LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Multi-view capture of the same scene and its registered image showing the difference between two viewpoints
Figure 1.2: Another sample that can be taken as an example for multi-view image registration
Figure 1.3: Temporal changes in a aerial scene where only a few parked trailers are left in a san diego, california trailer park over a period of 8 months
Figure 1.4: Temporal view of deforestation progress within a period of two years in Amazonian rain forests
Figure 1.5: Brain tumour size reduction through several intervals in the 8 months time duration
Figure 1.6: MRI image volume (showing soft tissues), CT image volume (shows bony structures) and fusion of both volumes to find the most optimal transformation
Figure 1.7: CT image volume, PET image volume and fusion of both volumes to find the best representation possible
Figure 1.8: PET image volume, MR image volume and fusion of both volumes to find the best representation possible
Figure 1.9: End to end stitching of two images at pre-designated points to create a panorama
Figure 1.10: Source (S) is Green; Target (T) is Red and third is the registered image
Figure 1.11: Different coordinate systems involved in the image registration process. Note that the transform being optimized is the one mapping from the physical space of the fixed image into the physical space of the moving image9
Figure 2.1: Rigid image morphological behaviour under deformation
Figure 2.2: Rigid image motions in stages as shown using a Riemann Sphere (a-r)
Figure 2.3: Deformable image morphological behaviour under deformation 22
Figure 2.4: Amoeboid motion realized as a deformable image motion23
Figure 2.5: Motion of lungs during breathing
Figure 2.6: Image registration methodology classification
Figure 2.7: The iterative strain energy minimization process
Figure 2.8: Integral image calculation
Figure 2.9: Axial anatomical position 46

Figure 2.10: Coronal anatomical position
Figure 2.11: Sagittal anatomical position
Figure 2.12: A 3-dimensional cubical representation of the APs47
Figure 3.1: The proposed model 63
Figure 3.2: The working model for SURF
Figure 3.3: Corresponding feature points at their respective positions in the inhale (left) and the exhale (right) frame recorded at t= 0.1 and 0.6 seconds respectively
Figure 3.4: Corresponding feature points matched in the inhale (left) and the exhale (right) frame recorded at t= 0.1 and 0.6 seconds respectively
Figure 3.5: Registered axial image for the first subject from inhale frame at t=0.1 sec to exhale frame at t=0.6 sec
Figure 3.6: Average x-translations for axial AP69
Figure 3.7: Average y-translations for axial AP69
Figure 3.8: Average x-translations for coronal AP70
Figure 3.9: Average y-translations for coronal AP70
Figure 3.10: Average x-translations for sagittal AP71
Figure 3.11: Average y-translations for sagittal AP71
Figure 4.1: The proposed framework structure
Figure 4.2: The working model of SURF
Figure 4.3: Flow of a common feature point (x, y) through a sequentially temporal thoracic image sequence with N frames, arrows indicating the changing velocity vector \vec{v}
Figure 4.4: Image sequence frames and the registered image for all subjects-Axial
Figure 4.5: Image sequence frames and the registered image for all subjects-Coronal
Figure 4.6: Image sequence frames and the registered image for all subjects-Sagittal
Figure 4.7: The test image temporal sequence (accordingly labeled). Subject 'case 5' Sagittal AP
Figure 4.8: The color coded feature points and their colored trails showing the distinct paths for Sagittal AP 'case 5', frames are labeled in order of their temporal sequence
Figure 4.9: The registered image for the corresponding temporal sequence for subject 'case 5' Sagittal AP

Figure 4.10: Color-coded Optic flow for subject 'case 5' sagittal AP with flow orientation scheme
Figure 4.11: The overall image sequence optic flow with magnitude scale99
Figure 4.12: Average displacement for all subjects in Axial AP
Figure 4.13: Average displacement for all subjects in Coronal AP
Figure 4.14: Average displacement for all subjects in Sagittal AP
Figure 5.1: Overview of the proposed methodology
Figure 5.2: Flowchart of the iterative process in the registration procedure 118
Figure 5.3: The iterative process graphical results on 'case 3' coronal AP 120
Figure 5.4: Energy minimization vs. Iterations for 'case 3' coronal AP122
Figure 5.5: mean Registration error (pixels) for all 10 subjects through all APs