

Contents

Contents	Page No.
Chapter – 1 Introduction & Literature Survey	1-42
1.1 General introduction	1
1.2 Fuel Cells	3
1.3 History of Fuel Cells	4
1.4 Type of Fuel Cells	5
1.4.1 Alkaline Fuel Cells (AFCs)	6
1.4.2 Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cells (PEMFCs)	6
1.4.3 Molten Carbonate Fuel Cells (MCFCs)	7
1.4.4 Phosphoric Acid Fuel Cells (PAFCs)	8
1.4.5 Solid Oxide fuel cells	8
1.5 Working principle of SOFCs	10
1.6 Investigation of SOFCs material components	11
1.7 Cathode Materials	11
1.7.1 Perovskite Cathode Materials	12
1.7.2 Cobalt-free Cathode materials	14
1.7.3 Layered double perovskites	18
1.7.4 Ruddlesden-Popper perovskites	19
1.8 Anode materials	20
1.9 Electrolyte materials	21
1.9.1 Yttria stabilized zirconia (YSZ)	22
1.9.2 Doped lanthanum gallate	24
1.9.3 Bi ₂ O ₃ -based electrolytes	26
1.9.4 Doped/co-doped ceria based electrolytes	27
1.9.5 Carbonate/oxide electrolytes	33
1.10 Charge conduction Mechanisms in ceria-carbonate solid electrolytes	39
1.11 Motivation and objective of the thesis	41

Chapter – 2 Experimental Techniques	43-65
2.1 Sample Synthesis	43
2.1.1 Glycine-nitrate auto Combustion method	43
2.1.2 Solid state reaction method	45
2.2 Characterization techniques	48
2.2.1 Density measurement	48
2.2.2 Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD)	48
2.2.3 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	54
2.2.4 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	56
2.2.5 TG-DTA Thermal characterization	58
2.2.6 Raman spectroscopy study	60
2.2.7 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy	61
2.2.7.1 Sample preparation for EIS	62
2.2.7.2 Set up for electrical measurement	62
2.2.7.3 Complex Impedance Spectroscopy Analysis	63
2.3 Conclusions	65
Chapter – 3 Studies on Structural, Morphological, and Electrical Properties of Ga³⁺ and Cu²⁺ co-doped Ceria Ceramics as Solid Electrolyte for IT-SOFCs	67-95
3.1 Introduction	67
3.2 Experimental Section	68
3.2.1 Materials Preparation	68
3.2.2 Characterization	69
3.3 Results and Discussion	70
3.3.1 Powder X-ray Diffraction	70
3.3.2 TG/DTA Analysis of the Electrolytes	79
3.3.3 Raman Spectroscopic Analysis of Electrolytes	80
3.3.4 SEM Analysis of Microstructure	82

3.3.5	TEM Analysis of the Electrolytes	85
3.3.6	Electrical Characterization of electrolytes	87
3.3.7	Thermal Expansion behavior	93
3.4	Conclusion	94
Chapter – 4	Space Charge Layer Induced Superionic Conduction and Charge Transport Behaviour of "Alkali Carbonates and tri-doped Ceria Nanocomposites" for LT-SOFCs Applications	97-126
4.1	Introduction	97
4.2	Experimental details	99
4.2.1	Synthesis of samples	99
4.2.2	Characterizations	100
4.3	Results and Discussion	101
4.3.1	Thermal Analysis	101
4.3.2	X-ray diffraction studies	102
4.3.3	Microstructure analysis	105
4.3.4	TEM analysis	108
4.3.5	Thermal expansion behaviour	110
4.3.6	Dielectric loss behaviour	112
4.3.7	ac conductivity analysis	114
4.3.8	Impedance analysis	118
4.3.9	Dielectric permittivity	123
4.4	Conclusions	124
Chapter – 5	Synthesis and Characterization of Cobalt-free SrFe_{0.8}Mo_{0.1}W_{0.1}O₃ Perovskite Structured Cathode for SOFCs applications	127-145
5.1	Introduction	127
5.2	Experimental details	129
5.2.1	Sample preparation	129

5.2.2 Characterizations	131
5.3 Results and discussion	132
5.3.1 Phase structure	132
5.3.2 Microstructure	134
5.3.3 Chemical compatibility with SDC and BCS electrolytes	135
5.3.4 Thermal expansion behavior	137
5.3.5 ac impedance analysis	140
5.3.6 Electrochemical performance of single cell	142
5.4 Conclusions	145
Chapter – 6 Summary and Future Work	147-151
6.1 Summary	147
6.2 Suggestions for future work	149
References	153-183
List of Publications	184-185
List of Conferences/Workshops/Seminars/Symposium	185-186

List of figures

Figure No.	Figure Caption	Page No.
Figure 1.1	Numerous energy source consumption in Worldwide by 2040.	3
Figure 1.2	Demonstration of operating principle of solid oxide fuel cells.	10
Figure 1.3	Properties of cathode materials for SOFCs application.	12
Figure 1.4	Representation of ABO_3 perovskite, lattice structure oxide.	13
Figure 1.5	Schematic interpretations of the crystal structures of: a) Simple Cubic perovskite, b) Layered double perovskite oxide and c) Ruddlesden-Popper perovskite oxide Water insertion sites are displayed: oxygen ion vacancies for the cubic perovskites and interstitials for Ruddlesden-Popper $Ln_2MO_{4+\delta}$ structure.	19
Figure 1.6	Maximum ion conductivity in the mixture of binary systems ZrO_2 - Ln_2O_3 at 1273 K and the association enthalpies and ion migration enthalpy with ionic radius of Ln^{3+} dopant cations.	24
Figure 1.7	Variation of conductivity of $LaGaO_3$ -based solid electrolytes with temperature in air.	25
Figure 1.8	Total oxide ion conductivity of various Bi_2O_3 -based solid oxide electrolytes.	27
Figure 1.9	(a) Illustration of fluorite structure of Ceria (b) position of M^{3+} dopant ions and resulting oxygen ion vacancy (c) location of D^{2+} doped positive ions and resulting oxygen vacancy.	28
Figure 1.10	Plot of Elastic strain for $Nd_xCe_{1-x}O_{2-\delta}$ against the Mol. dopant concentration.	29
Figure 1.11	Plot of lattice parameter mismatch between CeO_2 and $A_{0.10}Ce_{0.90}O_{2-\delta}$ against the ionic radius of positive cation (A^{3+}) dopant at temperature 500°C. The bulk (grain) ion conductivity of $A_{0.10}Ce_{0.90}O_{2-\delta}$ at temperature 500°C is also presented.	30
Figure 1.12	The FE-SEM image of SDC and Li/Na_2CO_3 composite sintered sample at temperature 650°C for 2 h (b) The image of HRTEM of SDC/ Na_2CO_3 nanocomposite.	38
Figure 1.13	Two percolative interpenetrated phases with an oxide as oxide	39

	ion conductor and a carbonate salt as proton conductor.	
Figure 1.14	Interfacial charge conduction pathway based on space charge layer theory.	41
Figure 2.1	Flow chart of glycine-auto-combustion method.	45
Figure 2.2	Mechanism of working principle of ball milling.	47
Figure 2.3	Flow chart of solid state reaction method	47
Figure 2.4	Schematic representation of X-ray beam incident on a crystal lattice plane of material	50
Figure 2.5	X-Ray diffraction facility	51
Figure 2.6	Image of structural refinement of XRD data using FullProf software.	53
Figure 2.7	Schematic representation of SEM	55
Figure 2.8	SEM facility.	56
Figure 2.9	TEM schematic diagram.	57
Figure 2.10	TEM facility.	58
Figure 2.11	Schematic diagram of TGA	59
Figure 2.12	TG-DTA facility	60
Figure 2.13	Graphic arrangement of the main apparatuses of a Raman microspectrometer.	61
Figure 2.14	Raman spectroscopy facility	61
Figure 2.15	ac impedance measurement facility	63
Figure 2.16	The typical Nyquist plot. The inset figure denotes the equivalent electronic circuit mode.	64
Figure 2.17	Fitting of impedance data using Z-Simpwin software.	65
Figure 3.1	(a) Powder X-Ray diffraction patterns of the $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2-x}\text{Cu}_x\text{O}_{2-\delta}$ ($x=0, 0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2$) samples at room temperature. The reference peak positions for pure CeO_2 are also marked at the bottom	72
	(b) Variation of lattice parameter and crystallite size with Cu concentration for various compositions.	73
Figure 3.2	(a) Rietveld fits of the X-Ray diffraction patterns of $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2-x}\text{Cu}_x\text{O}_{2-\delta}$ ($x=0, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15, 0.20$). The red dots denote experimentally observed data; overlapping black line curve is the Rietveld calculated profile while bottom blue curve denotes	74

the difference plot. Vertical green bars mark the positions of Bragg peaks.

(b) Rietveld fits of the X-Ray diffraction patterns of $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2-x}\text{Cu}_x\text{O}_{2-\delta}$ ($x=0, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15, 0.20$). The red dots denote experimentally observed data; overlapping black line curve is the Rietveld calculated profile while bottom blue curve denotes the difference plot. Vertical green bars mark the positions of Bragg peaks. 75

(c) Rietveld fits of the X-Ray diffraction patterns of $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2-x}\text{Cu}_x\text{O}_{2-\delta}$ ($x=0, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15, 0.20$). The red dots denote experimentally observed data; overlapping black line curve is the Rietveld calculated profile while bottom blue curve denotes the difference plot. Vertical green bars mark the positions of Bragg peaks. 76

(d) Rietveld fits of the X-Ray diffraction patterns of $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2-x}\text{Cu}_x\text{O}_{2-\delta}$ ($x=0, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15, 0.20$). The red dots denote experimentally observed data; overlapping black line curve is the Rietveld calculated profile while bottom blue curve denotes the difference plot. Vertical green bars mark the positions of Bragg peaks. 77

(e) Rietveld fits of the X-Ray diffraction patterns of $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2-x}\text{Cu}_x\text{O}_{2-\delta}$ ($x=0, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15, 0.20$). The red dots denote experimentally observed data; overlapping black line curve is the Rietveld calculated profile while bottom blue curve denotes the difference plot. Vertical green bars mark the positions of Bragg peaks. 78

Figure 3.3 TG and DTA profiles of the $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2-x}\text{Cu}_x\text{O}_{2-\delta}$ ($x=0, 0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2$) solid electrolytes. The TGA curves for $x=0.10$ and 0.15 are nearly overlapping. 80

Figure 3.4	Raman spectra of $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2-x}\text{Cu}_x\text{O}_{2-\delta}$ ($x=0, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15, 0.20$) calcined at 700°C for 2 hours. Inset gives the FWHM value of strongest line and magnified image of weak bands around 580 cm^{-1} and 1160 cm^{-1} for various compositions	82
Figure 3.5	SEM images of the $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2-x}\text{Cu}_x\text{O}_{2-\delta}$ ($x=0, 0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2$) solid electrolytes, (f) schematic micrograph showing CuO precipitates around grain boundaries and	84
Figure 3.6	(g,h) SEM images of $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.05}\text{Cu}_{0.15}\text{O}_{1.825}$ and (i,j) corresponding EDS spectrum.	85
Figure 3.7	TEM micrograph of $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.05}\text{Cu}_{0.15}\text{O}_{1.825}$ (b) high magnification TEM micrograph of the lattice planes, (c) SAED image, and (d) histogram of the distribution of crystallite size of $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.05}\text{Cu}_{0.15}\text{O}_{1.825}$ sample calcined at 700°C for 2 hr.	86
Figure 3.8	(a) Fitted ac impedance spectra of $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2}\text{Cu}_{0.0}\text{O}_{1.9}$.	90
	(b) Fitted ac impedance spectra of $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.15}\text{Cu}_{0.05}\text{O}_{1.875}$.	90
	(c) Fitted ac impedance spectra of $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.10}\text{Cu}_{0.10}\text{O}_{1.85}$.	91
	(d) Fitted ac impedance spectra of $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.05}\text{Cu}_{0.15}\text{O}_{1.825}$.	91
	(e) Fitted ac impedance spectra of $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.0}\text{Cu}_{0.2}\text{O}_{1.8}$.	92
Figure 3.9	(a) Temperature dependence of Ionic conductivity results and (b) Arrhenius plots for $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2-x}\text{Cu}_x\text{O}_{2-\delta}$ ($x=0, 0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2$) solid electrolytes in the temperature range 200 to 700°C .	93
Figure 3.10	Thermal expansion plot of $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.05}\text{Cu}_{0.15}\text{O}_{1.825}$ solid electrolyte.	94
Figure 4.1	DTA profiles of the CPGS, CPGS/20LNC, CPGS/30LNC and CPGS/35LNC nanocomposite electrolytes.	102
Figure 4.2	Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the CPSG, CPSG/20LNC, CPSG/30LNC and CPSG/35LNC nanocomposites. Vertical bars at the bottom mark the reference peak positions of pure CeO_2 .	103

Figure 4.3	(a-d) Rietveld fits for the X-ray diffraction patterns of CPSG, CPSG/20LNC, CPSG/30LNC and CPSG/35LNC. The experimentally observed data is represented by red dots; the calculated XRD profile is black line curve overlapping on the observed data while bottom blue curve represent their difference. The positions of Bragg peaks are marked by vertical green bars.	105
Figure 4.4	(a-d) SEM images of the of CPSG, CPSG/20LNC, CPSG/30LNC and CPSG/35LNC nanocomposite electrolytes.	107
Figure 4.5	Elemental mapping of CPGS/35LNC nanocomposite electrolyte.	108
Figure 4.6	TEM images of CPSG/35LNC with core-shell morphology (b) high resolution TEM image of CPSG/35LNC, (c) SAED pattern of CPSG/35LNC sample (d) histogram with Gaussian distribution of crystallite size of CPSG/35LNC sample.	109
Figure 4.7	(a) Evolution of XRD patterns with temperature for CPSG/35LNC solid electrolyte	111
	(b) Thermal expansion plot of CPSG/35LNC solid electrolyte	112
Figure 4.8	Plots of frequency dependent dielectric tangent loss for CPSG, CPSG/20LNC, CPSG/30LNC and CPSG/35LNC nanocomposite electrolytes at various temperatures.	114
Figure 4.9	The frequency variation of complex ac conductivity for CPGS, CPSG/20LNC, CPSG/30LNC and CPSG/35LNC nanocomposite electrolytes at various temperature in the range of 300-500°C at an interval of 10 °C (increasing temperature from bottom to top curve).	117
Figure 4.10	The temperature variation of frequency exponent (n) for CPSG/LNC nanocomposite samples.	118
Figure 4.11	(a-b) ac impedance spectra of CPSG/20LNC, CPSG/30LNC and CPSG/35LNC nanocomposite electrolytes at 350°C and 550°C temperatures. (c-d) Typical equivalent electrical circuits for impedance plot of CPSG/35LNC	121
Figure 4.12	Temperature dependence of Ionic conductivity of CPSG, CPSG/20LNC, CPSG/30LNC and CPSG/35LNC nanocomposite	122

	electrolytes in the temperature range 200 to 650°C.	
Figure 4.13	The frequency variation of real part of dielectric permittivity for CPSG, CPSG/20LNC, CPSG/30LNC and CPSG/35LNC nanocomposite electrolytes in the range of 300-500°C at an interval of 10 °C (increasing temperature from bottom to top curve).	124
Figure 5.1	(a) Room temperature XRD patterns of the sintered sample of SFMW. (b) Rietveld XRD profiles for the SFMW sample at room temperature Calculated (solid line), observed (circles), calculated (solid line), difference (bottom) and the tick marks correspond to the permitted Bragg reflections.	133
Figure 5.2	(a-d) SEM and EDX images of SFMW cathode material	134
Figure 5.3	The room temperature XRD patterns of SFMW-SDC and SFMW-BCS mixture sintered at 1200°C for 6 h	136
Figure 5.4	(a) Variation of lattice constant with temperature	138
	(b) Evolution of thermal expansion coefficient with temperature.	138
	(c) Evolution of XRD patterns with temperature for SFMW sample	139
Figure 5.5	Evaluation of Thermal expansion coefficient of cathode materials with SDC electrolyte.	140
Figure 5.6	(a) Impedance spectra of SFMW cathode	142
	(b) Arrhenius conductivity-temperature plot of SFMW cathode sample.	
Figure 5.7	(a) Impedance spectra of single cell of SFMW cathode corresponding to the oxide ion conductor SDC electrolyte. (b) Arrhenius plot of the ASRs of SFMW/SDC/SFMW cathode.	144
	(c, d) SEM images of cross section of SFMW/SDC/SFMW interface	145

List of tables

Table No.	Table Caption	Page No.
Table 1.1	Different fuel cell types and their characteristics.	5
Table 1.2	Various Perovskite based cathode materials.	14
Table 1.3	List of materials developed as anode and their dc conductivities at 800°C	21
Table 1.4	Conductivity with different composition of ceria-carbonate composite electrolyte from literature.	35
Table 3.1	Crystallographic and micro-structural information of $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2-x}\text{Cu}_x\text{O}_{2-\delta}$ samples.	79
Table 3.2	List of FWHM value of strongest peak for all compositions	82
Table 3.3	Activation energy and total ionic conductivity for $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Ga}_{0.2-x}\text{Cu}_x\text{O}_{2-\delta}$ samples.	92
Table 4.1	Values of elements of equivalent circuit for samples CPSG/35LNC at 350 °C and 550 °C	122
Table 4.2	Total ionic conductivity for CPSG, CPSG/20LNC, CPSG/30LNC and CPSG/35LNC nanocomposite electrolytes.	123