . . . . .	117
6.2.1	Model selection on central server . . . . .	118
6.2.2	Model training on participant devices . . . . .	119
6.2.3	Aperiodic global update . . . . .	122
6.2.4	Deriving expression for halting epoch . . . . .	125
6.3	Real-world study . . . . .	129
6.3.1	Participants devices and central server in real-world study . . . . .	129
6.3.2	Task of study: Locomotion Mode Recognition . . . . .	130
6.3.3	Challenges observed during study . . . . .	130
6.4	Performance Evaluation . . . . .	131
6.4.1	Datasets . . . . .	131
6.4.2	Baseline techniques . . . . .	131
6.4.3	Implementation details . . . . .	132
6.4.4	Validation metrics . . . . .	133
6.4.5	Experimental results . . . . .	133
6.5	Conclusion . . . . .	141

<b>7 Conclusion and future work</b>	<b>143</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>List of publications</b>	<b>159</b>

# List of Figures

1.1	An illustration of smartphones applications in smart transport. . . . .	2
1.2	Example scenarios: (a) seen and unseen locomotion modes and (b) locomotion modes with noisy labels. . . . .	3
2.1	An illustration of Fog computing architecture with the hierarchical arrangement of Edge, Fog, and Cloud layers. . . . .	14
3.1	An example of locomotion mode recognition using a deep learning based classifier and zero-shot learning. . . . .	28
3.2	Overview of DeepZero model. . . . .	32
3.3	An example of human-annotated semantic matrix. . . . .	34
3.4	Construction of attribute matrix by fusing three semantic matrices. . . . .	36
3.5	Prediction of class label of a testing instance in DeepZero model. . . . .	42
3.6	Feature extraction framework using CNN and LSTM. . . . .	44
3.7	User interface of developed application for data collection. . . . .	47
3.8	Performance results on LMR and SHL datasets during training. . . . .	49
3.9	Performance of the proposed approach for seen classes . . . . .	50
3.10	Performance of the proposed approach for unseen classes where features are extracted from combination of CNN-LSTM, LSTM, and CNN models. . . . .	51
3.11	Accuracy results of DeepZero with multiple unseen classes. . . . .	52
3.12	Impact of attribute matrix on performance for LMR dataset. . . . .	52
3.13	Comparison of DeepZero model with existing approaches . . . . .	54
3.14	Comparison of accuracy results for DeepZero model with its three versions and Nuactive using LMR and SHL datasets. . . . .	55
4.1	An example of locomotion mode recognition with noisy labels . . . . .	58
4.2	Block diagram of LRNL approach with its components. . . . .	62
4.3	Overview of conventional model using CNN and LSTM. . . . .	64
4.4	Performance results on LMR, SHL, and TMD datasets during training . . . . .	77

---

4.5	Value of fractional weights on LMR, SHL, and TMD datasets . . . . .	78
4.6	Class-wise accuracy of LRNL approach on LMR and SHL datasets with different noise concentrations. . . . .	79
4.7	Impact of noisy labels distribution on class-wise accuracy . . . . .	81
5.1	An example of transportation system using Fog computing. . . . .	87
5.2	Network topology for the TSF system . . . . .	91
5.3	Iterations for convergence of algorithm with 5 FDs . . . . .	105
5.4	Prototype components: (1) PCs organization, (2) deployment area, (3) smartphone, (4) router, (5) PC in lab, and (6) DELL server . . . . .	106
5.5	Illustration of p-FD, CL, and TSF schemes. . . . .	107
5.6	Impact of data size on delay and system cost using different schemes. . . . .	108
5.7	Impact of s-FDs count on delay, system cost, and FDs utilities. . . . .	109
5.8	Impact of change in game parameters . . . . .	111
5.9	Illustration of comparison with existing work . . . . .	112
6.1	A scenario of FL with heterogeneous resources . . . . .	114
6.2	Illustration of the framework for FFL technique . . . . .	118
6.3	Early halting of the training of the generic model. . . . .	122
6.4	Participants devices and central server in real-world study . . . . .	130
6.5	Illustration of devices and networking resources . . . . .	135
6.6	Illustration of the requirement of resources for resizing and training . . . . .	136
6.7	Illustration of the accuracy, $F_1$ -score, and leave-one-out test . . . . .	138
6.8	Impact of ratio of time threshold with global iteration time interval. . . . .	141



# List of Tables

2.1	An illustration of the existing work on task partitioning and offloading in Fog computing. . . . .	18
2.2	Summary of existing literature on mechanisms of handling seen and unseen classes. . . . .	20
2.3	A comparative summary of the existing work for handling noisy labels in the dataset. . . . .	23
2.4	A comparative summary of existing literature on FL with heterogeneous participants. . . . .	25
3.1	Characteristics used in human-annotated semantic matrix. . . . .	49
4.1	Performance results of LRNL approach on LMR, SHL, and TMD datasets at different noise concentrations. . . . .	78
4.2	Performance of conventional, noise adaptive, and noise corrective models	80
4.3	Accuracy variations of LRNL on collected LMR and SHL datasets . . .	82
4.4	Accuracy comparison of LRNL approach with existing approaches . . .	84
5.1	Accuracy metrics for p-FD, CL, and proposed schemes. . . . .	110
6.1	Impact of early halting on participants of $\mathbf{T}_7$ category. . . . .	139
6.2	Fractional contributions of different loss functions on participants . . .	139
6.3	Impact of early halting on participants of $\mathbf{T}_3$ category. . . . .	140



# List of Symbols

Symbol	Description
$\mathcal{D}$	Dataset with labeled instances
$\mathcal{A}$	Attribute matrix
$\mathbf{x}_i$	$i^{th}$ instance of $\mathcal{D}$
$\mathcal{Z}$	Semantic matrix
$\mathcal{L}_{term}$	Loss term
$\mathcal{R}_{term}$	Regularization term
$\mathbf{Y}$	One-hot encoded true label matrix
$\mathbf{Y}'$	One-hot encoded noisy label matrix
$\mathbf{Y}_{te}$	One-hot encoded prediction matrix
$\Pi$	Built classifier
$\delta$	Distance threshold
$\mathbf{T}$	Low rank representation of $\mathbf{Y}$
$\mathcal{L}_a$	Loss term to conquer true label prediction
$\mathcal{L}_b$	Loss term to conquer false label prediction
$\mathcal{L}_{NAL}$	Noise adaptive loss
$\phi_i$	Probability of true label for $i^{th}$ instance in $\mathcal{D}$
$E$	Epochs for model training
$e$	$e^{th}$ epoch, $1 \leq e \leq E$
$\mathbf{b}$	Bias vector
$B$	Bandwidth
$\mathbf{r}$	Reputation
$\eta$	Learning rate
$U(\cdot)$	Utility function
$F_i$	$i^{th}$ Fog device $\in \mathcal{N}$
$p_i$	Price receive by Fog device $F_i$
$\mathcal{N}$	Set of Fog devices
$\mathcal{P}$	Set of participants

---

<b>Symbol</b>	<b>Description</b>
$\varrho_i$	$i^{\text{th}}$ participant, $\varrho_i \in \mathcal{P}$
$\mathcal{S}_{ij}$	Signal to interface noise ratio between Fog devices $F_i$ and $F_j$
$\tau_i$	Portion of task executed at Fog device $F_i$
$\mathcal{L}_{DL}$	Distillation loss
$\mathcal{L}_{AL}$	Attention loss
$\mathcal{L}_{CE}$	Cross-entropy loss
$R$	Number of global iterations
$W^{[t]}$	Weight parameter matrix at iteration $t$ , $1 \leq t \leq R$
$h$	Halting epoch, $1 \leq h \leq E$
$M_o$	Generic model
$M_i$	Model at participant $\varrho_i$ , $\varrho_i \in \mathcal{P}$

# Abbreviations

<b>Abbreviation</b>	<b>Description</b>
CE	Cross Entropy
CNN	Convolutional Neural Network
CR	Compression Ratio
DL	Distillation Loss
DNN	Deep Neural Networks
ED	Edge Device
FD	Fog Device
FFL	Fast Federated Learning
FL	Federated Learning
GPS	Global Positioning System
GRU	Gated Recurrent Unit
IMU	Inertial Measurement Unit
KD	Knowledge Distillation
LMR	Locomotion Mode Recognition
LRNL	Locomotion Mode Recognition with Noisy Labels
LSTM	Long Short Term Memory
NAL	Noise Adaptive Loss
NE	Nash Equilibrium
RoC	Rate of Convergence
RSU	Road Side Unit
SHL	Sussex-Huawei Locomotion
TMD	Transportation Mode Detection
TSF	Transportation System using Fog computing
WPM	Weight Parameter Matrices
ZSL	Zero-Shot Learning



# Preface

The expeditious evolution of computing devices has transformed room-size machines into pocket-friendly mobile devices. This transformation leads to the development of low-cost, low-powered, and compact devices, like smartphones, smartwatches, smart bands, smart glasses, *etc.* These compact and battery-powered devices are powerful and can execute most of the tasks a user performs on a computer. Specifically, smartphones are widely adopted and the preferable choice for a significant number of users. Besides the computational capacity of simultaneously processing multiple tasks, smartphones possess richer sensing capabilities. Smartphones have various onboard sensors, including accelerometer, gyroscope, GPS, touch sensor, fingerprint sensor, heart rate sensor, *etc.* These onboard sensors facilitate unprecedented opportunities to perform various sensing and monitoring activities, which enriches the quality of life. A smartphone user is no longer assumed to be static and can conveniently move outside, *i.e.*, walk, run or may use different transportation modes like bus, train, car, bike, bicycle, *etc.* This movement of users generates a huge amount of sensory data on smartphones, which can be exploited for various sensing and monitoring applications in smart transport. Transportation mode detection is one of the potential applications in smart transport, which helps in estimating travel time, journey planning, route selection, *etc.*

In this thesis, we investigate the different challenges encountered while extending the capabilities of smartphone sensors for applications in smart transport. We consider two tasks in this work: a) transportation modes detection using smartphone sensors

and b) processing smart transport tasks on the smartphone. While considering the task of transportation modes detection, we identify two challenges, *i.e.*, unseen classes and noisy labels in the dataset. A class is said to be unseen if there exist no training instances of the class in the dataset; however, such instances may appear during testing. These challenges deteriorate the performance and increase the training time. We develop approaches to tackle unseen classes and noisy labels in the dataset. Further, the task processing on the smartphone also incurs challenges of resources inadequacy and execution delay. We also present the approaches to reduce the model's size running on the smartphone and task partitioning into multiple sub-tasks.

First, we propose a deep learning model, which incorporates the concept of zero-shot learning to detect both seen and unseen transportation modes using sensory values of smartphone sensors. The model builds a classifier by learning a mapping between the extracted features and semantic information of the class labels. Next, we present a deep learning-based approach to detect a transportation mode using deep learning models in the presence of noisy labels. Further, we propose a transport system that incorporates Fog computing to partition and execute the task fractions on multiple interconnected Fog devices (or smartphones). The system uses the competitive game approach and Knapsack algorithm to partition the task among Fog devices, ensuring minimal delay and cost of execution. Finally, we design an approach to train the model on participant devices using the local dataset with heterogeneous resources. We consider the scenarios where devices have sufficient, colossal, and insufficient resources to train the model. The approach uses knowledge distillation, known as student-teacher learning, to train resized generic models for insufficient and colossal resource devices. To speed up the training of the model at each participant device, the approach halts the teacher training after a certain halting epoch. We derive an expression to find the halting epoch for the given accuracy.