
LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure Caption</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Fig.2.1. Engine block with cast-in liners	6
Fig.2.2. Wear amount of different cylinder bore material	8
Fig.2.3. Types of Graded structure (a) continuous graded structure (b) stepwise graded structure.	10
Fig.2.4. (A) various fabrication methods for bulk and coating type functionally graded materials. (B) Diverse application fields of FGMs.	12
Fig.2.5. Schematic Illustration of Processing Temperature (a) Centrifugal Solid Particle and (b) In-situ Methods	15
Fig.2.6. Centrifugally cast graded microstructure in different region of A356-SiC _p composite (a) 1.5 mm; (b) 5.5 mm; (c) 6.5 mm; (d) 15 mm. Distances are away from outer surface of the cylindrical casting.	16
Fig.2.7. Optical micrographs of different regions of FGMs,: (a) and (b) are from Al-Al ₃ Ti FGM in outer and inner regions, respectively; (c) and (d) are from Al-Al ₃ Zr FGM in outer and inner regions, respectively. White needles are intermetallic. The arrows indicate the direction of applied centrifugal force [18].	18
Fig.2.8. SEM micrographs of Al-4Cu-TiB ₂ FG composite showing (a) outer, (b) middle, (c) inner region of the composite, (d) EDS spectrum from particle-free region, (e) high-magnification image from the outer region, and (f) EDS spectrum from one of the TiB ₂ particles (speed of 2000 rpm with the mold temperature of 900 ⁰ C).	19
Fig.2.9. Wear volume for the various AMC samples subjected to pin-on-disk wear tests under identical conditions.	20

Fig.2.10. Microstructure of the in situ multi-layer FGMs by Electromagnetic Separation method: (a–c) are the microstructure of the first layer, second layer and the third layer respectively.	21
Fig.2.11. Microstructure of in-situ Al-Si FGM by electromagnetic separation method: (a), (b), (c) and (d) are microstructure of region from top of casting to bottom respectively.	21
Fig.2.12. Microstructure of Al–17 wt.%Ni sample solidified under the electromagnetic field: (a), (b), (c), and (d) are microstructures of regions from bottom to top of casting respectively. Electromagnetic Archimedes force acts in the direction of arrow.	22
Fig.2.13. Set-up for directional solidification experiments a)with natural convection and b) with forced convection.	25
Fig.2.14. (a)–(c) Solidification microstructures of Al–x%Mg ₂ Si (x = 15, 20, 30) alloys: (a) x = 15; (b) x = 20; (c) x = 30. (d)–(g) Different three-dimensional shapes of the extracted primary Mg ₂ Si: (d) complete octahedron; (e) hopper; (f) truncated octahedron; (g) dendrite (Ref.55).	27
Fig.2.15. Schematic diagram showing growth of the octahedral profile: (a) directions of secondary branches at the advancing tip of the first branch in the [0 0 1] direction; (b) relationship between the corners and surfaces of the octahedral profile.(Ref.55)	27
Fig.2.16. SEM image showing primary Mg ₂ Si in Al–Mg ₂ Si melt-spun ribbon: (a) slight angular features at free surface and (b) smooth surfaced cylindrical structure with rapid solidification.(Ref.56).	28
Fig.2.17. Modification and refinement of primary Mg ₂ Si particles at various superheat temperatures (850, 900, 950°C) and holding times (15, 30 and 45 minutes).(Ref.60).	30
Fig.2.18. Semisolid microstructures of the Al- Mg ₂ Si composite by the cooling slope cast with different isothermal holding time of (a) 30 min, (b) 60 min, (c) 180 min and (d) 600 min.(Ref.62).	31
Fig.2.19. Calculated vertical section of Al–20%Mg ₂ Si to Si in the	33

equilibrium Al–Mg–Si phase diagram (Ref. 63).

- Fig.2.20.**The primary Mg₂Si particle size and the volume percent of α -Al phase and the resulting properties as a function of extra Si content.(Ref.65). 33
- Fig.2.21.** Calculated phase diagram of Al-Si-Cu-Mg system. The dashed lines represent respectively the critical compositions of Al-15Si-4Cu-xMg at 5.16 pct Mg and 12.52 pct Mg.(Ref.67). 34
- Fig.2.22.**SEM micrographs showing the morphological evolution of Mg₂Si phase with increase in Mg wt.% addition: (a) 6.2%, (b) 8.4%, (c) 12.8% and (d) 14.9%.(Ref.67). 35
- Fig.2.23.**Microstructure of Al–NiAl₃–Mg₂Si ternary eutectic and (b) three-dimensional morphology after Al matrix is removed.(Ref.70). 36
- Fig.2.24.** Cooling curves of composites and corresponding change in morphology at different concentrations of Sr: 0.01, 0.04, 0.08 and 0.1 wt.%.(Ref.73). 37
- Fig.2.25.**Optical microscope microstructures of in situ Mg₂Si/Al–Si–Cu composites with different Ce addition: (a) 0 wt.%; (b) 0.2 wt.%; (c) 0.4 wt.%; and (d) 1.0 wt.%.(Ref.80). 39
- Fig.2.26.** SEM images of the eutectic matrix in the composites with different Ce addition: (a) 0 wt.%;(b) 0.2 wt.%; (c) 0.4 wt.%; and (d) 1.0 wt.%.(Ref.80). 39
- Fig.2.27.** SEM micrograph of as-cast Al-15% Mg₂Si composite containing (a) 0.5 wt.% Y, (b) 1 wt.% Y , (c) and (d) are solution-treated composites containing 0.5 wt.% Y and 1 wt.% Y respectively.(Ref.83). 40
- Fig.2.28.** Engineering stress vs. elongation of Al-15% Mg₂Si composites containing various amounts of Y (a)before and (b)after solution treatment (Ref.83). 41
- Fig.2.29.** Stages of primary Mg₂Si crystal growth; without P addition 43

(route 1 to 2), and with P addition (route 1 to 3 to 4).

Fig.2.30. Variation of segregation tendency of primary Si and Mg ₂ Si particles at different layers of FG composites with different speed of rotations.(Ref.96).	45
Fig.2.31. Variation of segregation tendency of primary Si and Mg ₂ Si particles at different layers of FG composites with different pouring temperatures as indicated.(Ref.96).	46
Fig.2.32. Mechanism of primary Si wrapping of Mg ₂ Si particles and adherence in the inner layer (Ref.97).	46
Fig.2.33. Effect of ageing treatment of Mg ₂ Si/Al composite after modification with phosphorus (a)low magnification and (b) high magnification (Ref.111).	49
Fig.3.1. Set-up for stir casting attached with centrifugal casting machine.	52
Fig.3.2. Centrifugally cast FGM tubes.	52
Fig 3.3. Flow-chart for synthesis of FG-composites.	53
Fig.3.4. Optical Emission Spectrometer (Foundry Master).	53
Fig.3.5. Solution treatment and ageing cycles (T6).	54
Fig.3.6. Metallurgical microscope (Lieca).	55
Fig.3.7. (a) SEM FEI, Quanta 200F and (b) ZEISS Model-EVO 18.	55
Fig.3.8. Transmission electron microscope (Tecnai G ² -20).	56
Fig.3.9. X-Ray diffractometer (Rigaku Miniflex 600 DTex).	57
Fig.3.10. Vickers Hardness tester (Leco LM248 AT).	59

Fig.3.11. Instron-5848 tensile testing machine.	59
Fig.3.12. Schematic view of tensile test sample dimensions.	60
Fig.3.13. Pin-on-Disc type tribometer (DUCOM, TL-20, Bangalore, India).	61
Fig.3.14. Schematic representation of Pin-on Disc sliding wear test	61
Fig.3.15. Ducom Linear reciprocating tribometer and ball on test block specimen.	62
Fig.4.1. XRD Pattern of A356 alloy, A356+2.5Mg, A356+5.0Mg, A356+7.5Mg and A356+10Mg FGMs.	65
Fig. 4.2. XRD Pattern of extracted Mg ₂ Si particles.	65
Fig.4.3. Optical microstructures of a) outer, b) middle and c) inner zone of the radial cross-section of the base A356 alloy.	66
Fig.4.4. Optical micrographs of a) outer, b) middle and c) inner cross-sectional zones of A356+2.5 Mg FGM and d), e) and f) are corresponding micrographs at higher magnifications.	67
Fig.4.5. Optical micrographs of a) outer, b) middle and c) inner cross-sectional zones of A356-5 Mg FGM and d), e) and f) are corresponding micrographs at higher magnifications.	68
Fig.4.6. Optical micrographs of (a) outer, (b) middle and (c) inner cross-sectional zones of A356-7.5 Mg FGM and (d), (e) and (f) are corresponding micrographs at higher magnifications	68
Fig.4.7. Optical micrographs of (a) outer, (b) middle and (c) inner cross-sectional zones of A356-10Mg FGM and (d), (e) and (f) are corresponding micrographs at higher magnifications	69
Fig.4.8. SEM micrographs of a) inner, b) middle, c) outer cross-sectional zones of A356-2.5 Mg FGM and d), e) and f) are corresponding zones of A356-5 Mg FGM respectively.	72
Fig.4.9. SEM micrographs of (a) inner, (b) middle, (c) outer cross-	73

sectional zones of A356-7.5Mg FGM and (d), (e) and (f) are corresponding zones of A356-10 Mg FGM respectively.

Fig.4.10. Equilibrium phase diagrams of the pseudo-binary Al-Mg ₂ Si	74
Fig.4.11. Volume % of primary Mg ₂ Si in different zones of FG composites.	74
Fig.4.12. Elemental mappings by EDS analysis of Al, Si, Mg, Fe and Cu in inner zones of(a)A356-2.5Mg and(b) A356-5Mg (c) A356-7.5Mg (d) A356-10Mg FG-composites.	75
Fig.4.13. Elemental mappings by EDS analysis of Al, Si, Mg, Fe and Cu in middle zones of(a)A356-2.5Mg and(b) A356-5Mg (c) A356-7.5Mg (d) A356-10Mg FG-composites.	76
Fig.4.14. Elemental mappings by EDS analysis of Al, Si, Mg, Fe and Cu in outer zones of(a)A356-2.5Mg and(b) A356-5Mg (c) A356-7.5Mg(d) A356-10Mg FG-composites.	77
Fig.4.15. π -intermetallics close to plate-like β -intermetallics in inner zone A356-7.5Mg FG composites.	79
Fig.4.16. Size distribution of Mg ₂ Si particles in different zones of A356-2.5 %Mg FGM composite.	80
Fig.4.17. Size distribution of Mg ₂ Si particles in different zones of A356-5 %Mg FGM composite.	80
Fig.4.18. Size distribution of Mg ₂ Si particles in different zones of A356-7.5 %Mg FGM.	81
Fig.4.19. Size distribution of Mg ₂ Si particles in different zones of A356-10%Mg FGM.	81
Fig.4.20. Volume % of Al-Fe-Si intermetallic in different zones of FG composites.	82
Fig.4.21. Bright field TEM image of inner layer of A356-2.5%Mg FG composite; a) ,b) showing different morphologies of Mg ₂ Si particles in matrix with dislocations; c) and d) are corresponding	83

SAD patterns of Mg_2Si particle and matrix respectively.

- Fig.4.22.** Bright field TEM image of inner layer of A356-7.5%Mg FG composite; a), b) showing different morphologies of Mg_2Si particles in matrix with dislocations; c) and d) are corresponding SAD patterns of Mg_2Si particle and matrix respectively. 83
- Fig.5.1.** Vickers hardness profile of A356 Alloy, A356+2.5Mg, A356+5.0 Mg , 356+7.5Mg and A356+10Mg FG-Composites. 88
- Fig.5.2.** Stress-strain diagrams for different zones at; (a) RT (25°C), (b) 150°C and (c) 300°C of A356 base alloy. 89
- Fig.5.3.** Stress-strain diagrams for different zones at; (a) RT (25°C), (b) 150°C and (c) 300°C of A356-2.5%Mg FG-composite. 90
- Fig.5.4.** Stress-strain diagrams for different zones at; (a) RT (25°C), (b) 150°C and (c) 300°C of A356-5%Mg FG-composite. 91
- Fig.5.5.** Stress-strain diagrams for different zones at; (a) RT (25°C), (b) 150°C and (c) 300°C of A356-7.5%Mg FG-composite. 92
- Fig.5.6.** Stress-strain diagrams for different zones at; (a) RT (25°C), (b) 150°C and (c) 300°C of A356-10%Mg FG-composite. 93
- Fig.5.7.** UTS graphs for base alloy (A356) at inner zone, middle zone and outer zone at different temperature. 94
- Fig.5.8.** Tensile properties in different zones along the radial direction of the FGM composites with varying Mg content. 94
- Fig.5.9.** Strain hardening exponents (n) of various zones of A356 and composite FGMs at different test temperatures. 95
- Fig.5.10.** Tensile ductility (elongation %) of different zones at various test temperatures. 96
- Fig.5.11.** Yield strength of base alloy and FG-composites at (a) inner,(b)middle zone and (c) outer zones at 25°C,150°C and 300°C respectively. 97

Fig.5.12. Fractographs of a) A356 alloy (inner zone), b) A356 +2.5%Mg (outer zone) and c) A356 + 7.5%Mg (middle zone) FGMs, respectively at room temperature and d) A356 alloy (inner zone), e) A356 +2.5%Mg (outer zone) and f) A356 +7.5%Mg (outer zone) respectively at 300 °C.	99
Fig.5.13. Fractrogrphs illustrating typical features at high temperature (300°C) fracture, a) cracking and debonding of particles, in the inner zone of A356-2.5 Mg FG composite; b) particle shattering in the inner zone of A356-7.5 Mg FG composite and c) tear ridges in outer zone of A356.	100
Fig.6.1. Microstructures of A356 alloys (a), (b), (c) solution treated conditions and (d), (e), (f) solution treated at 495°C and after ageing for 7 h time at inner, middle & outer layers respectively.	105
Fig.6.2. Optical microstructures of A356+2.5Mg FG composites at inner, middle & outer layers respectively; (a),(b),(c) are in solution treated conditions and (d),(e),(f) are after ageing treatment.	106
Fig.6.3. Optical microstructures of A356+5Mg FG composites at inner, middle & outer layers respectively; (a),(b),(c) are in solution treated conditions and (d),(e),(f) are after ageing treatment.	107
Fig.6.4. Optical microstructures of A356+7.5Mg FG composites at inner, middle & outer layers respectively; (a),(b),(c) are in solution treated conditions and (d),(e),(f) are after ageing treatment.	108
Fig.6.5. Optical microstructures of A356+10Mg FG composites at inner, middle & outer layers respectively; (a),(b),(c) are in solution treated conditions and (d),(e),(f) are after ageing treatment.	109
Fig.6.6. SEM images of A356+5Mg FGMs (a), (b), (c) as cast conditions and (d), (e), (f) after aged treated at 160°C for 7 hours at inner, middle & outer layers respectively.	111
Fig.6.7. SEM images of A356+10Mg FGMs (a), (b), (c) as cast conditions and (d), (e), (f) after aged treated at 160°C for 7 h at inner, middle & outer layers respectively.	112
Fig.6.8 XRD Pattern of A356 alloy and FG-composites after heat	113

treatment.

- Fig. 6.9.** Size distribution of Mg_2Si particles at inner zone of (a) A356+2.5Mg FG composite and (b) A356+5.0Mg FG composite after T6 treatment. 114
- Fig. 6.10.** Size distribution of Mg_2Si particles at inner zone of (a) A356+7.5Mg FG composite and (b) A356+10.0Mg FG composite after T6 treatment. 114
- Fig. 6.11** TEM-BF micrographs show precipitated phases related to peak-aged condition and corresponding SADPs from Mg_2Si precipitates indicated by the arrows for (a) A356+2.5Mg and (b) A356+5.0Mg FG- composites respectively. 115
- Fig. 6.12.** TEM-BF micrographs show precipitated phases related to peak-aged condition and corresponding SADPs from Mg_2Si precipitates indicated by the arrows for (a) A356+7.5Mg and (b) A356+10Mg FG- composites respectively. 115
- Fig.6.13** Vickers Hardness profiles for different zones of (a)A356 base alloy, (b) A356+2.5Mg, (c) A356+5.0Mg, (d) A356+7.5Mg & (e) A356+10.0 Mg FGMs in solution treated and aged conditions. 117
- Fig. 6.14** Typical Engineering stress-strain diagrams for different zones of FG composites at; (a) RT (25°C), (b) 150°C and (c) 300°C of for base alloy after solution heat treated at 495 °C and aged at 160°C for 7h. 118
- Fig. 6.15** Typical Engineering stress-strain diagrams for different zones of FG composites at; (a) RT (25°C), (b) 150°C and (c) 300°C of for A356-2.5%Mg FGM were solution heat treated at 495 °C and aged at 160°C for 7h. 119
- Fig. 6.16.** Typical Engineering stress-strain diagrams for different zones of FG composites at; (a) RT (25°C), (b) 150°C and (c) 300°C of for A356-5%Mg FGM were solution heat treated at 495 °C and aged at 160°C for 7h. 119

Fig. 6.17. Typical Engineering stress-strain diagrams for different zones of FG composites at; (a) RT (25°C), (b) 150°C and (c) 300°C of for A356-7.5%Mg FGM were solution heat treated at 495 °C and aged at 160°C for 7h.	119
Fig. 6.18. Typical Engineering stress-strain diagrams for different zones of FG composites at; (a) RT (25°C), (b) 150°C and (c) 300°C of for A356-10%Mg FGM were solution heat treated at 495 °C and aged at 160°C for 7h.	120
Fig.6.19 UTS(MPa) vs. test temperature graphs of FGMs after solution treated at 495°C for 7h and 10 h ageing time at 160°C in steps of 1h at (a)inner, (b)middle &(c) outer layers.	122
Fig.6.20 Strain hardening exponents vs. test temperature graphs of FGMs after solution treated at 495°C for 7h and 10 h ageing time at 160°C in steps of 1h at (a)inner, (b)middle &(c) outer layers.	122
Fig.6.21. %Elongation graphs after solution treated at 495°C for 7h and 10 h ageing time at 160°C in steps of 1h;(a) A356+2.5Mg,A356+5.0Mg and(b) 7.5Mg, A356+10Mg FGMs at inner, middle& outer layers at different test temperature.	122
Fig.6.22. Typical Fractographs of A356+2.5Mg FGMs, a) inner zone, b) middle zone, and c) outer zone at 300°C respectively.	125
Fig.6.23. Typical Fractographs of A356+5.0Mg FGMs, a) inner zone, b) middle zone, and c) outer zone at 300°C respectively.	125
Fig.6.24. Typical Fractographs of A356+7.5Mg FGMs, a) inner zone, b) middle zone, and c) outer zone at 300°C respectively.	126
Fig.6.25. Typical Fractographs of A356+10Mg FGMs, a) inner zone, b) middle zone, and c) outer zone at 300°C respectively.	126
Fig.6.26 Typical Fractographs of FGMs, a)A356+5Mg (RT-25°C) outer zone, b) A356+7.5Mg at (150°C) middle zone, and	127

c)A356+10Mg at inner zone at (300°C) respectively.

- Fig.7.1.** Variation of (a) cumulative wt. loss and (b) COF with sliding distance (m) at inner core to the geometrical center of the FGM tube for both FGM's cast and A356 alloy. 131
- Fig.7.2.** SEM micrographs of worn surfaces at constant load (40 N) and sliding distance 3000 m; (a) A356 alloy, (c) A356+2.5Mg FG composite and (e) A356+7.5Mg FG composite at inner position; (b), (d) and (f) are corresponding EDS analysis. 131
- Fig.7.3.** SEM of the worn surface of inner zone of A356%-7.5%Mg FG composite at 40 N load and 3000 m sliding distance showing; (a) wearing of mechanically mixed layer, (b) type of debris formed and (c) corresponding EDS analysis. 132
- Fig.7.4.** SEM of the worn surface of full area at inner zone of A356%-10%Mg FG composite at 40 N load (a) type of debris formed and (b) corresponding EDS analysis. 133
- Fig.7.5.** Effect of sliding distances on the worn surface roughness (Ra) of inner zone of FG composites. 134
- Fig.7.6.** Worn surface profile of FG composites at a fixed normal load of 40 N with varying sliding distances; (a) 1200 m, (b)1800 m, (c) 2400 m and (d) 3000 m. 134
- Fig.7.7.** Effect of applied normal load on; (a) wear rate and (b) Co-efficient of friction of inner zones of FG composites and A356 matrix alloy. 135
- Fig.7.8.** SEM images of the worn surfaces; (a) and (b) are of A356 matrix alloy; (c) and (d) are of A356-2.5%Mg FG composite; (e) and (f) are of A356-5%Mg FG composite; (g) and (h) are of A356-7.5%Mg FG composite; (i) and (j) are of A356%-10%Mg FG composite with normal load of 20 N and 40 N respectively. 136
- Fig.7.9.** Optical micrographs of the worn out (3000 m sliding distance at 138

normal load 40 N) subsurface transverse section along the sliding directions; (a)–(c) are of A356%-2.5%Mg and (d)–(f) are of A356%-7.5%Mg FG-composites respectively.

- Fig.7.10.** Optical micrographs of the worn out (3000 m sliding distance at normal load 40 N) subsurface transverse section along the sliding directions; (a)–(c) are of A356%-2.5%Mg and (d)–(f) are of A356%-7.5%Mg Fg composites respectively. 139
- Fig.7.11.** Matrix micro-hardness versus depth beneath the worn surface of the FG composites at 40 N normal load. 140
- Fig.7.12.** Effect of volume%Mg₂Si on (a) specific wear rate and (b) average COF at different zones of FG composites at fixed normal load of 40 N, sliding velocity of 1.15 m s⁻¹ and sliding distance of 3000 m. 141
- Fig.7.13.** Variation of mass loss with different %Mg in Fg composites. 144
- Fig.7.14.** Morphology of primary Mg₂Si in T6 treated samples; a) with 2.5wt.% Mg, b) with 5.0 wt.% Mg, c) with 7.5 wt.% Mg and d) with 10 wt.% Mg. 145
- Fig.7.15.** Co-efficient of friction, Frictional force (N) and Temperature °C vs. time profiles of inner zones of T6 treated A356-2.5%Mg and A356-5.0%Mg composites. 146
- Fig.7.16.** Co-efficient of friction, Frictional force (N) and Temperature °C vs. time profiles of inner zones of T6 treated A356-7.5%Mg and A356-10%Mg composites. 147
- Fig.7.17.** COF and Frictional force (N vs. wt.% Mg in the composites at (a) inner zones,(b) Outer zones. 148
- Fig.7.18.** Worn surface SEM Images of inner zone of T6 treated A356+2.5Mg FG composite at different magnifications. 150
- Fig.7.19.** Worn surface SEM Images of inner zone of T6 treated 150

A356+5Mg FG composite at different magnifications.

- Fig.7.20.** Worn surface SEM Images of inner zone of T6 treated A356+7.5Mg FG composite at different magnifications. 151
- Fig.7.21.** Worn surface SEM Images of inner zone of T6 treated A356+10Mg FG composite at different magnifications. 151
- Fig.7.22.** EDS analysis of wear debris: (a) and (b) are of A356-2.5Mg; (c) and (d) are of A356-5.0Mg; (e) and (f) are of A356-7.5Mg; and (g) and (h) are of A356-10Mg FG composites at inner zone in T6 condition. 152
- Fig.7.23.** Subsurface SEM image of the worn out section of A356-2.5%Mg FGM after T6 heat treatment at inner layer. 153
- Fig.7.24.** Subsurface SEM image of the worn out section of A356-5%Mg FGM after T6 heat treatment at inner layer. 153
- Fig.7.25.** Subsurface SEM image of the worn out section of a) and b)A356-7.5%Mg FGM and c) and d) A356-10%Mg FGM after T6 heat treatment at inner layer. 154
- Fig.7.26.** SEM images of worn surfaces of a) steel ball used as counter face for plates of A356-2.5Mg, (b) steel ball used as counter face for plates of A356-5.0Mg, (c) steel ball used as counter face for plates of A356-7.5Mg and (d) steel ball used as counter face for plates of A356-10Mg FG-composites. e), f), g) and h) are at higher magnifications of a), b), c) and d) respectively. 155
- Fig.7.27.** SEM EDS analysis of worn ball surfaces for (a)A356-2.5Mg,(b) A356-5.0Mg, (c) A356-7.5Mg and (d)A356-10Mg FGMs and (e),(f),(g) and (h) are the corresponding elemental distribution at inner zone under T6 condition. 156