LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No	Figure Captions	Page No
Fig. 1.1	Sources of electricity in India by installed capacity as of 2016	1
Fig. 1.2	Comparison of life-cycle emissions	2
Fig. 1.3	Three stage Indian nuclear power programme	3
Fig. 1.4	Schematic flow diagram of PFBR showing the major components.	4
Fig. 1.5	FBR Fuel assembly	6
Fig. 1.6a	Schematic arrangement of steam generator	11
Fig. 1.6b	Schematic arrangement of steam generator bottom tube sheet	12
Fig. 1.7	Volumetric swelling vs. neutron fluence in austenitic and ferritic steels	16
Fig. 1.8	Comparison creep ruptures strength of several materials for $10^5 \mathrm{h}$	18
Fig. 1.9	Schematic pseudo-equilibrium Fe (with 0.1%C)-Cr phase diagram. The dashed line indicates the phase fields for steel for 9% Cr	19
Fig. 1.10	Continuous cooling transformation diagram for 9Cr-1Mo steel	20
Fig. 1.11	LCF during start-up and shutdown of steam generator structural components	22
Fig. 1.12	(a) Types of serrations (b) Schematic illustrations of various manifestations of dynamic strain ageing under monotonic loading	24
Fig. 1.13	The three stages of the mechanical behavior with respect to the temperature in modified 9Cr–1Mo steel	27
Fig. 1.14	(a) Variation of prior austenitic grain size after 5 min soaking at different temperatures (b) Variation of the inter-barrier spacing of the steel soaking at various	28

temperatures

Fig. 1.15	Tensile curves of the steel soaked at different temperatures,	29
	tensile tested at 300 °C	
Fig. 1.16	Schematic depicting the mechanism of DSA through pipe diffusion	31
Fig. 1.17	Growth and coarsening of precipitate particles of Grade 91	32
	ferritic/martensitic steel	
Fig. 1.18	Comparison of LCF life of modified 9Cr–1Mo steel in air	36
FI 1 10	E si a li a croi a li a	20
Fig. 1.19	Fatigue lives of 191 steel in an oxygen controlled LBE and	38
	in vacuum at 350 °C	
Fig. 1.20	Influence of temperature on (a) fatigue life and (b) half–life	41
	stress of modified 9Cr–1Mo and 1.4W–0.06Ta RAFM steels	
Fig. 1.21	Comparison between experimental and simulated cyclic	45
	softening for (a) $\Delta \varepsilon_t = 1\%$ and (b) $\Delta \varepsilon_t = 0.4\%$	
Fig. 2.1	Flow chart of the processing and heat treatment	48
Fig. 2.2	Geometry of the cylindrical tensile specimen with	49
	dimensions in mm	
Fig. 2.3	Samples fabricated from different orientations of blanks	51
	from the plate	
Fig. 2.4	Schematic of the cylindrical LCF specimen with dimensions	52
	in mm	
Fig. 2.5	Schematic of the reversed triangular waveform	53
Fig. 2.6	Inverted torsional pendulum for internal friction	54
	measurement	
Fig. 3.1	Microstructure of the modified 9Cr-1Mo steel in normalized	57
	and tempered condition: (a) optical micrograph, (b) SEM	
	micrograph, (c) TEM micrographs showing laths (L) inside	
	the prior austenite grain boundary (PAGb), carbides along	
	the PAGb, and lath boundary and within the laths, (d) high	
	dislocation density within the laths, (e) diffraction pattern of	
	matrix, and (f) diffraction pattern of the M ₂₃ C ₆ carbide	
	encircled in (c)	

Fig. 3.2	Engineering stress-strain curves corresponding to different	59
	temperatures at different strain rates: (a) 10 ⁻³ s ⁻¹ , (b) 10 ⁻⁴ s ⁻¹ ,	
	(c) magnified view of the portion encircled in rectangular	
	box in the fig. (b), & (d) 10^{-5} s^{-1}	
Fig. 3.3	Variation of strength with temperature at different strain	60
	rates of 10^{-3} , 10^{-4} & 10^{-5} s ⁻¹ : (a) yield strength (b) ultimate	
	tensile strength	
Fig. 3.4	Variation of plastic strain with test temperature: (a) plastic	61
	strain to fracture (e_{pf}) at different strain rates, (b) different	
	components of plastic strain $(e_{pf}, e_{pu} \& e_{pn})$ at strain rate of	
	10 ⁻⁴ s ⁻¹	
Fig. 3.5	Variation of strain hardening exponent (n) with temperature	62
	for the modified 9Cr-1Mo steel at different strain rates 10^{-3} s ⁻	
	¹ , 10^{-4} s ⁻¹ and 10^{-5} s ⁻¹	
Fig. 3.6	Variation of strain rate sensitivity with temperature based on:	63
	(a) yield strength, (b) tensile strength	
Fig. 3.7	Variation of $\ln \dot{\epsilon}$ with reciprocal test temperature	64
Fig. 3.8	Variation of Vickers microhardness of tensile specimens	65
	tested at different temperatures and strain rates	
Fig. 3.9	Dislocation substructure below the region of DSA, at RT, at	66
	strain rate 10 ⁻⁴ s ⁻¹	
Fig. 3.10	Dislocation substructure below the region of DSA, at 200 °C,	66
	at strain rate 10 ⁻⁴ s ⁻¹	
Fig. 3.11	Dislocation configuration in the region of DSA, at 250 °C, at	67
	strain rate 10 ⁻⁴ s ⁻¹	
Fig. 3.12	Dislocation configurations developed in the region of DSA,	68
	at temperature 350 °C, at strain rate 10 ⁻⁴ s ⁻¹	
Fig. 3.13	Dislocation configurations developed at 450 °C, above the	68
	region of DSA, at strain rate 10 ⁻⁴ s ⁻¹	
Fig. 3.14	Fractographs of the sample tested at RT at strain rate 10^{-4} s ⁻¹ :	69
	(a) full view, (b) magnified view of central region (Arrow	
	indicates faceted regions)	
Fig. 3.15	Fractographs showing fracture surfaces of the samples	70

tested: (a) 200 °C, (b) 350 °C, (c) 450 °C, (d) 600 °C at strain rate 10^{-4} s⁻¹

- Fig. 3.16 Fractographs of the fibrous zones of the samples tested at: 71
 (a) 200 °C, (b) 350 °C, (c) 450 °C, (d) 600 °C at strain rate of 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹
- Fig. 3.17Variation of internal friction of the modified 9Cr-1Mo steel72with temperature in normalized & tempered and, 5% and10% cold rolled condition
- Fig. 3.18Tensile engineering stress strain curve of the 5% cold77worked modified 9Cr-1Mo steel tested at 300 °C, at strain
rate of 10^{-4} s⁻¹, showing smooth curve without serrations
- Fig. 4.1 Effect of temperature on strength and elongation in 82 normalized and tempered (N₁+T) condition
- Fig. 4.2 Overall fracture surfaces showing the effect of test 84 temperature on the nature of longitudinal splitting (a) 100 °C, (b) 80 °C, (c) 50 °C, (d) 30°C, (e) RT, (f) 13 °C, (g) 0 °C, (h) -50 °C and (i) -70 °C
- Fig. 4.3Magnified view of central fibrous zones of the specimens85tested at different temperatures (a) 100 °C (b) 80 °C, (c) 0°C, & (d) -50 °C
- Fig. 4.4 Magnified view of petal shaped region of the specimens 86 tested at different temperatures (a) 80 °C, (c) 0 °C, & (d) -70 °C
- Fig. 4.5 Effect of specimen orientation with respect to rolling 87 direction on: (a) yield strength and ultimate tensile strength, (b) total elongation
- Fig. 4.6 Effect of the specimen orientation with respect to rolling 88 direction on rosette fracture (a) along rolling direction (b) at 45 ° to the rolling direction (c) at 90 ° to the rolling direction
- Fig. 4.7 SEM micrographs showing microstructures of the specimens 90 in different heat treated conditions (a) A, (b) N₁+T (As supplied), (c) N₂+T, (d) N₂, (e) S₂+WQ

Fig. 4.8 Fracture surfaces of the specimens in different heat treated 91

conditions: (a) A, (b) N_2 , (c) S_2 +WQ & (d) N_2 +T

- Fig. 4.9Fracture surfaces of normalized and tempered specimens92tested in tension at RT at three different strain rates: (a) 10^{-2} s^{-1} , (b) 10^{-3} s⁻¹, and 10^{-4} s⁻¹
- Fig. 4.10 Overall view of fracture surfaces of flat tensile specimens of 93 different thicknesses: (a) 1.75 mm, (b) 2.4 mm, and (c) 4.5 mm
- Fig. 4.11 Orientation distribution function (ODF) corresponding to φ₂ 96
 = 45° section as obtained from the macrotexture examination of different heat treated specimens: (a) N₁+T, (b) A, (c) N₂, (d) S₂+WQ, and (e) S₂+WQ+T
- Fig. 4.12 Longitudinal sections of tensile specimens of N₁+T, 98 interrupted at different stages of deformation: (a) at maximum tensile load of 11 kN, (b) in necked region at 7 kN load, (c) fractured specimen at 6 kN load, (d) magnified view of (c), (e) showing linkage of voids along prior austenite grain boundaries. Tensile axis direction is shown by arrow.
- **Fig. 4.13** Cleavage fracture resulting from longitudinal splitting of 99 N₁+T specimen tested in tension
- **Fig. 4.14** Longitudinal sections of the fractured tensile specimens of 101 different heat treated specimens: (a) A, (b) N₂, (c) S2+WQ
- Fig. 4.15 Schematic of rosette fracture in N1+T condition of the 103 modified 9Cr-1Mo steel at RT (a) initial microstructure in unloaded condition, (b) voids formed at second phase particles on tensile loading in the region of necking, (c) easy linkage of voids lying along longitudinal grain boundaries, (d) triaxial stresses acting in the necked region of the specimen, & (e) rosette fracture
- Fig. 5.1Variation of stress amplitude with number of cycles at106different strain rates of (a) 10^{-2} s⁻¹, (b) 10^{-3} s⁻¹ & (c) 10^{-4} s⁻¹
- Fig. 5.2Dependence of fatigue life (as reversals to failure) with107plastic strain amplitude, at strain rates of 10^{-2} s⁻¹ & 10^{-3} s⁻¹
- Fig. 5.3 LCF plots based on plastic strain energy and reversals to 110

failure (2N_f) at strain rates of 10^{-2} s⁻¹ & 10^{-3} s⁻¹

- Fig. 5.4 Stress-strain hysteresis loops translated to a common point 111 of maximum compressive stress exhibiting Masing and non-Masing behavior at three different strain rates:
 (a) 10⁻² s⁻¹, (b) 10⁻³ s⁻¹ and (c) 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹
- Fig. 5.5 Dislocation configurations resulting from LCF at strain rate 114 of 10^{-2} s⁻¹ at different strain amplitudes: (a, b) ±0.25%, (c, d) ±0.375%, (e, f) ±0.50%. Formation of cell structure may be seen at all the strain amplitudes
- Fig. 5.6Dislocation configurations resulting from LCF at different115strain amplitudes at the lowest strain rate of 10^{-4} s⁻¹:(a, b) $\pm 0.375\%$; (c, d) $\pm 0.50\%$.
- Fig. 5.7Variation of cell size with strain amplitude, in fatigue tested115samples at strain rate of 10^{-2} s⁻¹
- Fig. 5.8 Fracture surfaces of fatigue samples tested at RT, at strain 117 rate of 10⁻² s⁻¹ at different strain amplitudes: (a, A) ±0.25% (b, B) ±0.375% & (c, C) ±0.50%. The regions of fatigue fracture from surface towards interior are marked by black ink. Striations and secondary cracking are shown in the higher magnification images. Crack propagation direction is shown by white arrows.
- Fig. 5.9 Low magnification fractographs of the LCF tested samples at 118 strain rate of 10^{-3} s⁻¹ at different strain amplitudes: (a) $\pm 0.25\%$, (b) $\pm 0.375\%$, (c) $\pm 0.50\%$. Fatigue regions are marked by black ink and ratchet lines are shown by white arrows
- Fig. 5.10Crack initiation regions resulting from LCF at strain rate of119 10^{-3} s⁻¹ at different strain amplitudes (a) $\pm 0.25\%$, (b) $\pm 0.50\%$
- Fig. 5.11Fractographic images of LCF sample tested at $\pm 0.25\%$ at119strain rate of 10^{-3} s⁻¹ showing (a) tire marks, (b) faceted
features
- Fig. 5.12TEM micrograph showing interaction between precipitates121(MX) and dislocations in the sample tested in LCF at strain

rate of 10^{-3} s⁻¹ and strain amplitude of $\pm 0.25\%$

- Fig. 5.13 Schematic of the hysteresis loop illustrating the different 123 components of stress for calculation of friction and back stress
- Fig. 5.14 Variation of friction and back stress with number of cycles at 124 different strain amplitudes at strain rate of 10⁻² s⁻¹:
 (a) friction stress & (b) back stress
- Fig. 5.15 Variation of friction and back stress with number of cycles at 125 different strain amplitudes at strain rate of 10⁻³ s⁻¹:
 (a) friction stress & (b) back stress
- Fig. 5.16 Variation of friction and back stress with number of cycles at 125 different strain amplitudes at strain rate of 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹:
 (a) friction stress & (b) back stress
- Fig. 6.1 Variation of cyclic stress with number of cycles for different 132 strain amplitudes at different strain rates: (a) 10⁻² s⁻¹, and (b) 10⁻³ s⁻¹.
- Fig. 6.2 Effect of strain rate on cyclic stress response at strain 132 amplitude of $\pm 0.50\%$.
- Fig. 6.3 Coffin–Manson plot at strain rates of 10^{-2} s⁻¹ and 10^{-3} s⁻¹ at 133 300 °C
- Fig. 6.4Translated hysteresis loops to a common point of maximum135compressive stress showing non-Masing behavior at the
lowest strain amplitude of $\pm 0.25\%$ and Masing behavior at
high strain amplitudes ($\geq \pm 0.375\%$
- Fig. 6.5 Dislocation substructure of the specimens tested at strain rate 136 of 10^{-2} s⁻¹ for different strain amplitudes: (a) tested at $\pm 0.25\%$, (b) $\pm 0.375\%$, & (c) $\pm 0.50\%$
- **Fig. 6.6** Bright field TEM micrograph showing dislocation 137 configuration resulting from LCF test at strain rate of 10^{-3} s⁻¹ at strain amplitudes of (a) $\pm 0.375\%$, (b) $\pm 0.50\%$.
- Fig. 6.7 Fracture surface of the fatigue specimens tested at strain rate 138 of 10^{-2} s⁻¹ at strain amplitudes of (a) ±0.25%, and (b) ±0.50%.

Fracture surface of the fatigue specimen tested at strain rate Fig. 6.8 138 of 10^{-3} s⁻¹ at strain amplitudes of (a) ±0.25%, and (b) ±0.50%. Fig. 6.9 Magnified view of the crack propagation region at strain rate 139 of 10^{-2} s⁻¹ at different strain amplitudes: (a) ±0.25%, (b) $\pm 0.375\%$, & (c) $\pm 0.50\%$ **Fig. 6.10** Magnified view of the crack propagation region at strain rate 140 of 10^{-3} s⁻¹ at different strain amplitudes: (a) $\pm 0.25\%$, (b) ±0.375%, & (c) ±0.50% Fig. 6.11 Tire marks at strain amplitude of $\pm 0.50\%$ at low strain rate of 141 10^{-3} s^{-1} Bright field TEM micrographs of the specimen tested at **Fig. 6.12** 142 strain amplitude of $\pm 0.375\%$ at strain rate of 10^{-3} s⁻¹ showing dynamic recovery Bowing of dislocations may be seen from the specimen 143 **Fig. 6.13** tested at strain amplitude of $\pm 0.375\%$ at strain rate of 10^{-3} s⁻¹ Effect of strain amplitude on cyclic stress response of Fig. 7.1 148 modified 9Cr-1Mo steel at different strain rate: (a) 10^{-2} s⁻¹ (b) 10⁻³ s⁻¹at 600 °C Fig. 7.2 Effect of strain rate on cyclic stress response at strain 148 amplitudes of $\pm 0.25\% \& \pm 0.50\%$ Coffin-Manson plot of modified 9Cr-1Mo steel in Fig. 7.3 149 normalized and tempered condition at 600 °C at strain rates of 10⁻² s⁻¹ & 10⁻³ s⁻¹ Bright field TEM micrographs of the modified 9Cr-1Mo Fig. 7.4 151 steel fatigue tested at strain rate of 10⁻² s⁻¹ at strain amplitudes: (a) $\pm 0.25\%$, (b) ± 0.375 & (c) $\pm 0.50\%$ Fig. 7.5 Bright field TEM micrographs of the modified 9Cr-1Mo 151 steel fatigue tested at strain rate of 10⁻³ s⁻¹ at strain amplitudes: (a) ± 0.375 & (b) $\pm 0.50\%$ Deformation behavior of fatigue specimens of modified Fig. 7.6 152 9Cr-1Mo steel tested at a strain rate of 10^{-2} s⁻¹ at different strain amplitudes: (a) $\pm 0.375\%$, showing dynamic

recrystallization & (b) $\pm 0.42\%$ showing formation of subgrain and dynamic recovery

- Fig. 7.7Deformation behavior of fatigue specimen of modified1539Cr-1Mo steel tested at strain amplitude of $\pm 0.375\%$ at a
strain rate of 10^{-2} s⁻¹ (a) bright field image showing fringes
due to grain rotation (b) corresponding diffraction pattern
showing splitting of diffraction spot
- Fig. 7.8 (a) Fringes formed due to grain rotation in the sample tested 153 at lower strain rate of 10⁻³ s⁻¹ at strain amplitude of ±0.50%,
 (b) splitting of the diffraction spot in the corresponding diffraction pattern
- Fig. 7.9 (a) Bright field TEM micrograph showing fragmentation of 154 lath boundaries of the fatigue specimen tested at strain amplitude of $\pm 0.50\%$ at strain rate of 10^{-3} s⁻¹
- Fig. 7.10 Array of parallel dislocations in the fatigue specimen tested 154 at strain amplitude of $\pm 0.50\%$ at strain rate of 10^{-3} s⁻¹ at 600 °C
- Fig. 7.11 Fracture surface of the specimens tested at strain rate of 155 10^{-2} s⁻¹ and strain amplitudes (a) ±0.25%, (b) ±0.375%, (c) ±0.50%
- Fig. 7.12 Fracture surface of the specimens tested at strain rate of 156 10^{-3} s⁻¹ and strain amplitudes (a) ±0.25%, (b) ±0.375%, (c) ±0.50%
- **Fig. 7.13** Magnified view of the crack propagation region of fracture 157 surface of the specimens tested at strain rate of 10^{-2} s⁻¹ and at strain amplitudes: (a) $\pm 0.25\%$, (b) $\pm 0.375\%$, (c) $\pm 0.50\%$
- **Fig. 7.14** Magnified view of the crack propagation region of fracture 158 surface of the specimens tested at strain rate of 10^{-3} s⁻¹ and at strain amplitudes: (a) $\pm 0.25\%$, (b) $\pm 0.375\%$, (c) $\pm 0.50\%$
- Fig. 7.15(a) Oxidation induced cracking on the fracture surface of the159fatigue specimen tested at strain amplitude of $\pm 0.50\%$ atstrain rate of 10^{-3} s⁻¹(b) corresponding EDX
- Fig. 7.16 Variation of friction stress and back stress with number of 163

	cycles for different strain amplitudes at strain rate of 10 ⁻² s ⁻¹	
	at 600 °C: (a) friction stress (b) back stress	
Fig. 7.17	Schematic showing annihilation of dislocations	164