

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Description	Page No.
2.1	Various nanosystems used for delivery and targeting of theranostic agents	9
2.2	Graphical representation of the number of publications cited in Scopus database on polymeric nanoparticles during the period of 1996–2010	10
2.3	Schematic representation of systemic drug absorption from intestinal lumen	11
2.4	Schematic representation of various methods of polymeric nanoparticle preparation. SCF: supercritical fluid technology, C/LR: controlled/living radical	12
2.5	Schematic depiction of dialysis method for nanoparticle preparation	15
2.6	Showing the empirical design of supercritical fluid technique methodology of nanoparticle preparation	16
2.7	Conceptual drawing of atherosclerotic/damaged vessels and polymeric nanocarriers with various targeting mechanisms	19
4.1	Schematic depiction of micelle formation and solubilization of poorly soluble drug with surfactants under mild agitation (magnetic stirring)	43
4.2	Schematic representation of preparation of AERSNs via emulsification solvent evaporation method	47
4.3	Schematic representation of APLNs and ALPNs preparations by nanoprecipitation method	48
5.1	Standard calibration plot of ATR in DW	62
5.2	Standard calibration plot of ATR in phosphate buffer pH 7.4	63
5.3	Standard calibration plot of ATR in phosphate buffer pH 6.8	63
5.4	Standard calibration plot of ATR in phosphate buffer pH 4.5	64
5.5	HPLC chromatograms of ATR (200 ng/ml) spiked in plasma as well as in mobile phase samples	65
5.6	Calibration plots of ATR in mobile phase and in plasma samples at 247 nm wavelength	65
5.7	Depiction of micelle formation	68
5.8	Effect of concentration of SLS on the solubility of ATR in different solutions	69
5.9	Effect of concentration of STC on the solubility of ATR in different solutions	70
5.10	Effect of concentration of CTAB on the solubility of ATR in different solutions	71
5.11	Effect of concentration of Tween 80 on the solubility of ATR in different solutions	72
5.12	Effect of concentration of TPGS on the solubility of ATR in different solutions	73
5.13	Values of molar solubilization ratio (χ) of all four surfactants at different pH	74

Fig. No.	Description	Page No.
5.14	Values of micelle-water partition coefficient (P_m) of all four surfactants at different pH	75
5.15	Values of standard free energy of solubilization (ΔG_s^0) of all four surfactants at different pH	76
5.16	Values of binding constant (K) of all four surfactants at different pH	76
5.17	Three-dimensional response surface plot showing the effect of polymer content, concentration of surfactant, volume of organic phase and homogenization speed on (A & B) Mean diameter particle size and (C & D) Mean entrapment efficiency	79
5.18	FT-IR spectra of (a) ATR, (b) PM of ATR and PLGA, (c) Placebo nanoparticles and (d) Optimized batch of AERSNs	81
5.19	DSC thermograms of (a) ATR, (b) PM of ATR and PLGA, (c) Placebo nanoparticles and (d) Optimized batch of AERSNs	82
5.20	PXRD diffractograms of (a) ATR, (b) PM of ATR and PLGA, (c) Placebo nanoparticles and (d) Optimized batch of AERSNs	83
5.21	(A) AFM image (B) TEM image and (C) TEM diffraction pattern of optimized batch of AERSNs	84
5.22	Representing the <i>in vitro</i> drug release profile of optimized batch of AERSNs and drug suspension (DS) in phosphate buffer pH 7.4	85
5.23	The particle size, entrapment efficiency and polydispersity index (PDI) of optimized AERSNs during stability study of 6 months under different environmental conditions	86
5.24	Gas chromatogram of (a) Standard chloroform (1250 ppm) and internal standard 1-propanol (1000 ppm) in deionized water (b) Aqueous sample of AERSNs with internal standard 1-propanol (1000 ppm)	87
5.25	Pharmacokinetic profiles of AERSNs and drug suspension (DS)	88
5.26	Exhibiting (A) Plasma total cholesterol levels (B) Plasma triglyceride levels (C) Plasma low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDLc) levels (D) Plasma high density lipoprotein (HDLc) levels and (E) Plasma glucose levels versus time profile of different treated groups	91
5.27	Depicting (A) Plasma creatinine levels (B) Blood urea nitrogen (BUN) levels (C) Plasma creatinine kinase (CK) levels, (D) Plasma lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels and (E) Aspartate amino transferase (AST) levels versus time profile of various treated groups	92
5.28	Histomicrograph of stained liver tissue of various groups (A) Normal control, (B) High fat-diet control (C) Placebo nanoparticle treated, (D) Drug suspension treated and (E) AERSNs treated	94
5.29	Three-dimensional surface response plot showing the effect of polymer content, surfactant concentration, volume of organic solvent and stirring speed on (A & B) Mean diameter PS and (C & D) Mean EE of APLNs	97
5.30	FT-IR spectra of (a) ATR, (b) Placebo nanoparticles, (c) Physical mixture of ATR and PLGA and (d) Optimized formulation APLNs	99

Fig. No.	Description	Page No.
5.31	DSC thermograms of (a) ATR, (b) Placebo nanoparticles, (c) Physical mixture of ATR and PLGA and (d) Optimized formulation batch APLNs	100
5.32	PXRD diffractograms of (a) ATR, (b) Placebo nanoparticles, (c) Physical mixture and (d) Optimized batch of APLNs	100
5.33	(a) AFM image, (b) TEM micrograph image and (c) Electron diffusion ring pattern of optimized batch of APLNs	101
5.34	<i>In vitro</i> drug release profile of APLNs and drug suspension in phosphate buffer pH 7.4	103
5.35	The particle size, entrapment efficiency and polydispersity index of optimized APLNs during stability study of 6 months under different environmental conditions	104
5.36	Gas chromatogram of (a) Standard acetone (1000 ppm) and internal standard 1-propanol (1500 ppm) in deionized water (b) Aqueous sample of APLNs with internal standard 1-propanol (1500 ppm)	104
5.37	Pharmacokinetic profiles of APLNs and drug suspension (DS) (vertical bars represent the standard deviation and dose is equivalent to 20 mg/kg of ATR)	105
5.38	(A-F) Showing the plasma (A) Total cholesterol, (B) Triglyceride, (C) HDL cholesterol, (D) LDL cholesterol, (E) Glucose and (F) Atherogenic ratio level time profile of different groups	108
5.39	Depicting (A) Plasma creatinine levels (B) Blood urea nitrogen (BUN) levels (C) Plasma creatinine kinase (CK) levels, (D) Plasma lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels and (E) Aspartate amino transferase (AST) levels versus time profile of various treated groups	109
5.40	Histomicrographs of stained liver tissue of (A) NDC, (B) HFC (C) HFPPLT, (D) HFAST and (E) HFAPT groups	111
5.41	Three-dimensional surface response plot showing the effect of polymer content, surfactant concentration, volume of organic solvent and stirring speed on (A & B) Mean diameter particle size, and (C & D) Mean entrapment efficiency of PNs	113
5.42	FT-IR spectra of (a) ATR, (b) Physical mixture, (c) Placebo nanoparticles and (d) Optimized formulation ALPNs	114
5.43	DSC spectra of (a) ATR, (b) Physical mixture, (c) Placebo nanoparticles and (d) Optimized formulation ALPNs	115
5.44	PXRD diffractograms of (a) ATR, (b) Physical mixture, (c) Placebo nanoparticles and (d) Optimized formulation ALPNs	116
5.45	(A) AFM image, (B) TEM image and (C) Electron diffusion ring pattern of optimized batch of APLNs	117
5.46	Representing the <i>in vitro</i> drug release profile of optimized batch of APLNs and drug suspension in phosphate buffer pH 7.4	118
5.47	The particle size, entrapment efficiency and polydispersity index (PDI) of optimized ALPNs during stability study of 6 months under different environmental conditions	119

Fig. No.	Description	Page No.
5.48	Gas chromatogram of (a) Standard acetone (1000 ppm) and internal standard 1-propanol (1500 ppm) in deionized water (b) Aqueous sample of ALPNs with internal standard 1-propanol (1500 ppm)	119
5.49	Pharmacokinetic profile of ALPNs and drug suspension (DS) (vertical bars represent the standard deviation and dose is equivalent to 20 mg/kg of ATR)	120
5.50	(A-F) Showing the plasma (A) Total cholesterol, (B) Triglyceride, (C) HDL cholesterol, (D) LDL cholesterol, (E) Glucose and (F) Atherogenic ratio level time profile of different groups	123
5.51	Showing plasma (A) Creatinine levels (B) Blood urea nitrogen (BUN) levels (C) Creatinine kinase (CK) levels, (D) Plasma lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels and (E) Aspartate amino transferase (AST) levels versus time profile in different groups	126
5.52	Histomicrograph of stained liver tissue of (A) Normal diet control, (B) High fat-diet control (C) Placebo nanoparticle treated, (D) ATR suspension treated and (E) ALPNs treated groups	127