

# **DRI Apparent Density and Its Strength**

R. C. GUPTA and Abdul Azees SHAIK

Center for Advanced Study, Department of Metallurgical Engineering, Institute of Technology, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi–221 005, India.

E-mail: rcgupta@myrealbox.com; skazees@yahoo.co.in

(Received on November 19, 2004; accepted in final form on January 11, 2005)

#### 1. Introduction

Now a days DRI (directly reduced iron) is a well known raw material to substitute scrap in EAF,<sup>1,2)</sup> as a coolant in

LD<sup>3,4)</sup> steel and as a potential metallic feed in blast furnace. The strength of DRI made at present is sufficient for EAF use. The DRI if used in the blast furnace has to be strong enough to sustain impact (while dropping from top), wear (while sliding down the blast furnace) and also during the course of reduction to sustain the weight of over burden retaining its shape and size. Several workers<sup>5,6)</sup> have suggested the possible use of DRI as a feed to the blast furnace if it could be produce with high strength.

The apparent density of DRI depends on the amount of metallic iron, gangue content and volume of pores, which are regulated by DRI analysis and reduction conditions (temperature, sinter time, mode of reduction *etc.*). In this study effort has been made to correlate the cold crushing strength (CCS) of DRI with its apparent density.

## 2. Experimental

In this investigation two different types of DRI were tested which included the iron ore pellets reduced in the bed of carbonaceous reductants and iron ore reduced by carbon

Reduction	Sinter	Pellets Reduced in Bed of			Ore – Carbon Mixed Pellets		
Temperature,	Time, min	Carbonaceous Reductants					
<sup>0</sup> C		Code	Apparent	CCS,	Code	Apparent	CCS,
			Density,	Ν		Density,	Ν
			g/cm <sup>3</sup>			g/cm <sup>3</sup>	
1000	0	OG <sub>1</sub>	2.96	635			
1100	0	OG <sub>2</sub>	3.32	635			
1150	0	OG <sub>3</sub>	4.11	2155			
1200	0	$OG_4$	4.08	2205			
1250	0	OG <sub>5</sub>	4.18	2845			
1250	5	OG <sub>6</sub>	4.16	3140			
1250	10	OG <sub>7</sub>	4.70	3335			
1250	15	OG <sub>8</sub>	4.68	3530			
1250	20	OG <sub>9</sub>	4.61	3480			
1250	25	OG10	5.13	3385			
1000	0	$OP_1$	2.36	120	MP <sub>1</sub>	0.41	145
1100	0	OP <sub>2</sub>	1.98	540	MP <sub>2</sub>	0.46	195
1150	0	OP <sub>3</sub>	2.75	1325	MP <sub>3</sub>	1.67	685
1200	0	OP <sub>4</sub>	3.10	2600	MP <sub>4</sub>	2.60	1665
1250	0	OP <sub>5</sub>	3.23	3435	MP <sub>5</sub>	2.82	1665
1250	5	OP <sub>6</sub>	4.22	3630	MP <sub>6</sub>	2.71	1865
1250	10	OP <sub>7</sub>	4.05	3970	MP <sub>7</sub>	3.17	2160
1250	15	OP <sub>8</sub>	4.43	3580	MP <sub>8</sub>	3.24	2650
1250	20	OP <sub>9</sub>	4.87	3090	MP <sub>9</sub>	3.54	3430
1250	25	OP10	4.97	3185	MP <sub>10</sub>	3.09	3040
1000	0	OC <sub>1</sub>	р	NL	MC <sub>1</sub>	р	NL
1100	0	$OC_2$	1.73	245	MC <sub>2</sub>	p	NL
1150	0	OC <sub>3</sub>	2.06	245	MC <sub>3</sub>	0.91	295
1200	0	OC <sub>4</sub>	2.30	390	MC <sub>4</sub>	1.36	390
1250	0	OC <sub>5</sub>	3.48	590	MC <sub>5</sub>	2.30	540
1250	5	OC <sub>6</sub>	2.99	930	MC <sub>6</sub>	3.00	685
1250	10	OC <sub>7</sub>	3.52	1420	MC <sub>7</sub>	3.40	1225
1250	15	OC <sub>8</sub>	3.79	1470	MC <sub>8</sub>	3.42	1715
1250	20	OC <sub>9</sub>	3.21	1665	MC <sub>9</sub>	4.74	1765
1250	25	OC <sub>10</sub>	3.53	1420	MC <sub>10</sub>	3.51	1225
1000	0	OW <sub>1</sub>	р	NL	MW <sub>1</sub>	р	NL
1100	0	OW <sub>2</sub>	p	NL	MW <sub>2</sub>	р	NL
1150	0	OW <sub>3</sub>	3.05	145	MW <sub>3</sub>	1.12	100
1200	0	$OW_4$	2.30	145	MW <sub>4</sub>	1.81	345
1250	0	OW <sub>5</sub>	3.30	930	MW <sub>5</sub>	1.90	470
1250	5	OW <sub>6</sub>	3.48	1715	MW <sub>6</sub>	2.48	980
1250	10	OW <sub>7</sub>	3.77	2010	MW <sub>7</sub>	3.53	1050
1250	15	OW <sub>8</sub>	4.26	2795	MW <sub>8</sub>	2.58	1620
1250	20	OW <sub>9</sub>	4.48	3090	MW <sub>9</sub>	4.59	2040
1250	25	OW <sub>10</sub>	4.25	3090	MW <sub>10</sub>	4.17	1080

Table 1. The cold crushing strength (CCS) values of various reduced pellets.

OG, OP, OC, and OW: Iron Ore Pellets Reduced In Bed of graphite, petroleum coke, china coke and wood char respectively, MP, MC, and MW: Iron Ore – reductant mixed Pellets,(P= petroleum coke, C=china coke and W=wood char respectively, (Suffix numerals indicate pellet number), p = powder, NL: No Load

mixed in the pellets. Raw materials used, method of pellet preparation and techniques of reducing pellets have been given in another publications by the authors.<sup>7,8)</sup>

# 2.1. Determination of Apparent Density of Reduced Pellets

The apparent density of the reduced pellets were calculated as

Apparent density

 $= \frac{\text{Final weight of the reduced pellet}}{\text{Final bulk volume of the reduced pellet}} \times 100$ 

The bulk volume of the reduced pellets was estimated by knowing the average diameter measured at 20 different positions.

## 2.2. Cold Crushing Strength of Reduced Pellets

Instron testing machine was used to determine the Cold Crushing Strength (CCS) of the reduced pellets using  $4\,900\,\text{N}$  maximum load scale. The pellets were loaded (0.0083 mm s<sup>-1</sup>) slowly till it yielded. The minimum load required for breakage or deformation of the pellet was recorded as Cold Crushing Strength (CCS) in N.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

The iron ore pellets were reduced at various temperatures (1 000 to 1 250°C) and sintered for various times (0–25 min). The iron ore was reduced by different reductants (graphite, petroleum coke, china coke and wood char). The reduction was conducted by adopting two methods (inbed and mixed). The in-bed method consisted of keeping iron ore pellet in the bed of reductant where as in mixed mode the reductant was mixed with iron ore and palletized. The composition of iron ore and reductants together with reduction of iron ore pellet in-bed and mixed mode are given in our another publications.<sup>7,8)</sup> The apparent density and cold crushing strength of reduced pellets are given in **Table 1** along with reducing conditions.

## 3.1. Apparent Density of Reduced Pellets on Cold Crushing Strength

Figures 1 and 2 shows the CCS values of pellets reduced in the bed of carbon and ore–carbon mixed pellets with their apparent densities. It may be noted that with increasing apparent density the CCS values are found to increase for pellets reduced under both modes. It may be further noted that the pellets reduced under in-bed mode gave stronger pellets (3 925 N) at high density (5 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) compared to pellets reduced under mixed mode (2 450 N CCS at 5 g/cm<sup>3</sup> density).

The density of DRI would increase with metallisation of iron and its densification promoted by higher temperature and sintering time. The slower reduction rate giving plate iron would give high iron density and high CCS value due to better metal-metal bond. The fiber iron growth would give low density and low CCS value due to less



Fig. 1. Effect of apparent density of pellets reduced in bed of reductants on cold crushing strength (CCS).



Fig. 2. Effect of apparent density of reduced iron ore-carbon mixed pellets on cold crushing strength (CCS).

metal-metal bond. This explains the increasing CCS value with high density for DRI reduced in bed of carbon. When the reductant is mixed with iron ore to cause reduction the ash present in the reductant increases the total gangue content in reduced iron. The metal-slag bond being weaker than metal-metal bond, the CCS values of DRI exhibiting mixed bond are found to be lower in strength than ore pellet reduced in bed of carbon showing metal bond.

## 4. Conclusion

(1) The cold crushing strength of reduced pellet was found to increase with its apparent density.

(2) The pellets reduced in-bed of carbon rendered higher CCS value ( $\sim 3\,920\,\text{N}$ ) compared to pellets reduced under mixed mode ( $\sim 2\,450\,\text{N}$ ) for DRI with apparent density 5 g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

#### REFERENCES

- 1) J. W. Brown and R. L. Reddy: Iron Steelmaking, 6 (1979), 24.
- Y. Sawa, T. Yamamoto, K. Takeda and H. Itaya: *ISLJ Int.*, 41 (2001), s17.
- A. Chatterjee, R. Singh and B. Pandey: Metallics for Steel Making— Production & Use, Allied publishers, New Delhi, (2001), 628.
- 4) J. A. Innes and H. F. Melouney: J. Iron Steel Inst., 207 (1969), 1437.
- 5) R. C. Gupta: ICARISM'99, Vol. 9, CSIRO and Aus IIM Chapter in Perth, Perth, WA, (1999), 59.
- 6) P. L. Wolf: JOM, 8 (1966), 243.
- 7) R. C. Gupta and A. A. Shaik: Private Communication.
- 8) R. C. Gupta and A. A. Shaik: Private Communication.