

---



---

***LIST OF FIGURES***

---



---

		<b>Page No.</b>
<b>Chapter 1</b>	<b>Introduction and Literature Review</b>	
Fig. 1.1	Schematic band diagram for metal, semiconductor and insulator	3
Fig.1.2	(a) Schematic representation of topology showing smooth deformation from cup to donut (b) Sphere showing different topology than cup and donut (c) Effect of external parameter on topology of donut	4
Fig.1.3	Schematic diagram of Hall Effect	10
Fig.1.4	Energy band diagram for electrons vs. wave vector for different Landau levels in 3D system	12
Fig.1.5	Schematic representation of Density of state vs. energy of electrons in 3D in presence of applied magnetic field	13
Fig.1.6	Schematic representation of Density of state vs. energy of 2D electron gas in presence of applied magnetic field	15
Fig.1.7	Schematic diagram of quantum Hall Effect	16
Fig. 1.8	Cyclotron orbits (in the bulk) and skipping orbits (at the edges) in the 2D electron system in the presence of applied magnetic field	17
Fig.1.9	(a) Schematic diagram of quantum spin hall Effect, opposite spins propagating in opposite directions (b) Insulating state with a band gap separating occupied and unoccupied state (c) Bulk is insulating but skipping orbits at the edges permits the conduction of electron giving rise to the conducting edge i.e. zero band gap (c) both type of left and right moving path having opposite spins is allowed in QSHE which is protected due to time reversal symmetry (e) At the surface, propagation in any direction is possible, electrons at the surfaces show linear energy momentum relation and hence show Dirac cone in 3D	20

Fig.1.10	Schematic diagram of (a) ordinary Hall Effect showing linear behavior between hall coefficient vs. applied field (b) Quantum anomalous Hall Effect (QAHE) showing hysteresis in the graph of hall coefficient vs. applied field.	23
Fig.1.11	Schematic diagram of weak antilocalization (WAL), black dots represents the scattering centre and red lines are showing the direction of propagation of electrons	24
Fig.1.12	Band structure of Graphene at low energy introduced by Kane and Mele	26
Fig.1.13	Schematic diagram for CdTe/HgTe/CdTe heterostructure (b) Upper Fig. showing bulk energy bands for HgTe and CdTe at $\Gamma$ point, lower Fig. showing CdTe/HgTe/CdTe quantum well in normal regime $d < d_c$ and inverted regime $d > d_c$	28
Fig1.14	(a) Band gap and band offset diagram for asymmetric AlSb/InAs/GaSb quantum wells. The left AlSb barrier layer is connected to a front gate while the right barrier is connected to a back gate. The $E_I$ subband is localized in the InAs layer and $H_1$ is localized in the GaSb layer. Outer AlSb barriers provide an overall confining potential for electron and hole states. (b) Schematic band structure diagram. The dashed line shows the crossing of $E_I$ and $H_1$ in the inverted regime. Hybridization between $E_I$ and $H_1$ , opens the gap.	30
Fig. 1.15	Schematic diagram for valence band and conduction band for SnTe and PbTe materials.	32
Fig. 1.16	Schematic diagram for the valence band and conduction band for pure Bi, Sb and $\text{Bi}_{1-x}\text{Sb}_x$	33
Fig. 1.17	Spin resolved ARPES study of the topological insulator surface. (a) Schematic diagram of spin-ARPES measurement setup which was used to measure the spin distribution on the (111) surface Fermi surface of the	34

	sample $\text{Bi}_{0.91}\text{Sb}_{0.09}$ (b) Spin orientations on the surface create a vortex like pattern around $\Gamma$ point. A net Berry phase is extracted from the full Fermi-surface data (c) Net polarizations along $x$ , $y$ , and $z$ directions are shown. $P_z \sim 0$ suggests that spins lie mostly within the surface plane	
Fig. 1.18	(a) ARPES study of $\text{Bi}_{1-x}\text{Sb}_x$ on the (111) surface of $\text{Bi}_{0.9}\text{Sb}_{0.1}$ which probes the occupied surface states as a function of momentum on the line connecting the time reversal invariant points in the surface Brillouin zone. Only the surface bands cross the Fermi energy five times. (b) A schematic diagram of the 3D Brillouin zone and its (111) surface projection.	35 45
Fig. 1.19	(a) Spatially resolved conductance study of the (111) surface obtained at 0 mV over an scanning area of $1000\text{\AA} \times 1000\text{\AA}$ . (b) Spin-ARPES study of the surface state measured at the Fermi level. Arrows are showing about the spin textures from spin ARPES measurements. (c) Fourier transforms scanning tunneling spectroscopy FT-STs at Fermi energy ( $E_F$ ). (d) The joint density of states (JDOS) at Fermi energy ( $E_F$ ). (e) The spin dependent scattering probability (SSP) at Fermi energy ( $E_F$ ). (f) Close up of the JDOS, FT-STs, and SSP at Fermi energy ( $E_F$ ), along the $\Gamma$ - $M$ direction.	36
Fig. 1.20	Band structure of $\text{Sb}_2\text{Se}_3$ , $\text{Sb}_2\text{Te}_3$ , $\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ and $\text{Sb}_2\text{Te}_3$ calculated by <i>ab initio</i> density functional theory, red represents occupied bulk and surface states and blue the bulk band gap.	37
Fig. 1.21	(a) Crystal structure of $\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ (b) Brillouin zone of $\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ , (c) Three possible positions A, B, C in-plane triangle lattice.	39
Fig. 1.22	Schematic representation of the band structure of $\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ (I) Hybridization of Bi and Se orbitals (II) formation of bonding and anti bonding states due to inversion	41

	symmetry (III) Crystal field splitting (IV) effect of spin orbit coupling.	
Fig. 1.23	ARPES measurement of $\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ (a, b) cuts along the M- $\Gamma$ -M and K- $\Gamma$ -K directions (c) momentum distribution curve corresponding to (a)	42
Fig. 1.24	(a) ARPES study of $\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ showing surface electronic states with a single spin polarized Dirac cone. (b) The surface Fermi surface exhibits a chiral left handed spin texture. (c) Surface electronic structure of $\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ computed in the local density approximation. The shaded regions describe bulk states, and the lines are showing the surface states. (d) Schematic of the spin-polarized surface-state dispersion in $\text{Bi}_2\text{X}_3$ (1;000) topological insulators.	42
Fig. 1.25	ARPES experiment of $\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ topological insulator, Fig. A to D is presenting the result of doping concentration Sn in to $\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ from $\delta=0$ to 0.9%. Each section is showing the band dispersion along the K- $\Gamma$ -K direction and the respective momentum distribution curve, here SSB stands for surface state band, BCB- bulk conduction band and BVB- bulk valence band.	43
Fig. 1.26	Magnetic impurity such as Fe on the surface of $\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ opens a gap at the Dirac point. The interaction of Fe ions with the Se surface set a band gap and the time reversal symmetry breaking disorder potential introduced on the surface. (b) A comparison of surface band dispersion with and without Fe doping. (C) and (d) Nonmagnetic disorder created via molecular absorbent $\text{NO}_2$ or alkali atom adsorption K or Na on the surface leaves the Dirac node intact in both $\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ and $\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ .	44
Fig. 1.27	Variation of Magnetization vs applied magnetic field for samples $\text{Bi}_{2-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{Te}_3$ ( $x=0, 0.08, 0.15, 0.20, 0.25$ and $0.30$ ) (b) variation of electrical resistivity vs temperature for samples $\text{Bi}_{2-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{Te}_3$ ( $x=0, 0.08, 0.15, 0.20, 0.25$ and $0.30$ )	45

	Inset shows the zoom pic of resistivity below 20K.	
Fig. 1.28	(a) Variation of electrical resistivity vs. temperature for samples $\text{Bi}_{2-x}\text{Mn}_x\text{Te}_3$ ( $x=0, 0.03, 0.05, 0.09$ and $0.15$ ), Inset shows the seebeck coefficient of sample ( $x=0.03$ and $0.15$ ) (b) variation of Magnetization vs. applied magnetic field for samples $x=0.03$ and $0.15$ , Inset represents the $\chi$ vs. T graph for $x=0.03$ sample (c) variation of electrical resistivity vs. temperature for samples $\text{Bi}_{2-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{Se}_3$ ( $x=0, 0.005$ and $0.012$ ), Inset shows the normalized resistivity.	46
Fig. 1.29	(a) Variation of MR as a function of applied magnetic field (H); Inset shows the MR ratio between 3K-340K for $\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ nanosheets (b) Variation of MR as a function of applied magnetic field showing linear behavior in $\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ film (c) Plot of Hall mobility and MR showing good agreement with PL model in $\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ film (d) SdH oscillations in $\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_{2.1}\text{Te}_{0.9}$	48
Fig. 1.30	(a) Variation of resistivity vs. temperature for $\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ sample showing superconductivity ( $T_c$ )~ 2.7K (b) Variation of resistivity vs. temperature for $\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ sample showing superconductivity ( $T_c$ )~ 8K (c) Variation of resistivity vs. temperature for $\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ sample showing superconductivity ( $T_c$ )~ 9K (d) Variation of resistivity vs. temperature for $\text{Cu}_{0.12}\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3$ sample showing superconductivity ( $T_c$ )~ 3.8K.	49
<b>Chapter 2</b>	<b>Experimental: Synthesis Procedure and Characterization details</b>	
Fig.2.1	(a) Mechanism and (b) experimental set up of XRD instrument.	53
Fig.2.2	Schematic diagram of test circuit for measuring resistivity with the four-point probe method.	55
Fig.2.3	Schematic diagram for Hall Effect measurement	56
Fig.2.4	Schematic diagram for thermoelectric measurement	58
Fig.2.5	Schematic diagram of X-ray photoemission spectroscopy	59

Fig.2.6	(a) $2\omega$ detection principle.(b) SQUID detection schematic. (c) MPMS instrument set up used for characterization	61
Fig. 2.7	Schematic diagram of SQUID magnet	63
Fig. 2.8	Microscope (SEM) (b) Instrumental set up for the SEM instrument using for the characterization.	65
Fig.2.9	(a)Principle of Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) (b) instrumental Set up of AFM used for characterization	67
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>Magnetic and Magneto-transport study of <math>\text{Bi}_2\text{Cu}_x\text{Te}_{3-x}</math> (<math>x=0, 0.03, 0.09</math>) Topological Insulators</b>	
Fig.3.1	(a)XRD pattern of as prepared $\text{Bi}_2\text{Cu}_x\text{Te}_{3-x}$ ( $x=0, 0.03,$ $0.09$ ) (b) Zoom portion of (00 15) peak, (c) Variation of lattice parameter $c$ as a function of doping concentration $x$ .	71
Fig.3.2	Laue pattern of the single crystals $\text{Bi}_2\text{Cu}_x\text{Te}_{3-x}$ ( $x=0, 0.03,$ $0.09$ ).	72
Fig.3.3	Variation of resistivity as a function of temperature at different magnetic field for the samples $\text{Bi}_2\text{Cu}_x\text{Te}_{3-x}$ ( $x=0,$ $0.03, 0.09$ )	73
Fig.3.4	(a, b, c) Variation of Hall resistivity with applied magnetic field at different temperatures for the samples $\text{Bi}_2\text{Cu}_x\text{Te}_{3-x}$ ( $x=0, 0.03, 0.09$ ) respectively, Inset I is showing variation of carrier concentration as a function of temperature whereas Inset II is showing variation of carrier mobility with temperature at different applied magnetic field for respective samples.	75
Fig.3.5	(a, b, c) Variation of magnetoresistance as a function of applied magnetic field under different temperatures for the samples $x=0, 0.03$ and $0.09$ respectively.	78
Fig.3.6	Study of SdH oscillation for the sample $x=0.03$ (a) SdH oscillations plotted against $1/B$ at different temperatures. (b) SdH oscillations and Landau levels with inverse magnetic field and linear fitted curve (red line). (c) The FFT corresponding to Fig. (a); (d) Fit to the Dingle damping term at 2K with the resulting Dingle temperature	79

	$T_D=9K$ , Inset show the L-K fitting of the SdH oscillation and obtained cyclotron mass ( $m_c$ ) $0.13 m_e$ from fitting, at applied field 8.74T	
Fig.3.7	Study of SdH oscillation for the sample $x=0.09$ (a) SdH oscillations plotted against $1/B$ at different temperatures. (b) SdH oscillations and Landau levels with inverse magnetic field and linear fitted curve (red line). (c) The FFT corresponding to Fig. (a); (d) Fit to the Dingle damping term at 2K with the resulting Dingle temperature $T_D=13K$ , Inset show the L-K fitting of the SdH oscillation and obtained cyclotron mass ( $m_c$ ) $0.18 m_e$ from fitting, at applied field 10.74T.	83
Fig.3.8	Susceptibility ( $\chi$ ) vs. Temperature (T) curves at an applied field of 1000 Oe for the samples $x=0.03$ and 0.09. Figs. (b and c) showing individual fitted curves for the samples $x=0.03$ and 0.09.	85
Fig.3.9	M-H curves at 2K for the samples $x=0.03$ and 0.09.	87
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>Tuning of Carrier type, Enhancement of Magnetoresistance and Room Temperature Ferromagnetism in Cu doped Bi<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> Topological Insulators</b>	
Fig.4.1	Room temperature X-ray diffraction patterns of Bi <sub>2</sub> Te <sub>3</sub> and Bi <sub>2</sub> Cu <sub>0.15</sub> Te <sub>2.85</sub> , Inset: Laue pattern of Bi <sub>2</sub> Cu <sub>0.15</sub> Te <sub>2.85</sub> .	91
Fig.4.2	(a, b) Valence Band studies of Bi <sub>2</sub> Te <sub>3</sub> and Bi <sub>2</sub> Cu <sub>0.15</sub> Te <sub>2.85</sub> by Angle Integrated Photoemission Spectroscopy.	93
Fig.4.3	Temperature dependence of electrical resistivity for Bi <sub>2</sub> Te <sub>3</sub> and Bi <sub>2</sub> Cu <sub>0.15</sub> Te <sub>2.85</sub> and (b) Variation of Seebeck coefficient as a function of temperature. Inset represents the power factor (PF) of the Bi <sub>2</sub> Te <sub>3</sub> and Bi <sub>2</sub> Cu <sub>0.15</sub> Te <sub>2.85</sub> .	95
Fig.4.4	(a) Magnetic field dependence of the Hall resistivity of the Bi <sub>2</sub> Te <sub>3</sub> at 200K and 300K. Inset I represents the variation of carrier concentration as a function of temperature whereas Inset II shows the variation of carrier mobility	97

	with temperature for $\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ and (b) Magnetic field dependence of the Hall resistivity of the $\text{Bi}_2\text{Cu}_{0.15}\text{Te}_{2.85}$ at 200K and 300K, Inset is the variation of carrier mobility as a function of applied magnetic field at 200K and 300K.	
Fig.4.5	(a) Magnetoresistance as a function of magnetic field for $\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ at different temperatures and (b, c, d) Magnetoresistance as a function of magnetic field for $\text{Bi}_2\text{Cu}_{0.15}\text{Te}_{2.85}$ at different temperatures.	101
Fig.4.6	(a)-(b) Field dependence of magnetization for $\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ and $\text{Bi}_2\text{Cu}_{0.15}\text{Te}_{2.85}$ samples at 5K and 50K respectively. The inset represents hysteresis in $\text{Bi}_2\text{Cu}_{0.15}\text{Te}_{2.85}$ sample.(c)Temperature dependence of magnetization for $\text{Bi}_2\text{Cu}_{0.15}\text{Te}_{2.85}$ at an applied magnetic field of 1000 Oe showing ferromagnetic nature.(d) Field dependence of magnetization for $\text{Bi}_2\text{Cu}_{0.15}\text{Te}_{2.85}$ at different temperatures (i.e. 2K, 5K 50K and 300K).	104
Fig.4.7	X-ray Photoemission core level spectrum of Bi and Te in $\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ sample (a) & (b) whereas (c), (d)&(e) show core level spectrum of Bi, Te and Cu in $\text{Bi}_2\text{Cu}_{0.15}\text{Te}_{2.85}$ .	106
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>Enhancement in power factor due to anti-correlation between Electrical Conductivity and Thermoelectric power and Induced magnetic ordering in high mobility Zn doped <math>\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3</math> Topological Insulator</b>	
Fig.5.1	(a)Room temperature X-ray diffraction patterns of $\text{Bi}_{2-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Te}_3$ ( $x=0, 0.10, 0.20$ ) single crystals, (b) Variation of lattice parameter with Zn concentration for $\text{Bi}_{2-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Te}_3$ ( $x=0, 0.10, 0.20$ ).	111
Fig.5.2	Temperature dependence of zero field electrical resistivity for $\text{Bi}_{2-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Te}_3$ samples ( $X=0, 0.10, 0.20$ ) and Inset I is showing the field dependence of magnetization (MH) for $\text{Bi}_{2-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Te}_3$ ( $X=0.10$ and $0.20$ ) samples, Inset II is showing magnetization vs. temperature (MT) curve for $X=0.10$ sample and Inset III is showing MH curve for	113



	X=0.10 sample at different temperatures <i>viz.</i> 2K, 18K, 56K, 300K.	
Fig.5.3	Variation of power factor (PF) as a function of temperature for $\text{Bi}_{2-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Te}_3$ . Inset: represents the thermopower of the $\text{Bi}_{2-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Te}_3$ .	115
Fig.5.4	(a) Magnetic field dependence of the Hall resistivity at different temperatures for $\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$ . (b) Magnetic field dependence of the Hall resistivity at different temperatures for x=0.20 sample. Inset: Variation of mobility as a function of temperature for $\text{Bi}_{2-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Te}_3$ (x=0, 0.10, 0.20).	116
Fig.5.5	(a, b, c) Normalized MR as a function of magnetic field at different temperatures $\text{Bi}_{2-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Te}_3$ (x=0, 0.10, 0.20). (d) Variation of mobility and MR as a function of temperature for the sample x=0.20 at low field. Inset: Variation of MR at 2K for $\text{Bi}_{2-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{Te}_3$ (x=0, 0.10, 0.20)	119
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>Distinguishing Bulk state from Surface state by simultaneous SdH and dHvA oscillations in <math>\text{Sb}_{1.90}\text{Cu}_{0.10}\text{Te}_3</math> Topological Insulator</b>	
Fig.6.1	Magnetic field dependence of the Hall resistivity of $\text{Sb}_{1.90}\text{Cu}_{0.10}\text{Te}_3$ at different temperatures. Inset (a) represents the variation of carrier mobility as a function of temperature, Inset (b) Magnetic field dependence of the Hall mobility at 1.8K and 300K.	127
Fig.6.2	(a)Magnetoresistance as a function of magnetic field of $\text{Sb}_{1.90}\text{Cu}_{0.10}\text{Te}_3$ at different temperatures. Inset: variation of electrical resistivity with respect to Temperature. (b) SdH oscillations of the longitudinal resistance (second derivative) with 1/B at different temperatures. (c) The FFT corresponding to Fig. (b); (d) SdH oscillations (second derivative) and Landau levels with inverse magnetic field and linear fitted curve (red line).	129
Fig.6.3	Fit to the Dingle damping term at 1.8K with the resulting Dingle temperature $T_D=36.8\text{K}$ , Inset show the L-K fitting	131

	of the SdH oscillation and obtained cyclotron mass ( $m_c$ ) from fitting at applied field 7.32T.	
Fig.6.4	Field dependence of magnetization of $Sb_{1.90}Cu_{0.10}Te_3$ at 2K, 5K and 10K respectively. The inset represents Temperature dependence of magnetization in ZFC mode at an applied magnetic field of 1000 Oe. (b) SdH oscillations in the magnetization (second derivative) with $1/B$ at different temperatures. (c) The FFT corresponding to Fig. (b), (d) dHvA oscillations of the magnetization (second derivative) and Landau levels with inverse magnetic field and linearly fitted data red line).	132
Fig.6.5	Fit to the Dingle damping term at 1.8K with the resulting Dingle temperature $T_D=29.3K$ , Inset shows the L-K fitting of the dHvA oscillation in magnetization and obtained cyclotron mass ( $m_{cyc}$ ) from fitting at applied field 5.52T.	133
<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>Presence of Anti-Ferromagnetic ordering at Room Temperature in low concentration Co doped <math>Sb_2Te_3</math> Topological Insulators</b>	
Fig.7.1	X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of $Sb_{2-x}Co_xTe_3$ ( $x=0, 0.02, 0.06, 0.10$ ) single crystals.	139
Fig.7.2	Le Bail refinement of the XRD pattern for the samples ( $x=0, 0.02, 0.06, 0.10$ ).	140
Fig.7.3	(a, b) Represents the EDX spectra of the samples $x=0$ and $x=0.10$ respectively.	141
Fig.7.4	(a, b) Show the SEM images of the samples $x=0$ and $x=0.10$ respectively. (c, d) Represents the 3D AFM images of $x=0$ and $x=0.10$ samples respectively, (e) shows the variation of height vs. scanned distance for $x=0$ sample.	142
Fig.7.5	Represents the XPS survey scan of $x=0.10$ sample, Inset: XPS high resolution core level spectra of Co region (2p).	144
Fig.7.6	Shows the variation of magnetization (M) vs. temperature (T) for the Co doped samples ( $x= 0.02, 0.06, 0.10$ ).	146

(a, b, c) show the variation of magnetization (M) vs. applied field (H) for the Co doped samples ( $x= 0.02, 0.06, 0.10$ ) respectively. Inset of Fig.7.7 (a), (b) and (c) represents the zoom picture of MH behavior at 2K. Fig. 7.7 (d) represents compile picture of M vs. H behavior for the samples ( $x= 0.02, 0.06, 0.10$ ) at 2K, Inset (I): shows the compile picture of M vs. H for the samples ( $x= 0.02, 0.06, 0.10$ ) at 300K, Inset (II) represents the variation of coeresive field ( $H_C$ ) as a function of Temperature (T) for the samples  $x=0.02$  and  $x=0.06$ .