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# ON THE $P$-NORM OF THE TRUNCATED $N$-DIMENSIONAL HILBERT TRANSFORM 

J.N. Pandey and O.P. Singh

It is shown that a bounded linear operator $T$ from $L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)$ to itself which commutes both with translations and dilatations is a finite linear combination of Hilbert-type transforms. Using this we show that the p-norm of the Hilbert transform is the same as the $\boldsymbol{p}$-norm of its truncation to any Lebesgue measurable subset of $\mathbf{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ with non-zero measure.

## 1. Preliminaries

For a function $f(x)$ defined on the real line, the Hilbert transform $(H f)(x)$ is given by the Cauchy principal value:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(H f)(x)=\frac{1}{\pi} P \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{f(t)}{t-x} d t \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

One of the fundamental results in the subject is that $(H f)(x)$ exists for almost every $x$ if $f \in L^{p}(\mathbf{R}), 1 \leqslant p<\infty$, and $H: L^{p}(R) \rightarrow L^{p}(R)$ is both continuous and linear, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|H f\|_{p} \leqslant C_{p}\|f\|_{p} \quad \text { for } 1<p<\infty, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{p}$ is a constant independent of $f$ [15].
An $n$-dimensional Hilbert transform $(H f)(x)$ for $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right), p>1$, may be defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
(H f)(x) & =\frac{1}{\pi^{n}} P \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \frac{f(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(t_{i}-x_{i}\right)} d t  \tag{1.3}\\
& =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi^{n}} \int_{\substack{1 t_{i}-x_{i} \mid>e_{i}>0 \\
i=1,2, \ldots, n}} \frac{f(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(t_{i}-x_{i}\right)} d t
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon=\sqrt{\varepsilon_{1}^{2}+\varepsilon_{2}^{2}+\cdots+\varepsilon_{n}^{2}}, t=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ and $d t=d t_{1} d t_{2} \cdots d t_{n}$. The existence of the singular integral in (1.3) and its boundedness property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|H f\|_{p} \leqslant C_{p}^{n}\|f\|_{p} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$
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were proved by Kokilashvili [5]. In 1989 Singh and Pandey [13] extended the $n$ dimensional Hilbert transform to the Schwartz distribution space $D^{\prime}\left(R^{n}\right)$ [12] and proved that $H$ is an automorphism on the distribution space $D_{L^{p}}^{\prime}\left(R^{n}\right), p>1[7]$. They also obtained the following inversion formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H^{2} f\right)(x)=(-1)^{n} f(x) \text { almost everywhere } \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f \in L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)$. The inversion formula (1.5) is a generalisation of the corresponding one-dimensional result proved by Riesz; see Titchmarsh [15].

Fefferman showed the iterative nature of the double Hilbert transform [3] in 1972. In 1989 Singh and Pandey [13] proved the iterative nature of the $n$-dimensional Hilbert transform over the spaces $L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)$ and $D_{L^{p}}^{\prime}\left(R^{n}\right), p>1$. In fact, it was shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\prod_{i=1}^{n} H_{i} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(H_{i} f\right)\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{i-1}, x_{i}, t_{i+1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi} P \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{i}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)}{t_{i}-x_{i}} d t_{i}$.
The operators $H_{i}$ and $H_{j} i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$ commute with each other.
During the 1960's O'Neil and Weiss [8], Gohberg and Krupnik [4] tried to obtain the best possible value $C_{p}^{*}\left(=\|H\|_{p}\right)$ of $C_{p}$ in (1.2). They gave the following upper and lower bounds for $C_{p}^{*}$ :
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu(p) \leqslant C_{p}^{*} \leqslant \frac{q}{\pi^{3 / 2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2 p}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2 q}\right), \\
& \nu(p)= \begin{cases}\tan (\pi / 2 p), & 1<p \leqslant 2 \\
\cot (\pi / 2 p), & 2 \leqslant p<\infty\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $1 / p+1 / q=1$. Later Pichorides [10] proved that $C_{p}^{*}=\nu(p)$ for $1<p<\infty$. Recently McLean and Elliott [6] found the best possible constant $C_{p, E}^{*}\left(=\left\|H_{E}\right\|_{p}\right)$, $1<p<\infty$, for the truncated Hilbert transform $H_{E}$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{E} f\right)(x)=\frac{1}{\pi i} P \int_{E} \frac{f(t)}{t-x} d t, \quad x \in E \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is a measurable subset of $R$. It is obvious that there exists a constant $C_{P, E}<$ $\infty$ such that

$$
\left\|H_{E} f\right\|_{p} \leqslant C_{p, E}\|f\|_{p}
$$

for every $f \in L^{p}(\mathbf{R})$ and moreover the best constant $C_{p, E}^{*} \leqslant C_{p}^{*}$. McLean and Elliott [6] proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{p, E}^{*}=C_{p}^{*}=\nu(p) \text { for } 1<p<\infty \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided the Lebesgue measure of $E$ is not zero.
In the present paper we will extend the result (1.8) to $n$ dimensions. More precisely, we show that for the $n$-dimensional Hilbert transform $H$ defined in (1.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{p, E}^{* n}=\left\|H_{E}\right\|_{p}=\|H\|_{p}=C_{p}^{* n}=[\nu(p)]^{n}, \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every measurable subset $E$ of $R^{n}$ with non-zero Lebesgue measure. The $n$ dimensional truncated Hilbert transform $H_{E}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{E} f\right)(x)=\frac{1}{\pi^{n}} P \int_{E} \frac{f(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(t_{i}-x_{i}\right)} d t, \quad x \in E . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (1.6) and the fact that

$$
\left\|H_{i}\right\|_{p}=C_{p}^{*}=\nu(p), \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n
$$

it is easy to see that

$$
\|H\|_{p}=C_{p}^{* n}=[\nu(p)]^{n}
$$

thus proving the latter half of (1.9).

## 2. The main results

Let $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ and $m=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ with $m_{i}>0$ for each $i$. We define the translation operator

$$
\tau_{a}: L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)
$$

and the dilatation operators

$$
D_{m}, D_{m^{*}}: L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)
$$

by

$$
\tau_{a} f(x)=f(x-a)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{m} f(x) & =\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}\right)^{-1 / p} f\left(\frac{x_{1}}{m_{1}}, \frac{x_{2}}{m_{2}}, \ldots, \frac{x_{n}}{m_{n}}\right) \\
D_{m^{*}} f(x) & =\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}\right)^{1 / p} f\left(m_{1} x_{1}, m_{2} x_{2}, \ldots, m_{n} x_{n}\right) . \quad[14, \text { p. 50] }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then both $\tau_{a}$ and $D_{m}$ are isometric isomorphisms since

$$
\left(\tau_{a}\right)^{-1}=\tau_{-a}, \quad\left(D_{m}\right)^{-1}=D_{m^{*}}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\tau_{a} f\right\|_{p}=\|f\|_{p}, \quad\left\|D_{m} f\right\|_{p}=\|f\|_{p}, \quad \text { for every } f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)
$$

Let $\mathcal{B}\left(L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)\right)$ denote the space of all bounded linear operators from $L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)$ into itself. Then $T \in \mathcal{B}\left(L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)\right)$ is said to commute with translations if $\tau_{a} T=T \tau_{a}$ for all $a \in R$ and similarly it commutes with dilatations if $D_{m} T=T D_{m}$ for all $m \in \mathrm{R}^{n}$ with $m_{i}>0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. The following lemma, the proof of which is trivial, characterises an integral operator commuting with translations or dilatations.

Lemma 2.1. Let $K$ in $\mathcal{B}\left(L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)\right)$ be an integral operator given by

$$
K f(x)=P \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} K(x, y) f(y) d y, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^{n} .
$$

Then
(i) $K$ commutes with translations if and only if $K$ is a difference kernel, that is,

$$
K(x, y)=K(x-y, 0)=K(0, y-x)
$$

and
(ii) $K$ commutes with dilatations if and only if $K$ is a Hardy kernel, that is,

$$
K(m x, m y)=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}\right)^{-1} K(x, y)
$$

where by $m x$ and $m y$ we mean $\left(m_{1} x_{1}, m_{2} x_{2}, \ldots, m_{n} x_{n}\right)$ and ( $m_{1} y_{1}, m_{2} y_{2}, \ldots, m_{n} y_{n}$ ) respectively.

Note that the $n$-dimensional Hilbert transform $H$ commutes with both translations and dilatations, since

$$
H=-H_{1} H_{2} \ldots H_{n}
$$

and each $H_{i}$ commutes both with translations and dilatations. Actually $H$ is essentially the only integral operator having this property. To prove this we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}\left(L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)\right), p>1$ commute with translations. Then there exists a unique bounded complex-valued Borel measurable function $\sigma(\xi)$ satisfying

$$
(\widehat{T \phi})(\xi)=\widehat{\phi}(\xi) \sigma(\xi)
$$

where $\sigma(\xi) \in L_{\infty}\left(R^{n}\right)$.
Proof: If $T \in B\left(L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right)$, then $\tau_{a} T\left(=T \tau_{a}\right) \in \mathcal{B}\left(L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ for each $a \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$. The Schwartz testing functions space $D\left(\mathrm{R}^{n}\right)$ is dense in $L^{p}\left(\mathrm{R}^{n}\right)$. Let $\varphi \in D\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and $g_{m}$ be a sequence of $C^{\infty}$ functions with bounded supports such that $\left\|g_{m}\right\|_{p}=1$ and
$g_{m} * \varphi \rightarrow \varphi$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, in sup norm as well as in $L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)$ norm [7, pp.6-8]. Since $\varphi$ and $g_{m}$ are of compact supports, $g_{m} * \varphi$ are also $C^{\infty}$ functions with compact supports for all $m$. Therefore in view of the Riesz representation theorem [11, p.131], there exists a bounded complex regular Borel measure $\mu$ on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
{\left[T\left(\left(g_{m} * \varphi\right)(y)\right)\right](0)=} & \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} g_{m}(x) \varphi(y-x) d x\right) d \mu(y) \\
= & \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} d x g_{m}(x) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} d \mu(y) \varphi(y-x) \quad \text { (by Fubini's Theorem) } \\
= & \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} g_{m}(-x)(T \varphi)(x) d x . \\
& \left(g_{m} * T(\cdot)\right)(0): D\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a bounded linear functional. The Riesz representation theorem asserts the existence of a regular Borel measure $\mu_{m}$ (depending on $g_{m}$ ) bounded on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\left(g_{m} * T \varphi\right)(0)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \varphi(-x) d \mu_{m}(x), \varphi \in D\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right), \quad[11, \mathrm{p} .131]
$$

Hence
for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{m} * T \varphi\right)(y)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \varphi(y-x) d \mu_{m} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{-y} T\left(g_{m} * \varphi\right)(0) & =\left(g_{m} * \tau_{-y} T \varphi\right)(0) \\
& =\left(g_{m} * T \tau_{-y} \varphi\right)(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|\mu_{m}\right|\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \leqslant\|T\|$, we can select a sequence $g_{m}$ in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(g_{m} * T \varphi\right)(y)=(T \varphi)(y) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)$ norm as well as in sup norm. Hence from (2.2), and by selecting an appropriate subsequence $\left\{m_{j}\right\}$ of $\{m\}$ and letting $m_{j} \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\lim _{m_{j} \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_{m_{j}}=\sigma(\xi)$, a bounded complex-valued measurable function

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\widehat{T \varphi})(\xi)=\widehat{\phi}(\xi) \sigma(\xi) \phi \in D\left(R^{-n}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[1, pp.132, 133]. This completes the proof of the lemma.
Corollary 2.1. For $T \in \mathcal{B}\left(L^{p}\left(\dot{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ commuting with translations, there exists $\sigma \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T f}(\xi)=\sigma(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, \quad f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where denotes the operator of Fourier transform.
Proof: Using the definition of the Fourier transform of $f$ in $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)$, where $f$ is treated as a regular tempered distribution in $S^{\prime}\left(R^{n}\right),[1, \mathrm{pp} .131-132 ; 7]$, it follows that

$$
\widehat{f}(\xi)=\lim _{\substack{\min N_{j} \rightarrow \infty \\ 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n}} \int_{\left|x_{j}\right|<N_{i}} f(x) e^{-i x \cdot \xi} d x
$$

where the above limit is interpreted in the sense of $S^{\prime}\left(R^{n}\right)$ and $x \cdot \xi$ is the inner product of $x$ and $\xi$ in $R^{n}$. Since $D\left(R^{n}\right)$ is dense in $L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)$ the result (2.5) follows from Lemma 2.2, Bergh and Löfström [1, pp.132-133] and Stein [14, p.28].

Theorem 2.1. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}\left(L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)\right)$. Suppose $T$ commutes both with translations and with dilatations. Then there exist constants $a, a_{i}, a_{i, j}, \ldots, b$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=a I+\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} H_{i}+\sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i<j}}^{n} a_{i j} H_{i} H_{j}+\ldots+b H \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the identity operator on $L^{p}\left(\mathrm{R}^{n}\right)$.
Phoof: Let $T \in \mathcal{B}\left(L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)\right), 1<p<\infty$, commuting both with translations and dilatations. Then from (2.5), we have

$$
\widehat{T f}(\xi)=\sigma(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, \quad f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)
$$

for some $\sigma \in L^{\infty}\left(R^{n}\right)$. Since

$$
\widehat{D_{m}} f(\xi)=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \widehat{f}\left(m_{1} \xi_{1}, \ldots, m_{n} \xi_{n}\right)
$$

and $T$ commutes with dilatations, we have $\sigma(\xi)=\sigma\left(m_{1} \xi_{1}, m_{2} \xi_{2}, \ldots, m_{n} \xi_{n}\right)$, for $\xi=$ $\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}>0$.

Hence
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\xi) & =\sigma\left(\operatorname{sgn} \xi_{1}, \ldots, \operatorname{sgn} \xi_{n}\right) \\
\operatorname{sgn} \xi_{j} & = \begin{cases}+1, & \text { if } \xi_{j}>0 \\
-1, & \text { if } \xi_{j}<0\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

When $n=2$, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)= & \frac{1}{2^{2}}[[\sigma(1,1)+\sigma(1,-1)+\sigma(-1,1)+\sigma(-1,-1)] \\
& +[\sigma(1,1)+\sigma(1,-1)-\sigma(-1,1)-\sigma(-1,-1)] \operatorname{sgn} \xi_{1} \\
& +[\sigma(1,1)-\sigma(1,-1)+\sigma(-1,1)-\sigma(-1,-1)] \operatorname{sgn} \xi_{2} \\
& \left.+[\sigma(1,1)-\sigma(1,-1)-\sigma(-1,1)+\sigma(-1,-1)] \operatorname{sgn} \xi_{1} \operatorname{sgn} \xi_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Generalising this we obtain the following in the $n$-dimensional case

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\xi)= & \frac{1}{2^{n}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} \sigma\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} i_{j} \sigma\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)\right) \operatorname{sgn} \xi_{j}\right. \\
& +\sum_{\substack{j, k=1 \\
j<k}}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} i_{j} i_{k} \sigma\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)\right) \operatorname{sgn} \xi_{j} \cdot \operatorname{sgn} \xi_{k}+\ldots \\
& \left.+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} i_{j}\right) \sigma\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn} \xi_{j}\right] \\
= & a+\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \operatorname{sgn} \xi_{j}+\sum_{\substack{j, k=1 \\
j<k}}^{n} a_{j k} \operatorname{sgn} \xi_{j} \operatorname{sgn} \xi_{k}+\cdots+b \prod_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn} \xi_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i_{j}=+1$ or -1 for $j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Since $\widehat{H f}(\xi)=\prod_{j=1}^{n} \operatorname{sgn} \xi_{j} \widehat{f}(\xi)$ and $\widehat{H_{j} f}(\xi)=$ $\operatorname{sgn} \xi_{j} \widehat{f}(\xi)$, we have the desired result (2.6) see [9].
Remark. The $n$ Riesz transforms $R_{1}, R_{2}, \ldots, R_{n}$ are defined as

$$
\left(R_{j} f\right)(x)=\lim _{e \rightarrow 0} c_{n} \int_{|y|>e} \frac{y_{j}}{|y|^{n+1}} f(x-y) d y, \quad j=1, \ldots, n
$$

with

$$
c_{n}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(n+1) / 2}}, \quad \text { for } f \in L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right), \quad 1 \leqslant p<\infty, \quad[14, \text { p.57] }
$$

It is easy to see that in general they do not commute with dilatations $D_{m}$ for $m=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right) \in R^{n}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}>0$. Hence none of the $R_{j}$ 's can be written in the form (2.6), despite the fact that in the particular case when $m=\left(m_{1}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{1}\right)$ with $m_{1}>0$, the $n$-Riesz transforms commute with dilatations. But only when $n=1$ does the Riesz transform $R$ commute both with translations and with dilatations, so that it can be written in the form (2.6).

For a measurable set $E \subset \mathbf{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$, define
by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi_{E}: L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \\
\chi_{E} f\left(L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right. \\
\chi^{\prime}= \begin{cases}f(x), & \text { if } x \in E \\
0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since any $f \in L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)$ can be written as

$$
f=\chi_{E} f+\left(1-\chi_{E}\right) f
$$

the space $L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)$ is the direct sum

$$
L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)=L^{p}(E) \oplus L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}-E\right)
$$

Thus the space $L^{p}(E)$ can be treated as a closed subspace of $L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)$ and for any bounded linear operator $T$ on $L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right)$, we define the truncated operator

$$
T_{E}=\chi_{E} T \chi_{E}
$$

For $E \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $m, a \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a+E & =\{a+x: x \in E\} \\
m E & =\left\{\left(m_{1} x_{1}, \ldots, m_{n} x_{n}\right): \dot{x} \in E\right\} \\
m E & =\left\{\left(m x_{1}, \ldots, m x_{n}\right): x \in E\right\} \text { whenever } m \in \mathbf{R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

Then we have the following theorem.
Theorem 2.2. Let $E$ be any measurable subset of $\mathrm{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$.
(i) If $T$ commutes with translations, then

$$
\left\|T_{a+E}\right\|_{p}=\left\|T_{E}\right\|_{p}, \quad \text { for all } a \in R^{n}
$$

(ii) If $T$ commutes with dilatations, then

$$
\left\|T_{m E}\right\|_{p}=\left\|T_{E}\right\|_{p}, \quad \text { for all } m \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \text { with } m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}>0
$$

The proof of the above theorem is similar to the one given by McLean and Elliott [6, Theorem 2.2] for the one-dimensional case.

Let $\mu$ be the Lebesgue measure on $\mathrm{R}^{n}$. Denote by $J_{\delta}(x)$ the open box centred at $x$, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\delta}(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\delta_{i}, x_{i}+\delta_{i}\right), x & =\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in R^{n} \\
\delta & =\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \text { with each } \delta_{i}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

The density of $E$ at $x$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{E}(x)=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\mu\left(E \cap J_{6}(x)\right)}{\mu\left(J_{\delta}(x)\right)} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided the limit exists. Clearly $0 \leqslant d_{E}(x) \leqslant 1$. When $x \notin \bar{E}$ (the closure of $E$ ), then $d_{E}(x)=0$ whereas if $x \in E^{0}$ (the interior of $E$ ) then $d_{E}(x)=1$. The Lebesgue Density Theorem [2, p.184] asserts that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{E}(x)=1 \text { for almost every } x \in E \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. If $J$ is a bounded box centred at 0 and $m>0$, then

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \mu(J \cap m E)=d_{E}(0) \mu(J)
$$

Proof: Let $E$ be a measurable subset of $\mathbf{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$. Then for $m>0$, we have

$$
\mu(m E)=\mu\left\{\left(m x_{1}, \ldots, m x_{n}\right): x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in E\right\}=m \mu(E)
$$

and $m\left(E_{1} \cap E_{2}\right)=\left(m E_{1}\right) \cap\left(m E_{2}\right)$, for $E_{1}, E_{2}$ measurable subsets of $\mathbf{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$. Suppose $J=(-M, M) \times \cdots \times(-M, M),(n$ factors $)$ and let $m=M / \delta, \delta>0$; then $m J_{\delta}(0)=J$ and hence

$$
d_{E}(0)=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\mu\left(E \cap J_{\delta}(0)\right)}{\mu\left(J_{\delta}(0)\right)}=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(m E \cap J)}{\mu(J)}
$$

proving the lemma.
The following Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.3 have proofs similar to that of Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 of McLean and Elliott [6], so we state them without proof.

Lemma 2.3. For $1 \leqslant p<\infty$, the following are equivalent:
(i) $d_{E}(0)=1$,
(ii) $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\chi_{m E} f\right\|_{p}=\|f\|_{p}$ for all $f \in L^{p}\left(R^{n}\right) ; m>0$,
(iii) $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(1-\chi_{m E}\right) f\right\|_{p}=0$ for all $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right), m>0$.

Theorem 2.3. Suppose $d_{E}(0)=1$. If $T \in \mathcal{B}\left(L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ commutes with dilatations, then

$$
\left\|T_{E}\right\|_{p}=\|T\|_{p}
$$

Since the $n$-dimensional Hilbert transform $H$ commutes both with translations and with dilatations, Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 are true for $H$.

So, let $E$ be a subset of $\mathrm{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ such that $\mu(E) \neq 0$. Then there exists an $x \in E$ such that $d_{E}(x)=1$, by (2.8). Hence $d_{-x+E}(0)=1$. Therefore,

$$
\left\|H_{E}\right\|_{p}=\left\|H_{-x+E}\right\|_{p}=\|H\|_{p}
$$

Thus we have proved the following theorem.
Theorem 2.4. If $\mu(E) \neq 0$, then $\left\|H_{E}\right\|_{p}=\|H\|_{p}$.
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