List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Examples of potential applications of HfO_2 in various industries. 4

Figure 1.2 Different phases of HfO_2 as a function of temperature under normal pressure condition. 6

Figure 1.3 Temperature-Pressure (T-P) phase diagram of HfO_2. (adapted from [46])..... 7

Figure 1.4 XRD patterns demonstrating stabilization of the monoclinic and tetragonal phase in stoichiometric and oxygen deficient HfO_2 films. (adapted from [50])..... 9

Figure 1.5 XRD patterns of **(a)** cubic and **(b)** monoclinic HfO_2 nanoparticles. The insets show respective selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns. (adapted from [51]) 10

Figure 1.6 The emission spectra of HfO_2 nanoparticles crystallized in the monoclinic (blue line) and cubic (red line) phase under ambient conditions. The deconvoluted peaks are grey in color (adapted from [86])..... 20

Figure 1.7 Typical current-voltage (I-V) curves of RRAM in **(a)** unipolar and **(b)** bipolar resistive switching modes. 24

Figure 2.1 Process flow of Sol-gel method for the synthesis of pure HfO_2 and rare earth doped HfO_2 powders..... 32

Figure 2.2 A schematic representation of electron beam evaporation (EBE) deposition system. (the direction of magnetic field (B) is out of the page). 34

Figure 2.3 The schematic diagram of metal-insulator-metal (MIM) test structure. 37

Figure 2.4 A representation of the incident X-rays and their diffraction from equidistant lattice planes. 38

Figure 2.5 A standard PL spectrofluorometer indicating different components used in the experimental setup. 49

Figure 3.1 (a) Back scattered electron (BSE) images for x = 0.05, 0.07, 0.09 and 0.11, respectively and **(b)** Wavelength dispersive spectra (WDS) for x = 0.11. 52

Figure 3.2 X-ray diffraction patterns of HfO_2 calcined at 500, 700 and 900 ^oC. 53

Figure 3.3 XRD patterns of $Hf_{1-x}Dy_xO_2$ ($0 \leq x \leq 0.11$) samples calcined at 900 ^oC. 54

Figure 3.4 Le-Bail profile fitting of XRD patterns using FULLPROF program **(a)** x = 0 with $P2_1/c$ and **(b)** x = 0.11 with $Fm\bar{3}m$. 56

Figure 3.5 Variation of crystallite size (D) and lattice strain (ε) in $Hf_{1-x}Dy_xO_2$ ($0 \leq x \leq 0.11$) samples. 58

Figure 3.6 Typical TEM micrograph of pure HfO_2 nanoparticles calcined at 900 ^oC..... 59

Figure 3.7 SAED pattern and high resolution TEM for x = 0 **(a and b)** and x = 0.11 **(c and d),** respectively. Insets of **(a)** and **(c)** show the particle size distribution histogram. Insets of **(b)** and **(d)** show the lattice planes. 60

Figure 3.8 The XPS spectra of O 1s region for x = 0 and x=0.11. 63

Figure 3.9 Room temperature M vs.H curves for pure HfO_2 calcined at 500, 700 and 900 ^oC. The right panel shows hysteresis at low magnetic field. 65

Figure 3.10 M vs. H curves at 2 and 35 K for pure HfO_2 calcined at 900 ^oC. 65

Figure 3.11 M vs. H dependence measured at **(a)** 300 K and **(b)** 2 K for $Hf_{1-x}Dy_xO_2$ (x= 0 and 0.01). The right panel shows a zoomed-in view at lower field for x = 0 and 0.01. 66

Figure 3.12 M vs. H dependence measured at **(a)** 300 K and **(b)** 2 K for $Hf_{1-x}Dy_xO_2$ (x= 0-0.11). 67

Figure 3.13 Variation of χ^{-1} vs. T for $Hf_{1-x}Dy_xO_2$ ($0.01 \leq x \leq 0.11$). 67

Figure 3.14 Variation of susceptibility with temperature in $Hf_{1-x}Dy_xO_2$ (x = 0, 0.05, 0.07 and 0.11) nanoparticles. 69

Figure 3.15 Room temperature excitation spectra of $Hf_{1-x}Dy_xO_2$($0 \leq x \leq 0.11$) by monitoring the emission wavelength at 490 nm. 73

Figure 3.16 Room temperature emission spectra of $Hf_{1-x}Dy_xO_2$ ($0 \leq x \leq 0.11$) at an excitation wavelength of 352 nm. 74

Figure 3.17 (a) Dependence of Y–B ratio and the relative intensity of the 490 nm emission peak in $Hf_{1-x}Dy_xO_2$ ($0 \leq x \leq 0.11$) and **(b)** the CIE colour space chromaticity diagram (**a–f** corresponds to x = 0-0.11) under an excitation wavelength of 352 nm. 75

Figure 3.18 The proposed energy band diagram illustrating the charge transfer (CT) mechanism taking place in $Hf_{1-x}Dy_xO_2$ 77

Figure 4.1 (a) X-ray diffraction patterns of $Hf_{1-x}Sm_xO_2$ ($0 \leq x \leq 0.12$) powders calcined at 900 ^oC and **(b)** integral peak area ratio of (111) of cubic phase to ($\bar{1}11$) or (111) of monoclinic phase as a function of Sm concentration. 83

Figure 4.2 Le-Bail profile fitting of $Hf_{1-x}Sm_xO_2$ **(a)** x = 0 with $P2_1/c$ and **(b)** x = 0.12 with $Fm\bar{3}m$ space groups. 84

Figure 4.3 Variation of crystallite size (D) and lattice strain (ε) in $Hf_{1-x}Sm_xO_2$ ($0 \leq x \leq 0.12$) obtained from Williamson-Hall plot. 86

Figure 4.4 SAED patterns of **(a)** HfO_2 and **(b)** $Hf_{0.88}Sm_{0.12}O_2$ and; High resolution TEM showing different lattice planes of **(c)** HfO_2 and **(d)** $Hf_{0.88}Sm_{0.12}O_2$. The particle size distribution histograms are shown as inset of **(a)** for HfO_2 and inset **(b)** for $Hf_{0.88}Sm_{0.12}O_2$. 87

Figure 4.5 Photoluminescence spectra of HfO_2 excited at **(a)** 293 nm and **(b)** 321 nm. The right inset of **(a)** shows emission peak at ~613 nm.**(a') and (b')**illustrate the deconvolution of broadband for 293 and 321 nm excitation wavelength, respectively. 91

Figure 4.6 Photoluminescence spectra of $Hf_{1-x}Sm_xO_2$ (x=0, 0.01, 0.05 and 0.12) excited at **(a)** 321 nm, **(b)** 410 nm and **(c)** 465 nm. 92

Figure 4.7 Room temperature excitation spectra of HfO_2 and $Hf_{0.99}Sm_{0.01}O_2$ monitored at emission wavelength **(a)** 470 nm and **(b)** 617 nm. 95

Figure 4.8 The CIE color space chromaticity diagram (Point 'a' and 'b' correspond to HfO_2 for λ_{ex} = 293 and 321nm; 'c' and 'd' correspond to $Hf_{0.99}Sm_{0.01}O_2$ for λ_{ex} = 410 and 465 nm, respectively). 96

Figure 4.9 A schematic energy band diagram showing the excitation and emission processes involved in HfO_2 and $Hf_{0.99}Sm_{0.01}O_2$ nanoparticles. 98

Figure 5.1 XRD patterns of xDy,ySm:HfO_2powders ((x=y=6); (x=6, y=7) and (x=7, y=6)) calcined at 900 ^oC. 102

Figure 5.2 XRD of Dy^{3+} and Sm^{3+} coactivated HfO_2 powders calcined at 900 ^oC (left panel). Right panel indicates broadening of ($\bar{1}11$) peak corresponding to monoclinic phase of HfO_2. 103

Figure 5.3 (a) Variation in crystallite size calculated along ($\bar{1}11$) as a function of Dy and Sm concentration; Le-Bail refinement profiles of XRD data of **(b)**D0S0 **(c)** D0.5S2 and **(d)** dependence of lattice constants such as a, b, c and volume upon Dy and Sm concentrations. 104

Figure 5.4 Field emission- scanning electron micrographs (FE-SEM) of **(a)** D0S0, **(b)** D0.5S0, **(c)** D0.5S0.3 and **(d)** D0.5S2. 107

Figure 5.5 TEM micrographs of **(a)** D0S0, **(b)** D0.5S0, **(c)** D0.5S0.3 and **(d)** D0.5S2. **a'-d'** show corresponding particle size distribution histograms. 108

Figure 5.6 Room temperature excitation and emission spectra of xDy,ySm:HfO_2 nanoparticles **(a)** D0.5S0 (λ_{ex}=393 nm, λ_{em}=577 nm), **(b)** D0S0.5 (λ_{ex}=405 nm, λ_{em}=613 nm) and **(c)** D0.5S2 (λ_{ex}=393 nm, λ_{em}=577 and 613 nm). 110

Figure 5.7 Room temperature PL emission spectra of xDy,ySm:HfO_2 nanoparticles obtained under an excitation wavelength of 393 nm. In right panel, an enlarged view of emission peaks within the wavelength range of 600-640 nm is shown. (**a-f** correspond to D0.5S0, D0.5S0.1, D0.5S0.3, D0.5S0.5, D0.5S1, and D0.5S2, respectively). 113

Figure 5.8 Time resolved luminescence decay curves of emission peak at 577 nm corresponding to Dy^{3+} ion monitored after exciting at a wavelength of 393 nm for different Sm concentrations. (**a-f** correspond to D0.5S0, D0.5S0.1, D0.5S0.3, D0.5S0.5, D0.5S1, and D0.5S2, respectively). 114

Figure 5.9 Variation in **(a)** mean lifetime and **(b)** efficiency of energy transfer from Dy^{3+} to Sm^{3+} ion as a function of Sm concentration. 115

Figure 5.10 CIE chromaticity diagram of xDy,ySm:HfO_2 nanoparticles after exciting with a wavelength of 393 nm. (**a-f** correspond to D0.5S0, D0.5S0.1, D0.5S0.3, D0.5S0.5, D0.5S1, and D0.5S2, respectively) 118

Figure 5.11 A simplified schematic energy level diagram demonstrating different emission, excitation processes and energy transfer in Dy and Sm codoped HfO_2 nanoparticles. 120

Figure 5.12 An illustration of the process flow adopted for developing latent fingerprint using Dy and Sm coactivated HfO_2 powders **(a)** printing finger impression on a substrate, **(b)** latent fingerprint, **(c)** dusting using synthesized powders and **(d)** exposure under ultra-violet (UV) light of 395 nm. 122

Figure 5.13 An illustrative comparison of developed latent fingerprints marked over transparent glass surface sprinkled with Dy^{3+} and Sm^{3+} coactivated HfO_2 nanoparticles **(a)** LFP over glass **(b)** LFP after dusting in bright light environment and **(b)** under illumination of UV light (395 nm). 123

Figure 5.14 The optical images of latent fingerprints developed using Dy^{3+} and Sm^{3+} coactivated HfO_2 nanophosphors and detected under 395 nm UV irradiation: **(a)** aluminum

foil, **(b)** transparent glass, **(c)** black colored glass, **(d)** wine colored, **(e)** red colored plastic sheets and **(f)** stainless steel. 124

Figure 5.15 A comparison of LFPs images developed using D0.5S2 powder over purplish colored surface recorded **(a)** in bright light environment and **(b)** under 395 nm UV irradiation. 125

Figure 5.16 The developed latent fingerprint over aluminum foil labeled by Dy^{3+} and Sm^{3+} coactivated HfO_2 nanophosphors showing selected magnified regions with third-level details distinctive to an individual such as (a) termination along with single bifurcation, (b) termination of a ridge, (c) a crucial double bifurcation and (d) an enclosure. 126

Figure 6.1 X-ray reflectivity of **(a)** HfO_2, **(b)** Sm: HfO_2 and, **(c)** Dy:HfO_2; **(d)** GIXRD patterns of HfO_2, Sm:HfO_2 and Dy:HfO_2 films. 130

Figure 6.2 EDS spectra for **(a)**Sm:HfO_2 and **(b)** Dy:HfO_2 films annealed at 550 ^{0}C..... 131

Figure 6.3 The XPS spectra of Hf 4f and O1s core levels for **(a,a')** HfO_2, **(b,b')** Sm:HfO_2 and **(c,c')** Dy:HfO_2, respectively. 133

Figure 6.4 Current-Voltage (I-V) characteristics in semi-logarithmic scale for HfO_2, Sm:HfO_2 and Dy:HfO_2.thin film devices. Insets show P-F and Ohmic model linear fits for HRS and LRS resistive states, respectively. 135

Figure 6.5 The proposed schematic diagram illustrating resistive switching mechanism through CFs formation in pristine and Sm or Dy doped HfO_2 RRAM devices. 138